





Samuel Coule

B Prov. loll. 4/15)

4

1"11.

n - y Gongl



# HISTORY

LITERATURE OF ANCIENT GREECE.

#### COMMITTEE.

Chairman.—The Right Hen, LOBD BROUGHAM, F.R.S., Member of the National Institute of France.

#### Fire-Chairman,—JGHN WOOD, Esq. Treasurer.—WILLIAM TOOKE, Esq., F.R.S.

A. Alleger, Eng. W. Alleger, Eng. M. Alleger, Eng. M. Alleger, Eng. T. R. Coga Hassielle, E. N., T. R. Coga Hassielle, E. N., T. R. Coga Hassielle, E. N., T. R. Coga Hassielle, E. C. Coga Hassielle, E. C. Coga Hassielle, C. C. Harrison, A. C. C. Harrison, A. C. C. Coga Hassielle, C. C. Coga Hassielle, C. C. Coga Hassielle, C. C. Coga Hassielle, F. L. S. L. C. Coga Hassielle, F. L. S. L. H. T. De Hassielle, F. L. S. L. H. T. De Hassielle, F. L. S. L. H. T. The Mark Hassielle, F. L. S. L. H. T. The Mark Hassielle, M. C. Coga Hassielle, S. Coga Hassielle, S. Coga Hassi	Fras. Herry Goldenier, Eng. Georgette, Eng. R. and J. T. Guran, Fog., A. M., F. R., S. J. T. Guran, Fog., A. M., F. R., Sur, F. R., M. D. Hill, Eng. Eng., F. R., M. D. Hill, Eng. F. R. A. S. T. Herdard, M. B. G. Hebertand Hill, Eng. F. R. A. S. Theres, Barry, S. T. Herdard, M. G. Hebertand, H. H. Herdard, M. G. H. Herdard, M. G. A. M. Herry B. Rew, Key. G. H. Herdard, M. G. H. Herdard, S. H.	The High Hea. Lord Nagard.  S. O'Riene, Fee, M. F.,  The Light Hea. Set H., Farmit.  The Light Hea. Set H., Farmit.  Delta Grant H., Farmit.  John T. Jinder, F. L.,  John T. Jinder, F. L.,  Janes Marker, End., F. R.S.,  Janes Marker, End., F. R.S.,  Janes Marker, Lod., F. R.S.,  J. Whibara, End., A. M., F. R.S.,  T. F. R.S.,  T. F. R.S.,  T. F. L. S.,  L. A. Vanns, En, M. F.
LO	CAL COMMITTE	ES.
Allen, Steffendshire-Bert, J. P. Jones. Jones. Anglessa-Bert, E. Williams. Bert, W. Johnson. Bert, W. Johnson. Bert, W. Johnson. Askertenant, F. Kington, Eug. Bertsingther, "Delter Int. Bertsingther," Delter Int. Williams Orlibbie, Toogs. Williams Orlibbie, Toogs. Williams Orlibbie, Toogs. F. L. S., Chalemann. F. L. S., Chalemann. F. L. S., Chalemann. F. L. S., Chalemann. F. J. S., Chalemann.	Baldas—T. Drummond, Esqu. R.E. y. R.A. S. R.E. y. R.A. S. Bellakurgh —— Sir C. Bell, y. R.S. L. and E. vol. Bell, y. R.S. L. and E. vol. Bell, y. R. S. L. and E. vol. Bell, y. R. S. L. and E. vol. Bell, y. R. S. L. S.	Orast. Essaw — Dr. Corbett, M.D. Osjow-Dr. Daibers, F.R.S., Praf. of Chron. Essaward and Chron. Essaward a

b. G. Wightin etc.,
G. Wightin etc.,
M. Derritges—Dr. A. W. International Market P. Hamilton,
M.A., F.R.S. and G.S.
Ret. F. Eventy, M.A.
Rethes—Eer. the Warden of,
Humphrey Jones, Eq.,
Ryde, Lite of Fights—Siz R.
Sameon, Bart.
—Makeyon Rev. J. Rarfett,
—Makeyon Rev. J. Rarfett,
—Makeyon Rev. J. Rarfett,

of, F. Bernandha, FerM. P. Bernandha, FraM. P. Bernandha, ErM. P. Bernandha, ErM. P. Bernandha, ErM. P. Bernandha, ErM. Bernandha, ErM. Bernandha, ErM. Bernandha, ErM. Bernandha, P. Bernandha, ErM. Bernandha, P. Bernandh

	Essier-I, Tyrrell, Euq.	
Barnetsple Hancraft, Esq.		
William Gribble, Eag.1	Glamorgonalace-Dr. Malkin,	
Berningham -J. Corre, Esq.,	W. Williams, Esq. Aber-	
F. R.S., Chairman,		
Paul M. James, Eaq., Treat.	Glargue-K. Finley, Eeq.	
	James Cooper, Esq.	
Bristof - J. N. Sanders, Esq.,	A. J. D. D'Orney, Kan.	
F.G.S., Chairman,	Greenw-F. C. Lukle, Ean.	
J. Reymolds, Esq., Tress.	Hall-J. C. Parker, Esq.	
	Learnington Sep-Dr. Lendon.	
J. B. Estlin, Esq., P.L.S., Sec. Colcuita -James Young, Esc.	M.D.	
C. H. Cameron, Esq.	Leeds -J. March dl. Eva."	
Combridge Bay, James Bon-	Leven-J. W. Woolless, Eag.	
stend, M.A.	Liverpool Local (4200 ushon-	
Rev. Prof. Benslow; M.A.,	W. W. Currie, Esq., Chair-	
F.L.E. a G.S.	men,	
Rev. Lectural Jenyse, M.A.,	J. Mulleneux, Esq., Trees.	
F.L.S.	Rev Dr. Shephrid.	
Rev. John Lodge, M.A.	Maudenhead-IL Goolden, Ean.	
Rev. Frof. Sedgwick, M.A.,	P.L.S.	
F.R S. A G.S.	Maideone-Clement T. Smrth.	
Cantribury-John Brent, Esq.,		
Alternos,	John Case, Esq.	
William Masters, Esp.	Malurabery-B. C. Thomas,	
Conton-Wm. Jantone, Esq.,	Manchaster Lorol Association-	
Robt. Inglia, Esq., Trens.	G.W. Wood, Esq , Churrene.	
Res. C. Beideman.	Sir Bern, Heywood, Tyens,	
Rev. C. Gotziaff, Sees.	T. W. Wiestunier, Esq., Her.	
	Sec.	
J. R. Morrison, Esq.,	Sir G. Philippe, Bart., M.F.	
M.A. IF.E.S.E.	Beni, Gett. Esq.	
Carlin's Thomas Barnes, M.D.,	Mashan- Bry. George Wad-	
Cornervon R. A. Peole, Keq.	diagion, M A. Merthye Tuded-J. J. Guest,	
William Steberts, Esq. Chester - Henry Potts, Esq.	Est., M.P.	
Chichester - John Forbes, M.D.	Minchishampton -John G.	
P.R.S.	Memorath-J. H. Mogariday.	
C. C. Dendy, Esq.		
Cockermonth-Rev. J. Whit-	Esq.	
ridge.	Neath-John Rowland, Esq.	
Corfs-John Crenford, Esq.	Newcostle-Rev. W. Turner.	
Mr. Plato Petridea.	T. Sopwith, Esq., F.G.S.	
Cowntry ArthurGregory, Esq.	Newport, Isle of Wight-Abr.	
Doubigh - John Mudocks, Esq.	Clarke, Esq.	
Thomas Ernes, Esq.	T. Cooke, June., Eaq.	
Derby Joseph Strutt, Eag.	R. G. Kirkpetrick, Eaq-	
Edward Strutt, Esq., M.F.	Newport Pognoli - J. Miller,	
Decouport and Econshouse-	Esq.	
John Cole, Ecq.	Newtown, Montgomeryshire-	
Norman, Esq.	W. Pugh, Faq.	
LtCal C. Herzilton Smith.	Normich-Hichard Bucon, Enq	
F.M.S.	Wm. Forster, Esq.	

THOMAS COATES, Esq., Secretary, 59, Lincoln's-mn Fields.

#### LIBRARY OF USEFUL KNOWLEDGE.



OF THE

# LITERATURE OF ANCIENT GREECE.

BY K. O. MÜLLER,

PROPESSOR IN THE UNIVERSITY OF GOTTINGEN.

VOL. I.

PUBLISHED UNDER THE SUPERINTENDENCE OF THE SOCIETY FOR THE DIFFUSION OF USEFUL KNOWLEDGE.

LONDON:
BALDWIN AND CRADOCK, PATERNOSTER-ROW.

1840.

Dign y Corryli

1522660

LONDON: Printed by W. CLOWES and SONS, Stamford Street.



#### THE TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

The following History of Greek Literature has been composed by Professor K. O. Müller of Göttingen, at the suggestion of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge, and for its exclusive use. The work has been written in German, and has been translated under the superintendence of the Society; but the German text has never been published, so that the present translation appears as an original work.

Before the publication of the present work, no history of Greek Literature had been published in the English language. The Society thought that, since the Greek Literature is the source from which the literature of the civilized world almost evclusively derives its origin; and since it still contains the finest productions of the human mind in poetry, history, oratory, and philosophy; a history of Greek Literature would be properly introduced into the series of works published under their superintendence. The present work is intended to be within the compass of the general reader; but at the same time to be useful to scholars, and particularly to persons commencing or pursuing the study of the Greek authors. Agreeably with this view, the chief original authorities for the statements in the text are mentioned in the notes: but few references have been given to the works of modern critics, either foreign or native. The translation has been executed in correspondence with the author, who has read and approved of the larger part of it. Any corrections which he may furnish (in addition to those noticed in the errata) will be inserted at the end of the second volume.

The work will be completed in about sixty chapters, and will occupy two volumes. The history will be brought down to the extinction of the heathen literature of Greece.

A copious index will accompany the second volume.

January, 1840.

# CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION—Subject and Purposes of the Work	
FIRST PERIOD OF GREEK LITERATURE.	
CHAPTER I.	
THE RACES AND LANGUAGE OF THE GREEKS.	
§ 1. General account of the languages of the Indo-Teutonic family § 2. Origin and formation of the Iodo-Teutonic languages—multiplicity of	3
their grammatical forms	4
§ 3. Characteristics of the Greek language, as compared with the other lan- guages of the Indo-Teutonic family	6
§ 4. Variety of forms, ioflexioos, and dialects in the Greek language	7
§ 5. The tribes of Greece, and their several dislects-characteristics of each	
dialect	8
CHAPTER_IL	
THE RELIGION OF THE GREEKS.	
§ 1. The earliest form of the Greek religion not portrayed in the Homeric	
poems	11
poems	11 12
poems	
poems .  § 2. The Olympic deities, as described by Homer .  § 3. Earlier form of worship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature .  § 4. Character and attributes of the several Greek deities, as personifications	12
poems  § 2. The Olympic delities, as described by Homer,  § 3. Earlier form of worship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attributes of the several Greek delities, as personifications of the provers and objects of Nature.	12
person	12 ib. 13
poems  § 2. The Olympic delities, as described by Homer,  § 3. Earlier form of worship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attributes of the several Greek delities, as personifications of the provers and objects of Nature.	12 ib.
person	12 ib. 13
person  § 2. The Olympic deidies, as described by Homer  § 3. Earlier form of worship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attributes of the sweat direct deities, as personifications of the power and before the Nature.  § 5. Share the Nature of the State of the State of the State of the Power and Deptic of the Nature of the State of the Nature of the State of the Nature of the State of the Nature	12 ib. 13
perma  § 2. The Olympic deides, as described by Homer  § 3. Earlier form of woship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attribute of the several Greek deities, as personifications of the powers and objects of Nature.  § 5. Subsequent medification of these ideas, as displayed in the Homeric description of the same deities.  CHAPTER III.  EARLIEST FOULDAS SONOS.  § 1. First efforts of Greek poetry. Plaintive songs of busbeedmen	12 ib. 13 15
poems  § 2. The Olympic deiries, as described by Homer  § 3. Earlier form of woship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attributes of the several Greek deities, as personifications of the powers and objects of Nature.  § 5. Subsequent modification of these ideas, as displayed in the Homeric description of the same derities  CHAPTER III.  EARLIEST POPULAR SONGS.  § 1. First efforts of Greek poetry. Plaintive songs of bushaodmen  § 2. Description of several of these songs, viz. the Linus.	12 ib. 13 15
perms  § 2. The Olympic deides, as described by Homer  § 3. Earlier form of weship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attribute of the several Greek deities, as personifications of the powers and objects of Nature.  § 5. Subsequent modification of these ideas, as displayed in the Homeric description of the same deities.  CHAPTER III.  EARLIEST FOULDAS SONGS.  § 1. First efforts of Greek peetry. Plaintive songs of busbaedmen.  § 2. Description of several of these songs, viz. the Linus.  § 3. The Islemous, the Scephony Le Lityress, the Bround, the Manners, and	12 ib. 13 15
poems  § 2. The Olympic deiries, as described by Homer  § 3. Earlier form of woship in Greece directed to the outward objects of Nature.  § 4. Character and attributes of the several Greek deities, as personifications of the powers and objects of Nature.  § 5. Subsequent modification of these ideas, as displayed in the Homeric description of the same derities  CHAPTER III.  EARLIEST POPULAR SONGS.  § 1. First efforts of Greek poetry. Plaintive songs of bushaodmen  § 2. Description of several of these songs, viz. the Linus.	12 ib. 13 15

	PAOR
5. The Threnes, or lament for the dead, and the Hymeneres, or brids song	. 20
6. Origin and choracter of the chorus	
5 7. Ancient poets who composed sacred hymns, divided into three classes, v.	
those connected, i. With the worship of Apollo; ii. With the worship	
Demeter and Dionysus; and iii. With the Phrygian worship of t	ho
mother of the Gods, of the Corybantes, &c	. 24
§ 8. Explanation of the Thracian origin of several of the early Greek poets	. 25
9. Influence of the early Thracian or Pierian poets on the epic poetry	
Homer	- 28
Homer	25
catt a minute and	
CHAPTER IV.	
ORIGIN OF THE EPIC POETRY.	
URIGIN OF THE EPIC PURING.	
§ 1. Social position of the minstrels or poets in the heroic ago	. 29
§ 2. Epie poems sung at the feasts of princes and nobles, and at publ	
festivals	. 30
§ 3. Manner of reciting epic poems, explanation of rhopsodists and rhops	
disting	
§ 4. Metrical form, and poetical character of the epic poetry	. 35
5. Perpetuation of the early spic posms by memory and not by writing	. 37
6. Subjects and extent of the ante-Homeric epic poetry	. 32
1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
CHAPTER V.	
CHAIT TIME II	
HOMER.	
HONER.	
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer	
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer § 2. Homer probably a Smyrnman: early history of Smyrna	. 42
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer § 2. Homer probably a Smyrnman: early history of Smyrnman	. 42
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer § 2. Homer probably a Smyrmann: early history of Smyrna § 3. Union of Æolian and Ionian characteristics in Homer.	. 42 . 41
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer § 2. Homer probably a Smyrman: early history of Smyrma § 3. Union of Æolian and Ionian characteristics in Homer. § 4. Norely of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems.	• 42 • 44 • 47
§ 1. Opinions on the birth-place and country of Homer § 2. Homer probably a Sourmean: early history of Souynea § 3. Linion of Redian and Ionian characteristics in Homer. § 4. Nevelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems. § 5. Subject of the Ilind: the enger of Achilles	. 42 . 41 . 47
1. Opinions on the hith-plees and constry of Homer 2. Honer probably a Surmann early history of Sayres 3. Holes of Edition and Ionian characteristics in Homer. 4. Novelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems. 5. Subject of the Hista' the sugger of Achilles 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the events of the entire war.	42 44 47 48 50
1. Opinious on the bitth-place and country of Homer 2. Homer probably a Suramana: early history of Suryraa 3. Unious of Relian and Ionias Amaricettistis in Homer; 4. Novelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hisd's the suage of Adullies 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the events of the entire war. 7. And by dwelling on the exhalion of the Greenia Homese.	42 44 47 48 50 52
1. Opinion on the hith-pier and quentry of Horre 2. Home probably a Sextmean carly listery of Suyron 4. Neverly of Homes' choice of subjects for his two porum. 5. Subject of the Hind: the eager of Achillet 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the versats of the surject way 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greeian herese 8. Change of long in the Hind in its progress.	42 44 47 48 50 52
1. Opinion on the hith-pier and quentry of Horre 2. Home probably a Sextmean carly listery of Suyron 4. Neverly of Homes' choice of subjects for his two porum. 5. Subject of the Hind: the eager of Achillet 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the versats of the surject way 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greeian herese 8. Change of long in the Hind in its progress.	42 44 47 48 50 52 53
\$ 1. Opinious on the birth-place and country of Homer  2. Homer probably a Surumean: early linkup; of Suryma  3. Union of Kelloin and Ionia Inactricitation in Homer.  4. Norethy of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems  5. Subject of the linki the sugar of Adulliar  6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the events of the entire war  7. And by dwelling on the exploit of the Grecha beneze  8. Change of tone in the linke in its progress  9. The Calloque of Ships	42 44 47 48 50 52 53 54
2. Opinious on the bittle-piec and country of Horner 2. Home probably a Serumean cardy history of Suyrea 3. Neverty of Homers choice of subjects for his Homers 4. Neverty of Homers choice of subjects for his Homers 5. Subject of the Hinds the sages of Adullis 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the versus of the success of the Adultication of the Hinds of the Survey of the Hinds in the Hinds have been supported by the Hinds of the Survey of the Hinds of the Survey of the Hinds in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Subject 9. The Catalogue of Subject 10. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind 10. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind  11. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind  12. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind  13. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  14. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  15. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  16. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  17. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are the conclusion of the Hind  18. The later books are	42 44 47 48 50 52 53 54 56
1. Opinions on the bittle-pine and country of Home 2. Homer probably a Serymans serdy lattery of Seryma 3. Union of Molina and Louisa characteristics in Homer. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hind the enger of Adullin 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the version of the suties way 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greekan homes 9. Change of mong the Hind in its progress 10. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind 10. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hind 11. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess 1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess 1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess 1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Objects or the Terms of Upwess  1. Subject of the Ter	42 44 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57
1. Opinious on the bitth-piec and country of Horner 2. Home probably a Scotmena: early history of Suyrea 2. Home probably a Scotmena: early history of Suyrea 3. Name of the Scotmena country of the Suyrea 4. Subject of the Hind the eager of Adhille 5. Subject of the Hind the eager of Adhille 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the versus of the such as a superior of the Hind the supplies of the Green hence 7. And by dwelling on the explain of the Green hence 8. Change of the Illiad in its progrees 9. The Catalogue of Ships 10. The lattr books, and the conclusion of the Hind 11. Subject of the Odynary: the return of Uppner 5. Interpolations in the Odynary 12. Interpolations in the Odynary 13. Interpolation in the Odynary 14. Interpolation in the Odynary 15. Interpolation in the Odynary 16. Interpolation in	42 44 47 48 50 52 53 54 57 60
1. Opinions on the hitch-place and country of Homes 2. Homer probably a Servaneau serdy lathery of Seryron 3. Union of Robins and Roules characteristics in Homes. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice of majecta for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the engar of Adullist 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the variet of the easies war 7. And by dwelling on the exploit of the Greens benese 8. Change of those the Hilled in the opportunity of the Company of the Hilled 11. Subject of the Objects of the Testins of Union of the Hilled 12. Interpolations in the Objects 12. Interpolations in the Objects of the Company of the Compa	42 44 47 48 50 52 53 54 57 60
1. Opinious on the bittle-place and country of Honce 2. Homer probably a Serumean early linkery of Suyrea. 3. Union of Arisha and Jonia characteristics in Humer. 4. Novely of the Hind American Service in the poem. 4. Novely of the Hind American Service in the poem. 5. Engagement of the Hind American Service in the poem. 6. Engagement of the support of Arishine 7. And by dwelling on the explain of the Oricina benea. 8. Change of long in the Hind in its progress. 9. The Catalogue of Ships. 9. The Catalogue of Ships. 10. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind. 11. Subject of the Odynary: the return of Ulyses. 4. Interpolations in the Odynary 12. Interpolations in the Odynary 13. The Odynary posterior to the Hind; but both poems composed by the same generous.	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60
1. Opinions on the hitch-place and country of Homes 2. Homer probably a Servaneau serdy lathery of Seryron 3. Union of Robins and Roules characteristics in Homes. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice of majecta for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the engar of Adullist 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the variet of the easies war 7. And by dwelling on the exploit of the Greens benese 8. Change of those the Hilled in the opportunity of the Company of the Hilled 11. Subject of the Objects of the Testins of Union of the Hilled 12. Interpolations in the Objects 12. Interpolations in the Objects of the Company of the Compa	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60
1. Opinious on the bittle-place and country of Honce 2. Homer probably a Serumean early linkery of Suyrea. 3. Union of Arisha and Jonia characteristics in Humer. 4. Novely of the Hind American Service in the poem. 4. Novely of the Hind American Service in the poem. 5. Engagement of the Hind American Service in the poem. 6. Engagement of the support of Arishine 7. And by dwelling on the explain of the Oricina benea. 8. Change of long in the Hind in its progress. 9. The Catalogue of Ships. 9. The Catalogue of Ships. 10. The later books and the conclusion of the Hind. 11. Subject of the Odynary: the return of Ulyses. 4. Interpolations in the Odynary 12. Interpolations in the Odynary 13. The Odynary posterior to the Hind; but both poems composed by the same generous.	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60
1. Opinions on the hitth-place and country of Homes 2. Homer resolubly a Sextmean early lathery of Soryron 3. Union of Rollian and Roules characteristics in Homes. 4. Novelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the sugges of Adullia 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the events of the eaties was 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greein hence 8. Change of Home in the Hilled in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 10. The latter books, and the condusions of the Hill 11. The Object of the Catalogue of Solya 12. Interpolations in the Object 13. The Object of the Catalogue of Solya 14. The Object of Solya 15. The Object of Solya 16. The Object of Solya 17. The Object of Solya 18. The	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the hitth-place and country of Homes 2. Homer resolubly a Servanean early lattery of Soryron 1. Union of Rollian and Roules characteristics in Homes. 4. Norely of Homer's choice of majecta for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the sager of Adullist 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the versits of the easier was 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greein homes 8. Change of Home in the Hilled in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 10. The latter body, and the conclusions of the Hilled 12. Interpolations in the Orlyany 12. Interpolations in the Orlyany 13. The Orlyany posteries to the Hilled, but both poems composed by the many person. 14. Preservations of the Homeric poems by rhappeolists, and nanous of the recitation.	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the hitth-place and country of Homes 2. Homer resolubly a Sextmean early lathery of Soryron 3. Union of Rollian and Roules characteristics in Homes. 4. Novelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the sugges of Adullia 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the events of the eaties was 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greein hence 8. Change of Home in the Hilled in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 9. The Catalogue of Solya 10. The latter books, and the condusions of the Hill 11. The Object of the Catalogue of Solya 12. Interpolations in the Object 13. The Object of the Catalogue of Solya 14. The Object of Solya 15. The Object of Solya 16. The Object of Solya 17. The Object of Solya 18. The	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the hitth-late and country of Home 2. Literar remblary a Searmann certly lattery of Suryrea 3. Litelan of Afolian and Lunian characteristics in Home. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems. 5. Subject of the Hibrit the sages of Achilles 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the vents of the states was 7. And by dwelling on the esploits of the Grecian hences 8. Change of Long in the Hibrit in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Ships 10. The latter books, and the conclusion of the Hibrit 11. Subject of the Odysacy: the return of Ulyans 12. Interpolitions in the Odysacy 13. The Odysacy posterior to the Hibrit 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 16. The Catalogue of Ships 17. The Catalogue of Ships 18. The Catalogue of Ships 18. The Catalogue of Ships 19. The Catalogue of Sh	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the bittle-place and country of Home     2. Homer probably a Serymana rarely listery of Seryma     3. United Affolian and Louisa characteristics in Homer.     4. Nevely of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems.     5. Subject of the Hidst the enger of Adullist     5. Analysis of Affolian and Introducing the version of the auties way     7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greens hence     9. The Callages of Ships     10. The hist books, and the conclusion of the Hidst     11. Subject of the Objects     12. Interpolations in the Objects     12. Interpolations in the Objects     13. The Objects of Ships     15. The Callage of Ships     15. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     15. Subject of the Objects     15. Subject of Ships     16. The Callage of Ships     17. The Callage of Ships     18. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Hidst of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Hidst of the	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the hitth-late and country of Home 2. Literar remblary a Searmann certly lattery of Suryrea 3. Litelan of Afolian and Lunian characteristics in Home. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems. 5. Subject of the Hibrit the sages of Achilles 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the vents of the states was 7. And by dwelling on the esploits of the Grecian hences 8. Change of Long in the Hibrit in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Ships 10. The latter books, and the conclusion of the Hibrit 11. Subject of the Odysacy: the return of Ulyans 12. Interpolitions in the Odysacy 13. The Odysacy posterior to the Hibrit 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 14. Preservation of the Homeric posms by rhapsolotis, and manner of the 15. The Catalogue of Ships 16. The Catalogue of Ships 17. The Catalogue of Ships 18. The Catalogue of Ships 18. The Catalogue of Ships 19. The Catalogue of Sh	42 41 47 48 50 52 53 54 56 57 60 6
1. Opinions on the hitth-late and country of Home 2. Hamer resolubly a Sextmann seril history of Soryron 3. Union of Rollina and Inalias characteristics in Homer. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice and anjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the sager of Achilles 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the versits of the earlier way 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greeian hences 8. Change of Home in the Hilled in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Salay 9. The Catalogue 9. The Catalo	42 44 47 48 50 50 52 53 54 60 60 61 62
1. Opinions on the bittle-place and country of Home     2. Homer probably a Serymana rarely listery of Seryma     3. United Affolian and Louisa characteristics in Homer.     4. Nevely of Homer's choice of subjects for his two poems.     5. Subject of the Hidst the enger of Adullist     5. Analysis of Affolian and Introducing the version of the auties way     7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greens hence     9. The Callages of Ships     10. The hist books, and the conclusion of the Hidst     11. Subject of the Objects     12. Interpolations in the Objects     12. Interpolations in the Objects     13. The Objects of Ships     15. The Callage of Ships     15. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     15. Subject of the Objects     15. Subject of Ships     16. The Callage of Ships     17. The Callage of Ships     18. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Ships     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. Subject of the Objects of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Hidst of the Hidst     19. The Callage of Ships     19. The Callage of Ships     19. Subject of the Hidst of the	42 44 47 48 552 52 53 54 56 56 57 60 56 57 62 56 52 56 56 57 60 57 60 57
1. Opinions on the hitth-late and country of Home 2. Hamer resolubly a Sextmann seril history of Soryron 3. Union of Rollina and Inalias characteristics in Homer. 4. Neverly of Homer's choice and anjects for his two poems 5. Subject of the Hilled the sager of Achilles 6. Enlargement of the subject by Introducing the versits of the earlier way 7. And by dwelling on the exploits of the Greeian hences 8. Change of Home in the Hilled in its progress 9. The Catalogue of Salay 9. The Catalogue 9. The Catalo	42 44 47 48 552 52 53 54 56 56 57 60 56 57 62 56 52 56 56 57 60 57 60 57

CONTENTS.

§ 3. The litt	le Iliad of	Lesche							٠.								6
4. The Cy	pria of Stas	inus															6
§ 5. The No																	6
6 6. The Tel	egonia of I	Eugami	non	of	Cy	rene							•		٠.	٠.	7
§ 7. Poems	on the War	agains	T	ıeb	es.												ib
			en.	Δ	рт	EF	, ,	711									
			-	-	-	E	_	ш	•								
		T	te :	но	ME	RIC	H	CMI	œ,								
§ I. General		Cthe I			. ,,	_											7
§ 2. Occasion																÷	-
											AID		/CS	at 1	* 1110	,	_
	rere compo								٠		٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	7
§ 3. Hymn t											٠	٠		٠			7.
§ 4. Hymn t											٠						7
§ 5. Hymn b	Hermes																ib
§ 6. Hymn t	e Aphredit	е .													$\overline{}$		74
§ 7. Hymn t															$\overline{\cdot}$	$\overline{\cdot}$	il
			11	A I	PT	ER	v	'nί	T.								
			-		_		_		•								
				E	ES	OD											
§ 1. Circums	tances of I	Tesiod's	Tá	6	and	(PO)		1 61		eter	- 05	his	Po	de			7
5 2. The We																on	

# 5 The Marriage of Ceyx, the Epithalamium of Peleus and Thetis, the Descent of Theseus and Pirithous into Hell, the Shield of Hercules. . . CHAPTER IX.\*

#### OTHER PPIC POETS.

ě	1.	General cha	racter of	othe	r Epic	Poets						1.3	. 1
ĕ	2.	Cingthon of	Laceds	emon,	Eume	lus of	Cor	inth,	, Asi	ns of	Samos,	Chersia	
		of Orchom											-

§ 3. Epic Poems on Hercules; the Taking of Œchalia; the Heraclea of Pcisander of Rhodes. 102

## CHAPTER X.1

#### THE ELEGY AND THE EPIGRAM.

ģ	٦,	Exclusive	pre	valeni	e c	f E	pie	Poet	ry,	in co	nne	ion	wi	th	the	mo	nar	chica	
		period;	infl	uence	of	the	ď	lange	in	the	fore	DS.	of	Gi	ovci	um	cnt	upor	
		Poetry	٠		•				٠			_							104

Misprinted Chapter X. in the text.
 † Misprinted Chapter XI. in the text.

#### CONTENTS.

PAGE
§ 2. Klegeion, its meaning; origin of Elegos; plaintive songs of Asia Minor,
accompanied by the flute; mode of Recitation of the Elegy 105
§ 3. Metre of the Elegy
§ 4. Political and military tendency of the Elegy as composed by Callinus;
the circumstances of his time ib.
§ 5. Tyrtæus, his Life; occasion and subject of his Elegy of Eunomia 110
6. Character and mode of recitation of the Elegies of Tyrtwus 112
§ 7. Elegies of Archilochus, their reference to Banquets; mixture of convivial
jollity (Asius) ib.
§ 8. Plnintive Elegies of Archilochus
§ 9. Mimnermus; his Elegies; the expression of the impaired strengt's of the
Ionic nation
§ 10. Luxury, a consolation in this state; the Nanno of Minnermus , 116
11. Solon's character; his Elegy of Salamis
§ 12. Elegies before and after Solon's Legislation; the expression of his poli-
tical feeling; mixture of Gnomic Passages (Phocylides) 118
§ 13. Elegies of Theognis; their original character
§ 14. Their origin in the political Revolutions of Megara ib.
§ 15. Their personal reference to the Friends of Theognis
§ 16. Elegies of Xenophanes; their philosophical tendency 124
17. Elegies of Simonides on the Victories of the Persian War; tender and
pathetic spirit of his Poetry; general View of the course of Elegiac
Poetry
Company of Painters
Composer of Epigrams
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  IAMBIC PORTEX.  5. 1. Striking contrast of the Iambi and other contemporaneous feetry . 128
Composer of Epigrams
Composer of Epigrams   126
Composer of Epigrams
Composer of Epigrams   126
Composer of Epigrams
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LARRIC PORTRY.  1. Striking contrast of the Iambie and other contemporaneous Feetry . 128 2. Pectry in reference to the hold and the rulps . 129 3. Different transment of it in International Contract . 139 4. Homoric Comic Posson, Margires, &c
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LAMIEL POTENT.  1. Striking contrast of the Insulic and other contemporaneous Peetry . 128  2. Peetry in reference to the had and the volger . 129  4. Honeric Comic Peous, Marcine and . 120  4. Honeric Comic Peous, Marcine and . 120  4. Honeric Comic Peous, Marcine and . 120  5. Searrilons song at meab, at the worship of Demotr; the Period of Demotr O Pears, the cralled of the Insulic peous . 122  6. Date and Public Life of Architechus . 124  7. His Private Life publed of the Insulic people of Architechus . 124  8. The Private Life publed of In Landers . 124  8. The Private Life publed of In Landers . 124  8. The Private and Incomic and Inco
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LARRIC PORTAX.  1. Striking contrast of the Iambie and other contemporaneous feetry . 128  2. Pectry in reference to the bad and the rulpar . 129  3. Different tenument of it in Internet and Heriod . 120  4. Homoric Comic Posons, Margine, &c
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LAMBIC PORTAX.  1. Striking contrast of the Iambie and other contemporaneous feetry . 128 2. Pectry in reference to the bod and the ruley . 129 3. Different transment of it in Honer and Heiseld . 129 4. Honeric Comic Passon, Margine, &c
Composer of Egigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LAMBIC FOREIX.  1. Striking contrast of the Iambic and other contemporaneous Feetry . 128 2. Pectry in reference to the had and the require . 129 3. Different trainent of it in Induce and Heriston . 129 4. Howevic Comic Pacomo, Margiera, &c
Composer of Epigrams   126
Composer of Epigrams . 126  CHAPTER XI.  LAMBIC PORTAX.  1. Striking contrast of the Iambie and other contemporaneous feetry . 128 2. Pectry in reference to the bod and the ruley . 129 3. Different transment of it in Honer and Heiseld . 129 4. Honeric Comic Passon, Margine, &c
Composer of Epigrams   126

CONTENTS.		
		P

хi

	7. Parody, burlesques in an epic form, by Hipponax
į	8. Batrachomyomachia
	CHAPTER XIL.
	PROGRESS OF THE GREEK MUSIC.
	1. Transition from the Epos, through the Elegy and Iambus, to Lyric
	Poetry; connexion of Lyric Poetry with Music
	2. Founders of Greek Music; Terpander, his descent and date 1
	3. Terpander's invention of the seven-striaged Cithara
	4. Musical scales and styles
	5. Nomes of Terpander for singing to the Cithera; their rhythmical form. 1
	6. Olympus, descended from an ancient Phrygian family of flute-players . 1
	7. His influence upon the development of the music of the flate and rhythm
	among the Grocks
	8. His influence confined to music
	9. Thaletas, his age
	0. His concexion with ancient Cretan worships. Peans and hyporchemes
	of Thaletas
	1. Musicians of the succeeding period-Clonas, Hierax, Xenodamus, Xeno-
	critus, Polymuestas, Sacadas
	2. State of Greek Music at this period
	CHAPTER XIII.
	THE MOLIC SCHOOL OF LYRIC POETRY.
	1. Difference between the Lyric Poetry of the Æolians, and the Choral
	Lyric Postry of the Darians
	2. Life and Political Acts of Alemus
	3. Their conaction with his Poetry
	4. The other subjects of his Poems
	4. The other subjects of his Poems
	4. The other subjects of his Poems
	4. The other subjects of his Poems

# 515. Comparison of the later Anacronatics 16. Scolia 3 occasions on which they were sung, and their subjects 17. Scolia of Hybrias and Calibratus CHAPTER XIV.

#### CHORAL LYRIC POETRY.

11. Life of Anacreou . .

6 14. Character of his versification . . . . .

Connexion of lyric poetry with choral songs: gradual rise of regular forms from this convexion .

	AOZ
First stage § 2. Aleman; his origin and date; mode of recitation and form	
	193
§ 3. Their poetical character	196
4. Stesichorus; hereditary transmission of his poetical taste; his reforma-	
tion of the chorus	197
5. Subjects and character of his poetry	199
6. Erotic and bucolic poetry of Stesichorus	202
Z. Arion. The dithyramb raised to a regular choral song	203
Second stage 6 8. Life of Ibyens : his imitation of Stesichorus	203
9. Erotic tendency of his poetry.	206
	207
§ 11. Variety and ingenuity of his poetical powers. Comparison of his Epi-	_
	209
§ 12. Characteristics of his style	212
-6 13. Lyric poetry of Bacchylides, imitated from that of Simonides	913
§ 14. Parties among the lyric poets; rivalry of Lasus, Timocreon, and Pindar	
	214
CHAPTER XV.	
PINDAR.	
	216
§ 2. Exercise of his art; his independent position with respect to the Greek	
	218
8 3. Kiuds of poetry cultivated by him	220
6 4. His Epinikia; their origin and objects	2-2-2
& 5. Their two main elements; general remarks, and mythical narrations . "	224
& 6. Connexion of these two elements; peculiarities of the structure of Pindar's	
odes	226
& 7. Variety of tone in his odes, according to the different musical styles .	227
1	
CHAPTER XVI.	
· ·	
THEOLOGICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL POETRY.	
§ 1. Moral improvement of Greek poetry after Homer especially evident in	
	9.19
§ 2. Influence of the mysteries and of the Orphic doctrines on these notions .	
§ 3. First traces of Orphic ideas in Hesiod and other epic poets	232
4. Sacerdotal enthusiasts in the age of the Seven Sages; Epimenides,	
Abaris, Aristeus, and Pherecydes	233
§ 5. An Orphic literature arises after the destruction of the Pythagoreau	
	235
6. Subjects of the Orphic poetry; at first cosmogonic	235
7. afterwards prophetic, in reference to Dionysus	237
CHAPTER XVII.	
THE EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHERS.	
§ 1. Opposition of philosophy and poetry among the Greeks; causes of the	
1. Opposition of philosophy and poetry among the Greeks; causes of the	

#### CONTENTS.

	<ol> <li>Retrospect of the theological speculations of Pherecydes ih.</li> </ol>
ı	4. Thales; he combines practical talents with bold ideas concerning the
	nature of things
	5. Anaximander, a writer and inquirer on the nature of things 242
	6 Anaximenes pursues the physical inquiries of his predecessors 243
	7. Heraelitus; profound character of his natural philosophy 244
	8. Changes introduced by Anaxagoras; new direction of the physical specu-
	lations of the Ionians
	9. Diogenes continues the early doctrine. Archelaus, an Anaxagorean,
	carries the Ionic philosophy to Athens 2;8
i	10. Doctrines of the Eleatics, founded by Xenophanes; their enthusiastic
	character is expressed in a poetic form
	11. Parmenides gives a logical form to the doctrines of Xenophanes; plan of
	his poem
	12. Further development of the Eleatic doctrine by Melissus and Zeno 252
	13. Empedocles, akin to Anaxagoras and the Eleatics, but conceives lofty
	ideas of his own
i	14. Italic school; receives its impulse from an Ionian, which is modified by
	the Doric character of the inhabitants. Coincidence of its practical
	tendency with its philosophical principle
	CHAPTER XVIII.
	THE EARLY GREEK HISTORIANS.
•	1. High antiquity of history in Asin; causes of its comparative lateness
	High antiquity of history in Asia; causes of its comparative lateness among the Greeks     258
	High antiquity of history in Asia; causes of its comparative latences among the Greeks
	High antiquity of history in Asia; causes of its comparative lateness among the Greeks.     Origin of history among the Greeks. The Ionians, particularly the Milesians, took the lead.
	High antiquity of history in Asia; causes of its comparative latences among the Greeks     Strong of history among the Greeks. The losions, particularly the Milesians, took the lea!     Mythological historians; Cadenus, Accusluss.     261
	High natispity of history in Asis; cause of its comparative lateness many the Greeks.  258 unser foot bits had been directly be been particularly the Millionians took bits had been considered by the Millionians of the Ball bits of the Millionians of the M
	High antiquity of history in Asis; causes of its comparative Latences manny the Greeks.     Greeks.     Greeks of the Head of the Hea
	High antiquity of history in Asis; causes of its comparative Latences manny the Greeks.     Greeks.     Greeks of the Head of the Hea
	1. High antiquity of history in Asis; causes of its comparative latences among the Greeks. — 1988. — 1989. — 1
	High natispity of history in Asis; cause of its comparative latences many the Greeks.  258  158. The Manning the Greeks.  269. See the Manning the Greeks.  260. Mythological historians; Cadenus, Aerollaus.  261. Mythological historians; Cadenus, Aerollaus.  261. Extensive geographical knowledge of Heathers; his free treatment of native traditions.  262. Phorneyfort his genealogical arrangement of traditions and history.  263. Cadenas his chosoicles of general and special history.  264. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  265. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  266. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  267. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the
	1. High antiquity of history in Asis; causes of its comparative latences among the Greeks. — 1988. — 1989. — 1
	High natispity of history in Asis; cause of its comparative latences many the Greeks.  258  158. The Manning the Greeks.  269. See the Manning the Greeks.  260. Mythological historians; Cadenus, Aerollaus.  261. Mythological historians; Cadenus, Aerollaus.  261. Extensive geographical knowledge of Heathers; his free treatment of native traditions.  262. Phorneyfort his genealogical arrangement of traditions and history.  263. Cadenas his chosoicles of general and special history.  264. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  265. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  266. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  267. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  268. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the chosoicles of general and special history.  269. History is the

## CHAPTER XIX.

		-	10.0710	2100			
ş	1. Events of the life of	Herodotus			 	 	. 266
ş	2. His travels				 	 	. 262
ě	3. Gradual formation of	his work			 	 	. 265
ě	4. Its plan				 	 	269

§ 5. Its leading ideas
6 6. Defects and excellencies of his historical researches
§ 7. Style of his narrative; character of his language
SECOND PERIOD OF GREEK LITERATURE.
CHAPTER XX.
LITERARY PREDOMINANCE OF ATHENS.
§ 1. Early formation of a national literature in Greece
§ 2. Athens subsequently takes the lead in literature and art. Her fitness for
this purpose ib.
§ 3. Concurrence of the political circumstances of Athens to the same end.
Solon. The Picistratide
§ 4. Great increase in the power of Athens after the Persian war 279 § 5. Administration and policy of Pericles, particularly with respect to art and
5. Administration and policy of Pericles, particularly with respect to art and literature
§ 6. Seeds of degeneracy in the Athenian Commonwealth at its most flourish
ing period
§ 7. Causes and modes of the degeneracy
§ 8. Literature and art were not affected by the causes of moral degeneracy . 285
CHAPTER XXL
§ 2. The invention of dramatic poetry peculiar to Greece 287  § 3. Origin of the Greek drama from the worship of Bacchus ib.
§ 4. Earliest, or Doric form of tragedy, a choral or dithyrambic song in the
worship of Bacchus
worship of Bacchus
worship of Bacchus         289           § 5. Connexion of the early tragedy with a chorus of satyrs         290           6: Improvement of tragedy at Athens by Thespis         293           5. The Phrynichus         293
worship of Backus 289 5. Connexion of the early tragedy with a chores of satyrs 290 6. Improvement of tragedy at Atheas by Thespis 292 7. By Phrysichus 283 8. And by Cherrikus. Cultivation of the satyric drama by the latter 293 8. And by Cherrikus.
worship of Bacchus         289           § 5. Connexion of the early tragedy with a chorus of satyrs         290           6: Improvement of tragedy at Athens by Thespis         293           5. The Phrynichus         293
worship of Backus 289 5. Connexion of the early tragedy with a chores of satyrs 290 6. Improvement of tragedy at Atheas by Thespis 292 7. By Phrysichus 283 8. And by Cherrikus. Cultivation of the satyric drama by the latter 293 8. And by Cherrikus.
wombig of Beelms 239 5. Cancerion of the early tragedy with a chorus of satyrs 290 6. Lingurement of tragedy at Athem by Thespin 292 7. By Physicians 293 8. And by Cherdina. Collisation of the satyric drama by the latter 294 9. The natyric drama completely separated from tragedy by Pratinas 295
venship of Bechus
wenship of Bechus 299 § C. Caneston of the early tragedy with a chouse of satyrs 290 § G. Impercement of tragedy at Athens by Thospis 291 § T. By Phrysichians 293 § R. Anal by Cheribus. Cellivation of the satyric drawn by the latter 294 § T. The satyric drawn a completely separated from tragedy by Prainas 255  CHAPTER XXII  FIRM AND CHARACTER OF THE GREEK TRAGEDY. § 1. Ideal character of the Creek tragedy; splendid custome of the actors 296 § 2. Collivours; masks 297
seembig of Bechus
worship of Buchus
wenthip of Bechus
worship of Buchus

PAGE
§ 8. Meaning of the protagonist, deuteragonist, tritagonist
9. The changes of the scene inconsiderable; ancient tragedy not being a
picture of outward acts
§ 10. Ecryclema
§ 11. Composition of the drama from various parts; songs of the entire chorus 310
§ 12. Division of a tragedy by the choral songs
§ 13. Songs of single persons, of the chorus, and of the actors ib.
5 14. Parts of the drama intermediate between song and speech 315
6 15. Speech of the actors; arrangement of the dialogue and its metrical
form
Writt
CHAPTER XXIII.
ÆSCHYLUS.
§ 1. Life of Æschylus
2. Number of his tragedies, and their distribution into trilogies 319
3. Outline of his tragedies; tha Persians
4. The Phineus and the Glaucus Pontius
5. The Ætnæan women
6. The Seven against Thebes
& 7. The Eleusinians
8. The Suppliants; the Egyptians
8 9. The Prometheus bound
\$ 10. The Prometheus unbound
å 11. The Agamempon
§ 12. The Choephorce
§ 13. The Eumenides, and the Proteus
§ 14. General characteristics of the poetry of Æschylus
§ 15. His latter years and death
CHAPTER XXIV
SOPHOCLES.
1. Condition in which tragic poetry came into the hands of Sophocles. His     Great appearance
2. Subsequent events of his life; his devotion to the drama
3 . Epochs in the poetry of Sophocles
§ 4. Thorough change in the form of tragedy 341 § 5. Outline of his plays; the Antigone
§ 6. The Electra
7. The Trachinian Women
§ 8. King Œdipus
§ 2. The Ajax
§ 10. The Philoctetes
11, 12. The Œdipus at Colonus, in connexion with the character and conduct
of Sophocles in his latter years
§ 13. The style of Sophocles

xy;

## CHAPTER XXV.

## EURIPIDES.

§ 1. Difference between Sophocles and Euripides. The latter essentially spe-
culative. Tragedy, a subject ill-suited for his genius
§ 2. Intrusion of tragedy into the interests of the private
§ 3. And public life of the time
6 4. Alterations in the plan of tragedy introduced by Euripides. Prologue . 362
§ 5. And Deus ex machina
§ 6. Comparative insignificance of the chorus. Prevalence of monodies 364
5 7. Styla of Euripides
5 8. Outline of his plays: the Alcestis ib.
§ 9. The Medea
§ 10. The Hippolytus
§ 11. The Hecuha
§ 12. Epochs in the mode of treating his subject: the Heracleida 370
§ 13. The Suppliants
§ 14. The Ion ib.
§ 15. The raging Herarles
\$ 16. The Andromache
§ 17. The Trojan Women ib.
§ 18. The Electra
CHAPTER XXVI.
CHAPTER AATL
THE OTHER TRACIC POETS.
5 1. Inferiority of the other tragic poets
Achwus, Carcinus, Xenocles
5 3. Tragedians somewhat more recent: Agathon; the anonymous son of
Cleomachus. Tragedy grows effeminate
4. Men of education employ tragedy as a vehicle of their opinions on the
ancial relations of the age
social relations of the age
the younger Euripides
§ 6. Iufluence of other branches of literature; tragedy is treated by Chæremon
in the spirit of lax and effeminate lyric poetry
§ 7. Tragedy is subordinated to rhetoric in the dramas of Theodectes 387
1

### HISTORY

OF THE

#### LITERATURE OF ANCIENT GREECE

#### INTRODUCTION.

In undertaking to write a history of Grecian literature, it is not our intention to enumerate the names of those many hundred authors whose works, accumulated in the Alexandrinc Library, are reported, after passing through many other perils, to have finally been burnt by the Khalif Omar-an event from which the cause of civilisation has not, perhaps, suffered so much as many have thought; inasmuch as the inheritance of so vast a collection of writings from antiquity would, by engrossing all the leisure and attention of the moderns, have diminished their zeal and their opportunities for original productions. Nor will it necessary to carry our younger readers (for whose use this work is chiefly designed) into the controversies of the philosophical schools, the theories of grammarians and critics, or the successive hypotheses of natural philosophy among the Greeks-in short, into those departments of literature which are the province of the learned by profession, and whose influence is confined to them alone. Our object is to consider Grecian literature as a main constituent of the character of the Grecian people, and to show how those illustrious compositions, which we still justly admire as the classical writings of the Grecks, naturally sprung from the taste and genius of the Greek races, and the constitution of civil and domestic society as established among them. For this purpose our inquiries may be divided into three principal heads :-- 1. The development of Grecian poetry and prose before the rise of the Athenian literature; 2. The flourishing era of poetry and eloquence at Athens; and, 3. The history of Greek literature in the long period after Alexander; which last, although it produced a much larger number of writings than the former periods, need not, consistently with the object of the present work, be treated at great length, as literature had in this age fallen into the hands of the learned few, and had lost its living influence on the general mass of the community.

In attempting to trace the gradual development of the literature of

ancient Greece from its earliest origin, it would be easy to make a beginning, by treating of the extant works of Grecian writers in their chronological order. We might then commence at once with Homer and Hesiod: but if we were to adopt this course, we should, like an epic poet, place our beginning in the middle of the history; for, like the Pallas of Grecian poetry, who sprang full-armed from the head of Jupiter, the literature of Greece wears the perfection of beauty in those works which Herodotus and Aristotle, and all critical and trust-worthy inquirers among the Greeks, recognised as being the most ancient that had descended to their times. Although both in the Iliad and Odyssey we can clearly discern traces of the infancy of the nation to which they belong, and although a spirit of simplicity pervades them, peculiar to the childhood of the human race, yet the class of poetry under which they fall, appears in them at its full maturity; all the laws which reflection and experience can suggest for the epic form are observed with the most refined taste; all the means are employed by which the general effect can be heightened; no where does the poetry bear the character of a first essay or an unsuccessful attempt at some higher poetical flight; indeed, as no subsequent poem, either of ancient or modern times, has so completely caught the genuine epic tone, there seems good reason to doubt whether any future poet will again be able to strike the same chord. It seems, however, manifest, that there must have been many attempts and experiments before epic poetry could reach this elevation; and it was, doubtless, the perfection of the Iliad and Odyssey, to which these prior essays had led, that buried the productions of former bards in oblivion. Hence the first dawn of Grecian literature is without any perfect memorial; but we must be content to remain in ignorance of the connexion of literature with the character of the Greek races at the outset of their national existence, if we renounced all attempt at forming a conception of the times anterior to the Homeric poems. In order, therefore, to throw some light on this obscure period, we shall first consider those creations of the human intellect which in general are prior to poetry, and which naturally precede poetical composition, as poetry in its turn is followed by regular composition in prose. These are language and religion. When these two important subjects have been examined, we shall proceed, by means of allusions in the Homeric poems themselves, and the most credible testimonies of later times, to inquire into the progress and character of the Greek poetry before the time of Homer.

#### CHAPTER I.

- § 1. LANGUAGE, the earliest product of the human mind, and the origin of all other intellectual energies, is at the same time the clearest evidence of the descent of a nation and of its affinity with other races. Hence the comparison of languages enables us to judge of the history of nations at periods to which no other kind of memorial, no tradition or record, can ascend. In modern times, this subject has been studied with more comprehensive views and more systematic methods than formerly: and from these researches it appears that a large part of the nations of the ancient world formed a family, whose languages (besides a large number of radical words, to which we need not here particularly advert) had on the whole the same grammatical structure and the same forms of derivation and inflexion. The nations between which this affinity subsisted are-the Indians, whose language, in its earliest and purest form, is preserved in the Sauscrit; the Persians, whose primitive language, the Zend, is closely allied with the Sanscrit; the Armenians and Phrugians, kindred races, of whose language the modern Armenian is a very mutilated remnant, though a few ancient features preserved in it still show its original resemblance; the Greek nation, of which the Latin people is a brauch; the Sclaronian races, who, notwithstanding their intellectual inferiority, appear from their language to be nearly allied with the Persians and other cognate nations; the Lettic tribes, among which the Lithuanian has preserved the fundamental forms of this class of languages with remarkable fidelity; the Teutonic, and, lastly, the Celtic races, whose language (so far as we can judge from the very degenerate remains of it now extant), though deviating widely in some respects from the general character perceptible in the other languages, yet unquestionably belongs to the same family. It is remarkable that this family of languages, which possess the highest perfection of grammatical structure, also includes a larger number of nations, and has spread over a wider extent of surface, than any other: the Semitic family (to which the Hebrew, Syrian, Phoenician, Arabian, and other languages belong), though in many respects it can compete with the Indo-Germanic, is inferior to it in the perfection of its structure and its capacity for literary development; in respect of its diffusion likewise it approaches the Indian class of languages, without being equal to it; while, again, the rude and meagre languages of the American aborigines are often confined to a very

narrow district, and appear to have no affinity with those of the other titles in the immediate vicinity. Hence, perhaps, it may be infeed, that the higher capacity for the formation and development of language was at this early period combined with a greater physical and mental energy—in short, with all those qualifies on which the ulterior improvement and increase of the nations by which it was spoken depended.

While the Semitic branch occupies the south-west of Asia, the Indo-Germanic languages run in a straight line from south-east to northwest, through Asia and Europe: a slight interruption, which occurs in the country between the Euphrates and Asia Minor, appears to have been occasioned by the pressure of Semitic or Syrian races from the south; for it seems probable that originally the members of this national family succeeded one another in a continuous line, although we are not now able to trace the source from which this mighty stream originally flowed. Equally uncertain is it whether these languages were spoken by the earliest inhabitants of the countries to which they belonged, or were introduced by subsequent immigrations; in which latter case the rude aborigines would have adopted the principal features of the language spoken by the more highly endowed race, retaining at the same time much of their original dialect-an hypothesis which appears highly probable as regards those languages which show a general affinity with the others, but nevertheless differ from them widely in their grammatical structure and the number of their radical forms.

§ 2. On the other hand, this comparison of languages leads to many results, with respect to the intellectual state of the Greek people, which throw an unexpected light into quarters where the eve of the historian has hitherto been able to discover nothing but darkness. We reject as utterly untenable the notion that the savages of Greece, from the inarticulate cries by which they expressed their animal wants, and from the sounds by which they sought to imitate the impressions of outward objects, gradually arrived at the harmonious and magnificent language which we admire in the poems of Homer. So far is this hypothesis from the truth, that language evidently is connected with the power of abstracting or of forming general notions, and is inconsistent with the absence of this faculty. It is plain that the most abstract parts of speech, those least likely to arise from the imitation of any outward impression, were the first which obtained a permanent form; and hence those parts of speech appear most clearly in all the languages of the Indo-Teutonic family. Among these are the verb " to be," the forms of which seem to alternate in the Sanscrit, the Lithuanian, and the Greek; the pronouns, which denote the most general relations of persons and things to the speaker; the numerals, also abstract

Some of the American languages are rather cumbersome than meagre in their grammatical forms; and some are much more widely spread than others.—Note by Editor.

terms, slogether independent of impressions from single objects; and, slasty, tlargerminetical forms, by methic that earlies expressed by verbs are referred to the speaker, and the objects expressed by nours are referred to the speaker, and the objects expressed by nours are referred to the speaker, and the objects expressed by nours are referred to the speaker, and the objects expressed by nours are referred to the control to the object of the introducin, but must be referred to the earliest period of the language; for we find traces of nearly all of them in the cognate total control to the object of the speaker of the speaker

In general it may be observed, that in the lapse of ages, from the time that the progress of language can be observed, grammatical forms, such as the signs of cases, moods, and tenses, have never been increased in number, but have been constantly diminishing. The history of the Romance, as well as of the Germanic, languages, shows in the clearest manuer how a grammar, once powerful and copious, has been gradually weakened and impoverished, until at last it preserves only a few fragments of its ancient inflections. The ancient languages, especially the Greek, fortunately still retained the chief part of their grammatical forms at the time of their literary development; thus, for example, little was lost in the progress of the Greek language from Homer to the Athenian orators. Now there is no doubt that this luxuriance of grammatical forms is not an essential part of a language, considered merely as a vehicle of thought. It is well known that the Chinese language, which is merely a collection of radical words destitute of grammatical forms, can express even philosophical ideas with tolerable precision; and the English, which, from the mode of its formation by a mixture of different tongues, has been stripped of its grammatical inflections more completely than any other European language, seems nevertheless, even to a foreigner, to be distinguished by its energetic cloquence. All this must be admitted by every unprejudiced inquirer: but yet it cannot be overlooked, that this copiousness of granimatical forms, and the fine shades of meaning which they express, evince a nicety of observation and a faculty of distinguishing, which unquestionably prove that the race of mankind among whom these languages arose was characterized by a remarkable correctness and subtlety of thought. Nor can any modern European, who forms in his mind a lively image of the classical languages in their ancient grammatical luxuriance, and compares them with his mother tongue, conceal from himself that in the ancient languages the words, with their inflections, clothed as it were with muscles and sinews, come forward like living bodies, full of expression and character; while in the modern tongues the words seem shrunk up into mere skeletons. Another advantage which belongs to the fulness of grammatical forms is, that words of

similar signification make likewise a similar impression on the ear; whence each sentence obtains a cretain symmetry and, even where the collocation of the words is involved, a clearness and regularity, which may be compared with the effect produced on the eye by the parts of a well-proportioned building; whereas, in the languages which have lost their grammatical forms, either the lively expression of the freeling is bit-dered by an unwaying and monotonus collocation of the words, or the heaver is compelled to strain his attention, in order to comprehend the mutual relation of the several parts of the sentence. Modern languages seem to attempt to win their way at once to the understanding without dwelling in the ear; while the classical languages of antiquity seek at the same time to produce a corresponding effect on the outward senses, and to assist, the mind by previously filling the ear, as it were, with an imperfect consciousness of the meaning sought to be conveyed by the words.

§ 3. These remarks apply generally to the languages of the Indo-Germanic family, so far as they have been preserved in a state of integrity by literary works and have been cultivated by poets and orators. We shall now limit our regards to the Greek language alone, and shall attempt to exhibit its more prominent and characteristic features as compared with those of its sister tongues. In the sounds which were formed by the various articulation of the voice, the Greek language hits that happy medium which characterises all the mental productions of this people, in being equally removed, on the one hand, from the superabundant fuluess, and, on the other, from the meagreness and tenuity of sound, by which other languages are variously deformed. If we compare the Greek with that language which comes next to it in fitness for a lofty and flowing style of poetry, viz., the Sanscrit, this latter certainly has some classes of consonants not to be found in the Greek. the sounds of which it is almost impossible for an European mouth to imitate and distinguish: on the other hand, the Greek is much richer in short vowels than the Sanscrit, whose most harmonious poetry would weary our ears by the monotouous repetition of the A sound; and it possesses an astonishing abundance of diphthongs, and tones produced by the contraction of vowels, which a Greek mouth could alone distinguish with the requisite nicety, and which, therefore, are necessarily confounded by the modern European pronunciation. We may likewise perceive in the Greek the influence of the laws of harmony, which, in different nations, have caused the rejection of different combinations of vowels and consonants, and which have increased the softness and beauty of languages, though sometimes at the expense of their terminations and characteristic features. By the operation of the latter cause, the Greek has, in many places, lost its resemblance to the original type, which, although not now preserved in any one of the extant languages, may be restored by conjecture from all of them; even here, however, it cannot be denied that the correct taste and feeling

of the Greeks led them to a happy mixture of the consonant and vowelsounds, by which strength has been reconciled with softness, and harmony with strongly marked peculiarities; while the language has, at the same time, in its multifarious dialects, preserved a variety of such and character, which fit if for the most discordant kinds of poetical and prose composition.

§ 4. We must not pass over one important characteristic of the Greek language, which is closely connected with the early condition of the Greek nation, and which may be considered as, in some degree, prefiguring the subsequent character of its civilisation. In order to convev an adequate idea of our meaning, we will ask any person who is acquainted with Greek, to recal to his mind the toils and fatigue which he underwent in mastering the forms of the language, and the difficulty which he found to impress them on his memory; when his mind, vainly attempting to discover a reason for such anomalies, was almost in despair at finding that so large a number of verbs derive their tenses from the most various roots; that one verb uses only the first, another only the second, aorist, and that even the individual persons of the aorist are sometimes compounded of the forms of the first and second agrists respectively; and that many verbs and substantives have retained only single or a few forms, which have been left standing by themselves, like the remains of a past age. The convulsions and catastrophes of which we see so many traces around us in the frame-work of the world have not been confined to external nature alone. The structure of languages also has evidently, in ages prior to the existence of any literature, suffered some violent shocks, which may, perhaps, have received their impulse from migrations or internal discord; and the elements of the language, having been thrown in confusion together, were afterwards re-arranged, and combined into a new whole. Above all is this true of the Greek language, which bears strong marks of having originally formed part of a great and regular plan, and of having been reconstructed on a new system from the fragments of the former edifice. The same is doubtless also the cause of the great variety of dialects which existed both among the Greeks and the neighbouring nations; -a variety, of which mention is made at so carly a date as the Homeric poems\*. As the country inhabited by the Greeks is intersected to a remarkable degree by mountains and sea, and thus was unfitted by Nature to serve as the habitation of a uniform population, collected in large states, like the plains of the Euphrates and Ganges; and as, for this reason, the Greek people was divided into a number of separate tribes, some of which attract our attention in the early fabulous age, others in the later historical period : so likewise the Greek language was divided, to an unexampled extent, into various dialects, which differed from each other according to the

\* In Iliad, ii. 804, and iv. 437, there is mention of the variety of dialects among the allies of the Trojans; and in Odyssey, xix, 175, among the Greek tribes in Crete.

several tribes and territories. In what relation the dislects of the Pelasgians, Dypos, Abantes, Ledges, Epenas, and other rones which diffused in the earliest periods of Grecian history, may have stood to one: another, is indeed a question which it would be vain to attempt to assume but thus much is evident, that the number of these tribes, and their frequent migrations, by mixing and confounding the different new contributed powerfully to produce that irregularity of structure which claracterises the Greek harmouse in its very earliest monuments.

§ 5. The primitive tribes just mentioned, which were the earliest occupants of Greece known to tradition, and of which the Pelasolans. and after them the LELEGES, were the most extended, unquestionably did much for the first cultivation of the soil, the foundation of institutions for divine worship, and the first establishment of a regular order of society. The Pelasgians, widely scattered over Greece, and having their settlements in the most fertile regions (as the vale of the Peneus in Thessalv, the lower districts of Bœotia, and the plains of Argos and Sicyon), appear, before the time when they wandered through Greece in isolated bodies, as a nation attached to their own dwellingplaces, fond of building towns, which they fortified with walls of a colossal size, and zealously worshipping the powers of heaven and earth, which made their fields fruitful and their cattle prosperous. The mythical genealogies of Argos competed as it were with those of Sicyon; and both these cities, by a long chain of patriarchal princes (most of whom are merely personifications of the country, its mountains and rivers), were able to place their origin at a period of the remotest antiquity. The Leleges also (with whom were connected the Locrians in Northern Greece and the Epeans in Peloponnesus), although they had fewer fixed settlements, and appear to have led a rougher and more warlike life-such as still prevailed in the mountainous districts of Northern Greece at the time of the historian Thucydides-yet celebrated their national heroes, especially Deucalion and his descendants, as founders of cities and temples. But there is no trace of any peculiar creation of the intellect having developed itself among these races, or of any poems in which they displayed any peculiar character; and whether it may be possible to discover any characteristic and distinct features in the legends of the gods and heroes who belong to the territories occupied by these different tribes is a question which must be deferred until we come to treat of the origin of the Grecian mythology. It is however much to be lamented that, with our sources of information, it seems impossible to form a well-grounded opinion on the dialects of these ancient tribes of Greece, by which they were doubtless precisely distinguished from one another; and any such attempt appears the more hopeless, as even of the dialects which were spoken in the several territorics of Greece within the historical period we have only a scanty knowledge, by means of a few inscriptions and the statements of grammarians, wherever they had not obtained a literary cultivation and celebrity by the labours of poets and prose writers.

Of more influence, however, on the development of the intellectual faculties of the Greeks was the distinction of the tribes and their dialects, established at a period which, from the domination of warlike and conquering races and the consequent prevalence of a bold spirit of enterprise, was called the heroic age. It is at this time, before the migration of the Dorians into Peloponnesus and the settlements in Asia Minor, that the seeds must have been sown of an opposition between the races and dialects of Greece, which exercised the most important influence on the state of civil society, and thus on the direction of the mental energies of the people, of their poetry, art, and literature, If we consider the dialects of the Greek language, with which we are acquainted by means of its literary monuments, they appear to fall into two great classes, which are distinguished from each other by characteristic marks. The one class is formed by the Eolic dialect; a name, indeed, under which the Greek grammarians included dialects very different from one another, as in later times everything was comprehended under the term Æolie, which was not Ionic, Attic, or Doric. According to this acceptation of the term about three-fourths of the Greek nation consisted of Æolians, and dialects were classed together as Æolic which (as is evident from the more ancient inscriptions) differed more from one another than from the Doric; as, for example, the Thessalian and Ætolian, the Bœotian and Elean dialects. The Æolians, however, properly so called (who occur in mythology under this appellation), lived at this early period in the plain of Thessaly, south of the Pencus, which was afterwards called Thessaliotis, and from thence as far as the Pagasetic Bay. We also find in the same mythical age a branch of the Æolian race, in southern Ætolia, in possession of Calydon; this fragment of the Æolians, however, afterwards disappears from history, while the Æolians of Thessaly, who also bore the name of Bœotians, two generations after the Trojan war, migrated into the country which was called after them Bootia, and from thence, soon afterwards, mixed with other races, to the maritime districts and islands of Asia Minor, which from that time forward received the name of Æolis in Asia Minor \*. It is in this latter Æolis that we become acquainted with the Æolian dialect, through the lyric poets of the Lesbian school, the origin and character of which will be explained in a subsequent chapter. On the

• We here only recken those Kolians who were in fact considered as belonging, to the Kolian sext, and so at all that their these which were much by theses, when their their these which were much by theses, when their is an assuming a doos finding between those races, which is also confirmed by other testimostics. In the sense the Minyans of Orchonerous and Iolean, railed by the Ecolids Athanas and Certheen, were of Zeolian origin, a nation which, by the distinct of the Confirment of the Confirment of Technology of Technology, and its colosas lumidings, holds a pre-eminent rank among the tribes of the mythical ago of Creece. (See Heard), Fragen. 23, ed. Gaisford.

whole it may be said of this dialect, as of the Bœotian in its earlier form, that it bears an archaic character, and approaches nearest to the source of the Greek language; hence the Latin, as being connected with the most ancient form of the Greek, has a close affinity with it, and in general the agreement with the other languages of the Indo-Germanic family is always most perceptible in the Æolic. A mere variety of the Æohe was the dialect of the Doric race, which originally was confined to a narrow district in Northern Greece, but was afterwards spread over the Peloponnesus and other regions by that important movement of population which was called the Return of the Heracleids. It is characterized by strength and breadth, as shown in its fondness for simple open vowel sounds, and its aversion for sibilants. Much more different from the original type is the other leading dialect of the Greek language, the Ionic, which took its origin in the mother-country, and was by the Ionic colonies, which sailed from Athens, carried over to Asia Minor, where it underwent still further changes. Its characteristics are softness and liquidness of sound, arising chiefly from the concurrence of vowels, among which, not the broad a and o, but the thinner sounds of e and u, were most prevalent; among the consonants the tendency to the use of s is most discernible. It may be observed, that wherever the Ionic dialect differs either in vowels or consonants from the Æolic, it also differs from the original type, as may be discovered by a comparison of the cognate languages; it must therefore be considered as a peculiar form of the Greek, which was developed within the limits of the Grecian territory. It is probable that this dialect was spoken not only by the Ioniaus, but also, at least one very similar, by the ancient Acheans; since the Acheans in the genealogical legends concerning the descendants of Hellen are represented as the brothers of the Ionians: this hypothesis would also explain how the ancient epic poems, in which the Ionians are scarcely mentioned, but the Achean race plays the principal part, were written in a dialect which, though differing in many respects from the genuine Ionic, has yet the closest resemblance to it.

Even from these first outlines of the history of the Greek dislates we might be led to expect that those features would be developed in the institutions and literature of the several races which we find in their sexual history. In the £80ic and Doric tribles we should be prepared to find the order of society regulated by those ancient customs and principles which had been carryl established among the Greeks; their dialects at least show a strong disposition to retain the archaic forms, without much tendency to refunement. Among the Dorians, however, every thing is more strongly expressed, and comes forward in a more prominent light than among the Æblinss; and as their dislect everywhere prefers the broad, strong, and rough tones, and introduces them throughout with unbending regularity, so we might naturally lock among

them for a disposition to curry a spirit of austerity and of reverence for ancient custom through the entire frame of civil and private society. The *Ionians*, on the other hand, show even in their dialect a strong tendency to modify ancient forms according to their taste and humour, together with a constant endeavour to polish and refine, which was doubtless the cause why this dialect, although of later date and of secondary origin, was first emboyed it infished potacial compositions.

#### CHAPTER II\*.

- § 1. The earliest form of the Greek religion not portrayed in the Homeric poens.— § 2. The Olympic deities, an described by Homer.—§ 3. Kartier from of worship in Girece directed to the outward objects of Nature.—§ 4. Character and attributes of the neveral Greek deities, as personifications of the powers and objects of Nature.—§ 5. Subsequent modification of these ideas, as displayed in the Homeric description of the same deities.
- § 1. Next to the formation of language, religion is the earliest object of attention to mankind, and therefore exercises a most important influence on all the productions of the human intellect. Although poetry has arrisen at a very carly date among many nations, and ages which were as yet quite unskilled in the other fine arts have been distinguished for their poetical enthusiasm, yet the development of religious notions and usages is always prior, in point of time, to poetry. No nation has ever been found entirely destitute of notions of a superior race of beings exercising an influence on mankind; but tribs have existed without sougs, or compositions of any kind which could be considered as poetry. Providence has evidently first given mankind that knowledge of which they are most in need; and has, from the beginning, scattered among the nations of the cultire world a glimmering of that light which was, at a later period, to be manifested in brighter effulgence.

This consideration must make it evident that, although the Homeric pecms belong to the first age of the Greek poetry, they nevertheless cannot be viewed as monuments of the first period of the development of the Greek religion. Infleed, it is plain that the notions concerning the gods must have undergone many changes before (partly, indeed, by means of the poets themselves) they assumed that form under which

• We have thought it absolutely essential, for the sake of securery, in toming of the delites of the active Greek religion, to use the names by which they were known to the Greeks. As these, however, may cound strange to persons not learned with the Greeks. As these, however, may cound strange to persons not learned with the Greek language, we subject a list of the good of the Romans with which they were in later times exercilly identified, and by whose names they are commonly known—Zees, Jupiter Hers, June; Hirten, Miserva; Hers, Many; Artems, Diana; Hermes, Mercury; Denster, Ceres; Corne, Procepting: Hephantias, Valcan; Parsiden, Neylune; Jahroide, Venue; Diomyan, Bacchan.

they appear in the Homeric poems. The description given by Homer of the life of the gods in the palace of Zeus on Olympas is deabltless as different from the feeling and the conception with which the ancient Pelasgian lifted up his hands and voice to the Zeus of Dodona, whose dwelling was in the oak of the forest, as the palace of a Prisan or Agmemono from the hut which one of the original settlers constructed of uneven translate in a solitary pasture, in the midst of his flocks and herds.

- § 2. The conceptions of the gods, as manifested in the Homeric poems, are perfectly suited to a time when the most distinguished and prominent part of the people devoted their lives to the occupation of arms and to the transaction of public business in common; which time was the period in which the heroic spirit was developed. On Olympus, lying near the northern boundary of Greece, the highest mountain of this country, whose summit seems to touch the heavens, there rules an assembly or family of gods; the chief of which, Zeus, summons at his pleasure the other gods to council, as Agamemnou summons the other princes. He is acquainted with the decrees of fate, and is able to guide them: and, as being himself king among the gods, he gives the kings of the earth their power and dignity. By his side is a wife, whose station entitles her to a large share of his rank and dominion; and a daughter of a masculine complexion, a leader of battles, and a protectress of citadels, who by her wise counsels deserves the confidence which her father bestows on her; besides these a number of gods, with various degrees of kindred, who have each their proper place and allotted duty in the divine palace. On the whole, however, the attention of this divine council is ehiefly turned to the fortunes of nations and cities, and especially to the adventures and enterprises of the herocs, who, being themselves for the most part sprung from the blood of the gods, form the connecting link between them and the ordinary herd of mankind.
- § 3. Doubtless such a notion of the gods as we have just described was entirely satisfactory to the princes of Ithaca, or any other Greek territory, who assembled in the hall of the chief king at the common meal, and to whom some bard sung the newest song of the bold adventures of heroes. But how could this religion satisfy the mere countryman, who wished to believe that in seed-time and in harvest, in winter and in summer, the divine protection was thrown over him; who anxiously sought to offer his thanks to the gods for all kinds of rural prosperity, for the warding off of all danger from the seed and from the cattle? As the heroic age of the Greek nation was preceded by another, in which the cultivation of the land, and the nature of the different districts, occupied the chief attention of the inhabitants (which may be called the Pelasgian period), so likewise there are sufficient traces and remnants of a state of the Grecian religion, in which the gods were considered as exhibiting their power chiefly in the operations of outward nature, in the changes of the seasons, and the phenomena of the year.

Imagination-whose operations are most active, and whose expressions are most simple and natural in the childhood both of nations and individuals-led these early inhabitants to discover, not only in the general phenomena of vegetation, the unfolding and death of the leaf and flower, and in the moist and dry seasons of the year, but also in the peculiar physical character of certain districts, a sign of the alternately hostile or peaceful, happy or ill-omened coincidence of certain deities. There are still preserved in the Greek mythology many legends of a charming, and at the same time touching simplicity, which had their origin at this period, when the Greek religion bore the character of a worship of the powers of Nature. It sometimes also occurs that those parts of mythology which refer to the origin of civil society, to the alliances of princes, and to military expeditions, are closely interwoven with mythical narratives, which when minutely examined are found to contain nothing definite on the acts of particular heroes, but only describe physical phenomena, and other circumstances of a general character, and which have been combined with the heroic fables only through a forgetfulness of their original form; a confusion which naturally arose, when in later times the original connexion of the gods with the agencies of Nature was more and more forgotten, and those of their attributes and acts which had reference to the conduct of human life, the government of states, or moral principles, were perpetually brought into more prominent notice. It often happens that the original meaning of narratives of this kind may be deciphered when it had been completely hidden from the most learned mythologists of antiquity. But though this process of investigation is often laborious, and may, after all, lead only to uncertain results, yet it is to be remembered that the mutilation and obscuring of the ancient mythological legends by the poets of later times affords the strongest proof of their high antiquity; as the most ancient buildings are most discoloured and impaired by time.

§ 4. An inquiry, of which the object should be to select and unite all the parts of the Greek mythology which have reference to natural phenomena and the changes of the seasons, although it has never been regularly undertaken, would doubless show that the earliest religion of the Greeks was founded on the same notions as the chief part of the religions of the East, particularly of that part of the East which was nearest to Greece, Asia Minor. The Greek mind, however, even in this the earliest of its productions, appears richer and more various in its forms, and at the same time to take a folfier and a wider range, than is the case in the religion of the oriental neighbours of the Greeks, the Phrygians, Lydans, and Syrians. In the religion of these nations, the combination and contrast of two beings (Baal and Astarte), the one make, representing the productive, and the other female, representing the productive, and the other female, representing the passive and nutritive powers of Nature, and the alternation of two states, viz., the strength and vigour, and the weakness and death of

the male personification of Nature, of which the first was celebrated with vehement joy, the latter with excessive lamentation, recur in a perpetual cycle, which must in the end have wearied and stupified the mind. The Grecian worship of Nature, on the other hand, in all the various forms which it assumed in different places, places one deity, as the highest of all, at the head of the entire system, the God of heaven and light : for that this is the meaning of the name Zeus is shown by the occurrence of the same root (Din) with the same signification, even in the Sanscrit\*, and by the preservation of several of its derivatives which remained in common use both in Greek and Latin, all containing the notion of heaven and day. With this god of the heavens, who dwells in the pure expanse of ether, is associated, though not as a being of the same rank, the goddess of the Earth, who in different temples (which may be considered as the mother-churches of the Grecian religion) was worshipped under different names, Hera, Demeter, Dione, and some others of less celebrity. The marriage of Zeus with this goddess (which signified the union of heaven and earth in the fertilizing rains) was a sacred solemnity in the worship of these deities. Besides this goddess, other beings are associated on one side with the Supreme God, who are personifications of certain of his energies; powerful deities who carry the influence of light over the earth, and destroy the opposing powers of darkness and confusion: as Athena, born from the head of her father, in the height of the heavens; and Apollo, the pure and shining god of a worship belonging to other races, but who even in his original form was a god of light. On the other side are deities, allied with the earth and dwelling in her dark recesses; and as all life appears not only to spring from the earth, but to return to that whence it sprung, these deities are for the most part also connected with death: as Hermes, who brings up the treasures of fruitfulness from the depth of the earth, and the child, now lost and now recovered by her mother Demeter, Cora, the goddess both of flourishing and of decaying Nature. It was natural to expect that the element of water (Poseidon) should also be introduced into this assemblage of the personified powers of Nature, and should be peculiarly combined with the goddess of the Earth: and that fire (Hephæstus) should be represented as a powerful principle derived from heaven and having dominion on the earth, and be closely allied with the goddess who sprang from the head of the god of the heavens. Other deities are less important and necessary parts of this system, as Aphrodite, whose worship was evidently for the most part propagated over Greece from Cyprus and Cythera t by the influence of

<sup>•</sup> The root D1U is most clearly seen in the oblique cases of Zeus, Δ-Fi<sub>2</sub> Δ-Fi<sub>3</sub> in which the U has passed into the comonant form F: whereas in Zi<sub>2</sub>, as in other Greek words, the sound D1 has passed into Z, and the vowed has been lengthened. In the Latin Levis (Levi in Umbrian) the D has been lost before I, which, however, is preserved in many other derivatives of the same root, as dire, dism.

<sup>†</sup> See Herod. i. 105; and Hist. of Rome, pp. 121, 122.

Syrophenician tribes. As a singular being, however, in the assembly, and renovated Nature, Diougnus, whose alternate joys and sufferings, and marvelous a devatures, show a stong resemblance to the form which religious notions assumed in Asia Minor. Introduced by the Thracians (a tribe which apread from the north of Greece into the interior of the country), and not, like the gods of Olympus, recognized by all the races of the Greeks, Dionyaus always renained to a certain degree estranged from the erest of the gods, although his attributes had evidently most affinity with those of Demeter and Corn. But in this isolated position, Dionyaus excresions an important influence on the spirit of the Greek and only all the rest of the gods, a bloody his activation of the discountry of the description of the discountry of the description of the description of the discountry of the description of the discountry of the description of the discountry of the description of the description of the discountry of the description of the descript

§ 5. In like manner the Homeric poems (which instruct us not merely by their direct statements, but also by their indirect allusions, not only by what they say, but also by what they do not say), when attentively considered, clearly show how this ancient religion of nature sank into the shade as compared with the salient and conspicuous forms of the deities of the heroic age. The gods who dwell on Olympus scarcely appear at all in connexion with natural phenomena. exercises his powers as a ruler and a king; although he is still designated (by epithets doubtless of high antiquity) as the god of the ether and the storms\*; as in much later times the old picturesque expression was used, "What is Zeus doing?" for "What kind of weather is it?" In the Homeric conception of Hera, Athena, and Apollo, there is no trace of any reference of these deities to the fertility of the earth, the clearness of the atmosphere, the arrival of the serene spring, and the like; which, however, can be discovered in other mythical legends concerning them, and still more in the ceremonies practised at their festivals, which generally contain the most ancient ideas. Hephæstus has passed from the powerful god of fire in heaven and in earth into a laborious smith and worker of metals, who performs his duty by making armour and arms for the other gods and their favourite heroes. As to Hermes, there are some stories in which he is represented as giving fruitfulness to cattle. in his capacity of the rural god of Arcadia; from which, by means of various metamorphoses, he is transmuted into the messenger of Zeus, and the servant of the gods.

Those deities, however, which stood at a greater distance from the relations of human life, and especially from the military and political actions of the princes, and could not easily be brought into connexion with them, are for that reason rarely mentioned by Homer, and never take any part in the events described by him; in general they keep alsof

<sup>·</sup> aifier raine northwesterns.

from the circle of the Olympic gods. Demeter is never mentioned as assisting any hero, or rescuing him from danger, or stimulating him to the battle; but if any one were thence to infer that this goddess was not known as early as Homer's time, he would be refuted by the incidental allusions to her which frequently occur in connexion with agriculture and corn. Doubtless Demeter (whose name denotes the earth as the mother and author of life\*) was in the ancient Pelasgic time honoured with a general and public worship beyond any other deity; but the notions and feelings excited by the worship of this goddess and her daughter (whom she beheld, with deep lamentation, torn from her every autumn, and recovered with excessive joy every spring) constantly became more and more unlike those which were connected with the other gods of Olympus. Hence her worship gradually obtained a peculiar form, and chiefly from this cause assumed the character of mysteries: that is, religious solemnities, in which no one could participate without having undergone a previous ceremony of admission and initiation. In this manner Homer was, by a just and correct taste, led to perceive that Demeter, together with the other divine beings belonging to her, had nothing in common with the gods whom the epic muse assembled about the throne of Zeus; and it was the same feeling which also prevented him from mixing up Dionysus, the other leading deity of the mystic worship of the Greeks, with the subject of his poem, although this god is mentioned by him as a divine being, of a marvellous nature, stimulating the mind to joy and enthusiasm.

#### CHAPTER III.

- 5. First efforts of Greek poetry. Pinistive soage of bushandens—3. 2. Description of several of these soage, vis. the Lianus—4. The Lianus, the Seephras, the Lityeres, the Borns, the Maneros, and the Isments for Orpheus and Adonis, the Janus of the Control o
- § 1. Maxv centuries must have elapsed before the poetical language of the Greeks could have attained the splendour, the copionsness, and the fluency which so strongly excite our admiration in the poems of Homer. The service of the gods, to which all the highest energies of the mind were first directed, and from which the first beginnings of sculpture,

<sup>\*</sup> An pirm, that is, yn pirm.

architecture, music, and poetry proceeded, must for a long time has consisted chiefly in mute motions of the body, in symbolical gesture, in prayers muttered in a low tone, and, lastly, in load broken ejaculations (δαλουγρίες), such as were in later times uttered at the death of the victim, in token of an inward feeling; before the winged word issued clearly from the mouth, and raised the feelings of the multitude to religious entulasism— in short, before the first hymm was heard.

The first outpourings of poetical enthusiasm were doubtless songs describing, in few and simple verses, events which powerfully affected the feelings of the hearers. From what has been said in the last chapter it is probable that the earliest date may be assigned to the songs which referred to the seasons and their phenomena, and expressed with simplicity the notions and feelings to which these events gave birth: as they were sung by peasants at the corn and wine harvest, they had their origin in times of ancient rural simplicity. It is remarkable that songs of this kind often had a plaintive and melancholy character; which circumstance is however explained when we remember that the ancient worship of outward nature (which was preserved in the rites of Demeter and Cora, and also of Dionysus) contained festivals of wailing and lamentation as well as of rejoicing and mirth. It is not, however, to be supposed that this was the only cause of the mournful ditties in question, for the human heart has a natural disposition to break out from time to time into lamentation, and to seek an occasion for grief even where it does not present itself-as Lucretius says, that "in the pathless woods, among the lonely dwellings of the shepherds, the sweet laments were sounded on the pipe \*."

§ 2. To the number of these plaintire ditties belongs the song Linux, mentioned by Homer+t, the mehaneby character of which is shown by its fuller names, Alazoe and OirAuve (literally, "Alas, Linus!" and "Death of Linus"). It was frequently sung in Greece, according to Homer, at the grape-picking. According to a fragment of Hesiod!, all singers and players on the cithara lament at feests and dances Linux, the belowed son of Urania, and call on Linus at the beginning and the end; which probably means that the song of lamentation began and ended with the exclamation Al Airz. Linux was originally the subject of the song, the person whose fate was bewailed in it; and there were many districts in Greece (for example, Thebes, Chalcis, and Argoo) in which tombs of Linux were shown. This Linux evidently belongs to a class of delicts or demigods, of which many instances occur in the

C

Inde minutatim dulceis didicere querelas,
 Tibia quas fundit digitis pulsata canentum,
 Avia per memora ac sybras saltusque experta,
 Per loca pastorum deserta atque otia dia.—Lucretim, v. 1383—1386.

Cited in Eustathius, p. 1163 (fragm, 1, ed. Gaisford),

religions of Greece and Asia Minor; boys of extraordinary beauty, and in the flower of youth, who are supposed to have been drowned, or deyoured by raging dogs, or destroyed by wild beasts, and whose death is lamented in the harvest or other periods of the hot season. It is obvious that these cannot have been real persons, whose death excited so general a sympathy, although the fables which were offered in explanation of these customs often speak of youths of royal blood, who were carried off in the prime of their life. The real object of lamentation was the tender beauty of spring destroyed by the summer heat, and other phenomena of the same kind, which the imagination of these early times invested with a personal form, and represented as gods or beings of a divine nature. According to the very remarkable and explicit tradition of the Argives, Linus was a youth, who, having sprung from a divine origin, grew up with the shepherds among the lambs, and was torn in pieces by wild dogs; whence arose the "festival of the lambs," at which many dogs were slain. Doubtless this festival was celebrated during the greatest heat, at the time of the constellation Sirius; the emblem of which, among the Greeks, was, from the earliest times, a raging dog. It was a natural confusion of the tradition that Linus should afterwards become a minstrel, one of the earliest bards of Greece, who begins a contest with Apollo himself, and overcomes Hercules in playing on the citlara; even, however, in this character Linus meets his death, and we must probably assume that his fate was mentioned in the ancient song. In Homer the Linus is represented as sung by a boy, who plays at the same time on the harp, an accompaniment usually mentioned with this song; the young men and women who bear the grapes from the vineyard follow him, moving onward with a measured step, and uttering a shrill cry\*, in which probably the chief stress was laid on the exclamation αι λίνε. That this shrill cry (called by Homer ἐνγμὸς) was not necessarily a loyful strain will be admitted by any one who has heard the luquic of the Swiss peasants, with its sad and plaintive notes, resounding from hill to hill.

§ 3. Plaintive songs of this kind, in which not the misfortunes of a single individual, but an universal and perpetually recurring cause of grief was expressed, abounded in ancient Greece, and especially in Asia Minor, the inhabitants of which country had a peculiar fondness for mournful tunes. The International Properties of the P

σύνο δ' is μίσεων σάις φέρμγγο λιγείς, ήμετει παθηλές, Λίου δ' στο καλύ θικόν λέσταλίας φώρς το δί βάσταντε άμμετες μαλος σ' διγμώς τι, στοί καιάρους ένουτα—Hind, xviii, 569—572; μαλος ii this passage, κεν θείρως, δ θ.

pakerj σ' hyphy τι. σει σκαίμετη έταστα—1000, xviii. 309—372; on the meaning of μελοτέ in this passage, see below, § 6. + viii. 53. 2.

to have been sung at the time of the summer heat. In Phrygia, a melancholy song, called Lituerses, was song at the cutting of the corn, At the same season of the year, the Mariandynians, on the shores of the Black Sea, played the mournful ditty Bormus on the native flute. The subject of their lamentation may be easily conjectured from the story that Bormus was a beautiful boy, who, having gone to fetch water for the reapers in the heat of the day, was, while drawing it, borne down by the nymphs of the stream. Of similar meaning are the cries for the youth Hulas, swallowed up by the waters of the fountain, which, in the neighbouring country of the Bithynians, re-echoed from mountain to mountain. In the southern parts of Asia Minor we find, in connexion with the Syrian worship, a similar lament for Adonis\*, whose untimely death was celebrated by Sappho, together with Linus; and the Maneros, a song current in Egypt, especially at Pelusium, in which likewise a youth, the only son of a king, who died in early youth, was bewailed; a resemblance sufficiently strong to induce Herodotus+, who is always ready to find a connexion between Greece and Egypt, to consider the Maneros and the Linus as the same song 1.

§ 4. A very different class of feelings is expressed in another kind of songs, which originally were dedicated only to Apollo, and were closely connected with the ideas relating to the attributes and actions of this god, viz. the pæans (παιήονες in Homer). The pæans were songs, of which the tune and words expressed courage and confidence. "All sounds of lamentation" (ailtera), says Callimachus, " cease when the Ie Pæan, Ie Pæan, is heard \$." As with the Linus the interjection ai, so with the Pean the cry of in was connected; exclamations, unmeaning in themselves, but made expressive by the tone with which they were uttered, and which, as has been already mentioned, dated back from the earliest periods of the Greek worship; they were different for different deities, and formed as it were the first rudiments of the hymns which began and ended with them. Pæans were sung, not only when there was a hope of being able, by the help of the gods, to overcome a great and immineut danger, but when the danger was happily past; they were songs of hope and confidence as well as of

\* Beautifully described in the well-known verses of Milton:—

"Thammus case next behind,

Whose annual wound in Lelumon allured

The Syrian damsets to lament his fato
In amoust dritter, all a summer's day,

White smooth Adonis from his native neck
Ran purple to the sex, supposed with blood

Of Thammus rearry wounded."—Paradise Lost, i, 446.

<sup>† 11. 79.</sup> † On the subject of these plaintive songs generally see Moller's Dorians, book ii. ch. 8, § 12 (vol. i. p. 366, Kaglish translatiou), and Thirlwall in the Philological Museum, vol. i, p. 119.

<sup>§</sup> sidi 6/rs; "Axidea novjerna alkon pérne,
is mase, is Masse 1, 2.— Hymn. Apoll. 20.
c 2

thanksgiving for victory and safety. The custom, at the termination of the winter, when the year again assumes a mild and serene aspect, and every heart is filled with hope and confidence, of singing vernal pacans (riaprol mataric), recommended by the Delphic oracle to the cities of Lower Italy, is probably of very high antiquity. Among the Pythagoreans likewise the solemn purification (κάθαρσις), which they performed in spring, consisted in singing pæans and other hymns sacred to Apollo. In Homer\*, the Achwans, who have restored Chryseis to the priest her father, are represented as singing, at the end of the sacrificial feast, over their cups, a pass in honour of the far-darting god, whose wrath they thus endeavour completely to appease. And in the same poet, Achilles, after the slaughter of Hector, calls on his companions to return to the ships, singing a pæan, the spirit and tone of which he expresses in the following words: "We have gained great glory; we have slain the divine Hector, to whom the Trojans in the city praved as to a god †." From these passages it is evident that the pæan was sung by several persons, one of whom probably led the others (εξάρχων), and that the singers of the pæan either sat together at table (which was still customary at Athens in Plato's time), or moved onwards in a body. Of the latter mode of singing a pæan the hymn to the Pythian Apollo furnishes an example, where the Cretans, who have been called by the god as priests of his sauctuary at Pytho, and have happily performed a miraculous voyage from their own island after the sacrificial feast which they celebrate on the shores of Crissa, afterwards ascend to Pytho, in the narrow valley of Parnassus. "Apollo leads them, holding his harp (φόρμιγέ) in his hand, playing beautifully, with a noble and lofty step. The Cretaus follow him in a measured pace, and sing, after the Cretan fashion, an Icparan, which sweet song the muse had placed in their breasts !." From this paran, which was sung by a moving body of persons, arose the use of the pæan (παιωνίζειν) in war, before the attack on the enemy, which seems to have prevailed chiefly among the Doric nations, and does not occur in Homer.

If it was our purpose to seck merely probable conclusions, or if the nature of the present work admitted a detailed investigation, in which we might collect and combine a variety of minute particles or evidence, we could perhaps show that many of the later descriptions of lymns belonging to the separate worships of Artenis, Demeter, Dionysus, and other gods, originated in the earliest speriod of Refere, literature. As, however, it seems addistable in this work to avoid merely conjectural inquiries, we will proceed to follow up the traces which occur in the Hometic poems, and to postpone the other matters until we come to the history of lyfe poetry.

§ 5. Not only the common and public worship of the Gods, but also

<sup>\*</sup> Hiad, i. 473. † Hiad, xxii. 391. † Hom. Hymn. Apoll. 514,

those events of private life which strongly excited the feelings, called forth the gift of poetry. The lumentation for the dead, which was chiefly sung by women with vehrement expressions of grief, had, at the time described by Homera, atready been so far systematised, that singers by profession stood near the bed where the body was hid out, and began the learnest; and while they song it, the women excompanied them with cries and groans\*. These singers of the thereon were at the burial of Achiller represented by the Muses themselves, who sang the lament, while the sisters of Thetis, the Nereids, uttered the same cries of grief-f.

Opposed to the threnos is the Hymenæos, the joyful and merry bridal song, of which there are descriptions by Homer! in the account of the designs on the shield of Achilles, and by Hesiod in that of the shield of Hercules §. Homer speaks of a city, represented as the seat of bridal rejoicing, in which the bride is led from the virgin's apartment through the streets by the light of torches. A loud hymenwos arises: young men dance around; while flutes and harps (660myyec) resound. The passage of Hesiod gives a more finished and indeed a well-grouped picture, if the parts of it are properly distinguished, which does not appear to have been hitherto done with sufficient exactness. According to this passage, the scene is laid in a fortified city, in which men can abandon themselves without fear to pleasure and rejoicing: "Some bear the bride to the husband on the well-formed chariot; while a loud hymenwos arises. Burning torches, carried by boys, cast from afar their light: the damsels (viz., those who raise the hymenæos) move forwards beaming with beauty. Both (i. e. both the youths who draw the car and the damsels) are followed by joyful choruses. The one chorus, consisting of youths (who have drawn the car), sings to the clear sound of the pipe (σύριγξ) with tender mouths, and causes the echoes to resound: the other, composed of damsels (forming the hymenæos, properly so called), dance to the notes of the harp (φόρμιγξ)." In this passage of Hesiod we have also the first description of a comos, by which word the Greeks designate the last part of a feast or any other banquet which is enlivened and prolonged with music, singing, and other amusements, until the order of the table is completely deranged, and the half-intoxicated guests go in irregular bodies through the town, often to the doors of beloved damsels: "On another side again comes, accompanied by flutes, a joyous band (κωμος) of youths, some amusing themselves with the song and the dance, others with laughter. Each of these youths moves onwards, attended by a player on the flute (precisely as may be seen so often represented on vases of a much later age, belonging to southern Italy).

<sup>\*</sup> ànhè léan Tagan.—Iliad, xxir. 720—722. † Odyssey, xxir. 59—61. \$ Scut. 274—280.

The whole city is filled with joy, and dancing, and festivity \*." The circumstances connected with the comos afforded (as we shall hereafter point out) many opportunities for the productions of the lyric muse, both of a lofty and serious und of a comic and crotic description. \$ 6. Although in the above description, and in other passages of

the ancient epic poets, choruses are frequently mentioned, yet we are not to suppose that the choruses of this early period were like those which sang the odes of Pindar and the choral songs of the tragedians, and accompanied them with dancing and appropriate action. Originally the chorus had chiefly to do with dancing; the most ancient sense of the word chorus is a place for dancing: hence in the Iliad and Odyssev expressions occur, such as levelling the chorus (λειαίνειν χορόν), that is, making the place ready for dancing; going to the chorus (youo're έργεσθαι), &c.: hence the choruses and dwellings of the gods are mentioned together; and cities which had spacious squares are said to have wide choruses (εὐρύγοροι). To these choruses young persons of both sexes, the daughters as well as the sons of the princes and nobles, are represented in Homer as going: at these the Trojan and Phæacian princes are described as being present in newly-washed garments and in well-made armour. There were also, at least in Crete, choruses in which young men and women danced together in rows, holding one another by the hands t: a custom which was in later times unknown among the Ionians and Athenians, but which was retained among the Dorians of Crete and Sparta, as well as in Arcadia. The arrangement of a chorus of this description is as follows: a citharist sits in the midst of the dancers, who surround him in a circle, and plays on the phorminx, a kind of cithara: in the place of which (according to the Homeric hymn to Hermes) another stringed instrument, the lyre, which differed in some respects, was sometimes used; whereas the flute, a foreign, originally Phrygian, instrument, never in these early times was used at the chorus, but only at the comos, with whose boisterous and unrestrained character its tones were more in harmouy. This citharist also accompanies the sound of his instrument with songs, which appear to have scarcely differed from such as were sung by individual minstrels, without the presence of a chorus; as, for example, Demodocus, in the palace of the Phæacian king, sings the loves of Ares and Aphrodite during the dauces of the vouths !. Hence he is said to begin the song and the dance §. The other persons, who form the chorus, take no part in this song; except so far as they allow their movements to be guided by it: an accompaniment of the voice by the dancers, such as has been already remarked with respect to the singers of the pman, does not occur among the chorus-dancers of these early times; and Ulysses, in looking at the Phæacian youths who form the chorus to the song of Demodocus,

Scut. 284—285. † Iliad, xviii. 593. † Odyssey, viii. 266.
 ξ μολοτός Εξάχχων.—Iliad, xviii, 606.

admires not the sweetness of their voices, or the excellence of their singing, but the rapid motions of their feet. At the same time, the reader must guard against a misapprehension of the terms  $\mu \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$  and  $\mu \Delta \lambda \hat{\eta}$  and the charms of Artemis 1, and to Artemis herself  $|| \hat{\eta}|$ , nevertheless are not always counceted with singing, but express any measured and graceful movement of the body, as for instance even a game at hall §. When, however, the Muses are described as singing in a chousilg, they are to be considered only as standing in a circle, with Apollo in the centre as citharist, but not as also dancing: in the prevention to the Theogony of Hesicol, they are described as first, dancing in chorus on the top of Helicon, and afterwards as moving 'through the dark, and singing the race of the immortal gods.

In the dances of the choruses there appears, from the descriptions of the earliest poets, to have been much variety and art, as in the choral dance which Vulcan represented on the shield of Achilles ¶ :-" At one time the youths and maidens dance around nimbly, with measured steps, as when a potter tries his wheel whether it will run; at another, they dance in rows opposite to one another (a dance in a ring alternately with one in rows). Within this chorus sits a singer with the phormins, and two tumblers (κυβιστητήρε, the name being derived from the violent motions of the body practised by them) turn about in the middle, in accordance with the song." In a chorus celebrated by the gods, as described in one of the Homeric hymns \*\*, this latter part is performed by Ares and Hermes, who gesticulate (παίζουσι) in the middle of a chorus formed by ten goddesses as dancers, while Apollo plays on the cithara, and the Muses stand around and sing. It cannot be doubted that these κυβιστητήρες, or tumblers (who occurred chiefly in Crete, where a lively, and even wild and enthusiastic style of dancing had prevailed from early times), in some measure regulated their gestures and motions according to the subject of the song to which they danced, and that a choral dance of this kind was, in fact, a variety of hyporcheme (ὑπόργημα), as a species of choral dances and sones was called, in which the action described by the song was at the same time represented with mimic gestures by certain individuals who came forward

† Hind, xvi. 182.

† Hind, xvi. 182.

† Weich level efter defter layer or and airle,

fraging and of all receiver defter layers or and airle,

fraging and of all receiver defter layers or and airle,

fraging and of all receiver developers and airle,

fraging is assessed assession of green pairwise.—Object, vi. 101.

Compare Hind, xvii. 200. And its flaggreen flatters are afterers.

|| Hesiod. Scut. 201—205.

¶ Hind, xviii. 591—606. Compare Odyssey, iv. 17—19. It is doubtful whether the latter part of the description in the Iliad has not been improperly introduced into the text from the passage in the Odyssey.—Edder.

→ Hyun. Hom. ad Abalb. Pyth. 10—26.

from the chorus. This description of choral dances always, in later times, occurs in connexion with the worship of Apollo, which prevailed to a great extent in Crete; in Delos likewise, the birth-place of Apollo, there were several dances of this description, one of which represented the wanderings of Latona before the birth of that god. This circumstance appears to be referred to in a passage of the ancient Homeric hymn to the Delian Apollo\*, where the Delian damsels in the service of Apollo are described as first celebrating the gods and heroes, and afterwards singing a peculiar kind of hymn, which pleases the assembled multitude, and which consists in the imitation of the voices and languages of various nations, and in the production of certain sounds by some instruments like the Spanish castanets (κρεμβαλιαστύς), according to the manner of the different nations, so that every one might imagine that he heard his own voice-for what is more natural than to suppose that this was a mimic and orchestic representation of the wandering Latona, and all the islands and countries, in which she attempted in vain to find a refuge, until she at length reached the hospitable Delos?

§ 7. Having now in this manner derived from the earliest records a distinct notion of the kinds of postry, and its various accompanisments, which existed in Greece before the Homeric time, with the exception of pelie potry; it will be easier from the notifised mass of statements respecting the early composers of hymns which are contained in later writers, that which is most consonant to the character of remoi antiquity. The best accounts of these carly bards were those which had been preserved at the temples, at the places where hymns were sung under their names: hence it appears that most of these names are in constant connection with the worship of preculiar delities; and it will thus be easy to distribute them into certain classes, formed by the resemblance of their character and their reference to the same worship.

i. Singers, who belong to the worship of Apollo in Delphi, Delos, and Crete. Among these is Oles, according to the legend, a Lycian or Hyperborean, that is to say, sprang from a country where Apollo loved to dwell. Many ancient hymns, attributed to him, were preserved at Delos, which are mentioned by Herolotus †, and which contained remarkable mythological traditions and significant appellatives of the gods; also nomes, that is, simple and antique songs, combined with extrain fixed tunes, and fitted to be sung for the circular dance of a chorus. The Delphian poetess Beec called him the first pophet of Phebus, and the first who, in early times, founded the style of singing in epic metre (trian douicl). Another of these bards is Philammon, whose name was celebrated at Parassass, in the territory of Delphi. To him was referred the formation of Delphian choruses of virgins, which same the birth of Latona and of her children. It is plain, from the

\* v. 161-164. + iv. 35. † Pausan. x. 5, 8.

has been already observed, that so far as these songs really originated in the ancient mythical period, they were intended to be sung, not by a dancing chorus, but by an individual to the choral dance. Lastly, Chrysothemis, a Crctan, who is said to have sung the first chorus to the Pythian Apollo, clothed in the solemn dress of ceremony, which the citharodi in later times wore at the Pythian games.

ii. Singers in connexion with the cognate worships of Demeter and Dionysus. Among these were the Eumolpids in Eleusis of Attica-a race which, from early times, took part in the worship of Demeter, and in the historical age exercised the chief sacerdotal function connected with it, the office of Hierophant. These Eumolpids evidently derived their name of "beautiful singers" from their character (from εν μέλπισθαι), and their original employment was the singing of sacred hymns; it will be afterwards shown that this function agrees well with the fact, that their progenitor, the original Eumolpus, is called a Thracian. Also another Attic house, the Lycomids (which likewise had in later times a part in the Eleusinian worship of Demeter), were in the habit of singing hymns, and, moreover, hymns ascribed to Orpheus, Musæus, and Pamphus. Of the songs which were attributed to Pamphus we may form a general idea, by remembering that he is said to have first sung the strain of lamentation at the tomb of Linus. The name of Musæus (which in fact only signified a singer inspired by the Muses) is in Attica generally connected with songs for the initiations of Demeter. Among the numerous works ascribed to him, a hymn to Demeter is alone considered by Pausanias as genuine \*; but however obscure may be the circumstances belonging to this name, thus much at least is clear, that music and poetry were combined at an early period with this worship. Musæus is in tradition commonly called a Thracian; he is also reckoned as one of the race of Eumolpids, and stated to be the disciple of Orpheus. The Thracian singer, Orphcus, is unquestionably the darkest point in the entire history of the early Greciau poetry, on account of the scantiness of the accounts respecting him, which have been preserved in the more ancient writers-the lyric poets, Ibycus + and Pindar I, the historians Hellanicus & and Pherecydes II, and the Athenian tragedians, containing the first express testimonies of his name. This deficiency is ill supplied by the multitude of marvellous stories concerning him, which occur in later writers, and by the poems and poetical fragments which are extant under the name of Orpheus.

<sup>·</sup> i. 22. 7. Compare iv. 1. 5.

<sup>+</sup> Ibyeus in Priscian, vi. 18, 92, tom. i. p. 283, ed. Krehl. (Fragm. 22, ed. Schneidewin), who calls him \*\*\* April Octor. Ibycus flourished 560-40, n. c. 1 Pyth. iv. 315.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Hellanicus in Proclus on Hesiod's Works and Days, 631 (Fragm. 75, ed. Sturz), and in Proclus riel 'Oussess in Gaisford's Hephastion, p. 466 (Fragm. 145, ed.

Il Pherecydes in Schol, Apollon, i. 23 (Fragm. 18, ed. Sturz).

These spurious productions of later times will be treated in that part of our history to which they may with the greatest probability he referred; here we will only state our opinion that the name of Orpheus, and the legends respecting him, are intimately connected with the idea and the worship of a Dionysus dwelling in the infernal regions (Zoppeic), and that the foundation of this worship (which was connected with the Eleusinian mysteries), together with the composition of hymns and songs for its initiations (rchrard), was the earliest function ascribed to him. Nevertheless, under the influence of various causes, the fame of Orpheus grew so much, that he was considered as the first minstrel of the heroic age, was made the companion of the Argonauds\*, and the marvels which music and poetry wrought on a rude and simple generation were chieft described under his name.

iii. Singers and musicians, who belonged to the Phrygian worship of the great mother of the gods, of the Corybantes, and other similar beings. The Phrygians, allied indeed to the Greeks, yet a separate and distinct nation, differed from their neighbours in their strong disposition to an orginstic worship-that is, a worship which was connected with a tumult and excitement produced by loud music and violent bodily movements, such as occurred in Greece at the Bacchaualian rejoicings : where, however, it never, as in Phrygia, gave its character to every variety of divine worship. With this worship was connected the development of a peculiar kind of music, especially on the flute, which instrument was always considered in Greece to possess a stimulating and passion-stirring force. This, in the Phrygian tradition, was ascribed to the demi-god Marsyas, who is known as the inventor of the flute, and the unsuccessful opponent of Apollo, to his disciple Olympus, and, lastly, to Hyagnis, to whom also the composition of nomes to the Phrygian gods in a native melody was attributed. A branch of this worship, and of the style of music and dancing belonging to it, spread at an early date to Crete, the earliest inhabitants of which island appear to have been allied to the Phrygians.

§ 8. By far the most remarkable circumstance in these accounts of the earliest minstrels of Greece is, that several of them (especially from the second of the three classes just described) are called Thracians. It is utterly inconceivable that, in the later historic times, when the Thracians were contenued as a barbarian meet; a notion should have sprang up, that the first civilisation of Greece was due to them; consequently we cannot doubt that this was a tradition handed down from a very early period. Now, if we are to understand it to mean that Eumodpus, Orpheus, Mussexu, and Thanayris, were the fellow-countrymen of those Edonians, Odrysians, and Odonantians, who in the historical age excupied the Thracian territory, and who spoke a barbarian language,

<sup>\*</sup> Pindar, Pyth, iv. 315.

that is, one unintelligible to the Greeks, we must despair of being able to comprehend these accounts of the ancient Thracian minstrels, and of assigning them a place in the history of Grecian civilisation; since it is manifest that at this early period, when there was scarcely any intercourse between different nations, or knowledge of foreign tongues, poets who sang in an unintelligible language could not have had more influence on the mental development of the people than the twittering of birds, Nothing but the dumb language of mimicry and dancing, and musical strains independent of articulate speech, can at such a period pass from nation to nation, as, for example, the Phrygian music passed over to Greece: whereas the Thracian minstrels are constantly represented as the fathers of poetry, which of course is necessarily combined with language. When we come to trace more precisely the country of these Thracian bards, we find that the traditions refer to Pieria, the district to the east of the Olympus range, to the north of Thessaly and the south of Emathia or Macedonia; in Pieria likewise was Leibethra, where the Muses are said to have sung the lament over the tomb of Orpheus: the ancient poets, moreover, always make Pieria, not Thrace, the native place of the Muses, which last Homer clearly distinguishes from Pieria\*. It was not until the Pierians were pressed in their own territory by the early Macedonian princes that some of them crossed the Strymon into Thrace Proper, where Herodotus mentions the castles of the Pierians at the expedition of Xerxes †. It is, however, quite conceivable, that in early times, either on account of their close vicinity, or because all the north was comprchended under one name, the Pierians might, in Southern Greece, have been called Thracians. These Pierians, from the intellectual relations which they maintained with the Greeks, appear to be a Grecian race; which supposition is also confirmed by the Greek names of their places, rivers, fountains, &c., although it is probable that, situated on the limits of the Greek nation, they may have borrowed largely from neighbouring tribes !. A branch of the Phrygian nation, so devoted to an enthusiastic worship, once dwelt close to Pieria, at the foot of Mount Bermius, where King Midas was said to have taken the drunken Silenus in his rose-gardens. In the whole of this region a wild and enthusiastic worship of Bacchus was diffused among both men and women. It may be easily conceived that the excitement which the mind thus received contributed to prepare it for poetical enthusiasm. These same Thracians or Pierians lived, up to the time of the Doric and Æolic migrations, in certain districts of Bootia and Phocis. That they had dwelt about the Bæotian mountain of Helicon, in the district of Thespiæ and Ascra, was evident to the ancient historians, as well from the traditions of the cities as from the agreement of many names of places in the country near Olympus (Leibethrion, Pimpleis, Helicon, &c.). At the foot of Parnas-

Hiad, xiv. 226.
 † vii. 112.
 † See Müller's Dorians, vol. i. p. 472, 488, 501.

sus, however, in Phocis, was said to have been situated the city of Daulis, the seat of the Thracian king Tereus, who is known by his connexion with the Athenian king Pandion, and by the fable of the metamorphosis of his wife Procne into a nightingale. This story (which occurs under other forms in several parts of Greece) is one of those simple fables which, among the early inhabitants of Greece easily grew from a contemplation of the phenomena of Nature and the still life of animals: the nightingale, with her sad nocturnal song, seemed to them to lament a lost child, whose name Itys, or Itylus, they imagined that they could hear in her notes; the reason why the nightingale, when a human being, was supposed to have dwelt in this district was, that it had the fame of being the native country of the art of singing, where the Muses would be most likely to impart their gifts to animals; as in other parts of Greece it was said that the nightingales sang sweetly over the grave of the ancient minstrel, Orpheus. From what has been said, it appears sufficiently clear that these Pierians or Thracians, dwelling about Helicon and Parnassus in the vicinity of Attica, are chiefly signified when a Thracian origin is ascribed to the mythical bards of Attica,

& 9. It is an obvious remark, that with these movements of the Pierians was also connected the extension of the temples of the Muses in Greece, who alone among the gods are represented by the ancient poets as presiding over poetry, since Apollo, in strictness, is only concerned with the music of the cithara. Homer calls the Muses the Olymnian: in Hesiod, at the beginning of the Theoremy, they are called the Heliconian, although, according to the notion of the Bosotian poet, they were born on Olympus, and dwelt at a short distance from the highest pinnacle of this mountain, where Zeus was entbroned; whence they only go at times to Helicon, bathe in Hippocrene, and celebrate their choral dances around the altar of Zeus on the top of the mountain. Now, when it is borne in mind that the same mountain on which the worship of the Muses originally flourished was also represented in the earliest Greek poetry as the common abode of the Gods; in which, whatever country they might singly prefer, they jointly assembled about the throne of the chief god, it seems highly probable that it was the poets of this region, the ancient Pierian minstrels, whose imagination had created this council of the gods and had distributed and arranged its parts. Those things which the epic poetry of Homer must have derived from earlier compositions (such as the first notions concerning the structure of the world, the dominions of the Olympian gods and the Titans, the established epithets which are applied to the gods, without reference to the peculiar circumstances under which they appear, and which often disagree with the rest of the epic mythology) probably must, in great measure, be referred to these Pierian bards. Moreover, their poetry was doubtless not concerned merely with the gods, but contained the first germs of the

<sup>\*</sup> Apollodorus, i. 3, 3.

epic or heroic style; more especially should Thamyris, who in Homer is called a Thracing, and in other writers a non of Philammon\* (by which the neighbourhood of Daulis is designated as his abode), be considered as an elip poet, although soler both soler by the sol

These remarks naturally lead us to the consideration of the epic style of poetry, of which we shall at once proceed to treat.

## CHAPTER IV.

§ 1. Social position of the minutrels or poets in the heroic age,—§ 2. Epic poems ung at the feast of princes and mobles, and at public factivitas—§ 3. Manner of reciting epic poems; explanation of relapability and relapability —§ 4. Metrical form, and postella character of the epic poetry—§ 5. Perpetuation of the early epic poems by memory and not by writing,—§ 6. Subjects and extent of the ante-Homeric epic poetry.

It is our intention in this chapter to trace the Greck Poetry, as far as we have the means of following its steps, on its migration from the lonely valleys of Olympus and Helicon to all the untions which ruled over Greece in the heroic age, and from the sacred groves of the gods to the banquest of the numerous princes who then reigned in the different states of Greece. At the same time we propose, as far as the number of our information permits, to investigate the gradual development of the heroic ce rejic style of poetry, until it reached the high station which it occupies in the neems of Homer.

In this inquiry the Homeric poems themselves will form the chief sources of information; since to them we are especially indebted for a clear, and, in the main, doubtless, a correct picture of the age which we term the heroic. The most important feature in this picture is, that among the three classes of noblest, common freemen i, and serfs §, the first alone enjoyed consideration both in war and pence; they alone performed exploits in bottle, whilst the people appear to be there only that these exploits may be performed upon them. In the assembly of

<sup>\*</sup> Iliad, ii. 594-600.

<sup>†</sup> Called agures, agurris, anares, Saridies, pilores, and many other names.

<sup>\*</sup> Fines (both as a collective and a singular name), bines widers.

<sup>&</sup>amp; dunier.

the people, as in the courts of justice, the nobles alone speak, advise, and decide, whilst the people merely listen to their ordinate, and decide, whilst the people merely listen to their ordinate, and decide suits, in order to regulate their own conduct excerdingly; being a suffered, indeed, to follow the natural impace of extending to, see certain extent, their approbation or disapprobation of their superiors, but still without any kegal means of giving validity to their opinion.

Yet amidst this pobility, distinguished by its warlike prowess, its great landed possessions and numerous slaves, various persons and classes found the means of attaining respect and station by means of intellectual influence, knowledge, and acquirements, viz., priests, who were honoured by the people as gods\*; seers, who announced the destinies of nations and men, sometimes in accordance with superstitions notions, but not unfrequently with a deep foresight of an eternal and superintending Providence; heralds, who by their manifold knowledge and readiness of address were the mediators in all intercourse between persons of different states; artisans, who were invited from one country to another, so much were their rare qualifications in request +; and, lastly, minstrels, or bards; who, although possessing less influence and authority than the priests, and placed on a level with the travelling artisans, still, as servants of the Muses ;, dedicated to the pure and innocent worship of these deities, thought themselves entitled to a peculiar degree of estimation, as well as a friendly and considerate treatment. Thus Ulysses, at the massacre of the suitors, respects Phemius their bard \$; and we find the same class enjoying a dignified position in roval families; as, for instance, the faithful minstrel to whose protection Agamemnon entrusted his wife during his expedition against Troy II.

§ 2. Above all, we find the barks in the heroic age described by Homer as always holding an important post in every festal banquet; as the Muses in the Olympian palace of Zeus himself, who sing to Apollo's accompaniment on the cithars; amongst the Phasacians, Demodecus, who is represented as possessing a numerous choice of songs, both of a serious and lively cast; Phemius, in the house of Ulyses, whom the twelve suitors of Penelope had brought with them from their palaces in Ithaca §. The song and dance are the chief ortaneous for the banquet "t, and by the mor of that age were reckoned as the highest pleasure t".

This connexion of epic poetry with the banquets of princes had, per-

```
* Più d' de riero diam.
```

```
† vis yông bộ Giữn nahát hà hoệt nhiều buth do hà hoạt yi, thị ph viên ở bud sugny Lamo ; phát với và và và thuy na hoạt và thường namôn và thương budhon; hì nh thương budho; hì su viện nhiều di hoạt sử thường họ hoạt nhiều và viện yi phát nhiều nhi
```

Odyssey, xvii. 383 et seq.

<sup>§</sup> Odyss. xxii. 344; see particularly viii. 479.

¶ Od. xvi. 252.

\*\*\* årntinara darris.

<sup>||</sup> Odyss. iii. 267. || Od. xvii. 518.

haps, been of considerable duration in Greece. Even the first sketch of the Iliad and Odyssey may have been intended to be sung on these occasions, as Demodocus sang the celebrated poem on the contest between Achilles and Ulysses\*, or the taking of Troy by means of the wooden horse +. It is clear also that the Homeric poems were intended for the especial gratification of princes, not of republican communities, for whom the adage "The government of many is not good; let there be one lord, one king "could not possibly have been composed: and although Homer flourished some centuries later than the heroic age, which appeared to him like some distant and marvellous world, from which the race of man had degenerated both in bodily strength and courage; vet the constitutions of the different states had not undergone any essential alteration, and the royal families, which are celebrated in the Iliad and Odyssey, still ruled in Greece and the colonies of Asia Minor §. To these the minstrels naturally turned for the purpose of making them acquainted with the renown of their forefathers, and whilst the pride of these descendants of heroes was flattered, and the highest enjoyment secured to them, poetry became the instrument of the most various instruction, and was adapted exclusively for the nobles of that age; so that Hesiod rightly esteems the power of deciding law-suits with justice, and influencing a popular assembly, as a gift of the Muses, and especially of Calliope, to kings ||.

But even before Homer's time heroic poetry was not only employed to give an additional zest to the banquets of princes, but for other purposes to which, in the later republican age, it was almost exclusively applied, viz., the contests of poets at public festivals and games. A contest of this nature is alluded to in the Homeric description of the Thracian

<sup>\*</sup> Od. viii. 7b.
A The supposed descendants of Herwise ruled in Squata, and for a long in the limit Messenia and Argas (Niller's Dorinan, book iii. chap. 6, \$10) as Bucchiads in Messenia and Argas (Niller's Dorinan, book iii. chap. 6, \$10) as Bucchiads in Messenia and Argas (Niller's Dorinan, book iii. chap. 6, \$10) as Bucchiads in Contrab, as Alexand in Thesash. The Polypad were ships of Achain until Ohylan, poladsly for several contines, and ruled an Penthilds in Lesbons as well as in tyme, poladsly for several contrabat, and ruled an Penthilds in Lesbons are well as in tyme. The Contrabat of the Argania Contrabat of the State of the Contrabat of the succession was Nilson, Photogonia, Phrygina). Besides these the descendants of the succession rade in India. State of the Contrabat of the desired of the State o

bard Thamyris, who, on his road from Eurytus, the powerful ruler of Œehalia, was struck blind at Dorium by the Muses, and deprived of his entire art, because he had boasted of his ability to contend even with the Muses\*. The Bœotian minstrel of the "Works and Days" gives an account of his own voyage to the games at Chalcis, which the sons of Amphidamas had celebrated at the funeral of their father; and says, that among the prizes which were there held out, he earned off a tripod, and consecrated it to the Muses on Mount Helicon t. Later authors converted this into a contest between Hesiod and Homer. Finally, the author of the Delian Hymn to Apollo, which stands the first amongst those attributed to Homer, entreats the Delian virgins. (who were themselves well versed in the song, and probably obeyed him with pleasure), that when a stranger should inquire what bard had pleased them most, they would answer the blind man of Chios, whose poetry every where held the first rank. It is beyond doubt that at the festivals, with which the Ionians celebrated the birth of Apollo at Delos, contests of rhapsodists were also introduced, just as we find them spread throughout Greece, at a time when Grecian history assumes a more connected form 1; and, as may be inferred with respect to the earlier period, from numerous allusions in the Homeric hymns,

§ 3. The mention of rhappacitist leads us to consider the circumstance from whence that name is derived, and from which alone we can collect a clear and lively idea of epic poetry, viz., the manner in which those compositions were delivered. Homes everywhere applies the white these control is to the delivery of poems, whilst i'rn merely denotes the every-day conversation of common life; or one to other hand, later authors, from Pindar downwards, use the term i'rn frequently to designate poetry, and especially epic, in contradistinction to lyvic. Indeed, in that primitive and simple age, a great deal passed under the name of 'havit, or son; instance, any high-pitched sonorous recitation, with certain simple modulations of the voice.

The Homeric minstrel makes use of a stringed instrument, which is

<sup>•</sup> Blind, ii. 994, ep.

( Centest of rhossilist at Given, in the time of the tyrant Gishreaux, Hend. 7,7); at the tame of two like the Foundament, securing to well known accounts; in Patta, Iong, 5-20; in Artice also at the Escate time to well known accounts; in Patta, Iong, 5-20; in Artice also at the Escate of the Removasion Article also at the Escate of the Removasion Article, in Representative to the Setting of the Removasion Article, in Removasion

called a cithara, or, more precisely, phorminx \*, an instrument by which dances were also accompanied. When the phorminx was used to lead a dancing-chorus, its music was of course continued as long as the dancing lasted †; whilst, at the recitation of epic poetry, it was only employed in the introduction (ἀναβολή), and merely served to give the voice the necessary pitch . A simple accompaniment of this description is very well adapted to the delivery of epic poetry; and in the present day the heroic lays of the Servians, which have most faithfully retained their original character, are delivered in an elevated tone of voice by wandering minstrels, after a few introductory notes, for which the gurla, a stringed instrument of the simplest construction, is employed. That a musical instrument of this nature was not necessary for the recital of epic poetry is proved by the fact, that Hesiod did not make use of the cithara, and on that account is said to have been excluded from the musical contests at Delphi, where this instrument was held in the highest estimation, as the favourite of Apollo himself. On the other hand, the poets of this Bosotian school merely carried a laurel staff & as a token of the dignity bestowed by Apollo and the Muses, as the sceptre was the badge of judges and heralds.

In later times, as maise was more highly cultivated, the elièvery of the two species of petry became more clearly defined. The rhapsodists, or chaunters of epic poetry, are clearly distinguished from the citharoil, or singers to the citharoil. The expression polydeic, polydeic, significant conditing more than the peculiar method of epic recitation; and it is an error which has been the occasion of much perplexity in researches respecting Homer, and which has morrorer found its way into ordinary language, to endeavour to found upon this word conclasions with respect to the composition and connexion of the epic lays, and to infer from it that they consisted of seattered fragments subsequently joined to

<sup>•</sup> That the phorminx and cithara were nearly the same instrument appears not only from the expression φ<sub>iμαγγ</sub>, side<sub>i</sub>ξ<sub>i</sub>, which often occurs, but from the converse expression, side<sub>i</sub>, φ<sub>iμ</sub>ξ<sub>iμ</sub>, which is used in the Odyawy;—

αύρις δ' is χωρος πίδαμο στοιπάλλια δήπιν Φυμίο, δε β' κιδε σποὰ μυνε τήροι άνάγπη. Ετω ό φορμίζων άνιβάλλιτο ααλός άνίδια.—Od. i. 153—5.

<sup>†</sup> See, for example, Od. iv. 17:-

μετά δε σφι ξωίλαντο διός άνοδος φορμίζων δοιώ δε ακβιστηνίζει καν αύτους μολαίς Εξάρχοντς Εδίσευν κανά μέσσους.

Hence the expression, sequitar angalast default, Od. i. 155; viii. 266; xvii. 262; Hymn to Hermes, v. 426.

ráza di Lizine netaelfan Paesse' áufiskában, ipara di di irresre Curá.

On außsha, in the sense of prelude, see Pindar, Pyth. i. 7; compare Aristoph. Pac. 830; Theocrit. vi. 20. I pass over the testimonies of the grammarians.

δράβλες, αίσκακς, also called επίστερο. See Hesiod, Theogon, 30; Pindar, Isthm
 51; where, according to Dissen, βάβλες, as the symbolical sign of the portical office, is also ascribed to Homer, Pausan. it. 30; x. 7; Göttling at Hesiod, p. 13.
 See, for example, Plato, Leg. ii. p. 658, and the inscriptions quoted above, p. 32,

note ‡.

gether. The term rhapsodising applies equally well to the bard who recites his own poem (as to Homer, as the poet of the Iliad and Odyssey \*), and to the declaimer who recites anew the song that has been heard a thousand times before. Every poem can be rhapsodised which is composed in an epic tone, and in which the verses are of equal length, without being distributed into corresponding parts of a larger whole, strophes, or similar systems. Thus we find this term applied to philosophical songs of purification by Empedocles (καθαρμοί), and to iambics by Archilochus and Simonides, which were strung together in the manner of hexameters †; it was, indeed, only lyric poetry, like Pindar's odes, which could not be rhapsodised. Rhapsodists were also not improperly called στιχωζοί L. because all the poems which they recited were composed in single lines independent of each other (στίχοι). This also is evidently the meaning of the name rhapsodist, which, according to the laws of the language, as well as the best authorities §, ought to be derived from ράπτων ἀοιδήν, and denotes the coupling together of verses without any considerable divisions or pauses-in other words, the even, unbroken, and continuous flow of the epic poem. As the ancients in general show great steadiness and consistency, both in art and literature, and adhered, without any feeling of satiety or craving after novelty, to those models and styles of composition, which had been once recognised as the most perfect; so epic poems, amongst the Greeks, continued to be rhapsodised for upwards of a thousand years. It is true, indeed, that at a later period the Homeric poems, like those of Hesiod, were connected with a musical accompaniment & and it is said that even Terpander the Lesbian adapted the hexameters of Homer, as well as his own, to tunes made according to certain fixed nomes or styles of music, and to have thus sung them at the contests \$\, and that Stesander the Samian appeared at the Pythian games as the first who sung the Homeric poems to the cithara \*\*. This assimilation between the delivery of opic and lyric poetry was however very far from being generally adopted throughout Greece, as the epic recitation or rhapsodia is always clearly distinguished from the poems sung to the cithara at the musical contests; and how great an effect an exhibition of this kind,

Homer, ∫αψωδεί σεριών, the Iliad and Odcusey, according to Plato, Rep. x.
 p. 600 D. Concerning Hesiod as a rhapsodist, Nicocles ap. Schol. Pindar., Nem. ii. 1.

<sup>+</sup> See Athenseus, xiv. p. 620 C. Compare Plato, Ion. p. 531.

I Menrechmus in Schol. Pind., Nem. ii. I.

<sup>5</sup> The Homerish are called by Findar, Nem. ii. 2, jar-rio view index, that ir, common perpetus orature rectatures, Disser. ed. min. p. 371. In the scholin to this passage a verve is cited under the name of Hessod, in which he aeribes the jar-rio sinks to himself and Homers, and, moreover, in reference to a hymn, not an epe perm consisting of several parts.

<sup>||</sup> Athenmus, xiv. p. 620 B, after Champloon. But the argument of Athenmus, ib. p. 632 D. \* Ομαγον μιμιλοτισμένει σύσσο έναν σύσσο rests on erroneous hypotheses.

T Plutarch de Musica, 3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Athen, xiv. p. 638 A.

delivered in a dress of solemn ceremony, with suitable tones and expression †, produced upon the listeners, and how much it excited their sympathy, is most plainly described by Ion, the Ephesian rhapsodist, whom Plato, in one of his lesser Dialogues, has brought forward as a butt for the irony of Scorates.

§ 4. The form which epic poetry preserved for more than a thousand years among the Greeks agrees remarkably well with this composed and even style of chaunting recitation which we have just described. Indeed, the ancient minstrels of the Homeric and ante-Homeric age had probably no choice, since for a long period the hexameter verse was the only regular and cultivated form of poetry, and even in the time of Terpander (about Olymp. 30) was still almost exclusively used for lyric poetry; although we are not on that account to suppose, that all popular songs, hymeneals, dirges, and ditties (such as those which Homer represents Calypso and Circe as singing at the loom), were composed in the same rhythm. But the circumstance of the dactylic verse, the hexameter, having been the first and, for a long time, the only metre which was regularly cultivated in Greece, is an important evidence with respect to the tone and character of the ancient Grecian poetry, the Homeric and ante-Homeric epic. The character of the different rhythms, which, among the Greeks, was always in exact accordance with that of the poetry, consists in the first place in the relation of the arsis and thesis, of the strong or weak cadence - in other words, of the greater or less exertion of the voice. Now in the dactyl these two elements are evenly balanced;, which therefore belongs to the equal class of equal rhythms §; and hence a regular equipoise, with its natural accompaniment, an even and steady tone, is the character of the dactylic measure. This tone is constantly preserved in the epic hexameter; but there were other dactylic metres, which, by the shortening of the long element, or the arsis, acquired a different character, which will be more closely examined when we come to treat of the Æolian lyric poetry. According to Aristotle |, the epic verse was the most dignified and composed of all measures; its entire form and composition appears indeed peculiarly fitted to produce this effect. The length of the verse, which consists of six feet \, the break which is obtained by a pause at the end \*\*. the close connexion of the parts into an entire whole, which results

<sup>\*</sup> Plato, Ion. p. 530. The sumptious dress of the rhapsodist Magnes of Smyrna, in the time of Gyges, is described by Nicolaus Damase, Fragm. p. 268, dd. Tauchinitz. In later times, when the Homeir poetry was delivered in a more dramatic style (Grasshires Jeaguerasierges), the Illad was sungly the rhapsodists in a red, the Odysecy in a volot) dress, Eustath. ad Illiad, A. p. 6, 9, ed. Roy.

<sup>†</sup> Plato, Ion. p. 535. From this, in later days, a regular dramatic style of acting (\$\psi\_{signus}\$) for the rhapsodists or Homerists was developed. See Aristot. Poet. 26; Rhetor, ii. 1, 8; Achill. Tat. ii. 1.

t For in Lou, I is equal to two times, as well as ou. § 2500 Tees. 
| Poet 24, vè àcousis στασμώτατοι καὶ έγκωδίστατοι τῶν μίσματ Ιστίν.

Hence versus longi among the Romans.

from the dovetailing of the feet into one another, the alternation of dartyls with the heavy spondees, all contribute to give repose and majesty and a lofty solemn tone to the metre, and render it equally adapted to the pythoness who announces the decrees of the deity<sup>2</sup>, and to the rhapsolist who recises the battles and adventures of heroes.

Not only the metre, but the poetical tone and style of the ancient epic, was fixed and settled in a manner which occurs in no other kind of poetry in Greece. This uniformity in style is the first thing that strikes us in comparing the Homeric poems with other remains of the more ancient epic poetry-the differences between them being apparent only to the careful and critical observer. It is scarcely possible to account satisfactorily for this uniformity-this invariableness of character-except upon the supposition of a certain tradition handed down from generation to generation in families of minstrels, of an hereditary poetical school. We recognise in the Homeric poems many traces of a style of poetry which, sprung originally from the muse-inspired enthusiasm of the Pierians of Olympus or Helicon, was received and improved by the bards of the heroic ages, and some centuries later arrived at the matured excellence which is still the object of our admiration, though without losing all connexion with its first source. We shall not indeed undertake to defend the genealogies constructed by Pherecydes, Damastes, and other collectors of legends from all the various names of primitive poets and minstrels extant in their time-genealogies, in which Homer and Hesiod are derived from Orpheus, Musæus, and other Pierian bards †; but the fundamental notion of these derivations, viz., the connexion of the epic poets with the early minstrels, receives much confirmation from the form of the epic poetry itself.

In no other species of 'poetry besides the epic do we find generally prevalent certain traditional forms, and an invariable type, to which every poet, however original and inventive his genius, submit; and it is evident that the getting by beard of these poems, as well as their extemporaneous effusion on particular occasions and at the impiration of the moment, must have been by these means greatly facilitated. To the some cause, or to the style which had been consecrated by its origin and tradition, we attribute the numerous and fixed epithess of the gods and benezes which are added to their names without may reference to their actions or the circumstances of the persons who may be described. The force at tention paid to external dignity in the appellations which the heroes bestow on each other, and which, from the elevation of their tone, are in strange contrast with the reproaches with which they at the same time load each other—the frequently-recurring expressions, particularly in the description of the ordinary events of heroic life, their citualry in the description of the ordinary events of heroic life, their

<sup>\*</sup> Hence called  $P_{gthium\ metrum}$ , and stated to be an invention of the priestess Phemonoe, Dorians, ii. ch. 8, § 13.

<sup>†</sup> These genealogies have been most accurately compared and examined with critical acuteness by Lobeck, in his learned work, Aglaophamus, vol. i. p. 322, 462.

assemblies, sacrifices, banquets, &c.—the proverbial expressions and sentences derived from an earlier age, to which class may be referred most of the verses which belong in common to Homer and Hesiod—and, finally, the uniform construction of the sentences, and their connexion with each other, are also attributable to the same origin.

This, too, is another proof of the happy test and natural genius of the fereks of that period; since no style can be conceived which would be better suited than this to epic narrative and description. In general, short phrases, consisting of two or three leavanteers, and usually terminating with the end of a verse; periods of greater length, occurring chiefly in impassioned speeches and claborate similar; the phrases carrfully joined and strung together with conjunctions; the collocation simple and uniform, without any of the words being torn from their connexion, and placed in a prominent position by a rateorical artifice; connexion, and placed in a prominent position by a rateorical artifice; all this appears the natural language of a mind which contemplately and eactions of heroic life with an energetic but tranquil feeling, and passes, them suggessively in review with conscious delight and complacency.

§ 5. The tone and style of epic poetry is also evidently connected with the manner in which these poems were perpetuated. After the researches of various scholars, especially of Wood and Wolf, no one can doubt that it was universally preserved by the memory alone, and handed down from one rhapsodist to another by oral tradition. The Greeks (who, in poetry, laid an astonishing stress on the manner of delivery, the observance of the rhythm, and the proper intonation and inflection of the voice) always, even in later times, considered it necessary that persons, who were publicly to deliver poetical compositions, should previously practise and rehearse their part. The oral instruction of the chorus was the chief employment of the lyric and tragic poets, who were hence called chorodidascali. Amongst the rhapsodists also, to whom the correctness and grace of delivery was of much importance, this method of tradition was the most natural, and at the same time the only one possible, at a time in which the art of writing was either not known at all to the Greeks or used only by a few, and by them to a very slight extent, The correctness of this supposition is proved, in the first place, by the silence of Homer, which has great weight in matters which he had so frequently occasion to describe; but particularly by the "fatal tokens" (σήματα λύγρα), commanding the destruction of Bellerophon, which Proctus sends to Jobates: these being clearly a species of symbolical figures, which must have speedily disappeared from use when alphabetical writing was once generally introduced.

Besides this we have no credible account of written memorials of that period; and it is distinctly stated that the laws of Zaleucus (about Olymp. 30) were the first committed to writing: those of Lycurgus, of earlier date, having been at first preserved only by oral tradition. Additional confirmation is afforded by the rarily and worthleames of any historical

data founded upon written documents, of the period before the commencement of the Olympiads. The same circumstance also explains the late introduction of prose composition among the Greeks, viz., during the time of the seven wise men. The frequent employment of writing for detailed records would of itself have introduced the use of prose. Another proof is afforded by the existing inscriptions, very few of which are of earlier date than the time of Solon; also by the coins which were struck in Greece from the reign of Phidon, king of Argos (about Olymp, 8), and which continued for some time without any inscription, and only gradually obtained a few letters. Again, the very shape of the letters may be adduced in evidence, as in all monuments until about the time of the Persian war, they exhibit a great uncoutliness in their form, and a great variety of character in different districts; so much so, that we can almost trace their gradual development from the Phænician character (which the Greeks adopted as the foundation of their alphabet) until they obtained at last a true Hellenic stamp. Even in the time of Herodotus, the term " Phænician characters" was still used for writing. If now we return to Homer, it will be found that the form of the text itself, particularly as it appears in the citations of ancient authors, disproves the idea of its having been originally committed to writing, since we find a great variety of different readings and discrepancies, which are much more reconcilable with oral than written tradition. Finally, the language of the Homeric poems (as it still appears after the numerous revisions of the text), if considered closely and without prejudice, is of itself a proof that they were not committed to writing till many centuries after their composition. We allude more particularly to the omission of the rau, or (as it is termed) the Æolic digamma, a sound which was pronounced even by Homer strongly or faintly according to circumstances, but was never admitted by the Ionians into written composition, they having entirely got rid of this sound before the introduction of writing; and hence it was not received in the most ancient copies of Homer, which were, without doubt, made by the Ionians. The licence as to the use of the digamma is, however, only one instance of the freedom which so strongly characterizes the language of Homer; but it could never have attained that softness and flexibility which render it so well adapted for versification-that variety of longer and shorter forms which existed together-that freedom in contracting and resolving vowels, and of forming the contractions into two syllables-if the practice of writing had at that time exercised the power, which it necessarily possesses, of fixing the forms of a language. Lastly, to return to the point, for the sake of which we have entered into this explanation, the poetical style of the ancient epic poems shows the great use it made of those aids of which poetry, preserved and transmitted by means of

memory alone, will always gladly avail itself. The Greek epic, like heroic poeus of other nations which were preserved by our tradition, as well as our own popular songs, furnishes us with many instances, where, by the mere rejection of former passages or a few customary flowing phrases, the mind is allowed an interval of repose, which it gladly makes use of in order to recal the verses which immediately follow. These epic explicitives have the same convenience as the constantlyrecurring burdens of the stanzas in the pepular poetry of other nations, and contribute essentially towards reudering comprehensible the marved (which, however, could only be accounted as such in times when the powers of memory later been weekened by the use of writing) involved in the composition and preservation of such poems by the means of memory alone.

§ 6. In this chapter our inquiries have hitherto been directed to the delivery, form, and character of the ancient epic, as we must suppose it to have existed before the age of Homer. With regard, however, to any particular production of this ante-Homeric poetry, no historical testimony of any is extant, much less any fragment or account of the subject of the poem. And yet it is in general quite certain that at the period when Homer and Hesiod arose, a large number of songs must have existed respecting the actions both of gods and heroes. The compositions of these poets, if taken by themselves, do not bear the character of a complete and all-sufficient body, but rest on a broad foundation of other poems, by means of which their entire scope and application was developed to a contemporary audience. In the Theogony, Hesiod only aims at bringing the families of gods and heroes into an unbroken genealogical connexion; the gods and heroes themselves he always supposes to be well known. Homer speaks of Achilles, Nestor, Diomed, even the first time their names are introduced, as persons with whose race, family, preceding history, and actions, every person was acquainted, and which require to be only occasionally touched upon so far as may be connected with the actual subject. Besides this, we find a crowd of secondary personages, who, as if well known from particular traditions, are very slightly alluded to; persons whose existence was doubtless a matter of notoriety to the poet, and who were interesting from a variety of circumstances, but who are altogether unknown to us, as they were to the Greeks of later days. That the Olympian council of the gods, as represented in Homer, must have been previously arranged by earlier poets, has been already remarked; and poetry of a similar nature to one part of Hesiod's Theogony, though in some respects essentially different.

The author has here given a summary of all the arguments which contradict the opinion that he ancient spice of the Girosks were originally reduced to writing; principally because, in the course of the critical examination to which Wolf's inquiries have been recently submitted in Germany, this point has been differently handled by several persons, and it has been again maintained that these poems were preserved in writing from the beginning.

must have been composed upon Cronus and Japetus, the expelled deities languishing in Tartarus\*.

In the heroic age, however, every thing great and distinguished must have been celebrated in song, since, according to Homer's notions, glorious actions or destinies naturally became the subjects of poetry t. Penelope by her virtues, and Clytæmnestra by her crimes, became respectively a tender and a dismal strain for posterity!; the enduring opinion of mankind being identical with the poetry. The existence of epic poems descriptive of the deeds of Hercules, is in particular established by the peculiarity of the circumstances mentioned in Homer with respect to this hero, which seem to have been taken singly from some full and detailed account of his adventures \$; nor would the ship Argo have been distinguished in the Odyssey by the epithet of " interesting to all," had it not been generally well known through the medium of poetry ||. Many events, moreover, of the Trojan war were known to Homer as the subjects of epic poems, especially those which occurred at a late period of the siege, as the contest between Achilles and Ulysses, evidently a real poem, which was not perhaps without influence upon the Iliad , and the poem of the Wooden Horse \*\*. Poems are also mentioned concerning the return of the Achgans +t, and the revenge of Orestes !!. And since the newest song, even at that time, always pleased the audience most §§, we must picture to ourselves a flowing stream of various strains, and a revival of the olden time in song, such as never occurred at any other period. All the Homeric allusions, however, leave the impression that these songs, originally intended to enliven a few hours of a prince's banquet, were confined to the narration of a single event of small compass, or (to borrow an expression from the German epopees) to a single adventure, for the connexion of which they entirely relied upon the general notoriety of the story and on other existing poems.

Such was the state of poetry in Greece when the genius of Homer arose.

Meor' ag' andir anenes audipreses alia antras. είμης, τῶς τότ' άρα πλίος είφανου είφου Ικανιν. ειλες 'Οδιστόλος από Ι'πλιίδιω 'Αχώλος - Od. viii. 73, 209.

. Od. viii. 492. †† Od. i. 326, 11 Od. iii, 204, 66 Od. i. 351.

<sup>.</sup> That is to say, it does not, from the intimations given in Homer, seem probable that he reckoned the deities of the water, as Oceanus and Tethys, and those of the light, as Hyperion and Theia, among the Titans, as Hesiod does,

<sup>†</sup> See Iliad, vi. 358; Od. iii. 204. 1 Od. xxiv, 197, 200. & See Müller's Dorians, Append. v. & 14, vol. i. p. 543.

Il Od. xii. 70 : "Aeya enequilmen. The words are very remarkable:-

## CHAPTER V.

- § 1. Opinions on the hitchplace and country of Homer.—§ 2. Homer probably clarkoptions of Suprimers, early history of Sinyra—§ 3. Union of Kolisan and Iolands characteristics in Homer.—§ 4. Novelty of Homer's choice of subjects for his two points.—§ 6. Subject of the Hillaid is the anger of Archibles.—§ 6. Enlargement of the subject by introducing the events of the entire war.—§ 7. and by dwelling on the exploits of the Gerean horees.—§ 8. Change of too us the Hillaid —H to progress.—§ 9. The Catalogue of Ships.—§ 10. The later books, and the conclusion of the Hillaid.—H is Shiption—§ 18. The Objects posterior to the Hillaid, which has the composition of the Homer's composed by the same person.—§ 14. Procession of the Homeric poems by thappoints, and manner of their restation.
- § 1. The only accounts which have been preserved respecting the life of Homer are a few popular traditions, together with conjectures of the grammarians founded on inferences from different passages of his poems; vet even these, if examined with patience and candour, furnish some materials for arriving at probable results. With regard to the native country of Homer, the traditions do not differ so much as might at first sight appear to be the case. Although seven cities contended for the honour of having given birth to the great poet, the claims of many of them were only indirect. Thus the Athenians only laid claim to Homer, as having been the founders of Smyrna\*, and the opinion of Aristarchus, the Alexandrine critic, which admitted their claim, was probably qualified with the same explanation †. Even Chios cannot establish its right to be considered as the original source of the Homeric poetry, although the claims of this Ionic island are supported by the high authority of the lyric poet Simonides 1. It is true that in Chios lived the race of the Homerids \$; who, from the analogy of other ying, are to be considered not as a family, but as a society of persons, who followed the same art, and therefore worshipped the same gods, and placed at their head a

\*This is clearly expressed in the epigram on Pisistratus, in Bekker's Anecdota, vol. ii. p. 768.

σείς με συμποπαιστα σεπασσιμε εξειοιέτο λόμες 'Αφομίαν, και όρις επογράγουν, σει μέγαν το βουλή Πεσίστρατου, δε τέν Όμειρου πέροσα, σταμάδου τό σειν δειδρείτου, μετίρες για κείνοι δ χρόντου ήν σελοστης, είνας 'Αγοπίοι Σρόγους όποφείστηκες,

† The opinion of Aristarchus is briefly stated by Pseudo-Plutsrch Vita Homeri, ii. 2. Its foundation may be seen by comparing, for example, the Schol, Venet. on Iliad. xiii. 197, e cod. A, which, according to recent investigations, contain extracts from Aristarchus.

2 Simonides in Pseudo-Plutarch, ii. 2, and others. Compare Theoritus, vii. 17. § Concerning this pine, see the statements in Harporation in Onecia, and Beker's Ancedota, p. 28%, which in part are derived from the logographers. Another and different use of the word Onecia occurs in Plato, loccates, and other writers, according to which it means the admerse of Homer.

hero, from whom they derived their name\*. A member of this house of Homerids was, probably, "the blind poet," who, in the Homeric hymn to Apollo, relates of himself, that he dwelt on the rocky Chios, whence he crossed to Delos for the festival of the Ionians and the contests of the poets, and whom Thucydides + took for Homer himself; a supposition, which at least shows that this great historian considered Chios as the dwelling-place of Homer. A later Homerid of Chios was the well-known Cinæthus, who, as we know from his victory at Syracuse, flourished about the 69th Olympiad. At what time the Homerid Parthenius of Chios lived is unknown . But notwithstanding the ascertained existence of this clan of Homerids at Chios, nay, if we even, with Thuevdides, take the blind man of the hymn for Homer himself, it would not follow that Chios was the birthplace of Homer: indeed, the ancient writers have reconciled these accounts by representing Homer as having, in his wauderings, touched at Chios, and afterwards fixed his residence there. A notion of this kind is evidently implied in Pindar's statements, who in one place called Homer a Smyruwan by origin, in another, a Chian and Smyrnwan §. The same idea is also indicated in the passage of an orator, jucidentally cited by Aristotle; which says that "the Chians grently honoured Homer, although he was not a citizen ||." With the Chian race of Homerids may be aptly compared the Samian family; although this is not joined immediately to the name of Homer. but to that of Creophylus, who is described as the contemporary and host of Homer. This house also flourished for several centuries; since, in the first place, a descendant of Creophylus is said to have given the Homeric poems to Lycurgus the Spartan ( (which statement may be so far true, that the Lacedamonians derived their knowledge of these poems from rhapsodists of the race of Crcophylus); and, secondly, a later Creophylid, named Hermodamas, is said to have been heard by Pvthagoras a.

§ 2. On the other hand, the opinion that Homer was a Smyrmean not only appears to have been the prevalent belief in the flourishing times of Greece ††, but is supported by the two following considerations:—first, the important fact, that it appears in the form of a popular legend, a mythra, the divine port being called a son of a nymph, Crithesis, and the

\* Niebuhr, Hist. of Rome, vol. i. note 747 (801). Compare the Preface to Müller's Dorians, p. xii. seq. English Traoslation.

† Thueyd. iii. 10 i.

Suidas io Παρίνιε. It may be conjectured that this siès θίστορος, ἀπόγρους Ομάγο, is connected with the ancient epic poet, Thestorides of Phocwa and Chios, mentioned in Freudo-Herodot. Vit. Hor

§ See Boeckh. Pindar. Fragm. inc. 86. || Aristot. Rhet. ii. 23. Comp. Pseudo-Herod. Vit. Hom., near the end.

See particularly Heraclid. Pont. σελοτιών, Fragm. 2.
 Suidas in Ποθαγόρας Σάμως. p. 231, ed. Kuster.

++ Besides the testimony of Pindar, the incidental statement of Scylax is the most remarkable. Xuópsa is ñ "Ouves že, p. 35, ed. Is. Voss.

Smyrnean river Meles\*; secondly, that by assuming Smyrna as the central point of Homer's life and celebrity, the claims of all the other cities which rest on good authority (as of the Athenians, already mentioned, of the Cummans, attested by Ephorus, himself a Cumman +, of the Colophonians, supported by Antimachus of Colophon 1), may be explained and reconciled in a simple and natural manner. With this view, the history of Smyrna is of great importance in connexion with Homer, but from the conflicting interests of different tribes and the partial accounts of native authorities, is doubtful and obscure: the following account is, at least, the result of eareful investigation. There were two traditions and opinions with respect to the foundation or first occupation of Smyrna by a Greek people: the one was the Ionic; according to which it was founded from Ephesus, or from an Ephesian village called Smyrna, which really existed under that name §; this colony was also called an Athenian one, the Ionians having settled Ephesus under the command of Androclus, the son of Codrus ||. According to the other, the Eolian account, the Eolians of Cyme, eighteen years after their own city was founded, took possession of Smyrna I, and, in connexion with this event, accounts of the leaders of the colony are given. which agree well with other mythical statements \*\*. As the Ionic settlement was fixed by the Alexandrine chronologists at the year 140 after the destruction of Troy, and the foundation of Cyme is placed at the year 150 after the same epoch (which is in perfect harmony with the succession of the Æolie colonies), the two races met at about the same time in Smyrna, although, perhaps, it may be allowed that the Ioniaus had somewhat the precedence in point of time, as the name of the town was derived from them. It is credible, although it is not distinctly stated, that for a long time the two populations occupied Smyrna jointly. The Æolians, however, appear to have predominated. Smyrna, according to Herodotus, being one of the twelve cities of the

. Mentioned in all the different lives of Homer. The name or epithet of Homer, Melengenes, can hardly be of late date, but must have descended from the early epic

† See Pseudo-Plutarch, ii. 2. Ephorus was likewise, evidently, the chief authority followed by the author of the life of Homer, which goes by the name of Hero-

† Pseudo-Plutarch, ii. 2. The connexion between the Smyrmeau and Colophonian origin of Homer is intimated in the epigram, ibid. 5. 4, which calls Homer the son of Meles, and at the same time makes Colophon his native country.

"Τὰ Μίληνος, "Ομπει, σύ γάς κλίος Έλλαδι πάση Καὶ Κολοβίου πάτερη έπκας δι άδδος. § See Strabo's detailed explanation, xiv. p. 633-4.

|| Strabo, xiv. p. 632-3. Doubtless, likewise the Smyrman worship of Nemesis was derived from Rhamnus in Attica. The rhetorician Aristides gives many fabulous accounts of the Athenian colony at Smyroa in several places.

Pseudo-Herodot. Vit. Hom. c. 2, 38.

\*\* The sinerrig was, according to Pseudo-Herod. c. 2, a certain Theseus, the descendant of Eumelus of Phere; according to Parthenius, 5, the same family of Admetus the Pherman founded Magnesia on the Mounder; and Cymr, the mothercity of Smyrna, had also received inhabitants from Magnesia. Pseudo-Herod. c. 2. Æolians, while the Ionie league includes twelve cities, exclusive of Smyrna\*; for the same reason Herodotus is entirely ignorant of the Ephesian settlement in Smyrna. Hence it came to pass, that the Ionians-we know not exactly at what time-were expelled by the Æolians; upon which they withdrew to Colophon, and were mixed with the other Colophonians, always, however, retaining the wish of recovering Smyrna to the Ionic race. In later times the Colophonians, in fact, succeeded in conquering Smyrna, and in expelling the Æolians from it +: from which time Smyrna remained a purely Ionian city. Concerning the time when this change took place, no express testimony has been preserved; all that we know for certain is, that it happened before the time of Gyges, king of Lydia, that is, before about the 20th Olympiad, or 700 B. C., since Gyges made war on Smyrna, together with Miletus and Colophon ;, which proves the connexion of these cities. We also know of an Olympic victor, in Olymp. 23 (688 B. C.), who was an Ionian of Smyrna . Mimnermus, the elegiac poet, who flourished about Olymp. 37 (630 B. C.), was descended from these Colophonians who had settled at Smyrna l.

It cannot be doubted that the meeting of these different tribes in this corner of the coast of Asia Minor contributed by the various elements which it put in motion to produce the active and stirring spirit which would give birth to such works as the Homerie poems. On the one side there were the Ionians from Athens, with their notions of their nobleminded, wise, and prudent goddess Athena, and of their brave and philanthropic heroes, among whom Nestor, as the ancestor of the Ephesian and Milesian kings, is also to be reckoned. On the other side were the Achæans, the chief race among the Æolians of Cyme, with the princes of Agamemnon's family at their head I, with all the claims which were bound up with the name of the king of men, and a large body of legends which referred to the exploits of the Pelopids, particularly the taking of Troy. United with them were various warlike bands from Locris, Thessaly, and Eubœa; but, especially colonists from Bœotia, with their Heliconian worship of the Muses and their hereditary love for poetry \*\*.

§ 3. If this conflux and intermixture of different races contributed now-

The Homeric epigram 4, in Pseudo-Herod. c. 14, mentions and Spinarros as the founders of Smyras; thereby meaning the Locrian tribe, which, deriving its origin from Phricion, near Thermopyle, founded Cyme Phriconis, and also Larissa Phriconis.

<sup>†</sup> Herod. i. 150. comp. i. 16. Pausan. vii. 5, 1. · i. 149.

Herod. i. 14; Pausanias, iv. 21, 3, also states distinctly that the Smyrmeans were at that time Ionians. Nor would Minnermus have sung the exploits of the Smyrmeans in this war if they had not been Ionians. § Pausan. v. 8, 3. || Mimnermus in Strabo, xiv. p. 634.

Strabo, xiii, p. 582. An Agamemnon, king of Cyme, is mentioned by Pollux,

<sup>.</sup> On the connexion of Cyme with Bootia, see below, ch. 8. § 1.

erfully to stimulate the mental energies of the people, and to develop the traditionary accounts of former times, as well as to create and modify the epic dialect; yet it would be satisfactory if we could advance a step further, and determine to which race Homer himself belonged. There does not appear to be sufficient reason, either in the name or the accounts of Homer, to dissolve him into a mere fabulous and ideal being : we see Hesiod, with all his minutest family relations, standing before our eyes; and if Homer was by an admiring posterity represented as the son of a nymph, on the other hand, Hesiod relates how he was visited by the Muses. Now, the tradition which called Homer a Smyrngan, evidently (against the opinion of Antimachus) placed him in the Æolic time; and the Homeric epigram\*, in which Smyrna is called the . Eolian, although considerably later than Homer himself, in whose mouth it is placed, is yet of much importance, as being the testimony of a Homerid who lived before the conquest of Smyrna by the Colophonians. Another armiment to the same effect is, that Melanopus, an ancient Cymrean composer of hymns, who, among the early bards, has the best claim to historical reality, the supposed author of a hymn referring to the Delian worship †, in various genealogies collected by the logographers and other mythologists is called the grandfather of Homer 1; whence it appears, that when these genealogies were fabricated, the Smyrngan peet was connected with the Cymwan colony. The critics of antiquity have also remarked some traits of manners and usages described in Homer, which were borrowed from the Æolians; the most remarkable is that Bubrostis \$, mentioned by Homer as a personification of unappeased hunger, had a temple in Smyrna which was referred to the Æolian

Notwithstanding these indications, every one who carefully notes in the Homeric poems all the symptoms of national feelings and recollections of home, will find himself drawn to the other side, and will, with Aristarchus, recognize the beat of an Ionic heart in the busst of Homer. One proof of this is the reversee which the poot shows for the chief gods of the Ionians, and, moreover, in their claracter of Ionic delities. For Pallas Atheness is described by him as the Athenian godders, who loves to dwell in the temple on the Acropolis of Athens, and also hastens from the hard of the Phasesians to Marathon and Athens; I Posciona likewise is known to Homer as peculiarly the Heliconian god, that is the deity left form to the Ionian legge, to whom the Ionians celebrated antional festivities both the Ionian legge, to whom the Ionian seleptared antional festivities but

<sup>·</sup> Epigr. Homer, 4. in Pseudo-Herod. 14.

<sup>+</sup> Pausan. v. 7, 4, according to Bekker's edition. From this it appears that Pausanias makes Melanopus later than Olen, and earlier than Aristeas.

<sup>‡</sup> See Hellanicus and others in Proclus Vita Homeri, and Pseudo-Herod. c. l.

§ Il. xxiv. 532; and compare the Venetian Scholis.

<sup>||</sup> According to the Ionica of Metrodorus in Plutarch Quest. Symp. vi. 8, 1. Eustathius, on the other hand, ascribes the worship to the Ionians.

<sup>¶</sup> Od. vii. 80. Compare Il. zi. 547.

in Peloponnesus and in Asia Minor4: in describing Nestor's sacrifice to Poseidon, moreover, the poet doubtless was mindful of those which his successors, the Nelids, were wont to solemnize, as kings of the Ionians. Among the heroes, Ajax, the son of Telamon, is not represented by Homer, as he was by the Dorians of Ægina and most of the Greeks, as being an Æacid and the kinsman of Achilles (otherwise some mention of this relationship must have occurred), but he is considered merely as a hero of Salamis, and is placed in conjunction with Menestheus the Athenian: hence it must be supposed that he, as well as the Attic logographer Pherecydes +, considered Ajax as being by origin an Attic Salaminian hero. The detailed statement of the Hellenic descent of the Lycian hero Glaucus in his famous encounter with Diomed, gains a fresh interest, when we bear in mind the Ionic kings of the race of Glaucus mentioned above . Moreover, with respect to political institutions and political phraseology, there are many symptoms of Ionian usage in Homer: thus the Phratrias, mentioned in the Iliad, occur elsewhere only in Ionic states; the Thetes, as labourers for hire without land, are the same in Homer as in Solon's time at Athens; Demos, also, in the sense both of "flat country" and of "common people," appears to be an Ionic expression. A Spartan remarks in Plato \$, that Homer represents an Ionic more than a Lacedæmonian mode of life; and, in truth, many customs and usages may be mentioned, which were spread among the Greeks by the Dorians, and of which no trace appears in Homer. Lastly, besides the proper localities of the two poems, the local knowledge of the poet appears peculiarly accurate and distinct in northern Ionia and the neighbouring Maonia, where the Asian meadow and the river Cayster with its swans, the Gygnean lake, and Mount Tmolus , where Sipylon with its Achelous , appear to be known to him, as it were, from youthful recollections.

If one may venture, in this dawn of tradition, to follow the faint light of these memorials, and to bring their probable result into connection with the history of Smyrna, the following may be considered as the sum of the above inquiries. Homer was an Ionian belonging to one of the families which wont from Epitesus to Smyrna, at a time when Æolians and Achaenas composed the chief part of the population of the city, and when, moreover, their herefulary traditions respecting the expedition of the Greeks against Troy excited the greatest interest; where he reconsides in his portical capacity the conflict of the contending races, inas-

Iliad, viii. 203; xx. 404; with the Scholia. Epigr. Hom. vi. in Pseudo-Herod. 17.
 † Apollod, iii. 12, 6.

<sup>7</sup> Above, p. 31, nute §. No use has here been made of the suspicious passages, which might have been interpolated in the age of Psisistatus. Concerning Homer's Attic tendency in mythical points, see also Pseudo-Herod. c. 28.

<sup>§</sup> Leg. iii. p. 680. || Iliad, ii. 865; xx. 392.

Iliad. xxiv, 615. It is evident from the Scholia that the Homeric Achelous is the brook Achelous which rous from Sipylon to Smyrna.

much as he treats an Achsean subject with the elegance and geniality of an Ionian. But when Smyrna drove out the Ionians, it deprived itself of this poetical renown; and the settlement of the Homerick in Chios was, in all probability, a consequence of the expulsion of the Ionians from Smyrna.

It may, moreover, be observed that according to this account, founded on the history of the colonies of Asia Minor, the time of Homer would fall a few generations after the Ionic migration to Asia: and with this determination the best testimonies of autiquity agree. Such are the computations of Herodotus, who places Homer with Hesioi 400 years before the timer, and that of the Alexandrine circumologists, who place him 100 years after the Ionic migration, 60 years before the legislation of Lycurgus? I although the variety of opinions or this subject which prevailed among the learned writers of autiquity cannot be reduced within these limits.

§ 4. This 11omer, then (of the circumstances of whose life we at least know the little just stated), was the person who gave epic poetry its first great impulse; into the causes of which we shall now proceed to inquire. Before Homer, as we have already seen, in general only single actions and adventures were celebrated in short lays. The heroic mythology had prepared the way for the poets by grouping the deeds of the principal heroes into large masses, so that they had a natural connexion with each other, and referred to some common fundamental notion. Now, as the general features of the more considerable legendary collections were known, the poet had the advantage of being able to narrate any one action of Hercules, or of one of the Argive champions against Thebes, or of the Achaeaus against Troy; and at the same time of being certain that the scope and purport of the action (viz. the elevation of Hercules to the gods, and the fated destruction of Thebes and Troy) would be present to the minds of his hearers, and that the individual adventure would thus be viewed in its proper connexion. Thus doubtless for a long time the bards were satisfied with illustrating single points of the heroic mythology with brief epic lays; such as in later times were produced by several poets of the school of Hesiod. It was also possible. if it was desired, to form from them longer series of adventures of the same hero; but they always remained a collection of independent poems on the same subject, and never attained to that unity of character and composition which constitutes one poem. It was an entirely new phenomenon, which could not fail to make the greatest impression, when a poet selected a subject of the heroic tradition, which (besides its connexion with the other parts of the same legendary cycle) had in itself the means of awakening a lively interest, and of satisfying the mind; and at the same time admitted of such a development that the principal personages could be represented as acting each with a peculiar and indi-

<sup>\*</sup> Herod. ii. 53.

<sup>+</sup> Apollod. Fragm, i. p. 410, ed. Heyne.

vidual character, without obscuring the chief hero and the main action

Oue legendary subject, of this extent and interest, Homer found in the anger of Achilles; and another in the return of Ulysses.

§ 5. The first is an event which did not long precede the final destruction of Troy; inasmuch as it produced the death of Hector, who was the defeuder of the city. It was doubtless the ancient tradition, established long before Homer's time, that Hector had been slain by Achilles, in revenge for the slaughter of his friend Patroclus; whose fall in battle, unprotected by the son of Thetis, was explained by the tradition to have arisen from the anger of Achilles against the other Greeks for an affront offered to him, and his consequent retirement from the contest. Now the poet seizes, as the most critical and momentous period of the action, the conversion of Achilles from the foe of the Grecks into that of the Trojans; for as, on the one hand, the sudden revolution in the fortunes of war, thus occasioned, places the prowess of Achilles in the strongest light, so, on the other hand, the change of his firm and resolute mind must have been the more touching to the feelings of the hearers. From this centre of interest there springs a long preparation and gradual development, since not only the cause of the anger of Achilles, but also the defeats of the Greeks occasioned by that anger, were to be narrated; and the display of the insufficiency of all the other heroes at the same time offered the best opportunity for exhibiting their several excellencies. It is in the arrangement of this preparatory part and its connexion with the catastrophe that the poet displays his perfect acquaintance with all the mysterics of poetical composition; and in his continued postponement of the crisis of the action, and his scanty revelations with respect to the plan of the entire work, he shows a maturity of knowledge, which is astonishing for so early an age. To all appearance the poet, after certain obstacles have been first overcome, tends only to one point, viz. to increase perpetually the disasters of the Greeks, which they have drawn on themselves by the injury offered to Achilles; and Zeus himself, at the beginning, is made to pronounce, as coming from himself, the vengeance and consequent exaltation of the son of Thetis. At the same time, however, the poet plainly shows his wish to excite in the feelings of an attentive hearer an anxious and perpetually increasing desire, not only to see the Greeks saved from destruction, but also that the unbearable and more than human haughtiness and pride of Achilles should be broken. Both these eads are attained through the fulfilment of the secret counsel of Zens, which he did not communicate to Thetis, and through her to Achilles (who, if he had known it, would have given up all enmity against the Achaeans), but only to Hera, and to her not till the middle of the poem \*; and Achilles, through the loss

<sup>\*</sup> Thetis had said nothing to Achilles of the loss of Patroclus (Il. xvii. 411), for she herself did not know of it. Il. xvii. 63. Zeus also long conceals his plans

of his dearest friend, whom he had sudent to battle, not to save the doff of Greeks, but for his one glory's sudenly changes his hostile attenses his hostile to towards the Greeks and is overpowered by entirely opposite feelings, and the sum of the son of Theories is united to almost imperceptible operation of destiny, which the Greeks were required to observe in all human fairs.

It is evident that the Iliad does not so much aim at the individual exaltation of Achilles, as at that of the hero before whom all the other Grecian heroes humble themselves, and through whom alone the Trojans were to be subdued. The Grecian poetry has never shown itself favourable to the absolute elevation of a single individual, not even if he was reckoned the greatest of their heroes; and hence a character like that of Achilles could not excite the entire sympathy of the poet. It is clear that the poet conceives his hero as striving after something super-human and inhuman. Hence he falls from one excess of passion into another, as we see in his insatiable hatred to the Greeks, his desperate grief for Patroclus, and his vehement anger against Hector; but still it is impossible to deny that Achilles is the first, greatest, and most elevated character of the Iliad; we find in him, quite distinct from his heroic strength, which far eclipses that of all the others, a god-like loftiness of soul. Compared with the melancholy which Hector, however determined, carries with him to the field of battle, anticipating the dark destiny that awaits him, how lofty is the feeling of Achilles, who sees his early death before his eyes, and, knowing how close it must follow upon the slaughter of Hector†, yet, in spite of this, shows the most determined resolution before, and the most dignified calmness after the deed. Achilles appears greatest at the funeral games and at the interview with Priam, - a scene to be compared with no other in ancient poetry; in which, both with the heroes of the event and with the hearers. national hatred and personal ambition, and all the hostile and most opposite feelings, dissolve themselves into the gentlest and most humane. just as the human countenance beams with some new expression after long-concealed and passionate grief; and thus the purifying and elevating process which the character of Achilles undergoes, and by which the divine part of his nature is freed from all obscurities, is one continued idea running through the whole of the poem; and the manner in which this process is at the same time communicated to the mind of a hearer

from Hera and the other gods, notwithstanding their anger on account of the sufferings of the Achmans: he does not reveal them to Hera till after his aleep upon Ida, Il. xv. 65. The spuriousness of the verse (Il. viii. 475—6) was recognized by the ancients, although the principal objection to them is not mentioned. See Schol. Ven. A.

E

<sup>•</sup> Homer does not wish that the going forth of Patroclus should be considered as a sign that Achilles' wrath is appeared: Achilles, on this very occasion, expresses a wish that no Greek may escape death, but that they two alone, Achilles and Patroclus, may mount the walls of Ilion. Il, vi. 97.

<sup>+</sup> Iliad, xviii, 95 : xx. 117.

absorbed with the subject, makes it the most beautiful and powerful charm of the Illad.

§ 6. To remove from this collection of various actions, conditions, and feelings any substantial part, as not necessarily belonging to it, would in fact be to dismember a living whole, the parts of which would necessarily lose their vitality. As in an organic body life does not dwell in one single point, but requires a union of certain systems and members, so the internal connexion of the Iliad rests on the union of certain parts; and neither the interesting introduction describing the defeat of the Greeks up to the burning of the ship of Protesilaus, nor the turn of affairs brought about by the death of Patroclus, nor the final pacification of the anger of Achilles, could be spared from the Iliad, when the fruitful seed of such a poem had once been sown in the soul of Homer, and had begun to develop its growth. But the plan of the Iliad is certainly very much extended beyond what was actually necessary; and, in particular, the preparatory part consisting of the attempts of the other heroes to compensate the Greeks for the absence of Achilles, has, it must be said, been drawn out to a disproportionate length; so that the suspicion that there were later insertions of important passages, on the whole applies with far more probability to the first than to the last books, in which, however, modern critics have found most traces of interpolation. For this extension there were two principal motives, which (if we may carry our conjectures so far) exercised an influence even on the mind of Homer himself, but had still more powerful effects upon his successors, the later Homerids. In the first place, it is clear that a design manifested itself at an early period to make this poem complete in itself, so that all the subjects, descriptions, and actions, which could alone give an interest to a poem on the entire war, might find a place within the limits of this composition. For this purpose it is not improbable that many lays of earlier bards, who had sung single adventures of the Troian war, were laid under contribution, and that the finest parts of them were adopted into the new poem; it being the natural course of popular poetry propagated by oral tradition, to treat the best thoughts of previous poets as common property, and to give them a new life by working them up in a different context.

If in this manner much extraneous matter has been introduced into the poem, which, in common probability, does not agree with the definite event which forms the subject of it, but would more proprily find its place at an earlier stage of the Trojan war; and if, by this means, from a poem on the Anger of Achilles, it grew into an Iliad, as it is significantly called, yet the post had his justification, in the maner in which he conceived the situation of the contending nations, and their mode of straffer, until the separation of Achilles from the rest of the army, in which he, doubtless, mainly followed the prevalent legends of this time. According to the accounts of the cyclic and later pecks of this time. According to the accounts of the cyclic and later pecks of

whose time, although the heroic traditions may have become more meagre and scanty than they had been in that of Homer, yet the chief occurrences must have been still preserved in memory), the Trojans, after the Battle at the Landing, where Hector killed Protesilaus, but was soon put to flight by Achilles, made no attempt to drive the Greeks from their country, up to the time of the separation of Achilles from the rest of the army, and the Greeks had had time (for the wall of Troy still resisted them) to lay waste, under the conduct of Achilles, the surrounding cities and islands; of which Homer mentions particularly Pedasus, the city of the Leleges; the Cilician Thebe, at the foot of Mount Placus; the neighbouring city of Lyrnessus; and also the islands of Lesbos and Tenedos \*. The poet, in various places, shows plainly his notion of the state of the war at this time, viz., that the Trojans, so long as Achilles took part in the war, did not venture beyond the gates; and if Hector was, perchance, willing to venture a sally, the general fear of Achilles and the anxiety of the Trojan elders held him back +. By this view of the contest, the poet is sufficiently justified in bringing within the compass of the Iliad events which would otherwise have been more fitted for the beginning of the war. The Greeks now arrange themselves for the first time, by the advice of Nestor, into tribes and phratrias, which affords an occasion for the enumeration of the several nations, or the Catalogue of Ships (as it is called), in the second book; and when this has made us acquainted with the general arrangement of the army, then the view of Helen and Priam from the walls, in the third book, and Agamemnon's mustering of the troops, in the fourth, are intended to give a more distinct notion of the individual character of the chief heroes. Further on, the Greeks and Trojans are, for the first time, struck by an idea which might have occurred in the previous nine years, if the Greeks, when assisted by Achilles, had not, from their confidence of their superior strength, considered every compromise as unworthy of them; namely, to decide the war by a single combat between the authors of it; which plan is frustrated by the cowardly flight of Paris and the treachery of Pandarus. Nor is it until they are taught by the experience of the first day's fighting that the Trojans can resist them in open battle, that they build the walls round their ships, in which the omission of the proper sacrifices to the gods is given as a new reason for not fulfilling their intentions. This appeared to Thucydides so little conformable to historical probability, that, without regarding the authority of Homer, he

<sup>•</sup> The quotion why the Trojans did not attack the Greeks when Achiller was engaged in these matrine expeditions must be causeved by history, not by the mybrical tradition. It is also remarkable that Hinner knows of its Achiesa heavily the Hinner knows of the Achiesa heavily the Hinner knows of the Achiesa heavily that the Hinner knows of the Achiesa heavily that the Hinner knows of the Hinner knows of

<sup>†</sup> Il. v. 788; ix. 352; xv. 721,

placed the building of these walls immediately after the landing \*. This endeavour to comprehend every thing in one poem also shows itself in another circumstance,-that some of the events of the war lying within this poem are copied from others not included in it. Thus the wounding of Diomed by Paris, in the heel †, is taken from the story of the death of Achilles, and the same event furnishes the general outlines of the death of Patroclus; as in both, a god and a man together bring about the accomplishment of the will of fate 1.

§ 7. The other motive for the great extension of the preparatory part of the catastrophe may, it appears, be traced to a certain conflict between the plan of the poet and his own patriotic feelings. An attentive reader cannot fail to observe that while Homer intends that the Greeks should be made to suffer severely from the anger of Achilles, he is vet, as it were, retarded in his progress towards that end by a natural endeavour to avenge the death of each Greek by that of a yet more illustrious Trojan, and thus to increase the glory of the numerous Achman heroes: so that, even on the days in which the Greeks are defeated, more Trojans than Greeks are described as being slain. Admitting that the poet, living among the descendants of these Achæan heroes, found more legends about them than about the Trojans in circulation, still the introduction of them into a poem, in which these very Achaeans were described as one of the parties in a war, could not fail to impart to it a national character. How short is the narration of the second day's battle in the eighth book, where the incidents follow their direct course, under the superintendence of Zeus, and the poet is forced to allow the Greeks to be driven back to their camp (yet even then not without severe loss to the Trojans), in comparison with the narrative of the first day's battle, which, besides many others, celebrates the exploits of Diomed, and extends from the second to the seventh book; in which Zeus appears, as it were, to have forgotten his resolution and his promise to Thetis. The exploits of Diomed & are indeed closely connected with the violation of the treaty, inasmuch as the death of Pandarus, which became necessary in order that his treachery might be avenged, is the work of Tydides |; but they have been greatly extended, particularly by the battles with the gods, which form the characteristic feature of the legend of Diomed : hence in this part of the Iliad particularly, slight

<sup>\*</sup> Thuc. i. 11. The attempt of the scholiast to remove the difficulty, by supposing a smaller and a larger bulwark, is absurd,

<sup>+</sup> Il. xi. 377.

<sup>1</sup> Il. xix. 417; xxii. 359. It was the fate of Achilles, #19 or nel avies its departure. δ Διομέδους άριστεία.

<sup>| 11.</sup> v. 290. Homer does not make on this occasion the reflection which one expects; but it is his practice rather to leave the requisite moral impression to be made by the simple combination of the events, without adding any comment of his own.

I Diomed, in the Argive mythology, which referred to Palias, was a being closely connected with this goddess, her shield-bearer and defender of the Palladium,

inconsistencies of different passages and interruptions in the connexion have arisen. We may mention especially the contradictory expressions of Diomed and his counsellor Athena, as to whether a contest with the gods was advisable or not. Another inconsistency is that remarked by the ancients with respect to the breastplate of Diomed +; this, however, is removed, if we consider the scene between Diomed and Glaucus as an interpolation added by an Homerid of Chios; perhaps, with the view of doing honour to some king of the race of Glaucus . With regard to the night-scenes, which take up the tenth book & a remarkable statement has been preserved, that they were originally a separate book, and were first inserted in the Iliad by Pisistratus ||. This account is so far supported, that not the slightest reference is made, either before or after, to the contents of this book, especially to the arrival of Rhesus in the Trojan camp, and of his horses taken by Diomed and Ulysses; and the whole book may be omitted without leaving any perceptible chasm-But it is evident that this book was written for the particular place in which we find it, in order to fill up the remainder of the night, and to add another to the achievements of the Grecian heroes; for it could neither stand by itself nor form a part of any other poem.

§ 8. That the first part of the Iliad, up to the Battle at the Ships, has, as compared with the remaining parts, a more cheerful, sometimes even a joxose character, while the latter has a grave and tragic cast, which catends its influence even over the choice of expressions, naturally arises from the nature of the subject itself. The ill-treatment of Thereisset, the cowardly flight of Paris into the arms of Ilelen, the credulous fully of Pandarus, the bellowing of Mars, and the ferminine tears of Aphrodite when wounded by Diomed, are so many amussing and even sportive passages from the first books of the Iliad, such as cannot be found in any of the latter books. The countenance of the ancient bard, which in the beginning assumed a serene character, and is sometimes brightened with an inonical smite, Oslairs by degrees an excited tragic expression. Although there are good grounds in the plan of the Iliad for this difference, yet there is reason to doubt whether the beginning of

Hence he is, in Homer, placed in a closer relation with the Olympic gods than any other hero: Pallas driving his chariet, and giving him courage to encounter Ares, Alphoulies, and even Apolo, in battle. It is particularly observable that Diomed never flights with Hector, but with Ares, who enables Hector to conquer.

- Il. v. 130, 434, 827 : vl. 120.

4 H. ci. 259; and will 164. The inconsistency with regard to Pylemenes is also removed, if we sensifie to 2.75; and exist in it. 650. Of this importance, as it stems to me, in the oldreine of the message to Achilles which is laid to the charge of Percelus. II. it. 8.59; xx. 390. May not Parteculs was sent a message to inform Achilles of what he wished is lowe? The non-observance by Delykmans of the charge of the contract o

<sup>!</sup> Above, p. 31, note q.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Noursyspein and Ashania

<sup>|</sup> Schol, Ven. ad Il. x. 1; Eustath. p. 785, 41, ed. Rom.

the second book, in which this humorous tone is most apparent, was written by the ancient Homer or by one of the later Homerids. Zeus undertakes to deceive Agamemnon, for, by means of a dream, he gives him great courage for the battle. Agamemnon himself adopts a second deceit against the Achæans, for he, though full of the hopes of victory, yet persuades the Achæans that he has determined on the return home; in this, however, his expectations are again deceived in a ludicrous manner by the Greeks, whom he had only wished to try, in order to stimulate them to the battle, but who now are determined to fly in the utmost haste, and, contrary to the decree of fate, to leave Troy uninjured, if Ulysses, at the suggestion of the gods, had not held them back. Here is matter for an entire mythical comedu, full of fine irony, and with an amusing plot, in which the deceiving and deceived Agamemnon is the chief character; who, with the words, "Zeus has played me a pretty trick "," at the same time that he means to invent an ingenious falsehood, unconsciously utters an unpleasant truth. But this Homeric comedy, which is extended through the greater part of the second book, cannot possibly belong to the original plan of the Iliad; for Agamemnon, two days later, complaining to the Greeks of being deceived by former signs of victory which Zeus had shown him, uses in earnest the same words which he had here used in joke +. But it is not conceivable that Agamemnon (if the laws of probability were respected) should be represented as able seriously to repeat the complaint which he had before feigned, without, at the same time, dwelling on the inconsistency between his present and his former opinion. It is, moreover, evident, that the graver and shorter passage did not grow out of the more comic and longer one; but that the latter is a copious parody of the former, composed by a later Homerid, and inserted in the room of an original shorter account of the arming of the Greeks.

§ 9. But of all the parts of the Iliad, there is none of which the discrepancies with the rest of the poem are so manifest as the Catalogue of the Ships, already alluded to. Even the ancients land critical doubts on some passagez; as, for instance, the manifesty intentional association of the ships of Ajax with those of the Athenians, which appears to have been made solely for the interest of the Athenian bouses (the Europsacks and Phaliads), which deduced their origin from Ajax; and the mention of the Panheldzinians, whom (contrary to Homer's invariable usage) the Loreina Ajax surpasses in the use of the spear. But still more important are the mythico-historical discrepancies between the Catalogue and the Iliad itself. Merges, the son of Phyleus, is in the Catalogue King of Dulichium; in the Iliad‡, King of the Epeans, welling in Elis. The Catalogue here follows the tradition, which was

<sup>\*</sup> II. ii. 114, 100 di xanio destre Sendeiente.

<sup>4</sup> II. ii. 111-18 and 139-41 correspond to II. ix. 18-28.

<sup>1</sup> ll. xiii. 692; xv. 519,

also known in later times \*, that Phyleus, the father of Meges, quarrelled with his father Augeas, and left his home on this account. Medon, a natural son of Oileus, is described in the Catalogue as commanding the troops of Philoctetes, which come from Methone; but in the Iliad as leading the Phthians t, inhabiting Phylace, who, in the Catalogue, form quite a different kingdom, and are led by Podarces instead of Protesilaus. With such manifest contradictions as these one may venture to attach some weight to the less obvious marks of a fundamental difference of views of a more general kind. Agamemnou, according to the Hiad, governs from Mycenæ the whole of Argos (that is, the neighbouring part of Peloponnesus), and many islands1; according to the Catalogue, he governs no islands whatever; but, on the other hand, his kingdom comprises Ægialeia, which did not become Achaen till after the expulsion of the Ionians §. With respect to the Buotians, the poets of the Catalogue have entirely forgotten that they dwelt in Thessalv at the time of the Trojan war: for they describe the whole nation as already settled in the country afterwards ealled Bozotja ||. That heroes and troops of men joined the Achæan army from the eastern side of the Ægean Sea and the islands on the coast of Asia Minor, is a notion of which the Iliad offers no trace; it knows nothing of the heroes of Cos, Phidippus and Antiphus. nor anything of the beautiful Nireus from Syme; and as it is not said of Tlepolemus that he came from Rhodes, but only that he was a son of Hercules, it is most natural to understand that the poet of the Hiad conceived him as a Tirynthian hero. The mention in the Catalogue of a whole line of islands on the coast of Asia Minor destroys the beauty and unity of the picture of the belligerent nations contained in the Iliad. which makes the allies of the Trojans come only from the east and north of the Ægean Sea, and Achæan warriors come only from the west ¶. The poets of the Catalogue have also made the Arcadians under Agapenor, as well as the Perrhæbians and the Magnetes, fight before Troy. The purer tradition of the Hiad does not mix up these Pelasgic tribes (for, among all the Greeks, the Arcadians and Perrhæbians remained most Pelasgie) in the ranks of the Achæan army.

If the enumeration of the Achæan bands is too detailed, and goes beyond the intention of the original poet of the Iliad, on the other hand, the Catalogue of the Trojans and their allies is much below the notion

- \* Callimachus ap. Schol, Il. ii. 629. Comp. Theocrit. xxi.
- † Il. xiii. 693; xv. 334.
- ‡ II. ii. 108. 6 Here, in particular, the verse (11, ii, 572), in which Adrastus is named as first king of Suyon, compared with Herod. v. 67-8, clearly shows the objects of the Argive rhapsodist.
- Il There is, likewise, in the Iliad a passage (not, indeed, of much importance) which speaks of Bassians in Bassia. Il. v. 709. For this reason Thucyddles assumed that an dwidneying of the Bassians that at this time settled in Bassia; which, however, is not sufficient for the Catalogue.
- The account of the Rhodums in the Catalogue also, by its great length, betrays the intention of a rhapsodist to celebrate this island,

which the Iliad itself gives of the forces of the Trojans: this altogether omits the important allies, the Caucones and the Leleges, both of whom often occur in the Iliad, and the latter inhabited the celebrated city of Pedasus, on the Satnioëis \*. Among the princes unmentioned in this Catalogue, Asteropœus, the leader and hero of the Pæonians, is particularly observable, who arrived eleven days before the battle with Achilles, and, therefore, before the review in the second book +, and at least deserved to be named as well as Pyræchmes 1. On the other hand, this Catalogue has some names, which are wanting in the parts of the Iliad, where they would naturally recur §. But we have another more decided proof that the Catalogue of the Trojans is of comparatively recent date, and was composed after that of the Achæans. The Cyprian poem, which was intended solely to serve as an introduction to the Iliadil, gave at its conclusion (that is, immediately before the beginning of the action of the Iliad) a list of the Trojan allies \( \); which certainly would not have been the case if, in the second book of the Iliad, as it then existed, not the Achæans alone but also the Trojans had been enumerated. Perhaps our present Catalogue in the Iliad is only an abridgment of that in the Cyprian poem; at least, then, the omission of Asteropæus could be explained, for if he came eleven days before the battle just mentioned, he would not (according to Homer's chronology) have arrived till after the beginning of the action of the Iliad, that is, the sending of the plague.

But from the observations on these two Catalogues may be drawn other inference, besides that they are not of genuine Homeric origin: first, that the rhapodists, who composed these parts, had not the Iliad before them in writing, so as to be able to refer to it at pleasure; otherwise, how should they not have discovered that Medon lived at Phyloce, and such like particulars; 2dly, that these later poets did not retain the entire. Iliad in their memory, but that in this attempt to give an ethnographical survey of the forces on each side, they allowed themselves to be guided by the parts which they themselves knew by heart and could recite, and by less distinct reminiscences of the rest of the poem.

§ 10. A far less valid suspicion than that which has been raised

For the Caucones, see Il. x. 429; xx. 329. For the Leleges, Il. x. 429; xx. 96; xxi. 86. Comp. vi. 35.

<sup>†</sup> See Il. xxi. 155; also xii. 102; xviii. 351.

<sup>2</sup> II. ii. 848. The author of this Catalogue must have thought only of II. xvi. 287. The scholiast, on II. ii. 844, is also quite correct in missing flyhidemar; who, indeed, was a Trojan, the son of Antenor and Theano, but was furnished by his maternal grandfuther, a Thracian prince, with a fleet of twelve ships. II. xi. 221.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For example, the soothsayer Eunomus, who, according to the Catalogue (II. ii. 861), was slain by Achilles in the river, of which there is no mention in the Ilind, So likewise Amphamachus. II. ii. 871.
[1] See below, clup. vi. § 4.

Taal aurahoyer των τους Τρώνο ευμμαχανώντων, Proclus in Gaisford's Hepharstion, p. 476.

against the first part of the Iliad, principally against the second, and also against the fifth, sixth, and tenth books, rests on the later ones, and on those which follow the death of Hector. A tragedy, which treated its subject dramatically, might indeed have closed with the death of Hector, but no epic poem could have been so concluded; as in that it is necessary that the feeling which has been excited should be allowed to subside into calm. This effect is, in the first place, brought about by means of the games; by which the greatest honour is conferred on Patroclus, and also a complete satisfaction is made to Achilles. But neither would the Iliad at any time have been complete without the cession of the body of Hector to his father, and the honourable burial of the Trojan hero. The poet, who everywhere else shows so gentle and humane a disposition, and such an endeavour to distribute evenhanded justice throughout his poem, could not allow the threats of Achilles to be fulfilled on the body of Hector; but even if this had been the poet's intention, the subject most have been mentioned; for, according to the notions of the Greeks of that age, the fate of the dead body was almost of more importance than that of the living; and instead of our twenty-fourth book, a description must have followed of the manner in which Achilles ill-treated the corpse of Hector, and then cast it for food to the dogs. Who could conceive such an end to the Hiad possible? It is plain that Homer, from the first, arranged the plan of the Iliad with a full consciousness that the anger of Achilles against Hector stood in need of some mitigation-of some kind of atonementand that a gentle, humane disposition, awaiting futurity with calm feelings, was requisite both to the hero and the poet at the end of the poem. § 11. The Odyssey is indisputably, as well as the Iliad, a poem pos-

sessing an unity of subject; nor can any one of its chief parts be removed without leaving a chasm in the development of the leading idea; a but it differs from the Iliad in being composed on a more artificial and more complicated plan. This is the case partly, because in the first and greater half, up to the stateenth book, two main actions are carried on side by side; partly because the action, which passes within the compose of the poem, and as it were beneath our eyes, is greatly extended by means of an episodical narration, by which the chief action itself is made distinct and complete, and the most marvelbox and strangest jar of the story is transferred from the mouth of the poet to that of the inventive here himself †.

The subject of the Odyssey is the return of Ulysses from a land lying beyond the range of human intercourse or knowledge, to a home invaded by bands of insolent intruders, who seek to rob him of his wife, and kill his son. Hence, the Odyssey begins exactly at that point,

<sup>\* 11.</sup> xxii. 35; xxiii. 183. † It appears, however, from his soliloquy, Od. xx. 18—21, that the poet did not intend his adventures to be considered as imaginary.

where the hero is considered to be farthest from his home, in the island of Ogveria\*, at the navel that is, the central point of the sea; where the nymph Calypso t has kept him hidden from all mankind for seven years; thence having, by the help of the gods, who pity his misfortunes, passed through the dangers prepared for him by his implacable enemy, Poseidon, he gains the land of the Phracians, a careless, peaceable, and effeminate nation on the confines of the earth, to whom war is only known by means of poetry; borne by a marvellous Phwacian vessel, he reaches Ithaea sleeping; here he is entertained by the honest swineherd Eumæus, and having been introduced into his own house as a beggar, he is there made to suffer the harshest treatment from the suitors, in order that he may afterwards appear with the stronger right as a terrible avenger. With this simple story a poet might have been satisfied; and we should even in this form, notwithstanding its smaller extent, have placed the poem almost on an equality with the Iliad. But the poet, to whom we are indebted for the Odyssev in its complete form, has interwoven a second story, by which the poem is rendered much richer and more complete; although, indeed, from the union of two actions, some roughnesses have been produced, which perhaps with a plan of this kind could scarcely be avoided t.

For while the poet represents the son of Ulysses, stimulated by Athena, coming forward in theac with newly excited courage, and calling the suitors to account before the people; and then afterwards describes him as travelling to Pylos and Sparta to obtain intelligence of his lost father; he gives us a picture of Ithaca and its nararchical condition, and of the rest of Greece in its state of peace after the return of the princes, which produces the finest contrast; and, at the same time, prepares Telemachus for playing an energetic part in the work of vengeance, which by this means becomes more probable.

Although these remarks show that the arrangement of the Odyssey is essentially different from that of the Iliad, and bears marks of a more artificial and more fully developed state of the epos, yet there is much that is common to the two poems in this respect; particularly that profound comprehension of the means of straining the curiosity, and of keeping up the interest by new and unexpected turns of the narrative. The decree of Zeuss is as much delayed in its execution in the Odyssey as it is in the Iliad: as, in the latter poem, it is not till after the building of the walls that Zeus, at the request of Theis, takes an active part

 <sup>&#</sup>x27;Ωγυγία from 'Πγόγαι, who was originally a deity of the watery expanse which covers all things.

<sup>†</sup> Kandyá, the Concader.
2 Their would be nothing abrupt in the transition from Menelaus to the suitors in (Ai, ir, 624, if it fell at the beginning of n new book; a mad, yet this division into books is a more contrivance of the Abeauarine grammarians. The four verses 620-4, which are unquestionably spurnous, are a more useless interpolation; as they contribute nothing to the junction of the parts,

against the Greeks; so, in the Odyssey, he appears at the very beginning willing to acquiesce in the proposal of Athena for the return of Ulysses, but does not in reality despatch Hermes to Calypso till several days later, in the fifth book. It is evident that the poet is impressed with a conception familiar to the Greeks, of a divine destiny, slow in its preparations, and apparently delaying, but on that very account marching with the greater certainty to its end. We also perceive in the Odyssey the same artifice as that pointed out in the Iliad, of turning the expectation of the reader into a different direction from that which the narrative is afterwards to take; but, from the nature of the subject, chiefly in single scattered passages. The poet plays in the most agreeable manner with us, by holding out other means by which the necessary work of venerance on the suitors may be accomplished; and also after we have arrived somewhat nearer the true aim, he still has in store another beautiful invention with which to surprise us. Thus the exhortation twice addressed to Telemachus in the same words, in the early books of the Odyssey, to imitate the example of Orestes\* (which strikes deep root in his heart), produces an undefined expectation that he himself may attempt something against the suitors; nor is the true meaning of it perceived. until Telemachus places himself so undauntedly at his father's side. Afterwards, when the father and son have arranged their plan for taking vengeance, they think of assaulting the suitors, hand to hand, with lance and sword, in a combat of very doubtful issue †. The bow of Eurytus, from which Ulysses derives such great advantage, is a new and unexpected idea. Athena suggests to Penelope the notion of proposing it to the suitors as a prize 1, and although the ancient legend doubtless represented Ulysses overcoming the suitors with this bow, yet the manner in which it is brought into his hands is a very ingenious contrivance of the poet §. As in the Iliad the deepest interest prevails between the Battle at the Ships and the Death of Hector, so in the Odyssey the narrative begins, with the fetching of the bow (at the outset of the twenty-first book), to assume a lofty tone, which is mingled with an almost painful expectation; and the poet makes use of every thing which the legend offered, as the gloomy forebodings of Theoclymenus (who is only introduced in order to prepare for this scene of horror ||) and the contempo-

<sup>\*</sup> Od. i. 302: iii. 200.

<sup>†</sup> Od. xvi. 295. The afterness of Zenodotus, as usual, rests on insufficient grounds, and would deprive the story of on important point of its progress.

<sup>1</sup> Od. xxi. 4.

<sup>§</sup> That this part of the poem is founded on ancient tradition appears from the fact that the Ætolian tribe of the Eurytanians, who derived their origin from Eurytus (probably the Ætolian Œchalia also belonged to this nation, Strabo, x. p. 448), possessed an oracle of Ulysses. Lycophron, v. 799; and the Scholio from Aristoh,

Among these the disappearance of the sun (Od. xx. 356) is to be observed, which is connected with the return of Ulysses during the new moon (Od. xiv. 162; xix. 307), when an eclipse of the sun could take place. This also oppears to be a trace of ancient tradition.

raneous festival of Apollo (who fully grants the prayer of Ulysses to secure him glory in the battle with the bow\*), in order to heighten the marvellous and inspiriting parts of the scene.

§ 12. It is plain that the plan of the Odyssey, as well as of the Iliad, offered many opportunities for enlargement, by the insertion of new passages; and many irregularities in the course of the narration and its occasional diffuseness may be explained in this manner. The latter, for example, is observable in the amusements offered to Ulysses when entertained by the Phæacians; and even some of the ancients questioned the genuineness of the passage about the dance of the Phæacians and the song of Demodocus on the loves of Ares and Aphrodite, although this part of the Odyssev appears to have been at least extant in the 50th Olympiad, when the chorus of the Phæacians was represented on the throne of the Amyckean Apollo +. So likewise Ulysses' account of his adventures contains many interpolations, particularly in the nckyia, or invocation of the dead, where the ancients had already attributed an important passage (which, in fact, destroys the unity and connexion of the narrative) to the diaskenasta, or interpolators, among others, to the Orphic Onomacritus, who, in the time of the Pisistratids, was employed in collecting the poems of Homer . Moreover, the Alexandrine critics, Aristophanes and Aristarchus, considered the whole of the last part from the recognition of Penelope, as added at a later period \$. Nor can it be denied that it has great defects; in particular, the description of the arrival of the suitors in the infernal regions is only a second and feebler nekyia, which does not precisely accord with the first, and is introduced in this place without sufficient reason. At the same time, the Odyssey could never have been considered as concluded, until Ulysses had embraced his father Laertes, who is so often mentioned in the course of the poem, and until a peaceful state of things had been restored, or began to be restored, in Ithaca. It is not therefore likely that the original Odvssev altogether wanted some passage of this kind; but it was probably much altered by the Homerids, until it assumed the form in which we now possess it.

§ 13. That the Odyssey was written after the Iliad, and that many differences are apparent in the character and manners both of men and gods, as well as in the management of the language, is quite clear; but

<sup>\*</sup> The festival of Apollo (the respector) is alluded to. Od. xx. 156, 250, 278; xxi. 258. Comp. xxi. 267; xxii. 7.

<sup>§</sup> From Od. axiii. 296, to the end.

it is difficult and hazardous to raise upon this foundation any definite conclusions as to the person and age of the poet. With the exception of the anger of Poseidon, who always works unseen in the obscure distance, the gods appear in a milder form; they act in unison, without dissension or contest, for the relief of mankind, not, as is so often the ease in the Iliad, for their destruction. It is, however, true, that the subject afforded far less oceasion for describing the violent and angry passions and vehement combats of the gods. At the same time the gods all appear a step higher above the human race; they are not represented as descending in a bodily form from their dwellings on Mount Olympus, and mixing in the tumult of the battle, but they go about in human forms, only discernible by their superior wisdom and prudence, in the company of the adventurous Ulysses and the intelligent Telemachus. But the chief cause of this difference is to be sought in the nature of the story, and, we may add, in the fine tact of the poet, who knew how to preserve unity of subject and harmony of tone in his picture, and to exclude every thing of which the character did not agree. The attempt of many learned writers to discover a different religion and mythology for the Iliad and the Odyssev leads to the most arbitrary dissection of the two poems \*; above all, it ought to have been made clear how the fable of the Hiad could have been treated by a professor of this supposed religion of the Odyssey, without introducing quarrels, battles, and vehement excitement among the gods; in which there would have been no difficulty, if the difference of character in the gods of the two poems were introduced by the poet, and did not grow out of the subject. On the other hand, the human race appears in the houses of Nestor, Menelaüs, and especially of Alcinous, in a far more agreeable state, and one of far greater comfort t and luxury than in the Iliad. But where could the enjoyments, to which the Atrida, in their native palace, and the peaceable Phæacians could securely abandon themselves, find a place in the rough camp? Granting, however, that a different taste and feeling is shown in the choice of the subject, and in the whole arrangement of the poem, yet there is not a greater difference than is often found in the inclinations of the same man in the prime of life and in old age; and, to speak candidly, we know no other argument adduced by the Chorizontes, both of ancient and modern times, for attributing the wonderful genius of Homer to two different individuals. It is certain that the Odyssev. in respect of its plan and the conception of its chief characters, of Ulysses

? Those Greek grammarians who attributed the Iliad and Odyssey to different authors were called a zweigeress, "The Separators."

Beajamin Constant, in particular, in his celebrated work, De la Religion, tom. iii, has been forced be go this length, as he distinguishes trait expères de mythologie in the Homeric poems, and determines from them the age of the different parts.
† The Greek word for this is aspache; which, in the Iliad, is only used for the care

T has Greek word not thus is again; which, in the Itiad, is only used for the care of horses, but in the Odysses signifies human conveniences and luxuries, among which had baths may be particularly mentioned. See Od. viii. 450.

himself, of Nestor and Menchais, stands in the closest affinity with the lind; that it always presupposes the existence of the earlier pown, and silently refers to it; which also serves to explain the remarkable fact, that the Odyssey mentions many occurrences in the life of Ulysses, which lie out of the cumpass of the action, but not one which is eelebrated in the Iliad\*. If the completion of the Iliad and the Odyssey seems to vast a work for the lifetime of one man, we may, perhaps, have recourse to the supposition, that Homer, after having sung the Iliad in the vigour of his youtful years, in his old age communicated to some devoted disciple the plan of the Odyssey, which had long been working in his mind, and left it to him for completion.

§ 14. It is certain that we are perpetually met with difficulties in endeavouring to form a notion of the manner in which these great epic poems were composed, at a time anterior to the use of writing. But these difficulties arise much more from our ignorance of the period, and our incapability of conceiving a creation of the mind without those appliances of which the use has become to us a second nature, than in the general laws of the human intellect. Who can determine how many thousand verses a person, thoroughly impregnated with his subject, and absorbed in the contemplation of it, might produce in a year, and confide to the faithful memory of disciples, devoted to their master and his art? Wherever a creative genius has appeared it has met with persons of courenial taste, and has found assistants, by whose means it has completed astonishing works in a comparatively short time. Thus the old bard may have been followed by a number of younger minstrels, to whom it was both a pleasure and a duty to collect and diffuse the honey which flowed from his lips. But it is, at least, certain, that it would be unintelligible how these great epics were composed, unless there had been occasions, on which they actually appeared in their integrity, and could charm an attentive hearer with the full force and effect of a complete poem. Without a connected and continuous recitation they were not finished works; they were mere disjointed fragments, which might by possibility form a whole. But where were there meals or festivals long enough for such recitations? What attention, it has been asked, could be sufficiently sustained, in order to follow so many thousand verses? If, however, the Athenians could at one festival hear in succession about nine tragedies, three satyric dramas, and as many comedies,

We find Ulyses, in his youth, with Authlycus (Od. xiz. 394; xiz. 321) during the expedition gogient Trey in Delec, Od. vi. 16; in Leabou; in 341; in a context with Actility viii. 75; more the corpus and at the boral of Actilities, 350; xiv: with Actilities viii. 75; more the corpus and at the boral of Actilities, 350; xiv: heboting with the bow, viii. 219; secretly in Treys, i. A22; in the Trejois horse, iv. 270 (comp. viii. 922; xi. 222); at the beginning of the return, iii. 130; xad. viii. xiv. 270; at the beginning of the return, iii. 130; xad. with the state over the body of Putscolas, xiv. In the basic way, the battle over the bedy of Putscolas, xiv. Is the manner the Odysvey interticanily cools different exquisite and absorbances of Agentson, Achility, Mercalesan, and

without ever thinking that it might be better to distribute this enjoyment over the whole year, why should not the Greeks of earlier times have been able to listen to the Iliad and Odyssey, and, perhaps, other poems, at the same festival? At a later date, indeed, when the rhapsodist was rivalled by the player on the lyre, the dithyrambic minstrel, and by many other kinds of poetry and music, these latter necessarily abridged the time allowed to the epic reciter; but in early times, when the epic style reigned without a competitor, it would have obtained an undivided attention. Let us beware of measuring, by our loose and desultory reading, the intension of mind with which a people enthusiastically devoted to such enjoyments \*, hung with delight on the flowing strains of the minstrel. In short, there was a time (and the Iliad and Odyssey are the records of it) when the Greek people, not indeed at meals, but at festivals, and under the patronage of their hereditary princes, heard and enjoyed these and other less excellent poems, as they were intended to be heard and enjoyed, viz. as complete wholes. Whether they were, at this early period, ever recited for a prize, and in competition with others, is doubtful, though there is nothing improbable in the supposition. But when the conflux of rhapsodists to the contests became perpetually greater; when, at the same time, more weight was laid on the art of the reciter than on the beauty of the well-known poem which he recited; and when, lastly, in addition to the rhapsodizing, a number of other musical and poetical performances claimed a place, then the rhapsodists were permitted to repeat separate parts of poems, in which they hoped to excel; and the Iliad and Odyssev (as they had not yet been reduced to writing) existed for a time only as scattered and unconnected fragments +. And we are still indebted to the regulator of the contest of rhapsodists at the Panathenea (whether it was Solon or Pisistratus). for having compelled the rhapsodists to follow one another, according to the order of the poem I, and for having thus restored these great works, which were falling into fragments, to their pristine integrity. It is indeed true that some arbitrary additions may have been made to them at this period; which, however, we can only hope to be able to distinguish from the rest of the poem, by first coming to some general agreement as to the original form and subsequent destiny of the Homeric compositions.

<sup>\*</sup> Above, p. 30, note 44,

<sup>†</sup> hierarpine, bezemine, emegibes abhussa. See the sure testimonies on this point in Wolf's Prolegomena, p. cxlin.

the broketous (or in Diog. Lacet. it brokeding) βατροδείου

## CHAPTER VI.

- § I. Gerent character of the Cyclic posm.—§ 2. The Destruction of Tory and Æblying of Artition & Wilesten.—§ 3. The Birth Bind of Lepche.—§ 4. The Cyclic of Stations.—§ 5. The Noston of Agins of Tracens.—§ 6. The Telegonia of Eugannous of Cyren.—§ 7. Forms on the War against Thebes.
  § 1. HOMEN'S poems, as they became the foundation of all Grecian
- literature, are likewise the central point of the epic poetry of Greece. All that was most excellent in this line originated from them, and was connected with them in the way of completion or continuation; so that by closely considering this relation, we arrive not only at a proper understanding of the subjects of these later epics, but even are able, in return, to throw some light upon the Homeric poems themselves,the Iliad and Odyssey. This class of epic poets is called the Cyclic, from their constant endeavour to connect their poems with those of Homer, so that the whole should form a great cycle. Hence also originated the custom of comprehending their poems almost collectively under the name of Holner\*, their connexion with the Iliad and Odyssey being taken as a proof that the whole was one vast conception. More accurate accounts, however, assign almost all these poems to particular authors, who lived after the commencement of Olympiads, and therefore considerably later than Homer. Indeed, these poems, both in their character and their conception of the mythical events, are very different from the Iliad and Odyssey. These authors cannot even have been called Homerids, since a race of this name existed only in Chios, and not one of them is called a Chian. Nevertheless it is credible that they were Homeric rhapsodists by profession, to whom the constant recitation of the ancient Homeric poems would naturally suggest the notion of continuing them by essays of their own in a similar tone. Hence, too, it would be more likely to occur that these poems, when they were sung by the same rhapsodists, would gradually themselves acquire the name of Homeric epics. From a close comparison of the extracts and fragments of these poems, which we still possess, it is evident that their authors had before them copies of the Iliad and Odyssey in their complete form, or, to speak more accurately, comprehending the same series of events as those current among the later Greeks and ourselves, and that they merely connected the action of their own poems with the beginning and end of these two epopees. But notwithstanding the close connexion which they made between their own productions and the Homeric poems, notwithstanding that they often built upon particular allusions in Homer, and formed from them long passages of their own

<sup>\*</sup> Ol µirro deganis ani ris Kinler dentipore sis niris ("Ourger). Proclus, Vita Hameri.

poeme (a fact which is particularly evident in the except of the Cypria); still their manner of treating and viewing mythical subjects differs so widely from that of Homer, as of itself to be a sufficient proof that the Homeric poems were no longer in progress of development at the off of the Cyclic poets, but had, on the whole, attained a settled form, to which no addition of importance was afterwards made. Otherwise, we could not fail to recognise the traces of a later age in the interpolated passages of the Homeric poems.

§ 2. We commence with the poems which continued the Iliad. ARCTINUS OF MILETUS was confessedly a very ancient poet, nay, he is even termed a disciple of Homer; the chronological accounts place him immediately after the commencement of the Olympiads. His poem, consisting of 9,100 verses+ (about onc-third less than the Iliad), opened with the arrival of the Amazons at Troy, which followed immediately after the death of Hector. There existed in antiquity one recension of the Iliad, which concluded as follows :- "Thus they performed the funeral rites of Hector; then came the Amazon, the daughter of the valorous man-destroying Ares L." This, without doubt, was the cyclic edition of the Homeric poems, more than once mentioned by the ancient critics: in which they appear to have been connected with the rest of the cyclus, so as to form an unbroken series. The same order of events also appears in several works of ancient sculpture, in which on one side Andromache is represented as weeping over Hector's ashes, while, on the other, the female warriors are welcomed by the venerable Priam. The action of the epic of Arctinus was connected with the following principal events. Achilles kills Penthesilea, and then in a fit of anger puts to death Thersites, who had ridiculed him for his love for her. Upon this Memnon, the son of Eos, appears with his Ethiopians, and is slain by the son of Thetis after he himself has killed in battle Antilochus, the Patroclus of Arctinus. Achilles himself falls by the hand of Paris while pursuing the Trojans into the town. His mother rescues his body from the funeral pile, and carries him restored to life to Leuce, an island in the Black Sea, where the muriners believed that they saw his mighty form flitting in the dusk of evening. Ajax and Ulysses contend for his arms: the defeat of Ajax causes his suicide §. Arctinus further related the his-

the defeat of Ajax causes his suicide §. Arctinus further related the his
In these remarks we of course except the Catalogue of the Ships. See
thap. v. § 9.

According to the inscription of the tablet in the Museo Borgia (see Heeren

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;Ως είτρ' αμερίεσεν σάφον Επιστρος' Ελές δ' 'Αμπιζείο' 'Αρικς δυγάσης μεγαλύταςος ανδροφένειο. - Schol. Ven. ad II. xxiv. ult. v."

<sup>§</sup> See Schol Pind. Isthm. iii. 58, who quotes for this event the Æthiopis, and Schol, II. xi. 515, who quotes for it the "Data wipers of Arctinus. I particularly mention this point; since, from the account in the Chrestomathia of Proclus, it might be thought that Arctinus had omitted this circumstance.

tory of the wooden horse, the careless security of the Trojans, and the destruction of Laocoon, which induces Æness to flee for safety to Ida before the impending destruction of the town. The sack of Troy by the Greeks returning from Tenedos, and issuing from the Trojan horse, was described so as to display in a conspicuous manner the arrogance and mercilesness of the Greeks, and to occasion the resolution of Athense Aleady known from the Odysevy, to punish them in various ways on their return home. This last part, when divided from the preceding, was called the Destruction of Troy (UAou xiphen); the former comprising the events up to the death of Achilles, the Aethiopis of Arctimus.

§ 3. LESCHES, or LESCHEUS, from Mytilene, or Pyrrha, in the island of Lesbos, was considerably later than Arctinus; the best authorities concur in placing him in the time of Archilochus, or about Olymp. xviii. Hence the account which we find in ancient authors of a contest between Arctinus and Lesches can only mean that the later competed with the earlier poet in treating the same subjects. His poem, which was attributed by many to Homer, and, besides, to very different authors, was called the Little Iliad, and was clearly intended as a supplement to the great Iliad. We learn from Aristotle that it comprised the events before the fall of Troy, the fate of Ajax, the exploits of Philoctetes, Neoptolemus, and Ulysses, which led to the taking of the town, as well as the account of the destruction of Troy itself: which statement is confirmed by numerous fragments. The last part of this (like the first part of the poem of Arctinus) was called the Destruction of Troy: from which Pausanias makes several quotations, with reference to the sacking of Troy, and the partition and carrying away of the prisoners. It is evident from his citations that Lesches, in many important events (e. g., the death of Priam, the end of the little Astvanax, and the fate of Eneas, whom he represents Neoptolemus as taking to Pharsalus), followed quite different traditions from Arctinus. The connexion of the several events was necessarily loose and superficial, and without any unity of subject. Hence, according to Aristotle, whilst the Iliad and Odyssey only furnished materials for one tracedy each, more than eight might be formed out of the Little Iliad !. Hence, also, the opening of

Quite differently from Virgil, who is other respects has in the second book of the Eucid chiefly followed Arctinus.

<sup>+</sup> Poet. c. 23, ad fin. ed. Bekker, (c. 38, ed. Tyrwhitt.)

<sup>1</sup> Ten are mentioned by Aristath, vita, "Option spirin, Absorbins, Norribugale, Kajerinko, Hergelie etc Odi, 12-24), Autona, this origin, Ariston, Norribugale, Among these tragedies the subject of the Assacsa is not apparent. The name of course means "Lacedomonian women," who, and next tendants of Helen, from the charas. Helen shoyed a chief part in the adventures of Upsuse as a say in Teny the subject of the frayesia above mentioned. Or perhama Pitlen was represented the subject of the frayesia above mentioned. Or perham Pitlen was represented and the subject of the frayesia above mentioned. Or perham Pitlen was represented and the subject of the frayesia above mentioned. Or perhama Pitlen was represented and the subject of the sub

the poem, which promises so much, and has been censured as arrogant, "I sing of Ilion, and Dardania famous for its horses, on whose account the Greeks, the servants of Mars, suffered many evils \*."

Before proceeding any further I feel myself bound to justify the above account of the relation between Arctinus and Lesches, since Proclus, the well-known philosopher and grammarian, to whose Chrestomathia we are indebted for the fullest account of the epic cyclet, represents it in a totally different point of view. Proclus gives us, as an abridgment of the Cyclic poets, a continuous narrative of the events of the Trojan war, in which one poet always precisely takes up another, often in the midst of a closely connected subject. Thus, according to Proclus, Arctinus continued the Homeric Iliad up to the contest for the arms of Achilles; then Lesches relates the result of this contest, and the subsequent enterprises of the heroes against Troy until the introduction of the wooden horse within the walls; at this point Arctinus resumes the thread of the narrative, and describes the issuing forth of the heroes inclosed in the wooden horse; but he too breaks off in the midst of the history of the return of the Greeks at the point where Minerva devises a plan for their punishment; the fulfilment of this plan being related by Agias, in the poem called the Nostoi. In order to make such an interlacing of the different poems comprehensible, we must suppose the existence of an academy of poets, dividing their materials amongst each other upon a distinct understanding, and with the most minute precision. It is, however, altogether inconceivable that Arctinus should have twice suddenly broken off in the midst of actions, which the curiosity of his hearers could never have permitted him to leave unfinished, in order that, almost a century after, Lesches, and probably at a still later date Agias, might fill up the gaps and complete the narrative. Moreover, as the extant fragments of Arctinus and Lesches afford sufficient proof that they both sang of the events which, according to the abstract of Proclus, formed an hiatus in their poems, it is casy to perceive that his account was not drawn up from these poems according to their original forms, but from a selection made by some grammarian, who had put together a connected poetical description of these events from the works of several Cyclic poets, in which no occurrence was repeated, but nothing of importance was omitted; and this indeed the expressions of Proclus himself appear to indicate 1. In fact, the Cyclus in this sense included not only the epoch of the Trojan war (where the poems were mutually connected by means of

 <sup>&</sup>quot;Ίλου ἀτίδο καὶ Δαφδανίας ἐδπολες,
 "Ης στρὶ πελλὰ πάθο Δαναοὶ, διράποστις "Λρηις.

<sup>†</sup> This part of the Chrestomathia was first published in the Gottingen Bibliothek first atte Litteratur und Kunst, Part i, inedita, afterwards in Gaisford's Hephrestion, p. 378, eeg., 472, seg., and elsewhere.

ζ Καὶ σερατούται ὁ Ισιαός αύαλος la διαρόρων συσσών συμπληφούμενος μέχρι τῆς ἀπεβάσεως 'Οδυστίως τῆς εἰς' Πέάαση,--Proclus, ubi sup.

their common reference to Homer), but the whole mythology, from the marriage of Heaven and Earth to the last adventures of Ulysses: for which purpose use must have been made of poems totally distinct from each other, and of whose original connexion, either in their execution or design, no trace whatever is discoverable?

§ 4. The poem which in the Cyclus preceded the Iliad, and was clearly intended by its author himself for that purpose, was the Cypria, consisting of eleven books, which may be most safely ascribed to STA-SINUS of the island of Cyprus, who, however, according to the tradition, received it from Homer himself (transformed on that account into a Salaminian from Cyprus), as a portion on the marriage of his daughter. And yet the fundamental ideas of the Cypria are so un-Homeric, and contain so much of a rude attempt at philosophising on mythology, which was altogether foreign to Homer, that Stasinus certainly cannot be considered as of an earlier date than Arctinus. The Cypria began with the prayer of the Earth to Zeus, to lessen the burdens of the race of man, already become too heavy; and then related how Zeus, with the view of humbling the pride of mankind, begot Helen upon the goddess Nemesis, and gave her to be educated by Leda. The promise by Venus of the woman whose beauty was to cause the destruction of heroes to the shepherd Paris, as a reward for the decision respecting the apple of discord, her abduction from Sparta during the absence of her husband Meuelaus in Crete, and while her brothers, the Dioscuri, are slain in battle by the sons of Aphareus, were all related in conformity with the usual traditions, and the expedition of the heroes of Greece against Troy was derived from these events. The Greeks, however, according to the Cypria, twice set out from Aulis against Troy, having the first time been carried to Teuthrania in Mysia, a district ruled by Telephus, and in sailing away having been driven back by a storm; at their second departure from Aulis the sacrifice of Iphigenia was related. The nine years' contest before Troy, and in its vicinity, did not occupy near so much space in the Cypria as the preparations for the war; the full stream of tradition, as it gushes forth from a thousand springs in the Homeric poems, has even at this period dwindled down to narrow dimensions: the chief part was connected with the incidental mentions of earlier events in Homer; as the attack of Achilles upon Eneas near the herds of cattle t, the killing of Troilus t, the selling of Lycaon to Lemnos §; Palamedes—the nobler counterpart of Ulysses—was the only

<sup>•</sup> As an additional proof of a point which indeed is almost self-erident, it may be also mentioned that, according to Proclus, there were five, and afterwards two books of Arctinus in the spic cyclus: according to the Talaha Bargsiana, however, the poems of Arctinus included 9,100 verses, which, according to the standard of the books in Homest, vould at least give twerfer books.

<sup>†</sup> Il. xx. 90, seq.

<sup>†</sup> R. xxiv. 257. The more recent poetry combines the death of Troilus with the last events of Troy.

hero either unknown to or accidentally never mentioned by Homer. Achilles was throughout represented as the chief hero, created for the purpose of destroying the race of man by manly strength, as Helen by female beauty; hence also these two beings, who otherwise could not have become personally known to each other, were brought together in a marvellous manner by Thetis and Amphitrite. As, however, the war. conducted in the manner above described, did not destroy a sufficient number of men, Zeus at last resolves, for the purpose of effectually granting the prayer of the Earth, to stir up the strife between Achilles and Agamemnon, and thus to bring about all the great battles of the Iliad. Thus the Cypria referred altogether to the Iliad for the completion of its own subject; and at the same time added to the motive supposed in the latter poem, the prayer of Thetis, a more general one, the prayer of the Earth, of which the Iliad knows nothing. In the Cypria a gloomy destiny hovers over the whole heroic world; as in Hesiod\* the Theban and Trojan war is conceived as a general war of extermination between the heroes. The main origin of this fatality is, moreover, the beauty of the woman, as in Hesiod's mythus of Pandora. The unwarlike Aphrodite, who in Homer is so little fitted for mingling in the combats of heroes, is here the conductor of the whole; on this point the Cyprian poet may have been influenced by the impressions of his native island, where Aphrodite was honoured before all other deities.

§ 5. Between the poems of Arctinus and Lesches and the Odyssey came the epic of Agrast the Troezenian, divided into five books, the Nostoi. A poem of this kind would naturally be called forth by the Odyssey, as the author in the very commencement supposes that all the other heroes, except Ulysses, had returned home from Troy. Even in Homer's time there existed songs on the subject of the homeward voyages of the heroes; but these scattered lays naturally fell into oblivion upon the appearance of Agias's poem, which was composed with almost Homeric skill, and all the intimations to be found in Homer were carefully made use of, and adopted as the outlines of the action . Agias began his poem with describing how Athene executed her plan of vengrance, by exciting a quarrel between the Atridæ themselves, which prevented the joint return of the two princes. The adventures of the Atridæ furnished the main subject of the poems. In the first place the wanderings of Menelaus, who first left the Trojan coast, were narrated almost up to his late arrival at home; then Agamemnon, who did not sail till afterwards, was conducted by a direct course to his native land :

a Hesiod. Op. et D. 160, seq.

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;Aying is the correct form of his name, in Ionic 'Hying : Adying is a corruption. '

See particularly Od. iii. 135.

and his murder and the other fortunes of his family were described up to the period when Meneluss arrives after the vengenace of Orestes had been consummated\*; with which event the poem properly concluded. Artfully interworen with the above narrative were the voyages and wanderings of the other heroes, Diomed, Nestor, Calchas, Leonteus and Polypoetes, Neopolemiss, and the death of the Locrian Ajocation on the Capherian rocks, so that the whole formed a connected picture of the Achiena heroes at variance with each other, hastening homeward by different routes, but almost universally contending with infortunes and difficulties. Ulyses allow was left for the Odyssey†.

- § 6. The continuation of the Odyssev was the Telegonia, of which poem only two books were introduced into the collection used by Proclus 1. EUGAMMON OF CYRENE, who did not live before the 53d Olympiad, is named as the author. The Telegonia opened with the burial of the suitors by their kinsmen. The want of this part renders the Odyssey incomplete as a narrative; although, for the internal unity, it is unnecessary, since the suitors are no longer a subject of interest after Ulysses had rid his house of them. The poem then related a voyage of Ulysses to Polyxenus at Elis, the motives for which are not sufficiently known to us; and afterwards the completion of the sacrifices offered by Tiresias; upon which Ulysses (in all probability in compliance with the prophecy of Tiresias, in order to reach the country where the inhabitants were neither acquainted with the sea nor with salt, the product of the sea) goes to Thesprotia, and there rules victoriously and happily, till he returns a second time to Ithaca, where, not being recognised, he is slain by Telegonus, his son by Circe, who had come to seek his father.
- § 7. With the exception of the events of the Trojan war, and the return of the Greeks, nothing was so closely connected with the Iliad and Odyssey as the War of the Argices against Thebes; since many of the principal heroes of Greece, particularly Diomed and Sthenelus, were

## \* See Od. iii. 311; iv. 547.

I is what part of the Nastoi the Nejas, or description of the infernal region, which belonged to it, was introduced, we are not infected informed; but there can scarcely be any doubt that it was connected with the funeral of Trevias, which calclass, in the Notice, electrical or Colophan. Trevias, in the Odysray, is the only think in the infernal regions who is nederate with money and understanding, and the post, whose object it was to make his work an introduction to the Odysray, have scient this upportunity to introduce the sport of the seer into the reals of studies, and by his reception by Hudes and Persphere to explain the privileger wheth, as preparatory explanation more perhaps than any other part of the Odysray, since, taken by Itself; it has something enginemation.

† These two books were evidently only an epitome of the poem; for even all that Proclus states from them has scarcely sufficient space: to say nothing of the poem on the Thesprotians in a mystic tone, which Cleenes of Alexandria (Strom. vi. 277) attributes to Engammon, and which was manifestly in its original form a part of the Telegonia.

them, a bolder and wilder race, had fought on the same spot, in a contest which, although unattended with victory, was still far from inglorious. Hence also reputed Homeric poems on the subject of this war were extant, which perhaps really bore a great affinity to the Homeric time and school. For we do not find, as in the other poems of the cycle, the names of one or several later poets placed in connexion with those compositions, but they are either attributed to Homer, as the earlier Greeks in general appear to have done \*, or, if the authorship of Homer is doubted, they are usually attributed to no author at all. The Thebais, which consisted of seven books, or 5,600 verses, originated from Argos, which was also considered by Homer as the centre of the Grecian power; it commenced "Sing, O Muse, the thirsty Argos, where the princes Ædipus, fled, and found with him a reception. The poet then took occasion to enter upon the cause of the banishment of Polynices, and related the fate of Œdipus and his curse twice pronounced against his sons. Amphiraus was represented as a wise counsellor to Adrastus, and in opposition to Polynices and Tydeus, the heroes eager for battle. Eriphyle was the Helen of this war; the seductive woman who induced her otherwise prudent husband to rush, conscious of his doom, to meet his unhappy fate .. The insolence of the Argive chiefs was probably represented as the principal cause of their destruction; Homer in the Iliad described it as the crime and curse of these heroess, and Æschvlus portrays it in characteristic emblems and words. Adrastus is only saved by his horse Areion, a supernatural being; and a prophecy respecting

The Epigoni was so far a second part of the Thebais that it was sometimes comprehended under the same name |, though it might also be considered as distinct. It began with an allusion to the first heroic expedition, "Now, O Muses, let us commence the exploits of the later men " and related the much less notorious actions of the sons of the heroes, according to all probability under the auspices of the same Adrastus \*\* who was destined to conquer Thebes, if his army should be

the Epigoni concluded the whole.

<sup>\*</sup> In Pausan. ix. 9, 3. Kalling is certainly the right reading. This ancient elegiac poet therefore, about the 20th Olympiad, quoted the Thenaid as Homeric. The Epigoni was still commonly ascribed to Homer in the time of Herodotus, iv. 32.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;Agyor aude fen rododifus, lien annere. Hence the entire poem is in Pseudo-Herod. Vit. Hom. c. 9, called 'Authors'

lEshaein le Giffag, in Suidas 'Amtonones lEiltoric. & Il. v. 409.

I Thus the scholiast on Apoll. Rhod. i. 308, in the account of Manto, cites the Thebaid for the Epigoni.

<sup>🦷</sup> Νου αυθ όπλοτίρων άνδρων άρχώμεθα, Μεύσαι.

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Pindar, Pyth. viii. 48. It can be shown that Pindar, in his mentions of this fable, always keeps near to the Thebaid.

freer from guilt, and thereby become more worthy of glory. Diomed and Sthenelus, the sons of the wild Tydeus and the reckless Capaneus, equalled their fathers in power, while they surpassed them iu moderation and respect for the gods.

Even these few, but authentic accounts exhibit glorious materials of genuine postry; and they were treated in a style which had not degenerated from Homer; the only difference being that an exalted heroic life was not, as in the III and and Odyssey, exhibited in one great acction, and wa accomplishing its appointed purpose; but a longer series of events was developed before the listuenes, externally connected by their reference to one enterprise, and internally by means of certain ement moral reflections and mythico-oblisosomical ideas.

## CHAPTER VII.

- § 1. General character of the Homeric Hymns, or Prouma.—§ 2. Occasions on which they were sung: Poets by whom, and times at which, they were composed.—§ 3. Hymn to the Delian Apollo.—§ 4. Hymn to the Pythian Apollo.—§ 5. Hymn to Hermes.—§ 6. Hymn to Aphrodite.—§ 7. Hymn to Demeter.
- § 1. One essential part of the epic style of poetry consisted of hymns. Those hymns which were recited by the epic poets, and which we comprehend under the name of Homeric, were called by the ancients proæmia, that is preludes, or overtures. They evidently in part owed this name to their having served the rhapsodists as introductory strains for their recitations: a purpose to which the final verses often clearly refer; as, "Beginning with thee I will now sing the race of the demigods, or the exploits of the herocs, which the poets are wont to celebrate \*." But the longer hymns of this class could hardly have served such a purpose; as they sometimes are equal in extent to the rhansodies into which the grammarians divided the Iliad and Odyssev, and they even contain very detailed narratives of particular legends, which are sufficient to excite an independent interest. These must be considered as preludes to a whole series of epic recitations, in other words, as introductions to an entire contest of rhapsodists; making, as it were, the transition from the preceding festival of the gods, with its sacrifices, prayers, and sacred chaunts, to the subsequent competition of the singers of heroic poetry. The manner in which it was necessary to shorten one of these long hymns, in order to make it serve as a procemium of a single poem, or part of a poem, may be seen from the

See, for example, Hymn xxxi. 18. in sie d'agganter naniem augleum ying abilen funtion, and xxxii. 18. sie d'agginter nalas phem desquai hustion de nalissed (gypaer'abile. A prayer for victory also sometimes occurs: yaip' laughtipage, yamanitage, his d'i dyon viaur volt peurleu. Hymn vi. 19.

18th of the Homeric bymns, the short one to Hermes, which has been abridged from the long one for this purpose.

With the actual ceremonies of the divine worship these hymns had civilently no immediate connection. Unlike the lyric and choral songes, they were sung neither on the procession to the temple (repuré), nor at the sacrifice (Davies), nor at the likation (erzo-ré), with which the public prayers for the people were usually connected; they had only a general reference to the god as pattorn of a festival, to which a consist of rhapsodists or poets had been appended. One bymn alone, the eighth to Ares, is not a procramium, but a prayer to the god; in this, however, the entire tone, the numerous invocations and epithets, are so referred to a much later period, and has been classed with the Orphic compositions.\*

§ 2. But although these procemia were not immediately connected with the service of the gods, and although a poet might have prefixed an invocation of this kind to an epic composition recited by him alone, without a rival, in any meeting of idle persons t, yet we may perceive from them how many and different sacred festivals in Greece were attended by rhapsodists. Thus it is quite clear that the two hymns to Apollo were sung, the one at the festival of the nativity of the god in the island of Delos, the other at that of the slaving of the dragon at Pytho: that the hymn to Demeter was recited at the Eleusinia, where musical contests were also customary; and that contests of rhapsodists were connected with the festivals of Aphrodite 1, particularly at Salamis in Cypruss, from which island we have also seen a considerable epic poem proceed. The short hymn to Artemis, which describes her wanderings from the river Meles at Smyrna to the island of Claros (where her brother Apollo awaits her) ||, appears also to have been recited at a musical contest, which was connected with the festival of these two deities in the renowned sanctuary of Claros, near Colophon. Festivals in honour of the Magna Mater of Phrygia may have likewise been celebrated in the towns of Asia Minor, also accompanied with contests of rhapsodists.

That these proximia were composed by rhapsodists of Asia Minor, nearly the same as those who were concerned in the Homeric cycle, and not by ministrels of the school of Hessiod, is proved by the fact that we find among them no hymn to the Muses, with whom the poet of

<sup>&</sup>quot;Ares is in this hymn, viii. 7, 10, also considered as the ploset of the same names: the hymn, threefore, belongs to a time when Chaldam astrology had been distunct in Greece. The contest tor which the sid of Ares is implored is a pently needed one, with the passions, and the hymn is in fact philosophical rather than Orphic.

<sup>†</sup> For example, in a kiegs, a house of public resort, where strangers found an abode. Homer, according to Pseudo-Herodotus, sang many poetical pieces in places of this description.

<sup>;</sup> Hymn vi. 19. § Hymn x. 4. Comp. ch. 6, § 4. | Hymn ix. 3, seq.

the Theogony, as he himself says, began and ended his strains\*. One short hymn however, formed of verses borrowed from the Theogony, has found its way into this miscellaneous collection t. By a similar argument we may refute the opinion that these hymns were exclusively the work of the Homerids, that is, the house of Chios: these, as we know from the testimony of Pindar, were accustomed to commence with an invocation to Zeus; while our collection only contains one very small and unimportant proæmium to this god 1.

Whether any of the preludes which Teroander, the Lesbian poet and musician, employed in his musical recitation of Homer & have been preserved in the present collection, must remain a doubtful question : it seems however probable that those hymns, composed for an accompaniment of the cithara, must have had a different tone and character.

Moreover, these hymns exhibit such a diversity of language and poetical tone, that in all probability they contain fragments from every century between the time of Homer and the Persian war. Several, as for instance that to the Dioscuri, show the transition to the Orphic poetry, and several refer to local worships, which are entirely unknown to us, as the one to Selene, which celebrates her daughter by Zeus, the goddess Pandia, shining forth amongst the immortals; of whom we can now only conjecture that the Athenian festival of Pandia was dedicated to her.

§ 3. We will now endeavour to illustrate these general remarks by some special explanations of the five longer hymns. The hymn to the Delian Apollo is (as has been already stated) | ascribed by Thucydides to Homer himself; and is, doubtless, the production of a Homerid of Chios, who, at the end of the poem, calls himself the blind poet who lived on the rocky Chios. But the notion that this poet was Cinæthus, who did not live till the 69th Olympiad , appears only to have originated from the circumstance that he was the most celebrated of the Homerids. If any one of these hymns comes near to the age of Homer, it is this one; and it is much to be lamented that a large portion of it has been lost\*\*, which contained the beginning of the narration, the true ground of the wanderings of Latona. We can only conjecture that this was the announcement, probably made by Here, that Latona would produce a terrible and mighty son: of which a contradiction is meant to be implied in Apollo's first words, where he calls the cithara his favourite instrument, as well as the bow, and

<sup>\*</sup> Theogon. 48. Endings of this kind, called by the grammarians is in the Homeric hymns, xxi. 4, and xxxiv. 18, and the short song, Hymn xxi. is probably one of them. Comp. Theogons, v. i. (225), Apollon. Rhod. Arg. iv. 1774.

<sup>+</sup> See Hymn xxv. and Theog. 94-7. ! Hymn xxiii. § Plutarch de Musica, c. 4, 6; and above, chap. iv. § 3 (p. 34).

Il Above, chap, v. & 1 (p. 42),

Schol. Pind. Nem. ii. 1,

<sup>\*\*</sup> Hymn i, 30,

declares his chief office to be the promulgation of the councils of Zeus<sup>2</sup>. The entire fable of the birth of Apollo is treated so as to give great honour to the island of Delos, which alone takes pity on Latona, and dares to offer her an asylma; the fittest subject of a hymn for the joyful spring featival, to which the Ioolians flocked together from far and wide on their pilgrimage to the holy island.

8 4. The hymn to the PYTHIAN APOLLO is a most interesting record of the ancient mythus of Apollo in the district of Pytho. It belongs to a time when the Pythian sanctuary was still in the territory of Crissa: of the hostility between the Pythian priests and the Crissmans, which afterwards led to the war of the Amphictyons against the city of Crissa (in Olymp, 47.), there is no trace; a passage of the hymn also shows that horse-races+ had not as yet been introduced at the Pythian games, which began immediately after the Crissman war: the ancient Pythian contests had been confined to music. The following is the connexion of this hymn. Apollo descends from Olympus in order to found a temple for himself; and while he is seeking a site for it in Bœotia, he is recommended by a water-goddess, Tilphussa or Delphussa. to place it in the territory of Crissa in the ravine of Parnassus : her advice being prompted by the malicious hope that a dangerous serpent, which abole there, would destroy the youthful god. Apollo accepts her counsel, but frustrates her intent; he founds his temple in this solitary glen, slavs the dragon, and then punishes Tilphussa by stopping up her fountain . Apollo then procures priests for the new sanctuary, Cretan men, whom he, in the form of a dolphin, brings to Crissa, and consecrates as the sacrificers and guardians of his sauctuary.

§ 5. The hymn to Herastas has a character very different from the others; which is the reason why modern cities have taken greater liberties with it in the rejection of verses supposed to be sparious. With that lively simplicity which gives an air of credibility to the most marvellous incidents, it relates how Hermes, begotten by Zeus in secret, is able, when only a new-born child, to leave the endel in which his mother believed him to be selfly concealed, in order to steal Apolôs cattle from the pastures of the gods in Pieria. The nimealous child succeeds in driving them away, using various contrisuances for concealing his traces, to a grotto near Pylos, and slays them there, with all the skill of the most experienced shaughterer of victims. At the same time he had made the first lyre out of a tortoise which had fallen in his way on his first going out; and with this he pacific 8 pollo, who had at length,

<sup>\*</sup> είν μοι κόθερές τε φίλη καὶ καμπύλα τέξα, χρόσω δ' ἀνθρώπουν: Δεὸς νημερτία βουλής.—Hymn. Del. Ap. 131—2.

<sup>†</sup> Hymn ii. 84, 199, where the noise of horses and chariots is given as a reason why the place is not fitted for a temple of Apollo.

It is not necessary to the right comprehension of this hymn to explain the obscurer connexion of this mythus with the worship of a Demeter Tilphossea, or Erinnys, hostile to Apollo.

by means of his power of divination, succeeded in discovering the thief; so that the two sons of Zeus form at the end the closest intimacy, after an interchange of their respective gifts. This story is narrated in a light and pointed style, the poet seems to aim at rapid transitions, and especially at the beginning he indicates the marvellous exploits of Hermes in an enigmatic manner; thus he says that "Hermes, by finding a tortoise, had gained unspeakable wealth; he had in truth known how to make the tortoise musical.\*" This style is evidently far removed from the genuine Homeric tone; although some instances of this arch simplicity occur both in the Iliad and Odyssev, and the story of the loves of Ares and Aphrodite, in the Odyssey, appears to belong to nearly the same class of compositions as this hymn. But a considerably later age is indicated by the circumstance that the lyre or the cithara-for the poet treats these two instruments as identical, though distinguished in more precise language-is described as having been at the very first provided with seven strings +; yet the words of Terpander are still extant in which he boasts of having introduced the seven-stringed cithara in the place of the four-stringed . Hence it is plain that this poem could not have been composed till some time after the 30th Olympiad, perhaps even by a poet of the Lesbian school, which had at that time spread to Peloponnesus§.

- & 6. The hymn to Approprie relates how this goddess (who subiects all the gods to her power, three only excepted) is, according to the will of Zeus himself, vanquished by love for Anchises of Troy, and meets him in the form of a Phrygian princess by the herds on Mount Ida. At her departure she appears to him in divine majesty, and announces to him the birth of a son, named Æneas, who will come to reign himself, and after him his family, over the Trojans ||. It is an obvious conjecture that this hymn (the tone and expression of which have much of the genuine Homer) was sung in honour of princes of the family of Æneas, in some town of the range of Ida, where the same line continued to reign even until the Peloponnesian war.
- § 7. The hymn to Demeren is chiefly intended to celebrate the solourning of this goddess among the Eleusinians. Demcter is seeking for her daughter, who has been carried away by Hades, until she learns from the god of the sun that the god of the infernal regions is the ravisher. She then dwells among the Eleusinians, who have hospitably received her, as the old attendant of Demophoon, until her divinity becomes evident; upon which the Eleusinians build her a temple. In this she conceals herself as a wruthful deity, and withholds her gifts from + v. 51.

Hymn iii. v. 24, 25, &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Euclides Introduct, Harmon, in Meibomius, Script, Mus. p. 19. & We know that the Lesbian lyric poet Alcaus treated the mythus of the birth of Hormes and the robbery of the caltle in a very similar manner, but of course in a

lyric form. || Hymn iv. 196, req. Compare Hiad, xx. 307.

mankind, until Zeus brings about an agreement that Cora shall be restored to her for two-thirds of the year, and shall only remain onethird of the year with Hades\*. United again with her daughter, she instructs her hosts, the Eleusinians, in return for their hospitality, in her sacred orgies.

Even if this hymn did not directly invite persons to the celebration of the Eleusinia, and to a participation in its initiatory rites, by calling those blessed who had seen them, and announcing an unhappy lot in the infernal regions to those who had taken no part in them; yet we could not fail to recognise the hand of an Attic bard, well versed in the estival and its ceremonics, even in many expressions which have an Attic and local colour. The ancient sacred legend of the Eleusinians tiles here before us in its pure and unadulerated form; so far as if can be clothed with an epic garb in a manner agreeable to a refined taste. We may hence infer the value of this hymn (which was not discovered till the last century, and of which a part is lost) for the history of the Greek religion.

## CHAPTER VIII.

- §1. Circumstances of Henoid's Life, and general character of his Poctry.—§2. The Works and Days, the Poem on Divination, and the Lessons of Chinon.—§3. The Theogony.—§4. The Great Zoin; the Catalogues of Women, the Mempodis, the Ægimins.—§5. The Marriage of Crey, the Epithlamium of Peleus and Thetis, the Descent of Thessus and Pritthous into Hell; the Shield of Hercules.
- § 1. WHILE the fairest growth of the Grecian heroic poetry was flourishing under favourable circumstances upon the coast of Asia Minor in the Æolic and Ionic colonies, the mother-country of Grecey, and especially Rootin, to which we are now to fire our attention, were not so happily situated. In that country, already thickly peopled with Greek tribes, and divided into numerous small states, the migrations with which the heroic age of Greece terminated necessarily produced a state of lasting confusion and strife, sometimes even reaching into the interior of single families. It was only on the costs of Asia Minor that the conquerors could find a wide and open field for their enterprises; this country was still for the most part virgin soil to the Greek estimate, and its native inhabitants of barbarous descent offered no very obstinate resistance to the Colonists. Hence likewise it came to pass that of the Æolic Brootians, who after the Trojan war emigrated from Thessaliotis, and obtained the sovereignty of Bootian a considerable number imme-
- \* This depends on the Athenian festival cycle. At the Thesmophoris, the festival of sowing, Cora is supposed to descend beneath the earth; on the Anthesteris, the festival of the first bloom of spring, exactly four months afterwards, she is supposed to reascend from the infernal regions.

diately quitted this narrow territory, and joined the Achæans, who, just at this time, having been driven from Peloponnesus, were sailing to Lesbos, Tenedos, and the opposite shores of Asia Minor, there to found the colonies in which the name of Æolians subsequently preponderated over that of Achæans, and became the collective denomination. As new cities and states rose up and flourished in these regions of Asia Minor, which were moreover founded and governed by descendants of the most renowned princes of the heroic age, a free scope was given to the genius of poetry, and a bright and poetical view of man's destiny was naturally produced. But in Bosotia a comparison of the present with the past gave rise to a different feeling. In the place of the races celebrated in numerous legends, the Cadmeans and Minyans, who were the carly occupants of Thebes and Orchomenos, had succeeded the Æolic Bœotians, whose native mythology appears meagre and scanty as compared with that of the other tribes. It is true that the Homeric bards allowed themselves to be so far influenced by the impressions of the present as to introduce the heroes of these Bootians, and not the Cadmeans, as taking a part in the expedition against Troy. But how little of real individual character and of poetic truth is there in Peneleus and Leitus, when compared with the leaders of the Achæan bands from Peloponnesus and Thessaly! The events of Greek history have, though not always, yet in most cases, verified the promises of their early legends; and thus we find the Bostians always remaining a vigorous, hardy race, whose mind can never soar far above the range of bodily existence, and whose cares are for the most part limited to the supply of their immediate wants-equally removed from the proud aspirings of the Doric spirit, which subjected all things within its reach to the influence of certain deeply implanted notions, and from the liveliness and fine susceptibility of the Ionic character, which received all impressions with a fond and impassioned interest. But, even in this torpid and obscure condition of Bootian existence, some stars of the first magnitude appear, as brilliant in politics as in art-Pindar, Epaminondas, and before them Hestop, with the other distinguished poets who wrote under his name.

But Hesiod, although a poet of very considerable power, was yet a true child of his nation and his times. His poetry is a faithful trameript of the whole condition of Bacotian life; and we may, on the other hand, complete our notions of Bacotian life from his poetry. If, before we proceed to examine each separate poem in detail, we first state our general impression of the whole, and compare it with that which we receive from the Homeric poems, we shall find throughout the writings of Hesiod (as well in the complete ones as in those which we can only judge by fragments) that we miss the powerful sway of a youthful fancy, which in every part of the poems of Homer sheds an expression of bright and inclustuable enjoyment, which lights up the

sublime images of a heroic age, and moulds them into forms of surpassing beauty. That abandonment of the thoughts, with heartfelt joy and satisfaction, to a flow of poetical images, such as came crowding on the mind of Homer-how different is this from the manner of Hesiod! His poetry appears to struggle to emerge out of the narrow bounds of common life, which he strives to ennoble and to render more endurable, Regarding with a melancholy feeling the destiny of the human race, and the corruption of a social condition which has destroyed all serene enjoyment, the poet seeks either to disseminate knowledge by which life may be improved, or to diffuse certain religious notions as to the influence of a superior destiny, which may tend to produce a patient resignation to its inevitable evils. At one time he gives us lessons of civil and domestic wisdom, whereby order may be restored to a disturbed commonwealth or an ill-regulated household; at another, he seeks to reduce the bewildering and endless variety of stories about the gods to a connected system, in which each deity has his appointed place. Then again the poet of this school seeks to distribute the heroic legends into large masses; and, by finding certain links which bind them all together, to make them more clear and comprehensible. Nowhere does the poetry appear as the sole aim of the poet's mind, to which he devotes himself without reserve, and to which all his thoughts are directed. Practical interests are, in a certain sense, everywhere intermixed. It cannot be denied that the poetry, as such, must thus lose much of its peculiar merit; but this loss is, to a certain extent, compensated by the beneficent and useful tendency of the composition.

This view of the poetry of Hesiod agrees entirely with the description which he has given of the manner of his first being called to the office of a poet. The account of this in the introduction to the Theogony (v. 1-35) must be a very ancient tradition, as it is also alluded to in the Works and Days (v. 659). The Muses, whose dwelling, according to the commonly received belief of the Greeks, was Olympus in Pieria. are yet accustomed (so says the Bœotian poet) to visit Helicon, which was also sacred to them. Then, having bathed in one of their holy springs, and having led their dances upon the top of Helicon, they go at night through the adjacent country, singing the great gods of Olympus, as well as the primitive deities of the universe. In one of these excursions they encountered Hesiod, who was watching his flocks by night in a valley at the foot of Helicon. Here they bestowed upon him the gift of poetry, having first addressed him in these words: "Ye country shepherds, worthless wretches, mere slaves of the belly! although we often tell falsehoods and pretend that they are true, yet we can tell truth when it pleases us."

After these words, the Muses immediately consecrated Hesiod to their service by offering him a laurel branch, which the Bosotian ministrels always carried in their hand during the recitation of poetry. There is something very remarkable in this address of the Muses. In the first place, it represents portical genius as a free gift of the Muses, imparted to a rough, unlettered man, and swakening thin from his brutish condition to a better life. Secondly, this gift of the Muses is to be dedicated to the diffusion of truth; by which the pole means to indicate the serious object and character of his theogonic and ethical potty; jo not without an implied censure of other poems which admitted of an easier and freer older of fance.

But, beautiful and significant as this story is, it is clear that the postry of Hesiod can in nowise be regarded as the product of an inspiration which comes like a divine gift from above; it must have been connected both with earlier and with contemporary forms of epic composition. We have seen that the worship of the Muses was of old standing in these districts, whither it had been brought by the Pierian tribes from the neighbourhood of Olympas; and with this worship the practice of music and poetry was most closely connected.\* This poetry consisted chiefly of songs and hymas to the gods, for which Bocotia, so rich in ancient temples, symbolical rites of worship, and festival ceremonies, offered froment competunities.

Acra liself, according to epic poems quoted by Pausnias, was founded by the Adids, who were Pierian heroes, and first sacrificed to the Muses upon mount Helicon. That Hesiod dwelt at Acra rests upon his own testimony in the Works and Days (v. 640); and this statement is confirmed in a remarkable manner by other historical accounts, for which we are indebted to the Berotian writer, Platarch. Acra land, at an early period, been destroyed by the neighbouring and powerful race of Thespians, and the Orchomenians had received the fugitive Ascreaus into their city: the oracle then commanded that the bones of Hesiod should be transferred to Orchomenus, and, when what were held to be the remains of the poet were discovered, a moument was erected to him at Orchomenus, upon which was written an inscription, composed by the Borotian epic poet Chersias, describing him as the wises of all poets.

On the other hand, the intercourse which subsisted between the Broutians and their kinsness on the Æolic coast of Asia Minor, and the Broutians and their kinsness on the Æolic coast of Asia Minor, and the flight which poorty had taken in those countries, probably contributed to stimulate the Broutian poets to new productious. There is no reason to doubt the testimony of the author of the Works and Days (v. 630), that his father came from Cyme in Æolis to Ascra: the motive which brought him thirther was doubtless the recollection of the ancient affinity between the Æolic settlers and this race of the mother-country; a recollection which was still alive at the time of the Poloponensian warf, the transition of the Asia of the Workshot and the Worksho

<sup>\*</sup> Above, chap, iii, 5 8, 9,

<sup>+</sup> See Thueyd, jii. 2; vii. 57; viii. 100.

such as this that the fame of the heroic poetry, which at that time was can be at the colonies, must have been spread over the mother-country. The ancients have eagerly seized upon this point of union in the twice of the colonies of the colonies have been pread to the colonies of the c

But it is not our intention to support the opinion that the poetry of Hesiod was merely an offset from the Homeric stock transplanted to Bœotia, or that it is judebted to the Homeric poems either for its dialect, versification, or character of style. On the contrary, the most generally received opinion of antiquity assigns Hesiod and Homer to the same period; thus Herodotus makes them both about four centuries earlier than his own time †: in such cases, too, Hesiod is commonly named before Homer, as, for instance, in this passage of Herodotus. As far as we know, it was first maintained by Xenophanes of Colophon 1 that Hesiod was later than Homer; on the other hand, Ephorus, the historian of Cyme, and many others, have endeavoured to prove the higher antiquity of Hesiod. At any rate, therefore, the Greeks of those times did not consider that Homer had formed the epic language in Ionia, and that Hesiod had borrowed it, and only transferred it to other subjects. They must have entertained the opinion (which has been confirmed by the researches of our own time), that this epic dialect had already become the language of refinement and poetry in the mother-country before the colonies of Asia Minor were founded. Moreover, this dialect is only identical in the two schools of poetry so far as its general features are concerned. Many differences occur in particular points; and it can be proved that this ancient poetical language among the Bœotian tribe adopted many features of the native dialect, which was an Æolism approaching nearly to the Doric . Neither does it appear that the phrases, epithets, and proverbial expressions common to both poets were

<sup>\*</sup> v. 299. 'Epyáfes, Higon, Aler ying. † ii. 53.

In Gellius, Noc. Att. iii. 17. Xenophanes, the founder of the Eleatic school of philosophy, who flourished about the 70th Olympiad, was also an epic poet, and may perhaps, in his series; Każówse, have found many opportunities of speaking of Homer, whom the Colophonians claimed as a countryman. See above, p. 43 (chap. v. § 2).

<sup>5</sup> Thus Hesisd often shortens the ending as in the accusative plutal of the first decleration, like Aleman, Steinburns, and Rijelshamus; it has indeed been observed that it only occurs long where the syllable is in the arisi, or where it is lengthened by position. On the whole, there is in Hesiod a greater tenders, to shorte, often to contracted forms; while Homer's war appears to have found peculiar delight in the multiplication of rower skullength.

supposed by the ancient Greeks to have been borrowed by one from the other: in general, too, they have the appearance of being separately derived from the common source of an earlier poetry, and in Hesiod sepecially, if we may judge from statements of the ancients, and from the tone of his language, sayings and idioms of the highest attiquity are preserved in all their oriental purity and simplicity.

The oninion that Hesiod received the form of his poetry from Homer cannot, moreover, well be reconciled with the wide difference which appears in the spirit and character of the two styles of epic poetry. Besides what we have already remarked upon this subject, we will notice one point which shows distinctly how little Hesiod allowed himself to be governed by rules derived from Homer. The Homeric poems, among all the forms in which poetry can appear, possess in the greatest degree what in modern times is called objectivity; that is, a complete abandonment of the mind to the object, without any intervening consciousness of the situation or circumstances of the subject, or the individual himself. Homer's mind moves in a world of lofty thoughts and energetic actions, far removed from the wants and necessities of the present. There can be no doubt that this is the noblest and most perfect style of composition, and the best adapted to epic poetry. Hesiod, however, never soars to this height. He prefers to show us his own domestic life, and to make us feel its wants and privations. It would doubtless be an erroneous transfer of the manners of later poets to this primitive age, if we regarded Hesiod's accounts of his own life as mere fictions used as a vehicle for his poetic conceptions. Moreover, the tone in which he addresses his brother Perses has all the frankness and naïreté of reality; and, indeed, the whole arrangement of the poem of the Works and Days is unintelligible, unless we conceive it as founded on a real event, such as the poet describes.

§ 2. This poem (which alone, according to Pausanias, the Becutians hold to be a genuine work of Hesiod, and with which, therefore, we may properly begin the examination of the several works of this school) is so entirely occupied with the events of common life, that the author would not seem to have been a poet by profession, as Homer was de-

<sup>&</sup>quot;Thus the verse of the Works and Days, next? I sink the year for the early fabrons times. (See Arisatel in Plattarch. Thereux, C.3.) The measing, seconding to Returnson, is. — Let the reword be smally agreed on with a friend." Humer has Design and the property of the state of the same part of t

scribed by the ancients, but some Bostian husbandman, whose mind had been so forcibly moved by peculiar circumstances as to give a poetical tone to the whole course of his thoughts and feelings. The father of Hesiod, as was before mentioned, had settled at Ascra as a farmer; and although he found the situation disadvantageous, from its great heat in summer and its storminess in winter, yet he had left a considerable property to his two sons, Hesiod and a younger brother, Perses. The brothers divided the inheritance; and Perses, by means of bribes to the kings (who at this time alone exercised the office of judge), contrived to defraud his elder brother. But Perses showed a disposition which in later times became more and more common among the Greeks; he chose rather to listen to lawsuits in the market-place, and to contrive legal quibbles by which he might defraud others of their property, than to follow the plough. Hence it came to pass that his inheritance, probably with the help of a foolish wife, was soon dissipated; and he threatened to commence a new suit against his older brother, in order to dispute the possession of that small portion of their father's land which had been allotted to him. The peculiar situation in which Hesiod was thus placed called forth the following expression of his thoughts. We give only the principal heads, in order to point out their reference to the circumstances of the poet\*.

"There are two kinds of contention" (the poet begins by saving), "the one blameable and hateful, the strife of war and litigation; the other beneficial and praiseworthy, the competition of mechanics and artists. Avoid the first, O Perses; and strive not again through the injustice of the judges to wrest from me my own; keep rather to the works of honest industry. For the gods sent toil and misery among men, when they punished Prometheus for stealing fire from beaven by sending Pandora to Enimetheus, from whose box all evils were spread among mankind. We are now in the fifth age of the world, the age of iron, in which man must perpetually contend with want and trouble, I will now relate to the judges the fable of the hawk which killed the nightingale heedless of her song. The city where justice is practised will alone flourish under the protection of the gods. But to the city where wicked deeds are done, Zeus sends famine and plague. Know, ye judges, that ye are watched by myriads of Jove's immortal spirits, and his own all-seeing eye is upon you. To the brutes have the gods given the law of force-to men the law of justice. Excellence is not to be acquired. O Perses, except by the sweat of thy brow. Labour is pleasing to the gods, and brings no shame; honest industry alone gives lasting satisfaction. Beware of wrongful acts; honour the gods; hold fast good friends and good neighbours; be not misled by an im-

<sup>\*</sup> I pass over the short procemium to Zeus, as it was rejected by most of the aucient critics, and probably was only one of the introductory strains which the Hesiodean rhapsodists could prefix to the Works and Days.

provident wife; and provide yourself with a plentiful, but not too numerous an offspring, and you will be blessed with prosperity."

With these and similar rules of economy (of which many are, perhaps, rather adapted to the wants of daily life than noble and elevated by first part of the poem concludes; its object being to improve the character and habits of Peness, to deter him from seeking riches by litigation, and incite him to a life of labour as the only source of permanent prosperity. Mythical narratives, fables, descriptions, and moral apophthegms, parties, the proverbial kind, are ingeniously chosen and combined so as to illustrate and enforce the unjoinful lifes.

In the second part, Hesiod shows Perses the succession in which his labours must follow if he determines to lead a life of industry. Observing the natural order of the seasons, he begins with the time of ploughing and sowing, and treats of the implements used in these processes, the plough and the beasts which draw it. He then proceeds to show how a prustent busbandman may employ the winter at home, when the labours of the field are at a stand; adding a description of the storms and cold of a Bozolian winter, which several modern critics have (though probably without sufficient ground) considered as exaggerated, and have therefore doubted its genuineness. With the first appearance of spring follows the dressing and cutting of the vines, and, at the rising of the Pleiades (in the first half of our May), the resping of the grain. The port then tells us how the hottest season should be employed, when the corn is threshed. The vintage, which immediately precedes the ploughting, concludes the circle of these rural occupations.

But as the poet's object was not to describe the charms of a country life, but to tesch all the means of honest gain which were then open to the Ascrean countryman, he next proceeds, after having completed the subject of hubbandary, to treat with equal detail that of narigetion. Here we preceive how, in the time of Hesiod, the Borotian farmer himself shipped the overplus of his corn and wine, and transported it to rountries where these products were less abundant. If the poet had had any other kind of trade in view, he would have been more explicit upon the subject of the goods to be exported, and would have stated how a husbandman like Pers was to procue them. Hesiod recommends for a voyage of this kind the late part of the summer, on the 50th day after the summer solutice, when there was no work to be done in the field, and when the weather in the Greek seas is the most certain.

All these precepts relating to the works of industry interrupt, somewhat suddenly, the succession of economical rules for the management of a family. The poet now speaks of the time of life when a man \* It would be a great improvement if the were relating to manings (657–762), which is a president of the property of the production maxim rules of a pricellating to neighbours, finants, which and children due to explained before the labours of agriculture, and the subsequent rules of demestic commy would all feet to the maxim, of 2 free alseative angiety explaying thus. should take a wife, and how he should look out for her. He then especially recommends to all to bear in mind that the immortal goods watch over the actions of men; in all intercourse with others to keep watch over the actions of men; in all intercourse with others to keep watch over the actions of men; in all intercourse with others to keep watch over the commonest occurrences of every-day life. At the purity and care in the commonest occurrences of every-day life. At the same time the gives many curious precepts, which reserved in acts of or the same time the gives many curious precepts, which reserved in acts of or the Pythagoreans, which ascribed a deep and spiritual import to many unimportant acts of common life.

Of a very similar nature is the last part of this poem, which treats of the days on which it is expedient or inexpedient to do this or that basiness. These precepts, which do not relate to particular seasons of the year, but to the course of each lunar month, are exclusively of a superstitions character, and are in great part connected with the different worships which were celebrated upon these days: but our knowledge is far too insufficient to explain them all.\*

If we regard the connexion of this poem, as indicated by the heads which we have mentioned, it must be confessed that the whole is perfectly adapted to the circumstances of the case; and conformable to the poet's view of turning his brother Perses from his scheme of enriching himself by unjust lawsuits, and of stimulating him to a life of laborious husbandry. On the other hand, it cannot be denied that the poet has failed in producing so perfect an agreement of the several members of his work, that by their combination they form, as it were, one body. Indeed, the separate parts have often very little connexion with each other, and are only introduced by announcements such as these, "Now, if thou wilt, I will tell another story;" or, "Now I will relate a fable to the kings," &c. This plainly shows much less art in composition than is displayed in the Homeric poems; the reason of which was the far greater difficulty which must have been felt at that time of forming general reflections upon life into a connected whole, than of relating a great heroic event.

Yet in the general tone of the poem, and in the sentiments which it displays, a sufficient uniformity is not wanting. We feel, as we read it, that we are transported back to an age of primitive simplicity, in which even the wealthy man does not disclain to increase his means by the labour of his own hands; and an attention to economical cares was not considered ignoble, as it was among the later Greeks, who from husbandmen became mere politicians. A coarse vein of honely good

On the seventh day the post himself remarks the connexion with Apollo. The region of the legioning and ending of the most his a day on which retirs are to be feared; it was considered as the birthday of the tell-worn Hercules. On the 17th the corn is to be brought to the threaking floor: the 17th of Bodermonion was the sacrificial day of Demeter and Cors at Athens (Boeckh, Corp. Inscript, Gr. No. 523), and a great day of the Elemsian.

sense, nay, even a dash of interested calculating shrewdness, which were deeply rooted in the Greek character, are combined with honourable principles of justice, expressed in nervous apophthegms and striking images. When we consider that the poet was brought up in these hereditary maxims of wisdom, and moreover that he was deeply convinced of the necessity of a life of laborious exertion, we shall easily comprehend how strongly an event such as that in which he was concerned with his brother Perses was calculated to strike his mind; and from the contrast which it offered to his convictions. to induce him to make a connected exposition of them in a room. This brings us to the true source of the Didactic Epos, which never can proceed from a mere desire to instruct; a desire which has no connexion with poetry. Genuine didactic poetry always proceeds from some great and powerful idea, which has something so absorbing and attractive that the mind strives to give expression to it. In the Works and Days this fundamental idea is distinctly perceptible; the decrees and institutions of the gods protect justice among men, they have made labour the only road to prosperity, and have so ordered the year that every work has its appointed season, the sign of which is discernible by man. In announcing these immutable ordinances and eternal laws, the poet himself is impressed with a lofty and solemn feeling, which manifests itself in a sort of oracular tone, and in the sacerdotal style with which many exhortations and precepts are delivered\*. We have remarked this priestly character in the concluding part of the poem, and it was not unnatural that many in antiquity should annex to the last verse, " Observing the omens of birds, and avoiding transgressions," another didactic epic poem of the same school of poetry upon divination t. It is stated that this poem treated chiefly of the flight and cries of birds; and it agrees with this statement, that Hesiod, according to Pausanias, learned divination among the Acananians: the Acananian families of diviners deriving their descent from Melampus, whose ears, when a boy, were licked by serpents, whereupon he immediately understood the language of the birds.

A greater loss than this supplement on divination is another poem of the same school, called the Leason of Chino (Xipueve (irrefigura), as this was in some measure a companion or counterpart to the Works and Days. For while the extant poem keeps wholly within the circle of the yearly occupations of a Boctoian husbandman, the lost one represented the wise Centaur, in his grotto upon Mount Pellon, instructing the young Achilles in all the know kedge betfitting a young prince and hero.

We allude particularly to the μίγα νέστα Πίρσα of Hesiod, and the μίγα νέστα Κρώτο of the Pythia: and to the truly oracular expressions of the Works and Days, as, the "branch of fire," σίνσεζος for the "hand;" the "day-sleeper," μιμόμαντης κόρς for the thief, &c.: on which see Gottling's Hesiod, Praf. p. xv.

<sup>†</sup> Teieras irrayouri cust ere innequarries, aron 'Arealaine; à Prince afreci.-Proclus on the Works and Days, at the end, v. 824.

We might not improperly apply to this poem the name of a German poem of the middle ages, and call it a Greek Ritterspieget.

§ 3. We now follow this school of poetry to the great attempt of forming from the Greek legends respecting the gods a connected and regular picture of their origin and powers, and in general of the entire polytheism of the Greeks. The Theogony of Hesiod is not, indeed, to be despised as a poem; besides many singular legends, it contains thoughts and descriptions of a lofty and imposing character; but for the history of the religious faith of Greece it is a production of the highest importance. The notions concerning the gods, their rank, and their affinities, which had arisen in so much greater variety in the different districts of Greece than in any other country of the ancient world, found in the Theogony a test of their general acceptance. Every legend which could not be brought into agreement with this poem sank into the obscurity of mere local tradition, and lived only in the limited sphere of the inhabitants of some Arcadian district, or the ministers of some temple, under the form of a strange and marvellous tale, which was cherished with the greater fondness because its unconformity with the received theogony gave it the charm of mystery\*. It was through Hesiod that Greece first obtained a kind of religious code, which, although without external sanctions or priestly guardians and interpreters (such as the Vedas had in the Brahmans, and the Zendavesta in the Magians), must have produced the greatest influence on the religious condition of the Greeks; inasmuch as it impressed upon them the necessity of agreement, and as the notions prevalent among the most powerful races, and at the most renowned temples, were embodied by the poet with great skill. Hence Herodotus was justified in saying that Hesiod and Homer had made the theogony of the Greeks, had assigned the names, offices, and occupations of the gods, and had determined their forms.

E\_According to the religious notions of the Greeks, the deity, who governs the world with omnipotence, and guides the destines of man with omniscience, is yet without one attribute, which is the most scenatial to our idea of the goldhed—termity. The gods of the Greeks were too closely bound up with the existence of the world to be exempt from the law by which large, shapeless masses are devolped into more and more perfect forms. To the Greeks the gods of Olympus were rather the summit and crowning point of organized and animate life, than the origin of the universe. Thus Zeuz, who must be considered as the peculiar deity of the Greeks, was doubtless, the property of the Greeks of the Greeks was doubtless to the original to the Greeks was doubtless.

<sup>•</sup> Numbers of these fables, which cannot be reconciled with the Theogony, were, as we know from Pausanias, in currency, especially in Arcadai; but how title should we know of them from writers who addressed themelves to the entire nation. The Attic tragedians likewise, in their accounts of the affinities of the gods, follow the Hesiodean Theogony far more than the local worships and legrads of Attics.

which, according to the most probable interpretation, means the " Son of the Ancient of Days ":" and, as the ruler of the clear heaven, he was derived from Uranus, or heaven itself. In like manner all the other gods were, according to their peculiar attributes and character, connected with beings and appearances which seemed the most ancient. The relation of the primitive and the originating to the recent and the derived was always conceived under the form of generation and birth-the universe being considered to have a life, like that of animals: and hence even heaven and earth were imagined to have an animal organization. The idea of creation, of so high autiquity in the east, and so early known to the Indians, Persians, and Hebrews, which supposed the Deity to have formed the world with design, as an earthly artificer executes his work, was foreign to the ancient Greeks, and could only arise in religious which ascribed a personal existence and an eternal duration to the godhead. Hence it is clear that theogonies, in the widest sense of the word-that is, accounts of the descent of the godsare as old as the Greek religion itself; and, doubtless, the most ancient bards would have been induced to adopt and expand such legends in their poems. One result of their attempts to classify the theorenic beings. is the race of Titans, who were known both to Homer and Hesiod, and formed a link between the general personifications of parts of the universe and the human forms of the Olympic gods, by whose might they were supposed to be hurled into the depths of Tartarus.

Surrounded as he was by traditions and ancient poems of this kind, it would have been impossible for Hesiod (as many moderns have conceived) to form his entire Theogony upon abstract philosophical principles of his own concerning the powers of matter and mind: if his system had been invented by himself, it would not have met with such ready acceptance from succeeding generations. But, on the other hand, Hesiod cannot be considered as a mere collector of scattered traditions or fragments of earlier poems, which he repeated almost at random, without being aware of their hidden connexion: the choice which he made among different versions of the same fable, and his shiftld arrangement of the several parts, are of themselves a sufficient proof them was guided by certain findamental ideas, and that he proceeded upon a connected view of the formation of outward nature.

To make this position more clear, it will perhaps be most advisable to illustrate the nature of the primitive beings which, according to the Theogony, preceded the race of the Titans; with the view of showing the consistency and connexion of Hesiod's notions: for the rest, a more general survey will suffice.

• Whatever doubts may exist with regard to the etymology of Keinz (whether the name comes from agains, or is eliled with agency), yet everything saised of him agrees with hits concepton, his dominion during the golden age, the representation of a simple patriarchal life at the featival of the Keinn, Cronus as the ruler of the departed hereos, &c.

"First of all (the Theogony, strictly so called, begins) was Chaos" ; that is, the abyss, in which all peculiar shape and figure is lost, and of which we arrive at the conception by excluding all idea of definite form. It is evident, however, that, as Hesiod represents other beings as springing out of Chaos, he must have meant by this word not mere empty space, but a confused mixture of material atoms, instinct with the principle of life. " Afterwards grose (that is from Chaos) the wide-bosomed Earth, the firm resting-place of all things; and gloomy Tartara in the depth of the Earth; and Eros, the fairest of the immortal gods †." The Earth, the mother of all living things, according to the notion of the Greeks and many oriental countries, is conceived to arise out of the dark abyss; her foundations are in the depth of night, and her surface is the soil upon which light and life exist. Tartara is, as it were, only the dark side of the Earth; by which it still remains connected with Chaos. As the Earth and Tartara represent the brute matter of Chaos in a more perfect form, so in Eros the living spirit appears as the principle of all increase and development. It is a lofty conception of the poet of the Theogony, to represent the God of Love as proceeding out of Chaos at the beginning of all things; though probably this thought did not originate with him, and had already been expressed in ancient hymns to Eros, sung at Thespiæ. Doubtless it is not an accidental coincidence that this city, which was 40 stadia from Ascra, should have possessed the most renowned temple of Eros in all Greece; and that in its immediate neighbourhood Hesiod should have given to this deity a dignity and importance of which the Homeric poems contain no trace. But it appears that the poet was satisfied with borrowing this thought from the Thespian hymns without applying it in the subsequent part of his poem. For although it is doubtless implied that all the following marriages and births of the gods spring from the influence of Eros, the poet nevertheless omits expressly to mention its operation. "Out of Chaos came Erebus," the darkness in the depths of the Earth, " and black Night," the darkness which passes over the surface of the Earth. "From the union of Night and Erebus proceeded Æther and Day." It may perhaps appear strange that these dark children of Chaos bring forth the ever-shining Æther of the highest heavens, and the bright daylight of the earth; this, however, is only a consequence of the general law of development observed in the Theogony, that the dim and shapeless is the prior in point of time; and that the world is perpetually advancing from obscurity to bright-

<sup>\*</sup> vast, literally synonymous with yarna, chasm.

<sup>+</sup> Plato and Aristotle in their quotations of this passage omit Tartara (also called Tartarus); but probably only because it has not so much importance among the principa munit as the others. Tartara could also be considered as included under the Earth, as it is also called Theorem yains. But the poet of the Theogony must have stated his origin in this place; as lower down he describes Typhonus as the son of the Earth and Tartarus.

ness. Light bursting from the bosom of darkness is a beautiful image. which recurs in the cosmogonies of other ancient nations. "The Earth then first produced the starry heaven, of equal extent with herself, that it might cover her all round, so as to be for ever a firm resting-place for the gods; and also the far-ranging mountains, the lovely abodes of the nymphs." As the hills are elevations of the Earth, so the Heaven is conceived as a firmament spread over the Earth; which, according to the general notion above stated, would have proceeded, and, as it were, grown out of it. At the same time, on account of the various fertilizing and animating influences which the Earth receives from the Heaven, the Greeks were led to conceive Earth and Heaven as a married pair", whose descendants form in the Theogony a second great generation of deities. But another offspring of the Earth is first mentioned. "The Earth also bore the roaring swelling sea, the Pontus, without the joys of marriage." By expressly remarking of Pontus that the Earth produced him alone without love, although the other beings just enumerated sprung from the Earth singly, the poet meant to indicate his rough and unkindly nature. It is the wild, waste salt sea, separated at its very origin from the streams and springs of fresh water, which supply nourishment to vegetation and to animal life. These are all made to descend from Ocean, who is called the eldest of the Titans. These, together with the Cyclopes and Hecatoncheires, were produced by the union of Earth and Heaven; and it is sufficient here to remark of them that the Titans, according to the notions of Hesiod, represent a system of things in which elementary beings, natural powers, and notions of order and regularity are united into a whole. The Cyclopes denote the transient disturbances of this order by storms, and the Hecatoncheires, or the hundred-handed giants, signify the fearful power of the greater revolutions of nature.

The subsequent arrangement of the poem depends on its mixed genealogical and narrative character. As soon as a new generation of gods is produced, the events are related through which it overcame the earlier race and obtained the supremacy. Thus, after the Titans and their brethren, the Cyclopes and Hecatoncheires, are enumerated, it is related how Cronus deprives his father of the power, by producing new beings, of supplanting those already in existence; whereupon follow the races of the other primitive beings, Night and Pontus. Then succeed the descendants of the Titans. In speaking of Crouus, the poet relates how Zeus was preserved from being devoured by his father, and of Inpetus, how his son Prometheus incensed Zeus by coming forward as the patron of the human race, though not for their benefit. Then follows a detailed account of the battle which Zeus and his kindred, assisted by the Hecatoncheires, waged against the Titans ; with . The same notion had prevailed, though in a less distinct form, in the early religion of outward nature among the Greeks. See above ch. ii, § 4. (p. 14).

the description of the dreadful abode of Tartara, in which the Titans were imprisoned. This part, it must be confessed, appears to be overloaded by additions of rhapsodists. An afterpiece to the battle of the Titans is the rebellion of Typhous (born of the Earth and Tartara) against Zeus. The descendants of Zeus and the Olympian gods, united with him, formed the last part of the original Theogony.

Notwithstanding the great simplicity of this plan, we may yet remark a number of refinements which show a maturely considered design on the part of the poet. For instance, Hesiod might have connected the descendants of Night (born without marriage)\* with the children which she bore to Erebus, namely Æther and Day†. But he relates first the battle of Cronus against Uranus, and the mutilation of the latter; whereby the first interruption of the peaceable order of the world is caused, and anger and curses, personified by the Furies, are introduced into the world. The mutilation, however, of Uranus caused the production of the Melie, or Nymphs of the Ash Trees, that is, the mightiest productions of vegetation; the Giants, or most powerful beings of human form; and the Goddess of Love herself. It is not till after this disturbance of the tranquillity of the world that Night produces from her dark bosom those beings, such as Death, and Strife, and Woe, and Blame, which are connected with the sufferings of mankind. Likewise the race of Pontus, so rich in monsters, with which the heroes were to fight their fiercest battles, are properly introduced after the first deed of violence upon Uranus. It is also evidently by design that the two Titans, Cronus and Iapetus, also named together by Homer, are, in the genealogy of their descendants;, arranged in a different order than at the first mention of the Titans §. In the latter passage Cronus is the vouugest of all, just as Zeus is in Hesiod the youngest among his brothers; whilst in Homer he reigns by the right of primogeniture, But Hesiod supposes the world to be in a state of perpetual development; and as the sons overcome the fathers, so also the youngest sons are the most powerful, as stauding at the head of a new order of things. On the other hand, the race of Iapetus, which refers exclusively to the attributes and destinies of mankind , is placed after the descendants of Cronus, from whom the Olympic gods proceed; because the actions and destinies of those human Titans are entirely determined by

<sup>\*</sup>v.211, sep. † v. 124. 1 v. 435, 367. 2 v. 124. 2 v. 124. 2 v. 435, 367. 2 v. 124. 2 v

their relation to the Olympians, who have reserved to themselves alone a constantly equal measure of prosperity, and act jointly in repelling with equal severity the bold attempts of the Iapetids.

Although therefore this poem is not merely an accumulation of raw materials, but contains many connected thoughts, and is formed on a well-digested plan, yet it cannot be denied that neither in the Theogony nor in the Works and Days can that perfect art of composition be found which is so conspicuous in the Homeric poems. Hesiod has not only faithfully preserved the ancient tradition, and introduced without alteration into his poetry many time-honoured savings, and many a verse of earlier songs, but he also seems to have borrowed long passages, and even entire hymns, when they happened to suit the plan of his poem; and without greatly changing their form. Thus it is remarkable that the battle of the Titans does not begin (as it would be natural to expect) with the resolution of Zeus and the other Olympians to wage war against the Titans, but with the chaining of Briareus and the other Hecatoncheires by Uranus; nor is it until the poet has related how Zeus set free these Hecatoncheires, by the advice of the Earth, that we are introduced to the battle with the Titans, which has already been some time going on. And this part of the Theogony concludes with the Hecatoncheires being set by the gods to watch over the imprisoned Titans, and Briarens, by his marriage with Cymopoleia, becoming the son-in-law of Poseidon. This Briareus, who in Homer is also called Ægæon, and represents the violent commotions and heavings of the sea, was a being who in many places seems to have been connected with the worship of Poseidon\*. and it is not improbable that in the temples of this god hymns were sung celebrating him as the vanquisher of the Titans, one of which Hesiod may have taken as the foundation of his narrative of the battle of the Titans.

It seems likewise evident that the Theogony has been in many phose interpolated by rhapsodists, as was naturally to be expected in a phose handed down by oral tradition. Enumerations of names always offered ficilities for this insertion of new verse; as, for example, the list of streams in the Theogony, which are called sons of the Oceanty-Among these we mise exactly those rives which we should expect most of find, the Boestian Asopas and Cephisus; and we find several which at any rate lie beyond the sphere of the Homeric geography, such as the later, the Eridanus, and the Nile, no longer the river of Egypt, as in Homer, but under its more modern name. The most remarkable circumstance, however, is that in this brief list of rivers, the passage of Homer's which names eight petty areas flowing from the mountains of Ida to the coast, has been a closely followed, that seven of them

<sup>\*</sup> Poseidon, from alous, which signifies waves in a state of agitation, was also called Airmin; and Airmin.

<sup>†</sup> v. 338, seq. 1 Iliad, xii. 26,

are named in Hesiod. This seems to prove incontestably that the Theogony has been interpolated by rhapsodists who were familiar with the Homeric poems as well as with those of Hesiod.

It has been already stated that the Theogony originally terminated with the races of the Olympian gods, that is, at v. 962; the part which follows being only added in order to make a transition to another and longer poem, which the rhansodists appended as a kind of continuation to the Theogony. For it seems manifest that a composer of gencalogical legends of this kind would not be likely to celebrate the goddesses who, "joined in love with mortal men, had borne godlike children" (which is the subject of the last part in the extant version), if he had not also intended to sing of the gods who with mortal women had begotten mighty heroes (a far more frequent event in Greek mythology). The god Dionysus, and Hercules, received among the gods (both of whom sprang from an alliance of this kind), are indeed mentioned in a former part of the poem". But there remain many other heroes, whose genealogy is not traced, of far greater importance than Medeius, Phocus, Æneas, and many other sons of goddesses. Moreover, the extant concluding verses of the Theogony furnish a complete proof that a poem of this description was annexed to it; inasmuch as the women whom the Muses are in these last verses called on to celebrate + can be no other than the mortal beauties to whom the gods came down from heaven. As to the nature of this lost poem of Hesiod something will be said bereafter.

Hitherto we have said nothing upon that part of the Theogony which has furnished so intrinsic a problem to the higher department of critician, viz., the prozentium, as it is only after having taken a general view of the whole poem that we can hope to succeed in ascertaining the original form of this part. It can searcely be questioned that this prozentium, with its disproportionate length (v. 1—115), its intolerable repetition of the same or very similar thoughts, and the undeniable incoherences of several passages, could not be the original introduction to the Theogony; it appears, indeed, to be as collection of all that the Bactian bards had produced in praise of the Muses. It is not, however, necessary, in order to explain how this confused mass was formed, to have recourse to complicated hypotheses; or to suppose that this long procumium was designedly formed of several shorter ones. It appears, indeed, that a much simpler explanation may be found, if we proceed upon some statements preserved in ancient authors.]. The genuine

<sup>\*</sup> v. 940, srq.

<sup>†</sup> Nos di guranar goder difeart fibrirum Meben, &c.

Especially the statement in Plutarch (tom. ii. p. 743, C. ed. Francof.) that the account of the birth of the Muses from Hesiod's poems (viz., v. 36—37 in our prom) was sung as a separate hymn; and the statement of Arisothames, the Alexandrine grammarian (in the scholia to v. 65), that the ascent of the Muses to Olympus followed their dances on Belicon.

proæmium contained the beautiful story above mentioned of the visit of the Muses to Helicon, and of the consecration of Hesiod to the office of a poet by the gift of a laurel branch. Next after this must have followed the passage which describes the return of the Muses to Olympus, where they celebrate their father Zeus in his palace as the vanquisher of Cronus, and as the reigning governor of the world; which might be succeeded by the address of the poet to the Muses to reveal to him the descent and genealogies of the gods. Accordingly the verses 1-35, 68-74, 104-115, would form the original procemium, in the connexion of which there is nothing objectionable, except that the last invocation of the Muses is somewhat overloaded by the repetition of the same thought with little alteration. Of the intervening parts one, viz., v. 36-67, is an independent hymn, which celebrates the Muses as Olympian poetesses produced by Zeus in Picria in the neighbourhood of Olympus, and has no particular reference to the Theogony. For the enumeration contained in it of the subjects sung by the Muses in Olympus, namely, first, songs to all the gods, ancient and recent, then hymns to Zeus in particular, and, lastly, songs upon the heroic races and the battle of the Giants, comprehends the entire range of the Bostian enic poetry: nay, even the poems on divination of the school of Hesiod are incidentally mentioned\*. This hymn to the Muses was therefore peculiarly well fitted to serve not only as a separate epic song, but, like the longer Homeric hymns, to open the contest of Bœotian minstrels at any festival.

But the Muses were, according to the statement of this procemium t, celebrated at the end as well as at the beginning; consequently there must have been songs of the Bœotian epic poets, in which they returned to the Muses from the peculiar subject of their composition. For a concluding address of this kind nothing could be more appropriate than that the poet should address himself to the princes, who were preeminent among the listening crowd, that he should show them how much they stood in need of the Muses both in the judgment-hall and in the assemblies of the people, and (which was a main point with Hesiod) should impress upon their hearts respect for the deities of poetry and their servants. Precisely of this kind is the other passage inserted in the original proæminm, v. 75-103, which would have produced a good effect at the close of the Theogony; by bringing back the poetry, which had so long treated exclusively of the genealogies of the gods, to the realities of human life; whereas, in the introduction, the whole passage is entirely out of place. But this passage could not remain in the place to which it belongs, viz., after v. 962, because the part relating to the goddesses who were joined in love with mortal men was inserted here, in order that the mortal women who had been loved by gods might follow, and thus the Theogony be infinitely prolonged. Hence, in

<sup>\*</sup> v. 38. sumbeau rá e' livra rá e' leciuma agé e' livra. † v. 34

making an edition of the Theogony, in which the pieces belonging to it were introduced into the series of the poon, nothing remained but to insert the hymn to the Musea as well as the epilogue in the procession; and adaptation which, however, could only have been made in an age when the true feeling for the ancient epic poetry had nearly passed away."

Lastly, with regard to the relation between the Theogony and the Works and Days, it cannot be doubted that there is a great resemblance in the style and character of the two poems; but who shall pretend to decide that this resemblance is so great as to warrant an opinion that these poems were composed by an individual, and not by a succession of minstrels? It is, however, certain that the author of the Theogony and the author of the Works and Days wish to be considered as the same person: viz., as the native of Helicon who had been trained to a country life, and had been endowed by the Muses with the gift of poetry. Nor can it be doubted that the original Hesiod, the ancestor of this family of poets, really rose to poetry from the occupations of common life; although his successors may have pursued it as a regular profession. It is remarkable how the domestic and economical spirit of the poet of the Works appears in the Theogony, wherever the wide difference of the subjects permits it; as in the legend of Prometheus and Epimethens. It is true that this takes a somewhat different turn in the Theogony and in the Works; as in the latter it is the casket brought by Pandora from which proceed all human ills, while in the former this charming and divinely endowed maiden brings woe into the world by being the progenitress of the female sex. Yet the ancient bard views the evil produced by women not in a moral but in an economical light. He does not complain of the seductions and passions of which they are the cause, but laments that women, like the drones in a hive, consume the fruits of others' industry instead of adding to the sum.

§ 4. It is remarkable that the same school of poetry which was accustomed to treat the weaker sex in this satiric spirit should have produced epics of the heroic mythology which pre-eminently sang the proises of the women of antiquity, and connected a large part of the heroic legends with renowned names of heroines. Yet the school of Hesiod might probably find a motive in existing relations and political institutions for such laudatory catalogues of the women of early times. The neighbours of the Bordoins, the Locrians, possessed a nobility consisting of a hundred families, all of which (according to Polyhiats) founded their title to nobility upon their descent from heroices.

<sup>•</sup> That there was another and wholly different version of the Theogony, which contained at the end a passage deriving the origin of Hepbastus and Athene from a contest of Zeus and Here, appears from the testimony of Chrysippus, in Galen de Hippocratis et Platonis dogm. iii, 8, p. 349, eeg.

<sup>†</sup> xii. 5.

Pindar, also, in the ninth Olympian ode, celebrates Protogeneia as the ancestress of the kings of Opus. That the poetry of this school was connected with the country of the Locrians also appears from the tradition mentioned by Thucydides\* that Hesiod died and was buried in the temple of Zeus Nemeius, near Oeneon. The district of Oeneon was bordered by that of Naupactus, which originally belonged to the Locrians: and it cannot be doubted that the grave of Hesiod, mentioned in the territory of Naupactus†, is the same burying place as that near Oeneon. Hence it is the more remarkable that Naupactus was also the birth-place of an epic poem, which took from it the name of Naupactia, and in which women of the heroic age were celebrated!. From all this it would follow that it was a Locrian branch of the Hesiodean school of poets whence proceeded the bard by whom the Foise were composed. This large poem, called the Eoise, or the Great Εοία (μεγάλαι 'Hοΐαι), took its name from the circumstance that the several parts of it all began with the words if oin. aut qualis. Five beginnings of this kind have been preserved which have this in common, that those words refer to some heroine who, beloved by a god, gave birth to a renowned heros. Thence it appears that the whole series began with some such introduction as the following: "Such women never will be seen again as were those of former times, whose beauty and charms induced even the gods to descend from Olympus." Each separate part then referred to this exordium, being connected with it by the constant repetition of the words \$ of \$\eta\$ in the initial verses. The most considerable fragment from which the arrangement of the individual parts can be best learnt is the 56 verses which are prefixed as an introduction to the poem on the shield of Hercules, and which, as is seen from the first verse, belong to the Eoiæ. They treat of Alcmene, but without relating her origin and early life. The narrative begins from the flight of Amphitryon (to whom Alemene was married) from his home, and her residence in Thebes, where the father of gods and men descended nightly from Olympus to visit her, and begot Hercules. the greatest of heroes. Although no complete history of Alemene is given, the praise of her beauty and grace, her understanding, and her conjugal love is a main point with the poet; and we may also perceive

iii. 95. + Pausan. ix. 38. 3.

<sup>?</sup> Pausanias, z. 38, 6, uses of it the expression for even-use to general, and elsewhere the Hesiodean poem is called a legislate. From single quotations it appears that, in the Nonposita, the daugater of Minyas, as well as Medea, were particularly celebrated, and that frequent mention was made of the expedition of the Arconants.

Agonamo.

§ The extant verses (which can be seen in the collection of fragments in Gaisford's Poets Minores, and other editions) refer to Carawis, the mother of Ascleptian by Apollo, to Astiops, the mother of Zethus and Amphino by Zeus, to Meconice, the mother of Euphemus by Poseidon, and to Cyrces, the mother of Aristavas by Apollo. The longer fragment relating to Memorie is explained in the text.

from extant fragments of the continuation of this section of the Eoic, that in the relation of the exploits of Hercules, the poet frequently recurred to Akmene; and her relations with her son, her admiration of his heroic valour, and her grief at the labours imposed upon him, were depicted with great tenderness. For bon this specimen we may form a judgment of the general plan which was followed throughout the poem of the Foies.

The inquiry into the character and extent of the Eoiæ is however rendered more difficult by the obscurity which, notwithstanding much examination, rests upon the relation of this poem to the κατάλογος γυναικών, the Catalogue of Women. For this latter poem is sometimes stated to be the same as the Eoiæ; and for example, the fragment on Alemene, which, from its beginning, manifestly belongs to the Eoire, is in the Scholia to Hesiod placed in the fourth book of the Catalogue: sometimes, again, the two poems are distinguished, and the statements of the Eoiæ and of the Catalogue are opposed to each othert. The Catalogues are described as an historical-genealogical poem, a character quite different from that of the Eoire, in which only such women could be mentioned as were beloved by the gods; on the other hand. the Catalogues resembled the Eoige, when in the first book it was related that Pandora, the first woman according to the Legend of the Theogony, bore Deucalion to Prometheus, from whom the progenitors of the Hellenic nation were then derived. We are therefore compelled to suppose that originally the Eoiæ and the Catalogues were different in plan and subject, only, that both were especially dedicated to the celebration of women of the heroic age, and that this then caused the compilation of a version in which both poems were moulded together into one whole. It is also easy to comprehend how much such poems, by their unconnected form, would admit of constant additions, supposing only that they were strung together by genealogies or other links; and it need not therefore seem surprising that the Eoiæ, the foundation of which had doubtless been laid at an early period, still received additions about the 40th Olympiad. The part which referred to Cyrene, a Thessalian maid, who was carried off by Apollo into Libya, and there bore Aristreus, was certainly not written before the founding of the city of Cyrene in Libya (Olymp. 37). The entire Mythus could only have

A beautiful passage, which relates to this point, is the address of Alcmene to her son, δ τίκκο, ἐ μέλα δέ ει σουρέτατοι καὶ ἄματοι Ζεὶς ἐτίκωσει πατές.

On the fragments of this part of the Eoiæ, see Dorians, vol. i. p. 540, Engl. Transl.

<sup>†</sup> For example, in the scholis to Apoll. Rhod. II. 181. Moreover, the Edin in which Comis was criebrated as the mother of Asclepius, was in contradiction with the Karákrya Ansarrálas, in which Artinos, the daughter of Leucippus, according to the Messenian tradition, was the mother of Asclepius, as appears from Schol. Theogon, 142.

originated with the settlement of the Greeks of Thera, among whom were noble families of Thessalian origin.

Of the remaining poems which in antiquity went by the name of Heisoid, it is still less possible to give a complete notion. The Metanpodio is as it were the heroic representation of that divinatory spirit of the Heisoidean poetry, the didactic forms of which have been already mentioned. It treated of the renowned prince, priest, and prophet of the Argives, Melampus; and as the greater part of the prophets who were celebrated in mythology were derived from this Melampus, the Heisoidean poet, with his predilection for genealogical connexion, probably did not fall to embrace the entire race of the Melampodias.

The Ægimine of Hesiod shows by its name that it treated of the mythical Prince of the Dorians, who, according to the legend, was the friend and ally of Hercules, whose son Hyllus he is supposed to have adopted and brought up with his own two sons Pamphylus and Dyman, a legend which referred to the distribution of the Dorians into three Phyles or tribes, the Hylleis, Pamphylians and Dymanes. The fragments of this poem also show that it comprehended the genealogical tadditions of the Dorians, and the part of the mythology of Hercules closely allied to it; however difficult it may be to form a well-groundel idea of the plan of this Epox.

An interesting kind of composition attributed to Hesiod are the smaller epics, in which not a whole series of legends or a complicated story was described, but some separate event of the Heroic Mythology, which usually consisted more in hright and cheerful descriptions than in actions of a more elevated cast. Of this kind was the marriage of Ceyx, the well-known Prince of Trachin, who was also allied in close amity with Hercules; and a kindred subject, The Epithalamium of Peleus and Thetis. We might also mention here the Descent of Theseus and Pirithous into the Infernal Regions, if this adventure of the two heroes was not merely introductory, and a description of Hades in a religious spirit the principal object of the poem. We shall best illustrate this kind of small epic poems by describing the one which has been preserved, viz., the Shield of Hercules. This poem contains merely one adventure of Hercules, his combat with the son of Ares, Cycnus, in the Temple of Apollo at Pagasse." It is clear to every reader of the poem that the first 56 verses are taken out of the Eoiæ, and only inserted because the poem itself had been handed down without an introduction, There is no further connexion between these two parts, than that the first relates the origin of the hero, of whom the short epic then relates a separate adventure. It would have been as well, and perhaps better, to have prefixed a brief hymn to Hercules. The description of the Shield of Hercules is however far the most detailed part of the poem, and that for which the whole appears to have been composed; a descrip-



tion which was manifestly occasioned by that of the shield of Achilles in the Iliad, but nevertheless quite peculiar, and executed in the genuine spirit of the Hesiodean school. For while the reliefs upon the shield of Achilles are entirely drawn from imagination, and pure poetical imagination, objects are represented upon the shield of Hercules which were in fact the first subjects of the Greek artists who worked reliefs in bronze and other decorative sculptures\*. We cannot, therefore, suppose the shield of Hesiod to be anterior to the period of the Olympiads, because before that time nothing was known of similar works of art among the Greeks. But on the other hand, it cannot be posterior to the 40th Olympiad, as Hercules appears in it armed and equipped like any other hero; whereas about this date the poets began to represent him in a different costume, with the club and lion's skin †. The entire class of these short epics appears to be a remnaut of the style of the primitive bards, that of choosing separate points of heroic history, in order to enliven an hour of the banquet, before longer compositions had been formed from them 1. On the other hand, these short Hesiodean epics are connected with lyric poetry, particularly that of Stesichorus, who sometimes composed long choral odes on the same or similar subjects (as for example, Cycnus), and not without reference to Hesiod. This close approximation of the Hesiodean epic poetry and the lyric poetry of Stesichorus doubtless gave occasion to the legend that the latter was the son of Hesiod, although he lived much later than the real founder of the Hesiodean school of poetry.

Of the other names of Hesiodean Poems, which are mentioned by

The shield of shiller contains, on the prominence in the middle, a representation of earth, haven, and set: then in the ment circular bands to cities; the one engaged in peaceable occupations, the other belongued by fore: afterwards, in six departing the containing of the containing the implement of bloody was with the most pleasure, a fice of these, at choral dance: lastly, in the citerand circle, the coran. The poet takes a delight in dearing the containing the implement of bloody was with the most pleasure, a fixed to place, and choral dance: lastly, in the citerand circle, the coran containing the containing t

<sup>+</sup> See the remarks on Peisander below, ch. x. § 3.

<sup>2</sup> See above, p. 40, (ch. iv. § 6):

grammarians, some are doubtful, as they do not occur in ancient authors, and others do not by their title give any idea of their plan and subject; so that we can make no use of them in our endeavour to convey a notion of the tone and character of the Hesiodean poetry.

## CHAPTER X.

- § 1. General character of other Epic Poets.—§ 2. Cinæthon of Lacedæmon, Eumelus of Corinth, Asius of Samos, Chersias of Orchomenus.—§ 3. Epic Poems on Hercules; the Taking of Echalia; the Heracles of Peisander of Rhodes.
- § 1. Great as was the number of poems which in ancient times passed under the name of Homer, and were connected in the way of supplement or continuation with the Iliad and Odyssey, and also of those which were included under the all-comprehensive name of Hesiod, yet these formed only about a half of the entire epic literature of the early Greeks. The hexameter was, for several centuries, the only perfectly developed form of poetry, as narratives of events of early times were the general amusement of the people. The heroic mythology was an inexhaustible mine of subjects, if they were followed up into the legends of the different races and cities; it was therefore natural, that in the most various districts of Greece poets should arise, who, for the gratification of their countrymen, worked up these legends into an epic form, either attempting to rise to an imitation of the Homeric style, or contenting themselves with the casier task of adopting that of the school of Hesiod. Most of these poems evidently had little interest except in their subjects, and even this was lost when the logographers collected into shorter works the legends of which they were composed. Hence it happened only occasionally that some learned inquirer into traditionary story took the trouble to look into these epic poems. Even now it is of great importance, for mythological researches, carcfully to collect all the fragments of these ancient poems; such, for example, as the Phoronis and Danais (the works of unknown authors), which contained the legends of the earliest times of Argos; but, for a history of literature, the principal object of which is to give a vivid notion of the character of writings, these are empty and unmeaning names. There are, however, a few epic poets of whom enough is known to enable us to form a general idea of the course which they followed.
- § 2. Of these poets several appear to have made use of the links of genealogy, in order, like the poet in the Hesiodean catalogues, to string together fables which were not connected by any main action, but which often estended over many generations. According to Pausanias, the works of Cinethou the Lacedemonian, who four-sited about the 5th Olympiad, had a genealogical foundation; and from the great pleasure which the Sportants took in the legends of the beroic age, it is ryobable

that he treated of certain mythical subjects to which a patriotic interest was attached. His Heracles, which is very rarely mentioned, may have referred to the descent of the Doric Princes from Hercules; and also his Œdipodia may have been occasioned by the first kings of Sparta, Procles and Eurysthenes, being, through their mother, descended from the Cadmean kings of Thebes. It is remarkable that the Little lliud, one of the Cyclic poems, which immediately followed Homer, was by many attributed to this Cinacthon; and another Peloponnesian bard. Eumelus the Corinthian, was named as the author of a second Cyclic Epos, the Nostoi. Both statements are probably erroneous; at least the authors of these poems must, as members of that school who imitated and extended the Homeric Epopees, have adopted an entirely different style of composition from that required for the genealogical collections of Peloponnesian legends. Eumelus was a Corinthian of the noble and governing house of the Bacchaids, and he lived about the time of the founding of Syracuse (4th Olympiad, according to the commonly received date). There were poems extant under his name, of the genealogical and historical kind; by which, however, is not to be understood the later style of converting the marvels of the mythical period into common history, but only a narrative of the legends of some town or race, arranged in order of time. Of this character (as appears also from fragments) were the Corinthiaca of Eumelus, and also, probably, the Europia, in which perhaps a number of ancient legends were joined to the genealogy of Europa. Nevertheless the notion among the ancients of the style of Eumelus was not so fixed and clear as to furnish any certain criterion: for there was extant a Titanomachia, as to which Athenœus doubts whether it should be ascribed to Eumelus, the Corinthian, or Aretinus, the Milesian. That there should exist any doubt between these two claimants. the Cyclic poet who had composed the Æthiopis, and the author of genealogical epies, only convinces us how uncertain all literary decisions in this period are, and how dangerous a region this is for the inquiries of the higher criticism. Pausanias will not allow anything of Eumelus to be genuine except a prosodion, or strain, which he had composed for the Messenians for a sacred mission to the Temple of Delos; and it is certain that this epic hymn, in the Doric dialect, really belonged to those times when Messenia was still independent and flourishing, before the first war with the Lacedemonians, which began in the 9th Olympiad†. Pausanias also ascribes to Eumelus the epic verses in the Doric

<sup>\*</sup> See Schol. Vatic. ad Eurip. Troad. 822. Eumelus (corrupted into Eumolpus) is called the author of the siers in Schol. Pind, Olymp. xiii. 31.

<sup>†</sup> The passage quoted from it by Pausanias iv. 33, p. 3.

To yar 'I Supara zaratipus Irlies Meien,

A safequ and Distress arguer (?) treats, and composed the Prosodion, had also pleased Zeus Rhomatas; that is, had gained a prize at the musical contests among the Ithommans in Messenia.

dialect, which were added to illustrate the reliefs on the chest of Cypselsus, the renowned work of ancient art. But it is plan in that Opselsus, the renowned work of ancient art. But it is plan in that the were were contemporaneous with the reliefs themselves, which were not made till a century later, under the Government of the Cype-lios at Corinth'. Astro of Samos, often mentioned by Pausanias, was a third genealogical epic poet. His powers referred chiefly to his native decutor, the Ionian island of Samos; and he appears to have taken occasion to descent to his own time; as in the glowing and virid description of the luxurious costume of the Samians at a festival procession to the temple of their garardian goddees, Here. Cherisas, the epic poet of Optomenus, collected Brottian legends and geneslogies: he was, according to Plutarcha, a contemporary of the Seven Wise Men, and appears, from the monumental inscription above mentioned, to have been a great admirer and follower of Hesiod.

§ 3. While by efforts of this kind nearly all the heroes (whose remembrance had been preserved in popular legends) obtained a place in this endlessly extensive epic literature, it is remarkable that the hero on whose name half the heroic mythology of the Greeks depends, to whose mighty deeds (in a degree far exceeding those of all the Achaian heroes before Troy) every race of the Greeks seem to have contributed its share, that Hercules should have been celebrated by no epic poem corresponding to his greatness. Even the two Homeric epopees furnish some measure of the extent of these legends, and at the same time make it probable that it was usual to compose short epic poems from single adventures of the wandering hero; and of this kind, probably, was the " Taking of Œehalia," which Homer, according to a well-known tradition, is supposed to have left as a present to a person joined to him by ties of hospitality, Creophylus of Samos, who appears to have been the head of a Samian family of rhapsodists. The poem narrated how Hercules, in order to avenge an affront early received by him from Eurytus and his sons, takes (Echalia, the city of this prince, slays him and his sons, and carries off his daughter Iole, as the spoil of war. This fable is so far connected with the Odyssey that the bow which Ulysses uses against the suitors is derived from this Eurytus, the best archer of his

<sup>\*</sup>Pausains proceeds on the supposition that this chest was the very one in which tellite Cyprellaw was cancended from the designs of the Bacchiado by his mother than the little Cyprellaw was cancended from the designs of the Bacchiado by his mother can be supposed to the control of the contr

time. This may have been the reason that very early Homerids formed of this subject a separate epos, the execution of which does not appear to have been unworthy of the name of Homer.

Other portions of the legends of Hercules had found a place in the larger poems of Hesiod, the Eoiæ, the Catalogues, and the short epics; and Cingthon the Lacedemonian may have brought forward many legends little known before his time. Yet this whole series of legends wanted that main feature which every one would now collect from poets and works of art. This conception of Hereules could not arise before his contests with animals were combined from the local tales separately related of him in Peloponnesus, and were embellished with all the ornaments of poetry. Hence, too, he assumed a figure different from that of all other heroes, as he no longer seemed to want the brazen helmet, breast-plate, and shield, or to require the weapons of heroic warfare, but trusting solely to the immense strength of his limbs, and simply armed with a club, and covered with the skin of a lion which he had slain, he exercises a kind of gymnastic skill in slaving the various monsters which he encounters, sometimes exhibiting rapidity in running and leaping, sometimes the highest badily strength in wrestling and striking. The poet who first represented Hercules in this manner, and thus broke through the monotony of the ordinary heroic combats, was Peisander, a Rhodian, from the town of Cameirus, who is placed at the 33d Olympiad, though he probably flourished somewhat later. Nearly all the allusions in his Heraclea may be referred to those combats, which were considered as the tasks imposed on the hero by Eurystheus, and which were properly called Ἰιρακλίους άθλοι. It is, indeed, very probable that Peisander was the first who fixed the number of these labours at twelve, a number constantly observed by later writers, though they do not always name the same exploits, and which had moreover established itself in art at least as early as the time of Phidias (on the temple of Olympia). If the first of these twelve combats have a somewhat rural and Idyllian character, the later ones afforded scope for bold imaginations and marvellous tales, which Peisander doubtless knew how to turn to account; as, for example, the story that Hercules, in his expedition against Geryon, was carried over the ocean in the goblet of the Sun, is first cited from the poem of Peisander. Perhaps he was led to this invention by symbols of the worship of the Sun, which existed from early times in Rhodes. It was most likely the originality, which prevailed with equal power through the whole of this not very long poem, that induced the Alexandrian grammarians to receive Peisander, together with Homer and Hesiod, into the epic canon, an honour which they did not extend to any other of the poets hitherto mentioned,

Thus the Greek Epos, which seemed, from its genealogical tendency, to have acquired a dry and steril character, now appeared once more animated with new life, and striking out new paths. Nevertheless it may be questioned whether the epic poets would have acquired this spirit if they had never moved out of the beaten track of their ancient heroic song, and if other kinds of poetry had not arisen and revealed to the Greeks the latent poetical character of many other feelings and impressions besides those which prevailed in the epos. We now turn to those kinds of poetry which first appear as the rivals of the epic strains.\*

## CHAPTER XI.

- & 1. Exclusive prevalence of Epic Poetry, in connexion with the monarchical period; influence of the chaoge in the forms of Government upon Poetry .- \$ 2. Elegriou, its meaning; origin of Elegos; plaiotive songs of Asia Minor, accompanied by the flute; mode of Recitation of the Elegy .- \$ 3. Metre of the Elegy .- \$ 4. Political and military tendency of the Elegy as composed by Callinus; the circumstances of his time, - 5 5, Tyrtwus, his Life; occasion and subject of his Elegy of Eunomia,- 5 6. Character and mode of recitation of the Elegies of Tyrtæus. § 7. Elegies of Archilochus, their reference to Banquets; mixture of convivial jollity (Asius) .- 5 8. Plaintive Elegies of Archilochus. - 5 9. Mimnermus; his Elegies; the expression of the impaired strength of the Ionic nation .- \$ 10. Luxury a consolation in this state; the Nanno of Mimpermus .- § 11. Solon's character; his Elegy of Salamis,- 12. Elegies before and after Solon's Legislation; the expression of his political feeling; mixture of Gnomic Passages (Phocylides) .-§ 13. Elegies of Theognis; their original character.—§ 14. Their origin in the political Revolutions of Megara.—§ 15. Their personal reference to the Friends of Theognis .- § 16. Elegies of Xenophanes; their philosophical tendency .-& 17. Elegies of Simonides on the Victories of the Persian War; tender and pathetic spirit of his Poetry; general View of the course of Elegiac Poetry .-5 18. Epigrams in elegiac form : their Object and Character : Simonides, as a Composer of Epigrams.
- § 1. UNTIL the beginning of the seventh century before our em, or the 20th Olympiad, the cpic was the only kind of poetry in Greece, and the lexameter the only metre which had been cultivated by the poets with art and diligence. Doubletes there were, expecially in connexion with different worships, strains of other kinds and measures of a lighter movement, according to which dances of a prightly character could be executed; but these as yet did not form a finished style of poetry, and were only rude essays and undeveloped germs of other varieties, which hitherto had only a local interest, confined to the rites and customs of particular districts. In all musical and poetfale contests the solemn and majestic tone of the cpopee and the cpic hymn alone prevailed; and the soothing placifity which these lays imparted to the mind was the only feeling which had found its satisfactory poetfal expression. As yet the beart, agistated by joy and grieft, by lowe and angaer, could not give utter-

Some epic poems of the early period, as the Mingis, Alemonia, and Thesprotia, will be noticed in the chapter on the poetry connected with the Mysteries.

ance to its lament for the lost, its longing after the absent, its care for the present, in appropriate forms of portical composition. These feelings were still without the elevation which the beauty of art can alone confer. The epopee kept the mind fixed in the contemplation of a former generation of heroes, which it could view with sympathy and interest, but not with passionate emotion. And although in the economical poen of I lesion the cares and sufferings of the present time furnished the occasion for an epic work, yet this was only a partial descent from the lofty career of epic poetry; for it immediately rose again from this lowly region, and taking a survey of things affecting not only the entire Greck nation but the whole of mankind, celebrated in solemn strains the order of the universe and of social life, as approved by the Gots.

This exclusive prevalence of epic poetry was also doubtless connected with the political state of Greece at this time. It has been already remarked\* how acceptable the ordinary subjects of the epic poems must have been to the princes who derived their race from the heroes of the mythical age, as was the case with all the royal families of early times. This rule of hereditary princes was the prevailing form of government in Greece, at least up to the beginning of the Olympiads, and from this period it gradually disappeared; at an earlier date and by more violent revolutions among the Ionians, than among the nations of Pelopounesus. The republican movements, by which the princely families were deprived of their privileges, could not be otherwise than favourable to a free expression of the feelings, and in general to a stronger development of each man's individuality. Hence the poet, who, in the most perfect form of the epos, was completely lost in his subject, and was only the mirror in which the grand and brilliant images of the past were reflected. now comes before the people as a man with thoughts and objects of his own; and gives a free vent to the struggling emotions of his soul in elegiac and iambic strains. As the elegy and the iambus, those two contemporary and cognate species of poetry, originated with Ionic poets, and (as far as we are aware) with citizens of free states; so, again, the remains and accounts of these styles of poetry furnish the best image of the internal condition of the Ionic states of Asia Minor and the Islands in the first period of their republican constitution.

§ 2. The word elegation, as used by the best writers, like the word prop, refers not to the analysis of a poem, last simply to its form. In general the Greeks, in dividing their poetry into classes, booked almost exclusively to its metrical slapse; but in considering the essence of the Greek poetry we shall not be compelled to depart from these divisions, as the Greek poets always chose their verse with the nicest attention to the feelings to be conveyed by the poem. The perfect harmony, the accurate correspondence of expression between these multifarious measurate correspondence of expression between these multifarious me-

<sup>•</sup> Chap. iv. § 1, 2.

trical forms and the various states of mlnd required by the poem, is one of the remarkable features of the Grecian poetry, and to which we shall frequently have occasion to advert. The word έλεγεῖον, therefore, in its strict sense, means nothing more than the combination of an hexameter and a pentameter, making together a distich; and an elegeia (iλεγεία) is a poem made of such verses. The word elegeion is, however, itself only a derivation from a simpler word, the use of which brings us nearer to the first origin of this kind of poetry. Elegos ("λεγος) means properly a strain of lament, without any determinate reference to a metrical form; thus, for example, in Aristophanes, the nightingale sings an elegos for her lost Itys; and in Euripides, the halcyon, or kinglisher, sings an elegos for her husband Ccvx\*; in both which passages the word has this general sense. The origin of the word can hardly be Grecian, since all the etymologies of it which have been attempted seem very improbable+; on the other hand, if it is borne in mind, how celebrated among the Greeks the Carians and Lydians were for laments over the dead, and generally for songs of a melancholy cast, it will seem likely that the Ionians, together with ditties and tunes of this kind, also received the word elegos from their neighbours of Asia Minor.

However great the interval may have been between these Asiatic dirges and the elegy as embellished and ennobled by Grecian taste. yet it cannot be doubted that they were in fact connected. Those laments of Asia Minor were always accompanied by the flute, which was of great antiquity in Phrygia and the neighbouring parts, but which was unknown to the Greeks in Homer's time, and in Hesiod only occurs as used in the boisterous strain of revellers, called Comos§. The elegy, on the other hand, is the first regularly cultivated branch of Greek poetry, in the recitation of which the flute alone, and neither the cithara nor lyre, was employed. The elegiac poet Mimnermus (about Olympiad 40, 620 s. c.), according to the testimony of Hipponax , nearly as ancient as himself, played on the finte the spacing roug; that is, literally, " the fig-branch strain," a peculiar tune, which was played at the Ionic festival of Thargelia, when the men appointed to make atonement for the sins of the city were driven out with fig branches. Nanno, the beloved of Mimnermus, was a flute player, and he, according to the

<sup>\*</sup> Aristoph. Av. 218. Eurip. Iph. Taur, 1061.

<sup>+</sup> The most favourite is the derivation from Il λίγισ: but λίγισ is here an improper form, and ought in this connexion to be λίγισ. The entire composition is, moreover, very strange.

<sup>1</sup> Carian and Lydina luments are often mentioned in antiquity (Franch Callinus, p. 123, ep.); and the antiqueste hybrian "-, in which there is something distinct, it is also very probable that the word of the line. It is also very probable that the word of an Andread College, and was brought by the Tyrrhenians from Lydin to Educai, and theter to Rome.

<sup>§</sup> Above, chap. iii. § 5.

expression of a later elegiac poet, himself played on the lotus-wood flute, and wore the monthpiece (the epofision) used by the ancient flute players when, together with his mistress, he led a comos\*. And in entire agreement with this the elegiac poet Theograis says, that his beloved and much praised Cyraus, carried by him on the wings of poetry over the whole earth, would be present at all banquets, as young men would sine of him eloquently to the clear tone of tilted futies:

Nevertheless, we are not to suppose that elegies were from the beginning intended to be sung, and to be recited like lyric powen in the narrower sense of the word. Elegies, that is distichs, were doubtless accompanied by the flute before varied musical forms were invented for them. This did not take place till some time after Terpander the Lesbian, who set hexameters to music, to be sung to the cithara, that is, probably, not before the 400 Olympiad!.

When the Amphictyons, after the conquest of Crissa, celebrated the Pythian games (Olymp, 47, 3 s.c. 590), Echembrotus the Arcadian came forward with elegies, which were intended to be sung to the flute; these were of a gloomy plaintive character, which appeared to the assembled Greeks so little in harmony with the feeling of the festival, that this kind of musical representations was immediately abandoneds. Hence it may be inferred that in early times the elegy was recited rather in the style of the Homeric poems, in a lively tone, though probably with this difference, that where the Homerid used the cithara, the flute was employed, for the purpose of making a short prelude and occasional interludes f. The flute, as thus applied, does not appear alien to the warlike elegy of Callinus: among the ancients in general the varied tones of the flute¶ were not considered as necessarily having a peaceful character. Not only did the Lydian armies march to battle, as Herodotus states, to the sound of flutes, masculine and feminine; but the Spartaus formed their military music of a large number of flutes, instead of the cithara, which had previously been used. From this however we are not to suppose that the elegy was ever sung by an army on its march, or advance to the fight, for which purpose neither the rhythm nor the style of the poetry is at all suited. On the contrary, we shall

This, according to the most probable reading, in the meaning of the passage of Hermesianax in Athen, xiii., p. 598 A. Καίτε μίν Ναινίν, ενών Γ Ιτ΄ ενλλάς λοτής καραδής (according to a mendation in the Classical Journal, vii. p. 238); κόμους ετώχε εναξεκίου (the latter words according to Schweighwaser's readering), † Theogenia, v. 237; acc., † Plattarch & Musici, iii.4, 8,

Pausan, x, 7, 3. From the statement of Chammèleon in Athen, xiv. p. 620, that
the poems of Minnermia as well as those of Homer were set to music (μιλφληθίσκι)
it may be inferred that they were not so from the beginning.

<sup>||</sup> Archilochus says the be sideries, probably in reference to an elecy (Schol. Article), and Solon is stated to have recited his elecy of Sulamis the but in these passages the, as in the case of Homer, probably expresses a measured style of recitation like that of a rhappoolist; above, ch. ir. § 3 (p. 32). Comp. also Philochorus ap. Athen. xiv. Sol.

T Handens nitel. Pindar.

find in Tyrtaus, Architochus, Xenophanes, Anneceon, and especially in Theognis, so many instances of the reference of elegiac poetry to banquets, that we may safely consider the convival meeting, and especially the latter part of it, called Comos, as the appropriate occasion for the Greek elegy.

§ 3. That the elegy was not originally intended to make a completely different impression from the epic poem, is proved by the slight deviation of the elegiac metre from the epic becameter. It seems as if the spirit of art, impatient of its narrow limits, made with this metre its first timid step out of the hallowed precinct. It does not venture to invent new metrical forms, or even to give a new turn to the solemn hexameter, by annexing to it a metre of a different character; it is contented simply to remove the third and the last thesis from every second hexameter †; and it is thus able, without destroying the rhythm, to vary the form of the metre in a highly agreeable manner. The even and regular march of the hexameter is thus accompanied by the feebler and hesitating gait of the pentameter. At the same time, this alternation produces a close union of two verses, which the hexametrical form of the epos, with its uninterrupted flow of versification, did not admit; and thus gives rise to a kind of small strophes. The influence of this metrical characterupon the structure of the sentences, and the entire tone of the language, must evidently have been very great.

§ 4. Into the fair form of this metre the Jonic poets breathed a soul, which was vividly impressed with the passing events, and was driven to and fro by the alternate swelling and flowing of a fixed of emotions. It is by no means necessary that tumentations should form the subject of the elegy, still less that it should be the lamentation of tore; but emotion is always essential to it. Excited by events or circumstances of the present time and place, the poet in the circle of his friends and countrymen pours forth his heart in a copious description of his experience, in the unreserved expression of his fears and hopes, in censure and advice. And as the commonwealth was in early times the first thought of every Greek, his feelings naturally gave rise to the political and warlike character of the elegy, which we first meet with in the noems of Callins.

The age of Callinus of Ephesus is chiefly fixed by the allisions to the expeditions of the Cimmerians and Treres, which occurred in his poems. The history of these incursions is, according to the best ancient authorities, as follows:—The nation of the Cimmerians, driven out by

<sup>\*</sup> The flute is described as used at the Comus in the passage of Hesiod cited above, p. 21 (ch. iii. § 5).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Ανδρα μοι έννισε Μοζεα πελλυτρόπον δς μάλα πολίλά,

the Scythians, appeared at the time of Gyges in Asia Minor; in the reign of Ardys (Olymp. 25, 3-37, 4; or 678-29 a.c.) they took Sardis, the capital of the Lydian kings, with the exception of the citadel, and then, under the command of Lygdamis, moved against Ionia; where in particular the temple of the Ephesian Artemis was threatened by them. Lygdamis perished in Cilicia. The tribe of the Treres, who appear to have followed the Cimmerians on their expedition, captured Sardis for the second time in union with the Lycians, and destroyed Magnesia on the Macander, which had hitherto been a flourishing city, and, with occasional reverses, had on the whole come off superior in its wars with the Ephesians. These Treres, however, under their chicftain Cobus, were (according to Strabo) soon driven back by the Cimmerians under the guidance of Madys. Halyattes, the second successor of Ardys, at last succeeded in driving the Cimmerians out of the country, after they had so long occupied it. (Olymp. 40, 4-55, 1: 617-560 B.C.) Now the lifetime of Callinus stands in relation to these events thus; he mentioned the advance of the formidable Cimmerians and the destruction of Sardis by them, but described Magnesia as still flourishing and as victorious against Ephesus, although he also knew of the approach of the Treres\*. In such perilous times, when the Ephesians were not only threatened with subjugation by their countrymen in Magnesia, but with a still worse fate from the Cimmerians and Treres, there was doubtless no lack of unwouted inducements for the exertion of every nerve. But the Ionians were already so softened by their long intercourse with the Lydians, a people accustomed to all the luxury of Asia, and by the delights of their beautiful country, that even on such an occasion as this they would not break through the indolence of their usual life of enjoyment. It is easy to see how deep and painful the emotion must have been with which Callinus thus addresses his countrymen: "How long will you lie in sloth? when will you, youths, show a courageous heart? are you not ashamed that the neighbouring nations should see you sunk in this lethargy? You think indeed that you are living in peace; but war overspreads the whole eartht."

The fragment which begins with the expressions just cited, the only

- Two fragments of Callinus prove this—
- Nor d'iel Kimingian esparès legissa iffenesegnes, and

Tenetas ardeas ayur.

Everything else stated in the text is taken from the precise accounds of Herodotus and Strato. Piny's story of the picture of Balarchus "Magnetum excidium" being bought for an equal weight of gold by Candulust, the predecessor of Gyges, must be erroneous. Probably some other Lydian named Candulus is confounded with the old king.

† Gaisford Poetæ Minores, vol. i. p. 426-

considerable remnant of Callinus, and even that an imperfect one . is highly interesting as the first specimen of a kind of poetry in which so much was afterwards composed both by Greeks and Romans. In general the character of the elegy may be recognized, as it was determined by the metre, and as it remained throughout the entire literature of antiquity. The elegy is honest and straightforward in its expression; it marks all the parts of its picture with strong touches, and is fond of heightening the effect of its images by contrast. Thus in the verses just quoted Callinus opposes the renown of the brave to the obscurity of cowards. The pentameter itself, being a subordinate part of the metre, naturally leads to an expansion of the original thought by supplementary or explanatory clauses. This diffuseness of expression, combined with the excited tone of the sentiment, always gives the elegy a certain degree of feebleness which is perceptible even in the martial songs of Callinus and Tyrtæus. On the other hand, it is to be observed that the clegy of Callinus still retains much of the fuller tone of the epic style; it does not, like the shorter breath of later elegies, confine itself within the narrow limits of a distich, and require a pause at the end of every pentameter; but Callinus in many cases comprehends several hexameters and pentameters in one period, without caring for the limits of the verses; in which respect the earlier elegiac poets of Greece generally imitated him.

§ 5. With Callinus we will connect his contemporary TYNEZES, probably a few pares younger than himself. The age of Tyrtrens is determined by the second Messenian war, in which he bore a part. If with Pusasania this war is placed between Olymp. 23. 4, and 28. I (653 and 668 n. c.), Tyrtneus would fall at the same time as, or even endier than, the circumstances of the Cimmerian invasion mentioned by Callinus, and we should then expect to find that Tyrtrens, and not Callinus, was considered by the ancients as the originator of the elegy. As the reverse is the fact, this reason may be added to others for thinking that second Messenian war did not take place till after the 3001 Obypaind (660 n.c.), which must be considered as the period at which Callinus flourished.

We certainly do not give implicit credit to the story of later writers that Tyrtaus was a hane exhodmater at Athens, sent out of insolence by the Athenians to the Spartaus, who at the command of an oracle had applied to them for a leader in the Messenian war. So much of this account may, however, he received us true, that Tyrteus came from Atties to the Lacedemonians; I he place of his abode being, according to a precise statement, Aphidnes, an Athenian town, which is placed by the legends about the Dioscuri in very early connection with Laconia.

It is even doubtful whether the part of this elegiac fragment in Stobsess which follows the histus, in fact belongs to Callinus, or whether the name of Tyrtmus has not fallen out.

If Tyteus came from Attica, it is easy to understand how the elegise metre which had its origin in louis should have been used by him, and that in the very style of Callinus. Athens was so closely connected with her Ionic colonies, that this new kind of poetry must have been soon known in the mother city. This circumstance would be far more inexplicable if Tyteuss had been a Lacedemonian by birth, as was stated vaguely by some ancient authors. For although Sparta was not at this period a stranger to the efforts of the other Greeks in portry and musics, yet the Spartans with their pealiar modes of thinking would not have been very ready to appropriate the new invention of the Ionians.

Tyrtæus came to the Lacedæmonians at a time when they were not only brought into great straits from without by the boldness of Aristomenes, and the desperate courage of the Messenians, but the state was also rent with internal discord. The dissensions were caused by those Spartans who had owned lands in the conquered Messenia: now that the Messenians had risen against their conquerors, these lands were either in the hands of the enemy, or were left untilled from fear that the enemy would reap their produce; and hence the proprietors of them demanded with vehemence a new division of lands-the most dangerous and dreadful of all measures in the ancient republics. In this condition of the Spartan commonwealth Tyrtæus composed the most celebrated of his elegies, which, from its subject, was called Eunomia, that is, "Justice." or "Good Government," (also Politeia, or "The Constitution"). It is not difficult, on considering attentively the character of the early Greek clegy, to form an idea of the manner in which Tyrtwus probably handled this subject. He doubtless began with remarking the anarchical movement among the Spartan citizens, and by expressing the concern with which he viewed it. But as in general the elegy seeks to pass from an excited state of the mind through sentiments and images of a miscellaneous description to a state of calmness and tranquillity, it may be conjectured that the poet in the Eunomia made this transition by drawing a picture of the well-regulated constitution of Sparta, and the legal existence of its citizens, which, founded with the divine assistance, ought not to be destroyed by the threatened innovations; and that at the same time he reminded the Spartans, who had been deprived of their lands by the Messenian war, that on their courage would depend the recovery of their possessions and the restoration of the former prosperity of the state. This view is entirely confirmed by the fragments of Tyrtæus, some of which are distinctly stated to belong to the Euromia. In these the constitution of Sparta is extolled, as being founded by the power of the Gods; Zeus himself having given the country to the Heracleids, and the power having been distributed in the justest manner, according to the oracles of the Pythian Apollo, among the kings, the gerons in the council, and the men of the commonalty in the popular assembly.

§ 6. But the Eunomia was neither the only nor yet the first clegy in which Tyrtæus stimulated the Lacedæmonians to a bold defence against the Messenians. Exhortation to bravery was the theme which this poet took for many elegies", and wrote on it with unceasing spirit and evernew invention. Never was the duty and the honour of bravery impressed on the youth of a nation with so much beauty and force of language, by such natural and touching motives. In this we perceive the talent of the Greeks for giving to an idea the outward and visible form most befitting it. In the norms of Tyrtzeus we see before us the determined hoplite firmly fixed to the earth, with feet apart, pressing his line with his teeth, holding his large shield against the darts of the distant enemy, and stretching out his spear with a strong hand against the nearer combatant. That the young, and even the old, rise up and yield their places to the brave; that it beseems the youthful warrior to fall in the thick of the fight, as his form is beautiful even in death, while the aged man who is slain in the first ranks is a discrace to his younger companion from the unseemly appearance of his body : these and similar topics are incentives to valour which could not fail to make a profound impression on a people of fresh feeling and simple character, such as the Spartans then were,

That these poems (although the author of them was a foreigner) breathed a truly Spartan spirit, and that the Spartans knew how to value them, is proved by the constant use made of them in the military expeditions. When the Spartans were on a campaign, it was their custom, after the evening meal, when the pean had been sung in honour of the Gods, to recite these elegies. On these occasions the whole mass did not join in the chant, but individuals vied with each other in repeating the verses in a manner worthy of their subject. The successful competitor then received from the polemarch or commander a larger portion of meat than the others, a distinction suitable to the simple taste of the Spartans. This kind of recitation was so well adapted to the elegy, that it is highly probable that Tyrtæus himself first published his elegies in this manner. The moderation and chastised enjoyment of a Spartan banquet were indeed requisite, in order to enable the guests to take pleasure in so serious and masculine a style of poetry: among guests of other races the elegy placed in analogous circumstances naturally assumed a very different tone. The elegies of Tyrtæus were, however, never sung on the march of the army and in the battle itself; for these a strain of another kind was composed by the same poet, viz., the anapæstic marches, to which we shall incidentally revert hereafter.

§ 7. After these two ancient masters of the warlike elegy, we shall pass to two other nearly contemporary poets, who have this characteristic in common, that they distinguish themselves still more in iambic than in

<sup>\*</sup> Called Tradition & Marysing (Suidas) i. e. Lessons and exhortations in elegiac verse.

elegiae poetry. Henceforward this union often appears: the same poet who employs the elegy to express his joyous and melancholy emotions. has recourse to the iambus where his cool sense prompts him to censure the follies of mankind. This relation of the two metres in question is perceptible in the two earliest iambic poets, Archilochus and Simo-NIDES OF AMOROUS. The elegies of Archilochus (of which considerable fragments are extant, while of Simonides we only know that he composed elegies) had nothing of that bitter spirit of which his iambics were full, but they contain the frank expression of a mind powerfully affected by ontward circumstances. Probably these circumstances were in great part connected with the migration of Archilochus from Paros to Thasos, which by no means fulfilled his expectations, as his iambics show. Nor are his elegies quite wanting in the warlike spirit of Callinus. Archilochus calls himself the servant of the God of War and the disciple of the Muses"; and praises the mode of fighting of the brave Abantes in Eubœa, who engaged man to man with spear and sword, and not from afar with arrows and slings; perhaps, from its contrast with the practice of their Thracian neighbours who, perhaps, greatly annoyed the colonists in Thasos by their wild and tumultuary mode of warfaret. But on the other hand, Archilochus avows, without much sense of shame, and with an indifference which first throws a light on this part of the Ionic character, that one of the Saians (a Thracian tribe, with whom the Thasians were often at war) may pride himself in his shield, which he had left behind him in some bushes; he has saved his life, and will get a shield quite as good some other time!. In other fragments, Archilochus seeks to banish the recollections of his misfortunes by an appeal to steady patience, and by the conviction that all men are equal sufferers: and praises wine as the best antidote to care§. It was evidently very natural that from the custom already noticed among the Spartans, of singing clegies after drinking parties (συμπόσια), there should arise a connexion between the subject of the poem and the occasion on which it was sung; and thus wine and the pleasures of the feast became the subject of the elegy. Symposiuc elegies of this kind were, at least in later times, after the Persian war, also sung at Sparta, in which, with all respect for the gods and heroes, the guests were invited to drinking and merriment, to the dance and the song; and, in the genuine Spartan feeling, the man was congratulated who had a fair wife at home. Among

I

<sup>·</sup> Eini & iyà họć tuo μìs Έναλίως ἄνακτος

Kal Meeries have been classes for requires:
† Gaisford, Poet. Gr. Min. frag. 4. 2 lb. frag. 3. 5 Frag. 1, v. 5; and frag. 7.
|| It is clear that the closy of I on O Chios, the contemporary of Pericles, of which Athen, xi. p. 463, has preserved five distichs, was sung in Sparta or in the Spartan camp: and moreover, at the royal table (called by Xenophon the Laurein). For Spartans alone could have been exhorted to make libations to Hercules, to Alemen, to Procles, and to the Perseids. The reason why Procles alone is mentioned, without Eurysthenes, (the other ancestor of the kings of Sparta,) can only be that the king saluted in the poem (χαφέτω ἐμέστφος βακιλιός εωτάς το σατάς το) was a Proclid,
—that is, from the date, probably, Archidamus.

the Ionians the elegy naturally took this turn at a much earlier period, and all the various feelings excited by the use of wine, in sadness or in mirth, were doubtless first expressed in an elegiac form. It is natural to expect that the praise of wine was not dissociated from the other ornament of Ionic symposia, the Hetæræ (who, according to Greek manners, were chiefly distinguished from virgins or matrons by their participation in the banquets of men); and there is extant a distich of a symposiac elegy of Archilochus, in which "the hospitable Pasiphile, who kindly receives all strangers, as a wild fig tree feeds many crows," is ironically praised; in relation to which an anecdote is preserved by Athengeus\*. This convivial elegy was allowed to collect all the images fitted to drive away the cares of life, and to pour a serene hilarity over the mind. Hence it is probable that some beautiful verses of the Ionic poet Asius. of Samos, (already mentioned among the epic poets,) belonged to a poem of this kind; in which a parasite, forcing himself upon a marriage feast, is described with Homeric solemnity and ironical seriousness, as the maimed, scarred, and gray-haired adorer of the fragrancy of the kitchen, who comes unbidden, and suddenly appears among the guests a hero rising from the mudt.

§ 8. This joyous tone of the elegy, which sounded in the verses of Archilochus, did not however hinder this poet from also employing the same metre for strains of lamentation. This application of the elegy is so closely connected with its origin from the Asiatic clegies, that it probably occurred in the verses of Callinus; it must have come from the Ionic coast to the islands, not from the islands to the Ionic coast. An elegy of this kind, however, was not a threnos, or lamont for the dead, sung by the persons who accompanied the corpse to its burial place: more probably it was chanted at the meal (called περίζειπνον) given to the kinsmen after the funeral, in the same manner as elegies at other banquets. In Sparta also an elegy was recited at the solemnities in honour of warriors who had fallen for their country. A distich from a poem of this kind, preserved by Plutarch, speaks of those whose only happiness either in life or death consisted in fulfilling the duties of both. Archilochus was induced by the death of his sister's husband, who had perished at sea, to compose an elegy of this description, in which he expressed the sentiment that he would feel less sorrow at the event if Hephæstus had performed his office upon the head aud the fair limbs of the dead man, wrapt up in white linen; that is to say, if land, and had been burnt on a funeral pile;

§ 9. Even in the ruins in which the Greek elegy lies before us, it is still the best picture of the race among which it chiefly flourished, viz.,

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. 44.

† Athen. iii. 125. The earliest certain example of parody, to which we will return in the next chapter. On Asius, see above, ch. ix.

1 Fragm. 6.

the Ionian. In proportion as this race of the Greeks became more unwarlike and effeminate, the elegy was diverted from subjects relating to public affairs and to struggles for national independence. The elegies of MIMNERMUS were indeed in great part political; full of allusions to the origin and early history of his native city, and not devoid of the expression of noble feelings of military honour; but these patriotic and martial sentiments were mingled with vain regrets and melancholy, caused by the subjection of a large part of Ionia, and especially of the native city of Minmermus, to the Lydian yoke. Minmermus flourished from about the 37th Olympiad (634 B. C.) until the age of the Seven Wise Men, about Olymp, 45 (600 g.c.); as it cannot be doubted that Solon, in an extant fragment of his poems, addresses Minnermus, as living-" But if you will, even now, take my advice, erase this; nor bear me any ill-will for having thought on this subject better than you; alter the words, Ligyastades, and sing-May the fate of death reach me in my sixticth year" (and not as Mimnermus wished, in his eightieth\*). Consequently the lifetime of Mimnermus, compared with the reigns of the Lydian kines, falls in the short reign of Sadvattes and the first part of the long reign of Halvattes, which begins in Olymp, 40, 4, B, C, 617, The native city of Minnermus was Smyrna, which had at that time long been a colony of the Ionic city Colophon t. Minnermus, in an extant fragment of his elegy Nanno, calls himself one of the colonists of Smyrna, who came from Colophon, and whose ancestors at a still earlier period came from the Nelean Pylos. Now Herodotus, in his accounts of the enterprises of the Lydian kings, states that Gyges made war upon Smyrna, but did not succeed in taking it, as he did with Colophon, Halvattes, however, at length overcame Smyrna in the early part of his reign\*. Smyrna, therefore, together with a considerable part of Ionia, lost its independence during the lifetime of Mimuermus, and lost it for ever, unless we consider the title of allies, which Athens gave to its subjects, or the nominal libertas with which Rome honoured many cities in this region, as marks of independent sovercignty. It is important to form a clear conception of this time, when a people of a noble nature, capable of great resolutions and endued with a lively and sus-

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Aλλ'li μα καὶ τον Γετ στίστας, Τέλα τουτα, μυλλ μίγαις', ότι ετῦ λόπο ἰφρακόμευ, καὶ μεταντίσευς, Δεγακτέρις, Δε' δ αϊκέ, &c. The emcodation of Δεγακτέρις δεγακταντίσεις δενακτάνει δεί ότις to a young German philologist. It is readered highly probable by the comparison of Sudas in Μεριαμες. This familiar address completes the proof that Minnermus was then still living.

<sup>†</sup> On the relations of Colophon and Smyrna; see above, ch. v. § 2.

<sup>1</sup> This appears first, because Hexodotus, 1. 16, mentions this conquest immediately after the battle with Cyaxares (who diele 354 a.c.) and the varyabision of the Climmerians; secondly, because, according to Strabo, xir. p. 646, Smyras, Almost post divided into separate villages by the Lydans, remained in that state for 400 years, until the time of Actigonus. For this it seems that Smyras £41 into the amounted to more than 300 years, of; even in that case the period cannot have

ceptible temperament, but wanting in the power of steady resistance and resolute union, bids a half melancholy, half indifferent, farewell to liberty; it is important, I repeat, to form a clear conception of this time and this people, in order to gain a correct understanding of the poetical character of Mimnermus. He too could take joy in valorous deeds, and wrote an elegy in honour of the early battle of the Smyrnæans against Gyges and the Lydians, whose attack was then (as we have already stated) successfully repulsed. Pausanias, who had himself read this elegy\*, evidently quotes from it a particular event of this war in question, viz., that the Lydians had, on this occasion, actually made an entrance into the town, but that they were driven out of it by the brayery of the Smyrngans. To this clegy also doubtless belongs the fragment (preserved by Stobæus), in which an Ionian warrior is praised, who drove before him the light squadrons of the mounted Lydians on the plain of the Hermus (that is in the neighbourhood of Smyrna), and in whose firm valour Pallas Athenè herself could find nothing to blame when he broke through the first ranks on the bloody battle-field. As in these lines the poet refers to what he had heard from his predecessors, who had themselves witnessed the hero's exploits, it is probable that this brave Smyrnæan lived about two generations before the period at which Mimnermus flourished-that is precisely in the time of Gyges. As the poet, at the outset of this fragment, says-" Not such, as I hear, was the courage and spirit of that warrior," &c.‡, we may conjecture that the brayery of this ancient Smyrnæan was contrasted with the effeminacy and softness of the actual generation. It seems, however, that Mimnermus sought rather to work upon his countrymen by a melancholy retrospect of this kind, than to stimulate them to energetic deeds of valour by inspiriting appeals after the manner of Callinus and Tyrtæus: nothing of this kind is cited from his poems.

§ 10. On the other hand, both the statements of the ancients and the extant fragments, show that Minnermus recommended, as the only consolidion in all these calamities and reverses, the enjoyment of the best part of life, and particularly love, which the gods had given as the only compensation for human ills. These seatlments were expressed in his elechrated elegy of Nanno, the most ancient errorie elegy of antiquity, which took its name from a beutiful and much-loved flute player. Yet even this elegy had contained allusions to political events: Yet is lamented how Smyran had always been an apple of discord to the neighbouring nations, and then proceeded with the verses already cited on the taking of the city by the Colophonians §: the founder of Colophon, American of Pylos, was also mentioned in it. But all these reflections on the past and present fortunes of the city were evidently intended only to recommend the enjoyment of the possign hour, as life was only worth

<sup>\*</sup> ix. 29. † iv. 21. ‡ Fragm. 11. ad Gaisford. § Fragm. 9.

having while it could be devoted to love, before unseemly and amxious old age comes on.\* These ideas, which have since been so often repeated, are expressed by Minnermus with almost irresistible grace. The beauty of youth and love appears with the greater charm when accompanied with the impression of its enducity, and the images of joy standout in the more virid light as contrasted with the shudows of deep-seated melancholyt.

§ 11. With this soft Ionian, who even compassionates the God of the Sun for the toils which he must endure in order to illuminate the earth ... Solon the Athenian forms an interesting contrast. Solon was a man of the genuine Athenian stamp, and for that reason fitted to produce by his laws a permanent influence on the public and private life of his countrymen. In his character were combined the freedom and susceptibility of the Asiatic Ionian, with the energy and firmness of purpose which marked the Athenian. By the former amiable and liberal tendencies he was led to favour a system of "live and let live," which so strongly distinguishes his legislation from the severe discipline of the Spartan constitutions: by the latter he was enabled to pursue his proposed ends with unremitting constancy. Hence, too, the elegy of Solon was dedicated to the service of Mars as well as of the Muses; and under the combined influence of a patriotic disposition like that of Callinus, and of a more enlarged view of human nature, there arose poems of which the loss cannot be sufficiently lamented. But even the extant fragments of them enable us to follow this great and noble-minded man through all the ehief epochs of his life.

The elegy of Salamis, which Solon composed about Olymp. 44 (604) a. c.) had evidently more of the five of youth in it than any other of this poems. The remarkable circumstances under which it was written are related by the aneients, from Demostines downwards, with tolerable agreement, in the following manuer. The Athenians had from an early period contested the possession of Salamis with the Magarians, and the great power of Athens was then so completely in its infancy, that they were not able to wrest this island from their Dorie neighbours, small as was the Magarian territory. The Athenians had suffered so many losses in the attempt, that they not only gave up all propositions in the popular assembly for the reconquest of Salamis, but even made it penul to bring forward such a motion. Under these circumstances, Solon one day suddenly appeared in the costume of a herald, with the proper cap (xolor) upon his head, having previously spread a report that the was mad; sprang in the place of the popular assembly mon the

That the subject of the elegy should not be contest and war, but the gifts of the Muses and Aphrodite for the embellishment of the banquet, is a sentiment also expressed by an I onian later by two generations (According of Toro), who himself also composed elegies: Of pains is agent; wang white pieces and white property and white property and the property of the

<sup>†</sup> Fragg. 1-5.

<sup>‡</sup> Fragm. 8.

stone where the heralds were wont to stand, and sang in an impassioned tone an elegy, which began with these words :- " I myself come as a herald from the lovely island of Salamis, using song, the ornament of words, and not simple speech, to the people." It is manifest that the poet feigned himself to be a herald sent from Salamis, and returned from his mission; by which fiction he was enabled to paint in far livelier colours than he could otherwise have done the hated dominion of the Megarians over the island, and the reproaches which many Salaminian partizans of Athens vented in secret against the Athenians. He described the disgrace which would fall upon the Athenians, if they did not reconquer the island, as intolerable, " In that case (he said) I would rather be an inhabitant of the meanest island than of Athens; for wherever I might live, the saying would quickly circulate- 'This is one of the Athenians who have abandoned Salamis in so cowardly a mannere.'" And when Solon concluded with the words " Lct us go to Salamis, to conquer the lovely island, and to wipe out our shame," the youths of Athens are said to have been seized with so eager a desire of fighting, that an expedition against the Mcgarians of Salamis was undertaken on the spot, which put the Athenians into possession of the island, though they did not retain it without interruption.

§ 12. A character in many respects similar belongs to the elegy of which Demosthenes cites a long passage in his contest with Æschines on the emhassy. This, too, is composed in the form of an exhortation to the people. "My feelings prompt me (says the poet) to declare to the Athenians how much mischief injustice brings over the city, and that justice everywhere restores a perfect and harmonious order of things." In this elegy Solon laments with bitter regret the evils in the political state of the commonwealth, the insolence and rapacity of the leaders of the people, i. e. of the popular party, and the misery of the poor, many of whom were sold into slavery by the rich, and carried to foreign countries. Hence it is clear that this elegy is anterior to Solon's legislation, which, as is well known, aholished slavery for deht, and made it impossible to deprive au insolvent debtor of his liberty. These verses give us a livelier picture of this unhappy period of Athens than any historical description. "The misery of the people (says Solon) forces itself into every man's house: the doors of the court-yard are no longer able to keep it out; it springs over the lofty wall, and finds out the wretch, even if he has fled into the most secret part of his dwelling."

But in other of Solon's elegies there is the expression of a subdued and tranquil joy at the ameliorations brought about in Athens by his legislative measures (Olymp. 46, 3. 594 n. c.), by which the holders of property and the commonalty had each received their due share of consideration and power, and both were protected by a firm shield.\* But this feeling of calm satisfaction was not of long continuance, as Solou observed and soon expressed his opinion in elegies, "that the people, in its ignorance, was bringing itself under the yoke of a monarch (Prisistratus), and that it was not the gods, but the thoughtlessness with which the people put the means of obtaining the sovereign power into the hands of Pisistratus, which had destroyed the liberties of Athens 1."

Solon's elegies were therefore the pure expression of his political feelings; a mirror of his patriotic sympathies with the weal and woe of his country. They moreover exhibit an excited tone of sentiment in the poet, called forth by the warm interest which he takes in the affairs of the community, and by the daugers which threaten its welfare. The prevailing sentiment is a wide and comprehensive humanity. When Solon had occasion to express feelings of a different cast-when he placed himself in a hostile attitude towards his countrymen and contemporaries, and used sarcasm and rebuke, he employed not elegiac, but iambic and trochaic metres. The elegies of Solon are not indeed quite free from complaints and reproaches; but these flow from the regard for the public interests, which animated his poetry. The repose which always follows an excited state of the mind, and of which Solon's clegies would naturally present the reflection, was found in the expression of hopes for the future, of a calm reliance on the gods who had taken Athens into their protection, and a serious contemplation of the consequences of good or evil acts. From his habits of reflection, and of reliance on his understanding, rather than his feelings, his elegies contained more general remarks on human affairs than those of any of his predecessors. Some considerable passages of this kind have been preserved; one in which he divides human life into periods of seven years, and assigns to each its proper physical and mental occupations I: another in which the multifarious pursuits of men are described, and their inability to command success; for fate brings good and ill to mortals, and man cannot escape from the destiny allotted to him by the gods§. Many maxims of a worldly wisdom from Solon's elegies are likewise preserved, in which wealth, and comfort, and sensual enjoyment are recommended, but only so far as was, according to Greek notions, consistent with justice and fear of the gods. On account of these general maxims, which are called yraqua, sayings or apophthegms, Solon has been reckoned among the gnomic poets, and his poems have been denominated gnomic elegies. This appellation is so far correct, that the gnomic character predominates in Solon's poetry; nevertheless it is to be borne in mind that this calm contemplation of mankind cannot

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. 20.

† Fragg. 18, 19. The fragm. 18 has received an additional distich from Diod.

Exc. I, vii. -x. in Mai Script. vit. Nov. Coll. vol. ii. p. 21.

† Fragm. 14,

† Fragm. 5.

alone constitute an elegy. For the unimpassioned enunciation of moral sentences, the hexaneter remained the most suitable form: bence the sayings of Phocytless of Miktus (about Olymp, 60. n.c., 540), with the perpetually recurring introduction "This, too, is a saying of Phocylides," appear, from the genuine remnants of them, to have consisted only of beammeters.\*

\$ 13. The remains of THEOGNIS, on the other hand, belong both in matter and form to the elegy properly so called, although in all that respects their connexion and their character as works of art, they have come down to us in so unintelligible a shape, that at first sight the most copious remains of any Greek elegiac poet that we possess-for more than 1400 verses are preserved under the name of Theornis-would seem to throw less light on the character of the Greek elegy than the much scantier fragments of Solon and Tyrtæus. It appears that from the time of Xenophon, Theognis was considered chiefly as a teacher of wisdom and virtue, and that those parts of his writings which had a general application were far more prized than those which referred to some particular occasion. When, therefore, in later times it became the fashion to extract the general remarks and apophthegms from the poets, everything was rejected from Theornis, by which his elegies were limited to particular situations, or obtained an individual colouring; and the gnomology or collection of anoththegms was formed. which, after various revisions and the interpolation of some fragments of other elegiae poets, is still extant. We know, however, that Theognis composed complete clegies, especially one to the Sicilian Megarians, who escaped with their lives at the siege of Megara by Gelon (Olymp. 74, 2, 483 B.c.); and the gnomic fragments themselves exhibit in numerous places the traces of poems which were composed for particular objects, and which on the whole could not have been very different from the elegies of Tyrtæus, Archilochus, and Solon. As in these poems of Theognis there is a perpetual reference to political subjects, it will be necessary first to cast a glance at the condition of Megara in his time.

§ 14. Megara, the Doric neighbour of Athens, bad, after its separation from Corinth, remained for a long time under the undisturbed dominion of a Doric nobility, which founded its claim to the exercise of the sovereign power both on its descent, and its possession of large landed exates. But before the legislation of Solon, Theagenes had mised himself to absolute power over the Megarians by pretending to espouse

to the γνόμασ, almost of a self-paredy:—

Kai τόθι Φυανλίδιου Λόρων κακού εύχ ὁ μία, δι δ΄ εύτ

Πάντις, πλόν Προκλέους, καὶ Προκλέος Λέρως.

(Gaisford, fragm. 5.)

<sup>•</sup> Two distichs cited under the name of Phocylides, in which in the first person he expresses warmth and fidelity to friends, are probably the fragment of an elegy. On the other hand, there is a distict which has the appearance of a jocular appendix to the course almost of a self-anady.

the popular cause. After he had been overthrown, the aristocracy was restored, but only for a short period, as the commons rose with violence against the nobles, and founded a democracy, which however led to such a state of anarchy, that the expelled nobles found the means of regaining their lost power. Now the poetry of Theognis, so far as its political character extends, evidently falls in the beginning of this democracy, probably nearer to the 70th (500 B.C.) than the 60th Olympiad (540 B.C.): for Theognis, although according to the ancient accounts he was born before the 60th Olympiad, yet from his own verses appears to have lived to the Persian war (Olymp. 75. 480 B. c.). volutions of this kind were in the ancient Greek states usually accompanied with divisions of the large landed estates among the commons; and by a fresh partition of the Megarian territory, made by the democratic party, Theognis, who happened to be absent on a voyage, was deprived of the rich heritage of his ancestors. Hence he longs for vengeance on the men who had spoiled him of his property, while he himself had only escaped with his life; like a dog who throws every thing away in order to cross a torrent , and the cry of the crane, which gives warning of the season of tillage, reminds him of his fertile fields now in other men's hands †. These fragments are therefore full of allusions to the violent political measures which in Greece usually accompanied the accession of the democratic party to power. One of the principal changes on such occasions was commonly the adoption into the sovereign community of Periaci, that is, cultivators who were before excluded from all share in the government. Of this Theognis says . " Cyrnus. this city is still the city, but a different people are in it, who formerly knew nothing of courts of justice and laws, but wore their country dress of goat skins at their work, and like timid deer dwelt at a distance from the town. And now they are the better class; and those who were formerly noble are now the mean; who can endure to see these things?" The expressions good and bad men (άγαθοὶ, ἐσθλοὶ and κακοί, δειλοί), which in later times bore a purely moral signification, are evidently used by Theognis in a political sense for nobles and commons; or rather his use of these words rests in fact upon the supposition that a brave spirit and honourable conduct can be expected only of men descended from a family long tried in peace and war. Hence his chief complaint is, that the good man, that is, the noble, is now of no account as compared with the rich man; and that wealth is the only object of all. "They honour riches, and thus the good marries the daughter of the bad, and the bad marries the daughter of the good; wealth corrupts the bloods. Hence, son of Polypas, do not wonder if the race of the citizens loses its brightness, for good and bad are confounded toge-

τ. 345, arq. ed. Bekker. † τ. 1297, arq. ‡ 53, arq.
 δ σλούτοι Ιμάι γίνοι.

ther \*." Theograis doubtless made this complaint on the debasement of the Megarian nobility with the stronger feeling of bitterness, as he himself had been rejected by the parents of a young woman, whom he had desired to marry, and a far worse man, that is, a man of plebeian blood, had been preferred to him? . Yet the girl henelf was captivated with the noble descent of Theograis : he hated her ignoble husband, and came disguised to the poet, "with the lightness of a little bird," as he says?.

With regard to the union of these fragments into entire elegies, it is important to remark that all the complaints, warnings, and lessons having a political reference, appear to be addressed to a single young friend of the poet, Cyrnus, the son of Polypas §. Wherever other names occur, either the subject is quite different, or it is at least treated in a different manner. Thus there is a considerable fragment of an elegy addressed by Theognis to a friend named Simonides, at the time of the revolution, which in the poems addressed to Cyrnus is described as passed by. In this passage the insurrection is described under the favourite image of a ship tossed about by winds and waves, while the crew have deposed the skilful steersman, and entrusted the guidance of the helm to the common working sailor. " Let this (the poet adds) be revealed to the good in enigmatic language; vet a bad man may understand it, if he has sense." It is manifest that this poem was composed during a reign of terror, which checked the freedom of speech; on the other hand, in the poems addressed to Cyrnus, Theognis openly displays all his opinions and feelings. So far is he from concealing his hatred of the popular party, that he wishes that he could drink the blood of those who had deprived him of his property !.

§ 15. On attempting to ascertain more precisely the relation of Cyrnus to Theognis, it appears that the son of Polypas was a youth of noble family, to whom Theognis bore a tender, but at the same time paternal, regard, and whom he desires to see a "good" citizen, in his sense of the word. The interest felt by the poet in Cyrnus probably appeared much more clearly in the complete edegies than in the gnomic extracts now preserved, in which the address to Cyrnus might appear a mere asperfluity. Several passages have, however, been preserved, in which the true state of his relation to Theognis is apparent. "Cyrnus ¶ (says the poet) when cui blefsh you, we all were; but grief for others is with the poet) when cui blefsh you, we all were; but grief for others is with

<sup>\*</sup>v. 189. sp. † v. 261, ep. † v. 1901. § Elmstey has remarked that Interactle is to be read as a patronymic. The remark is certain, as Bobrache serve occurs before a consonant, but nine times before a vowel, and moreover in passages where fire were requires a dartyl. The caborations with the addresses Keys and Bobrache are also closely connected, relativest (with the long a) has the same meaning as wherefule, a first proprietor.

<sup>|</sup> In v. 667—82 there is a manifest allusion to the γας άναδασμός in the verses Χεόματα δ' ἀντάζεσες βία, κόσμος δ' ἀνόλαλιε, Δασμός δ'εύκίτ' δας γγράται is το μίστι.

\* \* 3.319

you only a transient feelings." "I have given you wings, with which you will fly over sea and hand, and will be present at all banquets, as young men will sing of you to the flute. Even in future times your name will be dear to all the hovers of song, so long as the earth and sun endure. But to me you shew but little respect, deceiving me with words like a little boy." It is plain that Cyrnus did not place in Theognis that endire confidence which the poet desired. It cannot, however, be doubted that these affectionate appeals and tender reprouches are to be taken in the sense of the earlier and pure Doric custom, and that no connexion of a criminal nature is to be understood, with which it would be inconsistent that the pote recommends a married life to the youth ‡. Cyrnus also is sufficiently old to be sent as a sacred encry (8ωμο): Do Pelphi, in order to bring back an oracle to the city. The pote exhorts him to preserve it faithfully, and not to add or to omit a word §.

The poems of Theognis, even in the form in which they are extant, place us in the middle of a circle of friends, who formed a kind of eating society, like the philitia of Sparta, and like the ancient public tables of Mcgara itself. The Spartan public tables are described to us as a kind of aristocratic clubs; and these societies in Megara might serve to awaken and keep alive an aristocratic disposition. Theognis himself thinks that those who, according to the original constitution of Megara. possessed the chief power, were the only persons with whom any one ought to eat and drink, and to sit, and whom he should strive to please II. It is therefore manifest that all the friends whom Theognis names, not only Cyrnus and Simonides, but also Onomacritus, Clearistus, Dennie cles, Demonax, and Timagoras, belonged to the class of the "good," although the political maxims are only addressed to Cyrnus. Various events in the lives of these friends, or the qualities which each shewed at their convivial meetings, furnished occasions for separate, but probably short elegies. In one the poet laments that Clearistus should have made an unfortunate voyage, and promises him the assistance which is due to one connected with his family by ancient ties of hospitality¶: in another he wishes a happy voyage to the same or another friend \*\*. To Simonides, as being the chief of the society, he addresses a farewell elegy, exhorting him to leave to every guest his liberty, not to detain any one desirous to depart, or to waken the sleeping, &c. ††; and to Onomaeritus the poet laments over the consequences of inordinate drinking !!. Few of the persons whom he addresses appear to have been without this circle of friends, although his fame had even in his lifetime spread

far beyond Megara, by means of his travels as well as of his poetry; and his elegies were sung in many symposia \*.

- The poetry of Theognis is full of allusions to symposia: so that from it a clear conception of the outward accompaniments of the elegy may be formed. When the guests were satisfied with eating, the cups were filled for the solemn libation; and at this ccremony a prayer was offered to the gods, especially to Apollo, which in many districts of Greece was expanded into a poean. Here began the more joyous and noisy part of the banquet, which Theognis (as well as Pindar) calls in general κώμος, although this word in a narrower sense also signified the tumultuous throng of the guests departing from the feast t. Now the Comos was usually accompanied with the flute \$: hence Theoguis speaks in so many places of the accompaniment of the flute-player to the poems super in the intervals of drinking \$; while the lyre and cithara (or phorminx) are rarely mentioned, and then chiefly in reference to the song at the libation |. And this was the appropriate occasion for the elegy, which was sung by one of the guests to the sound of a flute, being either addressed to the company at large, or (as is always the case in Theornis) to a single guest.
- § 16. We have next to speak of the poems of a man different in his character from any of the elegiar poets hitherto treated of; a philosopher, whose metaphysical speculations will be considered in a future chapter. X Exoraxaxs of Colephon, who alout the 68th Olympian (308 n. c.) founded the celebrated school of Elea, at an earlier period, while he was still living at Colephon, gove vent to his thoughts and feelings on the circumstances surrounding him, in the form of elegies. These elegies, like those of Archibechus, Solon, Theoganis, &c. were symposiac: there is preserved in Athenusa a considerable fragment, in which the beginning of a symposion is described with much distinctness and elegance, and the guests are exhorted, after the libation and song of praise to the gods, to celebrate over their cups brave deeds and the cuplots of youths (i.e. in elegiac strains); and not to sing the fictions

Thought himself mentions that he had been in Sirily, Eubon, and Sports, w. The Sirily be compared the eight for the construct, which has been notineed in the tent. the colorist from Magnat of Hagers Hythes. The tennes 801—41 to the colorist from Magnat of Hagers Hythes. The tennes 801—41 to the colorist from Magnat of Hagers Hythes. The tennes 801—61 to the colorist from Magnatic Aller of the Magnati

<sup>†</sup> See Theogn. v. 829,940, 1046, 1065, 1207. † See above p. 21.

<sup>§</sup> v. 241, 761, 825, 941, 975, 1041, 1056, 1065.

<sup>¶</sup> There are, however, in Diogenes Lectius elegiac verses of Xenophanes, in which he states himself to be ninety-two years old, and speaks of his wanderings in Greece.

of ancient poets on the battles of Titans, or giants, or centaurs, and such like stories. Prom this it is evident that X enophases took no pleasure in the ordinary amusements at the bampets of his countrymen; and from other fragments of the same writer, it also appears that he viewed the life of the Greeks with the eye of a philosopher. Not only does he blame the hazary of the Colophonians, which they had learnt from Lydians\*, but also the folly of the Greeks in valuing an athlete who had been victorious at Olympa in running or werstling, higher that had wise man; a judgment which, however reasonable in our eyes, must have seemed exceedingly percease to the Greeks of his days.

§ 17. As we intend in this chapter to bring down the history of the cleav to the Persian war, we must also mention Semontoes of Ceos, the renowned lyric poet, the early contemporary of Pindar and Æschylus, and so distinguished in elegy that he must be included among the great masters of the elegiac song. Simonides is stated to have been victorious at Athens over Æschylus himself, in an elegy in honour of those who fell at Marathon (Olymp. 72, 3; 490 s.c.), the Athenians having instituted a contest of the chief poets. The ancient biographer of Æschylus, who gives this account, adds in explanation, that the elegy requires a tenderness of feeling which was foreign to the character of Æschvlus. To what a degree Simonides possessed this quality, and in general how great a master he was of the pathetic is proved by his celebrated lyric piece containing the lament of Danae, and by other remains of his poetry. Probably, also, in the elegies upon those who died at Marathon and at Platea, he did not omit to bewail the death of so many brave men, and to introduce the sorrows of the widows and orphans, which was quite consistent with a lofty patriotic tone, particularly at the ead of the poem. Simonides likewise, like Archilochus and others, used the elegy as a plaintive song for the deaths of individuals; at least the Greek Anthology contains several pieces of Simonides, which appear not to be entire epigrams, but fragments of longer elegies lamenting with heartfelt pathos the death of persons dear to the poet. Among these are the verses concerning Gorgo, who dving, utters these words to her mother :- "Remain here with my father, and become with a happier fate the mother of another daughter, who may tend you in your old age."

From this example we again see how the elegy in the hands of different masters sometimes obtained a softer and more pathetic, and sometimes a more manly and robust tone. Nevertheless there is no reason for dividing the elegy into different kinds, such as the military, political, symposiac, evoite, threnetic, and gnomic; inasmuch as some of

The thousand persons closthed in purple, who, before the time of the Tyrants, were, according to Xenophanes (in Athen, xii p. 526), together in the market-place, formed an aristocratic body among the citizine of waringan); such as, at this time of transition from the ancient hereditary aristocracies to democracy, also existed in Rhegium, Lorit, Croton, Agrigentum and Cymo in Zedin.

these characters are at times combined in the same poem. Thus the elegy was usually, as we have seen, sung at the symposion; and, in most eases, its main subject is political; after which it assumes either an amatory, a plaintive, or a sententious tone. At the same time the elegy always retains its appropriate character, from which it never departs, The feelings of the poet, excited by outward circumstances, seek a vent at the symposion, either amidst his friends or sometimes in a larger assembly, and assume a poetical form. A free and full expression of the poet's sentiments is of the essence of the Greek elegy. This giving a vent to the feelings is in itself tranquillizing; and as the mind disburdens itself of its alarms and anxieties a more composed state naturally ensued, with which the poem closed. When the Greek nation arrived at the period at which men began to express in a proverbial form general maxims of conduct,-a period beginning with the age of the Seven Wise Men, these maxims, or γνωμαι, were the means by which the elegiae poets subsided from emotion into calmness. So far the elegy of Solon, Theornis, and Xenophanes, may be considered as gnomic, although it did not therefore assume an essentially new character. That in the Alexandrine period of literature the elegy assumed a different tone, which was, in part, borrowed by the Roman poets, will be shown in a future chapter.

§ 18. This place is the most convenient for mentioning a subordinate kind of poetry, the epigram, as the elegiae form was the best suited to it: although there are also epigrams composed in hexameters and other metres. The epigram was originally (as its name purports) an inscription on a tombstone, on a votive offering in a temple, or on any other object which required explanation. Afterwards, from the analogy of these real epigrams, thoughts, excited by the view of any object, and which might have served as an inscription, were called enigrams, and expressed in the same form. That this form was the elegiac may have arisen from the circumstance that epitaphs appeared closely allied with laments for the dead, which (as has been already shown) were at an early period composed in this metre. However, as this elegy comprehended all the events of life which caused a strong emotion, so the epigram might be equally in place on a monument of war, and on the sepulchral pillar of a beloved kinsman or friend. It is true that the mere statement of the purpose and meaning of the object,-for example, in a sacred offering, the person who gave it, the god to whom it was dedicated, and the subject which it represented-was much prized, if made with conciseness and elegance; and epigrams of this kind were often ascribed to renowned poets, in which there is no excellence besides the brevity and completeness of these statements, and the perfeet adaptation of the metrical form to the thought. Nevertheless, in general, the object of the Greek epigram is to ennoble a subject by elevation of thought and beauty of language. The unexpected turn of the thought and the pointedness of expression, which the moderns consider as the essence of this species of composition, were not required in the ancient Greek epigram; in which nothing more in requisite than that the entire thought should be conveyed within the limits of a few disticles: and thus in the hands of the early poets the epigram was remarkable for the conciseness and expressiveness of its language; differing in this respect from the elegy, in which a full vent was given to the feelings of the poet.

Epigrams were probably composed in an elegiac form, shortly after the time when the elegy first arose; and the Anthology contains some under the celebrated names of Archilochus, Sappho, and Anacreon, No peculiar character, however, is to be observed in the genuine epigrams of this early period. It was Simonides, with whom we have closed the series of elegiac poets, who first gave to the enigram the perfection of which, consistently with its purpose, it was capable. In this respect Simonides was favoured by the circumstances of his time; for on account of the high consideration which he enjoyed both in Athens and Peloponnesus, he was frequently employed by the states which fought against the Persians to adorn with inscriptions the tombs of their fallen warriors. The best and most celebrated of these epitaphs is the inimitable inscription on the Spartans who died at Thermopylæ, which actually existed on the spot; "Foreigner, tell the Lacedemonians that we are lying here in obedience to their laws "." Never was heroic courage expressed with such calm and unadorned grandeur. In all these epigrams of Simonides the characteristic peculiarity of the battle in which the warriors fell is seized. Thus in the epigram on the Athenians who died at Marathon-" Fighting in the van of the Greeks, the Athenians at Marathon destroyed the power of the glittering Medianst." There are besides not a few epigrams of Simonides which were intended for the tombstones of individuals: among these we will only mention one which differs from the others in being a sarcasm in the form of an epitaph. It is that on the Rhodian lyric poet and athlete Timocreon, an opponent of Simonides in his art : " Having caten much, and drunk much, and said much evil of other men, here I lie, Timocreon the Rhodian J." With the epitaphs are naturally connected the inscriptions on sacred offerings, especially where both refer to the Persian war; the former being the discharge of a debt to the dead, the latter a thanksgiving of the survivors to the gods, Among these one of the best refers to the battle of Marathon, which, from the neatness and elegance of the expression, loses its chief beauty in a prose translation §. It was inscribed on the statue of Pan, which

1 Fr. 58.

<sup>·</sup> Simonides, fr. 27. ed. Gaisford.

<sup>†</sup> In Lycurgus and Aristides.

<sup>&</sup>amp; The words are these (fr. 25-

the Athenians had set up in a grotto under their acropolis, because the Arcadian god had, according to the popular belief, assisted them at Marathon. "Miltiades set up me, the cloven-footed Pan, the Arcadian, who took part against the Medians, and with the Athenians," But Simonides sometimes condescended to express sentiments which he could not have shared, as in the inscription on the tripod consecrated at Delphi, which the Greeks afterwards caused to be erased: "Pausanias, the commander of the Greeks, having destroyed the army of the Medes, dedicated this monument to Phobus\*." These verses express the arrogance of the Spartan general, which the good sense and moderation of the poet would never have approved. The form of nearly all these epigrams of Simonides is the elegiac. Simonides usually adhered to it except when a name (on account of a short between two long syllables) could not be adapted to the dactylic metre+; in which cases he employed trochaic measures. The character of the language, and especially the dialect, also remained on the whole true to the elegiac type, except that in inscriptions for monuments designed for Doric tribes, traces of the Doric dialect sometimes occur.

## CHAPTER XI.

- § 1. Sirking contrast of the Jambic and other contemporaneous Poetry—§ 2. Poetry in effectivene to the hall and the value.—§ 3. Microter treatment of its Houser and Hesiols.—§ 4. Houseric Comic Poems, Manglets &c.—§ 5. Securitions senger at meals, at the working of Dente-try; the Fortis of Demeter of Paros the crask of the Jambic poetry of Archibechon.—§ 6. Date and Public Life of Archibechon.—§ 7. Hue Private Life; subject of his Jambics.—§ 5. Merical form of his inmbic and trechase renew, and different application of the two agrantates; pooles.—§ 5. Near-instance and internation in the musical recitation.—§ 10. Innovations in Language.—§ 11. Semonties and Amorgou; his Satirical Poem against Women.—§ 12. Sands is number and technics.—§ 13. Bandic Poems of Hipponary, Internation of conductions; A. Antario.—§ 14. The Table; it application and red different stores and cities.—§ 14. Resp. his Life, and the Chameter of Life Fables.—§ 17. Parody, burlenques in an opic form, by Hipponax.—§ 18. Battachusermonthus.
- § 1. Tax kind of poetry distinguished among the ancients by the name lambic, was created by the Parian poet Archilochus, at the same time as the elegy. In entering on the consideration of this sort of poetry, and in endeavouring by the same process as we have heretofore employed to trace its origin to the character of the Grecian people, and to estimate its poetical and moral value, we are met at the first glance by facts more difficult, and apparently more impossible of comprehension, than any we have hithertor encountered. At a time when the Greeks,

<sup>•</sup> Fr. 40. + As 'Asymaires, 'Irrinass,

accustomed only to the calan uninfpassioned tone of the Epos, had but just found a temperate expression of livelier emotions in the elegy, this kind of poetry, which has nothing in common with the Epos, either in form or in matter, arose. It was a light tripping measure, sometimes loosely constructed or purposely halling and broken, and well adapted to vituperation, unrestrained by any regard to morality or decenses.

The ancients drew a lively image of this bitter and unscrupalous spirit of sanderous attack in the well-known story of the daughters of Lycambes, who hanged themselves from shame and vexation. Yet this sarcastic Architochus, this venomous libeller, was esteemed by antiquity not only an unrivalled master in his peculiar line, but, generally, the first poet after Homert. Where, we are compelled to ask, is the soaring light of the soul which distinguishes the true poet? Where that beauty of delineation which confers grace and dignity even on the most ordinary deals?

§ 2. But Poetry has not only lent herself, in every age, to the descriptions of a beautiful and magnificent word, in which the natural powers revealed to us by our own experience are invested with a might and a perfection surpassing truth; she has also turned back her glence upon the reality by which site was surrounded, with all its wants and its weaknesses; and the more she was filled with the beauty and the majestic grace of her own ideal world, the more deeply did she feel, the more vividly express, the evils and the deficiencies attendant on mairs condition. The modes in which Poetry has accomplished this lave been various; as various as the tempers and the characters of those whom she has inspired.

A man of a sercue and cheerful cast of mind, satisfied with, the order of the universe, regarding the great and the beautiful in nature and in human things with love and admiration, though he distinctly perceives the defective and the bad, does not suffer his perception of them to disturb his enjoyment of the whole: he contemplates it as the shade in a picture, which serves hut to bring out, not to obscure, the brilliancy of the principal parts. A light Jest frops from the poet's tongue, a pitying smile plays on his lip; but they do not darken or deform the lofty beauty of his creations.

The thoughts, the occupations, of another are more intimately bended with the incidents and the conditions of social and civil lie; and so a more painful experience of all the errors and perversities of man is thus forced upon him, his voice, even in poetry, will assume a more angry and vehement tone. And yet even this voice of harsh rebuke

<sup>\*</sup> Aperior II μμβοι, raging iambies, says the Emperor Hadrian. (Brunck, Anal. ii. p. 256.)

"In celeres iambos misit furentem." Horace.

<sup>†</sup> Maximus poeta aut certe summo proximus; as he is called in Valerius Maximus.

may be poetical, when it is accompanied by a pure and noble conception of things as they ought to be.

Yet more, the poet may himself suffer from the assaults of human passions. If may himself be stained with the viese and the weaknesses of human nature, and his voice may be poured forth from a midst the whirl and the couffict of the passions, and may be troubled, not only by diagnst at the sight of interruptions to the moral order of the world, but by personal resentments and highreds. The ancients in their day, and we in ours, have bestowed admiring aymaphty on such a poet, if the expressions of his scorn and his fiate did but betray an unusual vehemence of feeling, and vigour of thought; and if, through all the passionate confusion of his spirit, gleams of a nature susceptible of noble sentiments were apparent; for the importent rage of a vulgar mind will never rise to the dignity of poetry, even though it be adorned with all the gross of languages.

§ 3. Here, as in many other places, it will be useful to recur to the two epic poets of antiquity, the authors of all the principles of Greek literature. Homer, spite of the solemnity and loftiness of epic poetry, is full of archness and humour; but it is of that cheerful and good-natured character which tends rather to increase than to disturb enjoyment. Thersites is treated with unqualified severity; and we perceive the peculiar disgust of the monarchically disposed poet at such inciters of the people, who slander everything distinguished and exalted, merely because they are below it. But it must be remarked that Thersites is a very subordinate figure in the group of heroes, and serves only as a foil to those who, like Ulysses, hold dominion over the people as guides and When, however, persons of a nobler sort are exhibited in a comic light, as, for instance, Agamemnon, blinded by Zeus and confident in his delusion and in his supposed wisdom \*, it is done with such a delicacy of handling that the hero hardly loses any of his dignity in our eyes. In this way the comedy of Homer (if we may use the expression) dared even to touch the gods, and in the loftiest regions found subjects for humorous descriptions; for, as the gods presided over the moral order of the universe only as a body, and no individual god could exercise his special functions without regard to the prerogatives of others, Ares, Aphrodite, and Hermes might serve as types of the perfection of quarrelsome violence, of female weakness, and of finished cunning, without ceasing to have their due share of the honours paid to divinity.

Of a totally different kind is the wit of Hesiod; especially as it is employed in the Theogony against the daughters of Pandora, the female sex. This has its source in a strong feeling of disgust and indignation.

which leads the poet, in the bitterness of his mood, to overstep the bounds of justice, and to deny all virtue to women.

In the Works and Days, too, which afford him frequent opportunities for censure, Hesiod is not deficient in a kind of wit which establists the bad and the contemptible with striking vigour; but his wit is never that gay humour which characterises the Homeric poetry, of which it is the singular property to reconcile the frail and the faulty with the graud and the elevated, and to blend both in one harmonious idea.

§ 4. Before, however, we come to the consideration of the third stage of the poetical representation of the bad and the despicable, the existence of which we have hinted at in our mention of Archilochus, we must remark that even the early epic poetry contained not only scattered traits of pleasantry and satire, but also entire pictures in the same tone, which formed small epics. On this head we have great reason to lament the loss of the Margites, which Aristotle, in his Poetics, ascribes, according to the opinion current among the Greeks, to Homer himself, and regards as the ground-work of comedy, in like manner as he regards the Iliad and the Odyssey as the precursors of tragedy. He likewise places the Margites in the same class with poems written in the iambic . metre; but he seems to mean that the jambus was not employed for this class of poetry till subsequently to this poem. Hence it is extremely probable that the iambie verses which, according to the ancient grammarians, were introduced irregularly into the Margites, were interpolated in a later version, perhaps by Pigres the Halicarnassian, the brother of Artemisia, who is also called the author of this poem\*.

From the few fragments and notices relative to the Homeric Margitewhich have come down to us, we can gather that it was a representation of a stupid man, who had a high opinion of his own cleverness, for he was said "to know many works, but know all badly†;" and we discover from a story preserved by Etastshins that it was necessary to hold out to him very subtle reasons to induce him to do things which required but a very small portion of intellect!.

There were several other facetious small epics which bore the name of Homer; such as the poem of the Cercpes, those mallcious, and yet merry cleves whom Hercules takes prisoners after they have played him many mischievous tricks, and drags them about till they escape from him by

Oncerning Pigres, see below, § 18. He also interpolated the Iliad with pentameters.

† Πέλλ' ἀπίστατο ίχρα, κακῶς δ ἀπίστατο πάοτα. † Eustath. ad Od. x. 552, p. 1669, ed. Rom.

Thus the beginning of the Margites was as follows:—
"Habi org als Kadagura your and duty hadden, Marchine bradien and landships "Archaness, thin type in years in the actions are the proper, before you in years in the action years.

fresh stratagems; the Batrachomyomachia, which we shall have occasion to mention hereafter as an example of parody; the Screen times shorn Goat (ati\_irráratzec), and the Song of the Fieldfares (françAice), which Homer is said to have sung to the boys for filedfares. Some few such plessantries have come down to us, particularly the power of the Pot-Killa (cápure, \$i\$ repairs), which applies the imagination and mythological machinery of the epic style to the business of pottery.

§ 5. These humorous poems are too innocuous and too free from personal attacks to have much resemblance to the caustic jambics of Archilochus. More akin to them undoubtedly were the satirical songs which, according to the Homeric hymn to Hermes, the young men sang extemporaneously in a sort of wanton mutual defiance\*. At the public tables of Sparta, also, keen and pointed raillery was permitted, and conversation seasoned with Spartan salt was not held to afford any reasonable ground of offence to those who took part in it. But an occasion for yet more audacious and unsparing jest was afforded to the Greeks by some of the most venerable and sacred of their religious rites-the permission or rather encouragement to wanton and unrestrained jokes on everything affording matter for such ebullitions of mirth, connected with certain festivals of Demeter, and the deities allied to her, It was a law at these festivals that the persons engaged in their celebration should, on certain days, banter all who came in their way, and assail them with keen and licentious railleryt. This was the case at the mystic festival of Demeter at Eleusis, among others. Hence, also, Aristophanes in the Frogs introduces a chorus of the initiated, who lead a blissful life in the infernal regions, and makes them pray to Demeter that she would grant them to sport and dance securely the livelong day, and have much jocose and much serious talk; and, if the festival had been worthily honoured by jest and merriment, that they might be erowned as victors. The chorus also, after inviting the jolly god Incchus to take part in its dances, immediately proceeds to exercise its wit in satirical verses on various Athenian demogogues and cowards,

> v. 55 seq., lž abrorytšins.... ห้องร หญิงเ หลิงงาล! รัสมัญชา สายุลเลืองส หยุงานุประชาท.

† Concerning the legality of this religious license there is an important passage of an intensity, Pol. 1th. 5. We will see from the entire passage as we understand it is distincted by the contract of the state of the state that a good indexent things, it is clear that we also probably indexed the state of the st

This ruillery was so ancient and inveterate a custom that it had given rise to a peculiar word, which originally denoted nothing but the jest, and banter used at the festivals of Demeter, namely, Iambuse. This was soon converted into a mythological person, the maid lambe, who by some jest first drew a smile from Demeter bewaiting her lost daughter, and induced her to take the barley drink of the eyceon; a legend native to Eleusis, which the Homerid who composed the hymn to Demeter has worked up into an epic form. If we consider that, according to the testimony of the same hymn, the island of Paros, the birth-place of Archilochus, was regarded as, next to Elcusis, the peculiar seat of Demeter and Cora; that the Parian colony Thasos, in the settlement of which Archilochus himself had a share, embraced the mystic rites of Demeter as the most important worship; that Archilochus himself obtained the prize of victory over many competitors for a hymn to Demeter, and that one whole division of his songs, called the Io-bacehi, were consecrated to the service of Demeter and the allied worship of Bacchus; we shall entertain no doubt that these festal customs afforded Archilochus an occasion of producing his unbridled iambies, for which the manners of the Greeks furnished no other times place; and that with his wit and talent he created a new kind of poetry out of the raillery which had hitherto been uttered extempore. All the wanton extravagance which was elsewhere repressed and held in check by law and custom, here, under the protection of religion, burst forth with boundless license; and these scurrilous effusions were at length reduced by Archilochus into the systematic form of iambic metre.

§ 6. The time at which this took place was the same with that in which the elegy arose, or but little later. Ancontocures was a non of Telesicles, who, in obedience to a Delphic oracle, led a colony from Paros to Thasos. The establishment of this colony is fixed by the ancienta, at the 15th or 18th Olympial (725 or 708 n.c.); with which it perfectly agrees, that the date at which Archilochus flourished is, according to the chronologists of antiquity, the 23rd Olympial (688 n.c.); though it is often placed lower. According to this calculation, Archilochus began his portical career in the latter years of the

It is vain to seek an etymology for the word ionidus: the most probable supposition is, that it originated in exclamations, διολογροί, expressive of joy. Similar in form are φίσιμδες, the Bacchie festival procession; ἐδόφαμβος, a Bacchie hymn, and δημήρες, also a kind of Bacchie song.

<sup>†</sup> The great painter Polygnotus, a native of Thasos, centemporary with Cimon, in the painting of the infernal regions, which he executed at Delphi, represented in the beat of Charon the Patian priestess Cleobæa, who had brought this mystic worship to Thasos.

Δέμπτρε άγοῦς καὶ Κόρης τὰν παιάγορο τίβου, is a very from these poems preserved by Hephantion, fragm. 68, Gaisford.

Lydian king Gyges, whose wealth he mentions in a verse still extant\*: but is mainly to be regarded as the contemporary of Ardys (from Olymp. 25, 3 to 37, 4. B. c. 678-29). In another verset he mentions the calamities of Magnesia, which befel that city through the Treres, and, as we have seen, not in the earliest part of Ardys' reignt. Archilochus draws a comparison between the misery of Magnesia and the melancholy condition of Thasos, whither he was led by his family, and was disappointed in his hopes of finding the mountains of gold they had expected. The Thasians seem, indeed, never to have been contented with their island, though its fertility and its mines might have yielded a considerable revenue, and to have tried to get possession of the opposite coast of Thrace, abounding in gold and in wine; an attempt which involved them in wars not only with the natives of that country-for example the Saians &-but also with the early Greek colonists. We find in fragments of Archilochus that they had, even in his time, extended their incursions so far eastward as to come into conflict with the inhabitants of Maronea for the possession of Stryme I, which at a later period, during the Persian war, was regarded as a city of the Thasians. Dissatisfied with the posture of affairs, which the poet often represents as desperate, (in such expressions as, that the calamities of all Hellas were found combined in Thasos, that the stone of Tantalus was hanging over their heads, &c.,) Archilochus must have quitted Thasos and returned to Paros, since we are informed by credible writers that he lost his life in a war between the Parians and the inhabitants of the neighbouring island of Naxos.

§ 7. From these facts it appears, that the public life of Archilochus was agitated and unsettled; but his private life was still more exposed to the conflict of contending passions. He had courted a Parian girl, Neobule, the daughter of Lycambes, and his trochaic poems expressed the violent passion with which she had inspired him\*\*. Lycambes had actually promised him his daughtertt, and we are ignorant what induced him to withdraw his consent. The rage with which Archilochus assailed the family, now knew no bounds; and he not only accused Lycambes of perjury, but Neobule and her sisters of the most abandoned lives. It is unintelligible how the Parians could suffer the exasperated poet to heap such virulent abuse on persons with whom he had shortly before so earnestly desired to connect himself, had not these iambies first appeared at a festival whose solemnization gave impunity to every license; and had it not been regarded as a privilege of this kind of poetry to exacgerate at will the evil reports for which any ground existed, and

Fragm. 10. † Fragm. 71. The reading @urder in this fragment is conjectural,
 † Comp. ch. x. β. 4. β. Ch. x. β. 7.
 † See Harpocration in Σερόμα.
 † Fragm. 21, 43. \*\* Fragm. 25, 26.
 †† This is evident from fr. S3, "Open & insepticity μίγα» μέλας τι και γράστζευ.

in the delineation of offences which deserved some reproof to give the reins to the fancy. The ostensible object of Archilochus's jambies. like that of the later comedy, was to give reality to caricatures, every hideous feature of which was made more striking by being magnified. But that these pictures, like caricatures from the hand of a master, had a striking truth, may be inferred from the Impression which Archilochus's jambles produced, both upon contemporaries and posterity. Mere calumnics could never have driven the daughters of Lycambes to hang themselves, if, indeed, this story is to be believed, and is not a gross exaggeration. But we have no need of it; the uni versal admiration which was awarded to Archilochus's jambies, proves the existence of a foundation of truth; for when had a satire which was not based on truth universal reputation for excellence? When Plato produced his first dialogues against the sophists, Gorgius is said to have exclaimed, "Athens has given birth to a new Archilochus." This comparison, made by a man not unacquainted with art, shows at all events that Archilochus must have possessed somewhat of the keen and delicate satire which in Plato is most severe where a dull listener would be least sensible of it.

§ 8. Unluckily, however, we can form but an imperfect idea of the general character and tone of Archibechas's poetry; and we can only lament a loss such as has perhaps hardly been sustained in the works of any other Greek poet. Hornee's epodes are, as he himself sys, formed on the model of Archibechus, as to form and spirit\*, but not as to subject; and we can but rarely detect or divine a direct imitation of the Parian poetr.

All that we can now hope to obtain is the knowledge of the external form, especially the metrical structure of Archidectus's poems; and if we look to this alone, we must regard Archidechus as one of those creative minds which discover the aptest expression for new directions of human thought. While the metrical form of the epos was founded upon the dactyl, which, from the equality of the arisi and thesis, has a character of repose and steadiness, Archidechus constructed his metres out of that sort of rhythm which the ancient writers called the doubled cyfree Krahem, because the arisis has twice the length of the thesis. Hence arose, according as the thesis is at the beginning or the end, the inmbuss or the trockee, which have the common character of lightness

Parios ego primus iambos
Ostendi Latio, numeros animosque secutus
Archilochi, non res et agentia verba Lycamben.

(Horat. Ep. i. 19, 23.)

† The complaint about perjury (Epod. xv.) agrees well with the relations of Architectus to the family of Lycambes. The proposal to go to the islands of the blessed, in order to escape all matery, in Epod. xv., would be more natural in the mouth of Architectus, directed to the Thesan colony, than in that of Horace. The Needule of Horace is Candida, but with great alterations.

and rapidity. At the same time there is this difference, that the iambus, by proceeding from the short to the long syllable, acquires a tone of strength, and appears peculiarly adapted to impetuous diction and bold invective, while the trochee, which falls from the long to the short, has a feebler character. Its light tripping movement appeared peculiarly suited to dancing songs; and hence, besides the name of trochæus, the runner, it also obtained the name of choreius, the dancer\* : occasionally, however, its march was languid and feeble. Archilochus formed long verses of both kinds of feet, and in so doing, with the purpose of giving more strength and body to these short and weak rhythms, he united iambic and trochaic feet in pairs. In every such pair of feet (called dipodia), he left the extreme thesis of the dipodia doubtful (that is, in the iambic dipodia the first, in the trochaic the last thesis); so that these short syllables might be replaced by long ones. Archilochus, however, in order not to deprive the metre of its proper rapidity, did not introduce these long syllables so often as Æschylus, for example, who sought, by means of them, to give more solemnity and dignity to his verses. Moreover, Archilochus did not admit resolutions of the long syllables, like the comic poets, who thus made the course of the metre more rapid and various. He then united three iambic dipodias (by making the same words common to more than one pair of feet) into a compact whole, the iambic trimeter: and four trochaie dipodias, two of which, however, were divided from the other two by a fixed pause (called diæresis), into the trochaic tetrameter. Without going more minutely into the structure of the verses, it is sufficiently evident from what has been said, that these metres were in their way as elaborate productions of Greek taste and genius as the Parthenon or the statue of the Olympic Jupiter. Nor can there be any stronger proof of their perfection than that metres, said to have been invented by Archilochust, retained their currency through all ages of the Greek poetry; and that although their application was varied in many ways, no material improvement was made in their

• The distinction observed by Archilechus in the use of them was, that he employed the inambie for the expression of his worth and hitteness, (whence nearly all the inmbie fragments of Archilechus have a hostile bearing.) and that he employed the trochaie as a medium between the inambie and the elegiac, of which latter style Archilechus was, as we have already seen, one of the earliest cultivators. As compared with the elegy, the trochaie morte has been applied and electation of sentiment,

According to Aristot. Poet. 4, the trochaic tetrameter is suited to an λεχνοτική στόπους, but the immbic verse is most λικτικές.

See Plutarch de Musica, c. 28, the chief passage on the numerous inventions of Archilochus in rhythm and music.

and approaches more to the tone of common life; as in the passage\* in which the poet declares that "he is not fond of a tall general walking with his legs apart, with his hair earcfully arranged, and his chin well shorn; but he prefers a short man, with his legs bent in, walking firmly on his feet, and full of spirit and resource." A personal description of this kind, with a serious intent, but verging on the comie in its tone, would not have suited the elegy; and although reflections on the misfortunes of life occur in trochaic as well as in elegiac verses, yet an attentive reader can distinguish between the languid tone of the latter and the lively tone of the former, which would naturally be accompanied in the delivery with appropriate gesticulation. Trochaics were also recited by Archilochus at the banquet; but while the elegy was an outpouring of feelings in which the guests were called on to participate, Archilochus selects the trochaic tetrameter in order to reprove a friend for having shamelessly obtruded himself upon a feast prepared at the common expense of the guests, without contributing his share, and without having been invited t.

Other forms of the poetry of Archilochus may be pointed out, with a view of showing the connexion between their metrical and poetical characters. Among these are the verses called by the metrical writers asynartetes, or unconnected, and by them said to have been invented by Archilochus; they are considered by Plutarch as forming the transition to another class of rhythms. Of these difficult metres we will only say, that they consist of two metrical clauses or members of different kinds; for example, dactylic or anapæstic, and trochaic, which are loosely joined into one verse, the last syllable of the first member retaining the license of the final syllable of a verse!. This kind of metre, which passed from the ancient jambic to the comic poets, has a feeble and languid expression, though capable at times of a careless grace; nor was it ever employed for any grave or dignified subject. This character especially appears in the member consisting of three pure trochees, with which the asynartetes often close; which was named Ithyphallicus, because the verses sung at the Phallagogia of Dionysus, the scene of the wildest revelry in the worship of this god, were chiefly composed in this metre §. It seems as if the intention had been that after \* Fragm. 9.

<sup>†</sup> Fragm. 88. The person reproved is the same Pericles who, in the elegies, is addressed as an intimate friend. (See fragm. I, and 131.)?

Archilothus, as well as his imitator Horace, did not allow these two clauses to

in into one another; but as the comic poets used this liberty (Hephastion, p. 84. Gaisf.) it is certain that in Archilochus, 'Eesquaniba Xachas, 1 χερμά τοι χιλιάν, for example, is to be considered as one verse.

A remarkable example of this class of songs is the poem in which the

<sup>§</sup> A remarkable example of this class of songs is the poem in which the Athenians saluted Demertius, the son of Antigonus, as a new Bucchus, and which is called by Athenius idipalas. It begins as follows (vi. p. 253):—

"Ot vi μίγατα τον δών πολ ψίλαπου

Πε εί μέγατα των έων και φιλτατα τη τέλα πάρισο.

This poem, by its relaxed and creeping but at the same time elegant and graceful to characterize the Atheus of that time far better than many declamations of rhetorical historians.

the effort required in the anapastic or ductylic member, the voice should find repose in the trochaic clause, and that the verse should thus proceed with agreeable slowness. Hence the soft plaintive tone, which may easily be recognised in the fragments of the asynartetes of Archilochus, as well as in the corresponding imitations of Horace\*.

Another metrical invention of Archilochus was a preduct to the formation of strophes, such as we find them in the remains of the Æolic lyric poets. This was the epodes, which, however, are here to be considered not as separate strophes, but only as verses; that is, as shorter verses subjoined to longer ones. Thus an iamble dimeter forms an epode to a trimeter, an iamble temper, short dacylic verse to an iamble trimeter, an iamble verse to an asynartete; the object often being to give force and energy to the languid fall of the rhythm. In general, however, the purposes of these epodic combinations are as numerous as their kinds; and if it appears at first sight that Archilochus was guided by no principle in the formation of them, yet on close examination it will be found that each has its amportains excellence ?

§ 9. As to the manner in which these metres were recited, so important a constituent in their effect, we know thus much,—that the uniformity of the rhapsodists' method of recitation was broken, and that a ferer and bolder style was introduced, which sometimes passed into the grotesque and whimsical; although, in general, iambic verses (as we have already seen !) were in strictness not sang but rhapsodised. There was, however, a mode of reciting iambics introduced by Archilochus, by which some posms were repeated to the time of a musical instrument, and others were sung §. The paracotaloge, which consisted in the interpolation of a passage recited without strict rhythm and fixed melody, into a piece composed according to certain rules, was also ascribed to Archilochus. Lastly, many entertained the opinion (which, however, seems doubful), that Archilochus introduced the separation of instrumental music from singing, to this center,—that

<sup>\*</sup> See especially fragm. 24, where Archilochus describes, in asynarteles with iambic epodes, the violent love which has consumed his heart, darkened his sight, and deprived him of reason; probably in reference to his former love for Neohules, which ho had then given up. Horsee's eleventh people is similar in many respects, if when one epode follows are versee there is a small stopple, as fragm. 33:—

Airis गाड केर्नुक्रमान हेरेड, केंद्र केंद्र सेट्रेक्टमाई स्वेतगड़ेड

If the two last versos are here united into one, a proble is formed, which is the reverse of the epode; it often occurs in Herace. Another example of a kind of strophe is the short strain of victory which Archilcheuis said to have composed for the Olympic festival to Hercules and Iolaus (fragm. 60); two trimeters with the oplymnion Tenhala seal/hare.

δ τὰ μὸν ἰκμβιῖα λίγισθαι σαρὰ τὸν χετῦσει, τὰ δ' ἄδισθαι, Plutarch ubi anp. Probably this was connected with the epodic composition; though, according to Plutarch, it also occurred in the tragedians.

the instrument left the voice, and did not fall in with it till the end; while the early musicians accompanied it, syllable for syllable, with the same notes on the instrument. A peculiar kind of three-cornered stringed instrument, called iambyce, was also used to accompany iambics, and probably dated from the time of Archilochust.

\$ 10. It was necessary to lay these dry details before the reader in order to give an idea of the inventive genius which places Archilochus next, in point of originality, to Homer, among the Greek poets. There is, however, another remarkable part of the poetical character of Archilochus, viz., his language. If we can imagine ourselves living at a time when only the epic style, with its unchanging solemnity, its abundance of graphic epithets, and its diffuse and vivid descriptions, was cultivated by poets, with no other exception than the recent and slight deviation of the elegy, we shall perceive the boldness of introducing into poetry a language which, surrendering all these advantages, attempted to express ideas as they were conceived by a sober and clear understanding. In this diction there are no ornamental epithets, intended only to fill out the image; but every adjective denotes the quality appropriate to the subject, as conceived in the given placet. There are no antiquated words or forms deriving dignity from their antiquity, but it is the plain language of common life; and if it seem to contain still many rare and difficult words, it is because the Ionic dialect retained words which afterwards fell into disuse. We likewise find in it the articles. unknown to the epic language; and many particles used in a manner having a far closer affinity with a prose than with an epic style. In short, the whole diction is often such as might occur in an Attic comic poet, and, without the metre, even in a prose writer: nothing but the liveliness and energy with which all ideas are conceived and expressed, and the pleasing and graceful arrangement of the thoughts, distinguishes this language from that of common life 1.

<sup>&</sup>quot;In Platanth the latter is called spiropele sprine, the former is for ever pine, which Artifiches in said to have insertled. The meaning is made clear by a comparison of Aristel. Problem. xiz. 39, and Plate Leg. viz. p. 812. Kerwin Contest the playing on any musical instrument, the filter as well as the citheau. I see Albem. xiv. p. 656. Heavythins and Photius in applies. The instrument action, and artificially insertled by Athenaux, appears to have been specially extended for the

Of this kind are such adjectives as (fragm. 27)

Our If space Sallier armlio xoon, nagerous yas ton, where the skin is not called tender generally, but in reference to the former bloom of the person addressed; and as (fragm. 55)

ausbest your land land generally, but in reference to the difficulty of avoiding a rock beneath the surface of the water. Such epic epithets as waid "Apta μιπβίντο (fragm. 116) are very rare.

δ E. g. fragm. 58: τειάεδε 7 2 σίδται, τὸν συγὸν έχως, where the article separates reside from gryse: " such are the posteriors which you have."

<sup>||</sup> We may cite, as instances of the simple language of Archilochus, two fragments evidently belonging to a poem which had some resemblance to Horace's 6th epode. In the beginning was fragment 122, πίλλ' οδ ἀλώπος, άλλ' Ιχους Ir μίγας "the

As we have laboured to place the great merit of Archilochus in its true light, we may give a shorter account of the works of his followers in iambic poetry. His writings will also furnish a standard of comparison for the others.

- § 11. SIMONIDES OF AMORGUS follows Archilochus so closely that they may be considered as contemporaries. He is said to have flourished in the period following Ol. 29 (664 B. C.). The principal events of his life, as of that of Archilochus, are connected with the foundation of a colony: he is said to have led the Samians to the neighbouring island of Amorgus, and to have there founded three cities. One of these was Minoa, where he settled. Like Archilochus, Simonides composed iambies and trochaic tetrameters; and in the former metre he also attacked individuals with the lash of his invective and ridicule. What the family of Lycambes were to Archilochus, a certain Orodocides was to Simonides. More remarkable, however, is the peculiar application which Simonides made of the iambie metre: that is to say, he took not individuals, but whole classes of persons, as the object of his satire. The jambies of Simonides thus acquire a certain resemblance to the satire interwoven into Hesiod's epic poems; and the more so, as it is on women that he vents his displeasure in the largest of his extant pieces. For this purpose he makes use of a contrivance which, at a later time, also occurs in the gnomes of Phocylides; that is, he derives the various, though generally bad, qualities of women from the variety of their origin; by which fiction he gives a much livelicr image of female characters than he could have done by a mere enumeration of their qualities. The uncleanly woman is formed from the swine, the cunning woman, equally versed in good and evil, from the fox, the talkative woman from the dog, the lazy woman from the earth, the unequal and changeable from the sea, the woman who takes pleasure only in eating and sensual delights from the ass, the perverse woman from the weasel, the woman fond of dress from the horse, the ugly and malicious woman from the ape. There is only one race created for the benefit of men, the woman sprung from the bee, who is fond of her work and keeps faithful watch over her house.
- § 12. From the coarse and somewhat rude manner of Simonides, we turnwith satisfaction to the contemplation of Souch's inshife style. Even in his hands the lamble retains a character of passion and warmth, but it is only used for self-defence in a just easure. After 8-don had introduced his new constitution, he soon found that although be had attempted to satisfy the claims of all parties, or rather to give to each the contemplation.

for uses many arts, but the helpshop has one great use," via to rell himself up and resist his enemy. And breasts the send (signal, 118) is Trivervan 179, at a same a horse have invasiblents mane, by which would up to the side himself the image of the helpshop; he had the art of relatining on those who this treated him. Consequently the first fragment would be an incomplete trochaic tetrameter.

party and order its due share of power, he had not succeeded in satisfying any. In order to shame his opponents, he wrote some iambies, in which he calls on his ccusors to consider of how many citizens the state would have been bereaved, if he had listened to the demands of the contending factions. As a witness of the goodness of his plans, Solon calls the great goddess Earth, the mother of Cronus, whose surface had before his time been covered with numerous boundary stones, in sign of the ground being mortgaged: these he had succeeded in removing, and in restoring the land in full property to the mortgagers. This fragment is well worth reading\*, since it gives as clear an idea of the political situation of Athens at that time, as it does of Solon's jambic style. It shows a truly Attic energy and address in defending a favourite cause, while it contains the first germs of that power of speecht, which afterwards came to maturity in the dialogue of the Athenian stage, and in the oratory of the popular assembly and of the courts of justice. In the dialect and expressions, the poetry of Solon retains more of the Ionic cast.

In like manner the few remnants of Solon's trochaics enable us to form some judgment of his mode of handling this metre. Solon wrote his trochaics at nearly the same time as his jambies; when, notwithstanding his legislation, the struggle of parties again broke out between their ambitious leaders, and some thoughtless citizens reproached Solon, because he, the true patriot, the friend of the whole community, had not seized the reins with a firm hand, and made himself monarch; " Solon was not a man of deep sense or prudent counsel; for when the god offered him blessings, he refused to take them: but when he had caught the prey, he was struck with awe, and drew not up the great net, failing at once in courage and sense; for else he would have been willing, having gained dominion and obtained unstinted wealth, and having been tyraut of Athens only for a single day, afterwards to be flayed, and his skin made a leathern bottle, and that his race should become extinct ... 'The other fragments of Solon's trochaics agree with the same subject; so that Solon probably only composed one poem in this metre.

§ 13. Far more nearly akin to the primitive spirit of the lamble verse wave the style of Hirrosoxx, who floarished about the 60th Olympiad (340 n.c.). He was born at Fphesas, and was compelled by the tryants Athenagors and Comes to quit his home, and to establish himself in another Ionian city, Clanomense. This political persecution (which affords a presumption of his vehement love of liberty) probably laid the foundation for some of the bitterness and disgust with which he regarded mankind. Precisely the same facer and indignant scorn

<sup>\*</sup> Solon, No. 28, Gaisford. + Justens. ! Fragment 25, Gaisford.

which found an utterance in the iambics of Archilochus, is ascribed to Hipponax. What the family of Lycambes was to Archilochus, Bupalus and Athenis (two sculptors of a family of Chios, which had produced several generations of artists) were to Hipponax. They had made his small, meagre, and ugly person the subject of a caricature; an insult Hipponax avenged in the bitterest and most pungent iambics, of which some remains are extant. In this instance, also, the satirist is said to have caused his enemy to hang himself. The satire of Hipponax, however, was not concentrated so entirely on certain individuals; from existing fragments it appears rather to have been founded on a general view of life, taken, however, on its ridiculous and grotesque side. The luxury of the Greeks of Lesser Asia, which had already risen to a high pitch, is a favourite object of his sarcasms. In one of the longest fragments he says\*, " For one of you had very quietly swallowed a continued stream of thunny with dainty sauces, like a Lampsacenian cunuch, and had devoured the inheritance of his father; therefore he must now break rocks with a mattock, and gnaw a few figs and a little black barley bread, the food of slaves."

His language is filled with words taken from common life, such as the names of articles of food and clothing, and of ordinary utensils, current among the working people. He evidently strives to make his iambies local pictures full of freshness, nature, and homely truth. For this purpose, the change which Hipponax devised in the ismbic metre was as felicitous as it was bold; he crippled the rapid agile gait of the iambic by transforming the last foot from a pure iambus into a spondee, contrary to the fundamental principle of the whole mode of versification. The metre thus maimed and stripped of its beauty and regularity<sup>†</sup>, was a perfectly appropriate rhythmical form for the delineation of such pictures of intellectual deformity as Hinponax delighted in. Jambies of this kind (called choliambies or trimeter scazons) are still more cumbrous and halting when the fifth foot is also a spondee; which, indeed, according to the original structure, is not forbidden. These were called broken-backed iambics (ischiorrhogics), and a grammarian ; settles the dispute (which, according to aucient testimony, was so hard to decide), how far the invention of this kind of verse ought to be ascribed to Hipponax, and how far to another iambographer, Ananius, by pronouncing that Ananius invented the ischiorrhogic variety, Hipponax the common scazon. It appears, however, from the fragments attributed to him, that Hipponax sometimes used the sponder in the fifth foot. In the same manner and with the same effect these poets also changed the trochaic tetrameter by regu-

\* Ap. Athen, vii, p. 304, B. 

† τὸ ἄρρυ/μεν.

† In Tyrwhitt, Dissert, de Babrio, p. 17.

larly lengthening the penultimate short syllable. Some remains of this kind are extant. Hipponax likewise composed pure trimeters in the style of Archilochus; but there is no conclusive evidence that he mixed them with scazons.

Ananius has hardly any individual character in literary history distinct from that of Hipponax. In Alexandria their poems seem to have been regarded as forming one collection; and thus the criterion by which to determine whether a particular passage belonged to the one or to the other, was often lost or never existed. Hence in the uncertainty which is the true author, the same verse is occasionally ascribed to both \*. The few fragments which are attributed with certainty to Ananius are so completely in the tone of Hipponax, that it would be a vain labour to attempt to point out any characteristic difference t.

§ 14. Akin to the iambic are two sorts of poetry, which, though differing widely from each other, have both their source in the turn for the delineation of the ludicrous, and both stand in a close historical relation to the jambic :- the Fable (originally called alvoc, and afterwards, less precisely, μῦθος and λόγος), and the Parody.

With regard to the fable, it is not improbable that in other countries, particularly in the north of Europe, it may have arisen from a childlike playful view of the character and habits of animals, which frequently suggest a comparison with the nature and incidents of human life. In Greece, however, it originated in an intentional travestie of human affairs. The alvoc is, as its name denotes, an admonition ;, or rather a reproof, veiled, either from fear of an excess of frankness or from love of fun and jest, beneath the fiction of an occurrence happening among beasts. Such is the character of the ainos, at its very first appearance in Hesiod §. "Now I will tell the kings a fable, which they will understand of themselves. Thus spake the hawk to the nightingale, whom he was carrying in his talons aloft in the air, while she, torn by his sharp claws, bitterly lamented-Foolish creature, why dost thou cry out? One much stronger than thou has seized thee; thou must go whithersoever I carry thee, though thou art a songstress; I can tear thee in pieces or I can let thee go at my pleasure,"

Archilochus employed the ainos in a similar manner in his iambics against Lycambes ||. He tells how the fox and the cagle had contracted an alliance, but (as the fable, according to other sources, goes

\* As in Athen. xiv. p. 625 C.

† There is no sufficient ground for supposing that Herondas, who is sometimes mentioned as a choliamble poet, fired in this say. The siminantic poetry ascribed to him will be treated of in connection with the Mimes of Sophron.

† sequence. See Philological Museum, vol. 1, p. 281.

† Op. et D. r. 202, rep. | Fr. 85, et Gaisfard; see note on fr. 39.

on to tell) \* the eagle was so regardless of her engagement, that she at the fox's cubs. The fox could only call down the rengeance of the gods, and this shortly overtook her; for the eagle stole the flesh from an altar, and did not observe that she bore with it sparks which set fire to her next, and consumed both that and her young ones.

It is clear that Archilochus meant to intimate to Lycambes, that though he was too powerless to call Jim to account for the breach of his engagement, he could bring down upon him the chostisement of the rods.

Another of Archilochus's fables was pointed at absurd pride of rankt. In like manner Stesichorus cautioned his countrymen, the Himeracans, against Phalaris, by the fable of the horse, who, to revenge himself on the stag, took the man on his back, and thus became his slave !. And wherever we have any ancient and authentic account of the origin of the Æsopian fable, we find it to be the same. It is always some action, some project, and commonly some absurd one, of the Samians, or Delphians, or Athenians, whose nature and consequences Asop describes in a fable, and thus often exhibits the posture of affairs in a more lucid, just, and striking manner than could have been done by elaborate argument. But from the very circumstance, that in the Greek fable the actions and business of men are the real and prominent object, while beasts are merely introduced as a veil or disguise, it has nothing in common with popular legendary stories of beasts, nor has it any connexion with mythological stories of the metamorphoses of animals. It is exclusively the invention of those who detected in the social habits of the lower animals points of resemblance with those of man; and while they retained the real character in some respects, found means, by the introduction of reason and speech, to place them in the light required for their purpose.

§ 15. It is probable that the taste for fables of beasts and numerons similar inventions, found their way into Greece from the East; since this sort of symbolical and veiled narrative is more in harmony with the Oriental than with the Greek character. Thus, for example, the Old Testament contains a fable completely in the style of Æsop (Judges, ix. 9). But not to deviate into regions foreign to our purpose, we may confine ounselves to the arowal of the Greeks themselves, contained in the very names given by them to the fable. One kind of fable was called the Libyan, which we may, therefore, infer was of African origin, and was introduced into Greece through Cyreue. To this class belongs,

Coracs, Midus Aiswerius συναγωγά, c. i. Aristoph. Av. 651, ascribes the fable to Æsop.

<sup>†</sup> Sec Gaisford, fr. 39.

Arist. Rhet. ii. 20. The fable of Menenius Agrippa is similarly applied; but it is difficult to believe that the sines. so applied, was known in Latium at that time, and it as eme probable that the story was transferred from Greece to Rome.

according to Æchylus", the beaufial fable of the wounded eagle, who clooking at the feathering of the arrow with which he was pieced, exclaimed, "I perish by feathers drawn from my own wing." From this example we see that the £layan fable belonged to the class of fields of animals. So also did the sorts to which later teachers of relatoriety give the names of the Cyprian and the Cilirian; these writers also mention the names of some fabulists among the barbarians, as Cybissus the Libyan and Counts the Cilician. The contest between the olive and the laurel on mount Tmolus, is cited as a fable of the ancient Ludians #1.

The Carian stories or fables, however, were taken from human life, as, for instance, that quoted by the Greek lyric poets, Timocreon and Simonides. A Carian fisherman, in the winter, sees a sea polypus, and he says to himself, " If I dive to catch it, I shall be frozen to death; if I don't catch it, my children must starve \$." The Sybaritic fables mentioned by Aristophanes have a similar character. Some pointed saying of a man or woman of Sybaris, with the particular circumstances which called it forth, is related |. The large population of the wealthy Ionian Sybaris appears to have been much given to such repartees, and to have caught them up and preserved them with great eagerness. Doubtless, therefore, the Sicilian poet Epicharmus means, by Sybaritic apoplithegms , what others call Sybaritic fables. The Sybaritic fables, nevertheless, occasionally invested not only the lower animals, but even inanimate objects, with life and speech, as in the one quoted by Aristophanes. A woman in Sybaris broke an earthen pot; the pot screamed out, and called witnesses to see how ill she had been treated. Then the woman said, "By Cora, if you were to leave off calling out for witnesses, and were to make haste and buy a copper ring to bind yourself together, you would show more wisdom." This fable is used by a saucy merry old man, in ridicule of one whom he has ill treated, and who threatens to by a complaint against him. Both the Sybaritic and Æsopian fables are represented by Aristophanes as jests, or ludicrous stories (γελοΐα).

§ 16. To return to Æsop: Bentley has shown that he was very far from being regarded by the Greeks as one of their poets, and still less as a writer. They considered him merely as an ingenious fabulist, under whose name a number of fables, often applicable to human affairs, were current, and to whom, at a later period, nearly all that were either

<sup>.</sup> Fragment of the Myrmidons.

<sup>†</sup> Theon, and in part also Aphthonius. A fragment of a Cyprian fable, about the daves of Aphredite, is published in the excerpts from the Codex Angelicus in Walz Rheior. Gree vol. it. p. 12.

<sup>2</sup> Calliun. fr. 93. B. nt<sup>1</sup>.

3 From the Codex Angelicus in Walz Rhet, Gr. vol. ii. p. 11., and the Proverbs of Macarius in Walz Arsenii Violetum, p. 318,

invented or derived from any other source, were attributed. His history has been dressed out by the later Greeks, with all manner of droll and whimsical incidents. What can be collected from the ancient writers down to Aristotle is, however, confined to the following.

Æsop was a slave of the Samian Iadmon, the son of Hephæstopolis, who lived in the time of the Egyptian king Amasis. (The reign of Amasis begins Olymp. 52, 3, 570 B. C.) According to the statement of Eugeon, an old Samian historian, \* he was a native of the Thracian city Mesembria, which existed long before it was peopled by a colony of Byzantines in the reign of Darius †. According to a less authentic account he was from Cotygon in Phrygia. It seems that his wit and pleasantry procured him his freedom; for though he remained in Iadmon's family, it must have been as a freedman, or he could not, as Aristotle relates, have appeared publicly as the defender of a demagogue, on which occasion he told a fable in support of his client. It is generally received as certain that Æsop perished in Delphi; the Delphians, exasperated by his sarcastic fables, having put him to death on a charge of robbing the temple. Aristophanes alludes to a fable which Æsop told to the Delphians, of the beetle who found means to revenge himself on the eagle t.

The character of the Æsopian fable is precisely that of the genuine seast-fable, such as we find it among the Greeks. The condition and habits of the lower animals are turned to account in the same manner, and, by means of the poetfeal introduction of reason and speech, are placed in such a light us to produce a striking resemblance to the incidents and relations of human life.

Attempts were probably early made to give a poetical form to the Esopain fable. Scorates is said to have beguined his imprinoment thus. The iambie would of course suggest itself as the most appropriate form (as at a later period it did to Phachan), or the scaon, which was adopted by Callimachus and Babriush. But no metrical versions, which was adopted by Callimachus and Babriush. But no metrical versions was generally regarded as a mode of other sorts of poetry, particularly the iambie, and not as a distinct class.

§ 17. The other kind of poetry whose origin we are now about to trace, is the Parody. This was understood by the ancients as well as by ourselves, to mean an adoption of the form of some celebrated poem, with such changes in the matter as to produce a totally different effect; and, generally, to substitute mean and ridiculous for elevated and poetrical sentiments. The contrast between the grand and

<sup>\*</sup> Eirylon, or Eirylon, falsely written Eirylon, in Suidas in v. Aleanes. † Mesembria, Pattymbria, and Selymbria, are Thracian names, and mean the cities of Meses, Pattys, and Selys. 1 Aristoph. Vesp. 1448. cf. Pac. 129. Coraes, Æsop. c, 2.

<sup>§</sup> A distich of an Æsopian fable is, however, attributed by Diogenes Lacritus to Socrates. Fragments of fables in hexameters also occur.

sublime images suggested to the memory, and the comic ones introduced in their stead, renders parody peculiarly fitted to place any subject in a ludicrous, grotesque, and trivial light. The purpose of it, however, was not in general to detract from the reverence due to the ancient poet (who, in most cases was Homer), by this travestie, but only to add fresh zest and pungency to satire. Perhaps, too, some persons sporting with the austere and stately forms of the epos, (like playful children dressing themselves in gorgeous and flowing robes of state,) might have fallen upon the device of parody.

We have already alluded to a fragment of Asius " in elegiac measure, which is not indeed a genuine parody, but which approaches to it. It is a comic description of a beggarly parasite, rendered more ludicrous by a tone of epic solemnity. But, according to the learned Polemon t, the real author of parody was the iambographer Hipponax, of whose productions in this kind a hexametrical fragment is still extant,

§ 18. The Batrachomyomachia, or Battle of the Frogs and the Mice (which has come down to us among the lesser Homeric poems), is totally devoid of surcastic tendency. All attempts to discover a satirical meaning in this little comic epos have been abortive. It is nothing more than the story of a war between the frogs and the mice, which, from the high-sounding names of the combatants, the detailed genealogies of the principal persons, the declamatory speeches, the interference of the gods of Olympus, and all the pomp and circumstance of the epos, has completely the external character of an epic heroic poem; a character ludicrously in contrast with the subject. Notwithstanding many ingenious conceits, it is not, on the whole, remarkable for vigour of poetical conception, and the introduction falls far short of the genuine tone of the Homeric epos, so that everything tends to show that the Batrachomyomachia is a production of the close of this era. This supposition is confirmed by the tradition that Pigres, the brother of the Halicarnassian tyrant Artemisia, and consequently a contemporary of the Persian war, was the author of this poem ;, although at a later period of antiquity, in the time of the Romans, the Batrachomyomachia was ascribed without besitation to Homer himself.

> • Ch. x. § 7. † Ap. Athen. xv. p. 698, B.

Artemisin.

<sup>!</sup> The passage of Plutarch de Malign. Herod. c. 43. ought to be written as foli the pushing of rimarch of mangin, firence, -3.5 cought to a winter as not better than the first three properties of the properties of th

## CHAPTER XII.

- § 1. Transition from the Epos, through the Elegy and Iandau, to Lipic Pactry; connection of Lipic Pactry with Music—§ 5. Founders of Greek Music, Terquadee, his descent and data—§ 3. Terquader's invention of the seven-stringing to the Cithara.—§ 4. Musical scales and spite.—§ 5. Nones of Terpuader flar signing to the Cithara; their sythmical form—§ 6. Olympus, descended from an ancient Parpying insuliy of fate-glayer—§ 7. Its indicates upon the development of the music of the flow and rightm among the Greeks.—§ 6. Its indicates of the music of the flow and rightm among the Greeks.—§ 6. Its indicates of Cortax weakley. Penas and hyporthenes of Tallaction.—§ 11. Musicians of the succeeding period—Closes, Hierax, Xenodamos, Xenocritos, Polymnesius, Standau—§ 12. State of Greek Music et this period.
- 6 1. When the epic, elegiac, and iambic styles had been perfected in Greece, the forms of poetry seemed to have become so various, as scarcely to admit of further increase. The epic style, raised above the ordinary range of human life, had, by the exclusive sway which it exercised for centuries, and the high place which it occupied in general opinion, laid a broad foundation for all future Greek poetry, and had so far influenced its progress that, even in those later styles which differed the most widely from it, we may, to a certain extent, trace an epic and Homeric tone. Thus the lyric and dramatic poets developed the characters of the heroes celebrated in the ancient epic poetry; so that their descriptions appeared rather to be the portraits of real persons than the conceptions of the individual poet. It was not till the minds of the Greeks had been elevated by the productions of the epic muse, that the genius of original poets broke loose from the dominion of the epic style, and invented new forms for expressing the emotions of a mind profoundly agitated by passing events; with fewer innovations in the elegy, but with greater boldness and novelty in the iambic metre. In these two styles of poetry,-the former suited to the expression of grief, the latter to the expression of anger, hatred, and contempt-Greek poetry entered the domain of real life.

Yet a great variety of new forms of poetry was reserved for the invention of future poets. The elegy and the insmbs contained the germs of the lyric style, though they do not themselves come under that bead. The principal characteristic of lyric poetry is its connexion with music, vocal as well as instrumental. This connexion, indeed, existed, to a certain extent, in epic, and still more in elegiac and iambic poetry; but singing was not essential in those styles. Such a recitation by a rhapodist, as was usual for epi poetry, also served, at least in the beginning, for elegiac, and in great part for insulve verses, Singing and a continued instrumental accompanience are appropriate, where the expression of feeling or passion is inconsistent with a more measured and equable mode of recitation. In the attempt to express these impulses, the alternation of high and low tones would naturally give rise to singing. Hence, with the fine sense of harmony possessed by the Greeks, there was produced a rising and falling in the rhythm, which led to a greater variety and a more skilful arrangement of metrical forms. Moreover, as the expression of strong feeling required more pauses and resting-places, the verses in lyric poetry naturally fell into strophes, of greater or less length; each of which comprised several varieties of metre, and admitted of an appropriate termination. This arrangement of the strophes was, at the same time, connected with dancing; which was naturally, though not necessarily, associated with lyric poetry. 'The more lively the expression, the more animated will be the gestures of the reciter; and animated and expressive movements, which follow the rhythm of a poem, and correspond to its metrical structure, are, in fact, dancing.

The Greek lyric poetry, therefore, was characterized by the expression of deeper and more impassioned feeling, and a more swelling and impetuous tone, than the elegy or iambus; and, at the same time, the effect was heightened by appropriate vocal and instrumental music, and often by the movements and figures of the dance. In this union of the sister arts, poetry was indeed predominant; and music and dancing were only employed to enforce and elevate the conceptions of the higher art. Yet music, in its turn, exercised a reciprocal influence on poetry: so that, as it became more cultivated, the choice of the musical measure decided the tone of the whole poem. In order, therefore, that the character of the Greek lyric poetry may be fully understood, we will prefix an account of the scientific cultivation of music. Consistently with this purpose we should limit our attention to the general character of the music of the ancient Greeks, even if the technical details of the art, notwithstanding many able attempts to explain them, were not still enveloped in great obscurity.

§ 2. The mythical traditions of Orpheas, Philammon, Chrysothemis, and other minstres of the early times being set aside, the history of Greek music begins with Transanra the Lesbian. Terpander appears to have been properly the founder of Greek music. He first reduced to rule the different modes of singing which prevailed in different countries, and formed, out of these rude strains, a connected system, from which the Greek music never departed throughout all the improvements and refinements of later ages. Though endowed with an .invenien mind, and the commencer of a new era of music, the attempted no more than to systemstize the musical styles which existed in the tunes of Greece and Asia Minor. It is probable that Terpander himself belonged to a family who derived their practice of music from the ancient Perient bards of Boxolis; such an inheritance of musical skill is unite

conformable to the manners and institutions of the early Greeks.\* The Acinas of Lesbos had their origin in Becotist, the country to which the worship of the Muses and the Thracian hymns belonged; and they probably brought with them the first rudiments of poetry. This migration of the art of the Muses is ingeniously expressed by the legend that, after the murder of Orgheus by the Thracian Menack, his head and lyre were thrown into the see, and borne upon its waves to the island of Lesbos; whence singing and the music of the citizant flourished in this, the most musical of islands. The grave supposed to contain the head of Orpheus was shown in Antissa, a small town of Lesbos; and it was thought that in that spot the nightingles sang most sweetly [II. In Antissa also, according to the testimony of several ancient writers, Tepnader was born. In this way, the domestic impressions and the occupations of his youth may have prepared Terpander for the great undertaking which he afterwants performed.

The date of Terpander is determined by his appearance in the mother country of Greece: of his early life in Lesbos nothing is known. The first account of him describes him in Peloponnesus, which at that time surpassed the rest of Greece in political power, in well-ordered governments, and probably also in mental cultivation. It is one of the most certain dates of ancient chronology, that in the 26th Olympiad (s. c. 676) musical contests were first introduced at the feast of Apollo Carneius, and at their first celebration Terpander was crowned victor. Terpander was also victor four successive times in the musical contests at the Pythian temple of Delphi, which were celebrated there long before the establishment of the gymnastic games and chariot races (Ol. 47), but which then recurred every eight, and not every four years . These Pythian victories ought probably to be placed in the period from the 27th to the 33rd Olympiad. For the 4th year of the 33rd Olympiad 645 B. C.) is the time at which Terpander introduced among the Lacedæmonians his nomes for singing to the cithara, and generally reduced music to a system \*\*. At this time, therefore, he had acquired the greatest renown in his art by his most important inventions. In Lace-

There were in several of the Greek states, houses or gordes, pin, in which the preformance of muscal cabilitions, specially at festivals, descended as an hereditary privilege. Thus, at Athems, the playing of the cithars at procession belonged to the Kombis. The Busimphysis of Boston were neighbor, as the name proves, gord timed their art and their rights in families. Seekelderus and Simonides also belonged to muscal families, as we will have below.

<sup>†</sup> Ch. i. § 5 (p. 9). ‡ Chap. ii. § 8.

<sup>&</sup>amp; racius & levis autoriers, says Phanocles, the elegiac poet, who gives the most elegant version of this legend (Stob. tit. kiii. p. 399).

Il Myrailus of Lesbos, in Antigon. Caryst. Hist. Mirab. c. 5. In the account in

Nicomachus Germs. Enchir. Harus. ii. p. 29. ed. Meibom. Antissa is mentioned on the same occasion.

¶ Müller's Dorians, b. iv. ch. vi. § 2.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Marmor Parium, ep. xxxiv.l. 49, compared with Plutarch de Musica, c. 9.

dæmon, whose citizens had from the earliest times been distinguished for their love of music and dancing, the first scientific cultivation of music was ascribed to Terpander \*; and a record of the precise time had been preserved, probably in the registers of the public games. Hence it appears that Terpander was a younger contemporary of Callinus and Archilochus; so that the dispute among the aucients. whether Terpander or Archilochus were the elder, must probably be decided by supposing them to have lived about the same time.

§ 3. At the head of all the inventions of Terpander stands the sevenstringed cithara. The only accompaniment for the voice used by the early Greeks was a four-stringed cithara, the tetrachord; and this instrument had been so generally used, and held in such repute, that the whole system of music was always founded upon the tetrachord. Terpander was the first who added three strings to this instrument: as he himself testifies in two extant verses †. " Disdaining the four-stringed song, we shall sound new hymns on the seven-stringed phorminx." The tetrachord was strung so that the two extreme strings stood to one another in the relation called by the ancients diatessaron, and by the moderns a fourth; that is to say, the lower one made three vibrations in the time that the upper one made four. Between these two strings, which formed the principal harmony of this simple instrument, there were two others; and in the most ancient arrangement of the gamut, called the diatonic, these two were strung so that the three intervals between these four strings produced twice a whole tone, and in the third place a semitone. Terpander enlarged this instrument by adding one tetrachord to another: he did not however make the highest tone of the lower tetrachord the lowest of theupper, but he left an interval of one tone between the two tetrachords. By this arrangement the cithara would have had eight strings, if Terpander had not left out the third string, which must have appeared to him to be of less importance. The heptachord of Terpander thus acquired the compass of an octave, or, according to the Greek expression, a diapason; because the highest tone of the upper and the lowest of the lower tetrachord stood in this relation, which is the simplest of all, as it rests upon the ratio of 1 to 2: and which was soon acknowledged by the Greeks as the fundamental concord. At the same time the highest tone of the upper tetrachord stands to the highest of the lower in the relation of the fifth, the arithmetical expression of which is 2 to 3; and in general the tones were doubtless so arranged that the simplest consonances after the

<sup>\*</sup> à moira navásrases vão med vàs pessente, says Plutarch de Musica, c. 9. + In Euclid, Introd. Harm. p. 19. Partly also in Strabo, ziii. p. 618; Clemens Alex. Strom, vi. p. 814, Potter. The verses are—
Ημιζε τω τιτράγηφη άπωτίξαντις άκδη Έποποίης Φέρμιγγι νίως κιλαδήσομιο δμιους.

octave—that is to say, the fourth and fifth—governed the whole \*. Hence the heptachord of Terpander long remained in high repute, and was employed by Pindar; although in his time the deficient string of the lower tetrachord had been supplied, and an octachord produced †.

§ 4. It will be convenient in this place to explain the difference between the scales (yern), and the styles or harmonics (τρόποι, amorias) of Greek music, since it is probable that they were regulated by Terpander. The musical scales are determined by the intervals between the four tones of the tetrachord. The Greek musicians describe three musical scales, viz., the diatonie, the chromatic, and the enharmonic. In the diatonic, the intervals were two tones and a semitone; and hence the diatonic was considered the simplest and most natural, and was the most extensively used. In the chromatic scale the interval is a tone and a semitone, combined with two other semitones !. This arrangement of the tetrachord was also very ancient, but it was much less used, because a feeble and languid, though pleasing character, was ascribed to it. The third scale, the enharmonic, was produced by a tetrachord, which, besides an interval of two tones, had also two minor ones of quarter-tones. This was the latest of all, and was invented by Olympus, who must have flourished a short time after Terpander §. The ancients greatly preferred the enharmonic scale, especially on account of its liveliness and force. But from the small intervals of quarter tones, the execution of it required great skill and practice in singing and playing. These musical scales were further determined by the styles or harmonies, because on them depended, first, the position or succession of the intervals belonging to the several scales I, and, secondly, the height and depth of the whole gamut. Three styles were known in very early times-the Doric, which was the lowest, the Phrygian, the middle one, and the Lydian, the highest. Of these, the Doric alone is named from a Greek race; the two others are called after nations of Asia Minor, whose love for music, and particularly the flute, is well known. It is probable that national tunes were current among these tribes, whose

The strings of the heptachord of Terpander were called, beginning from the highest, News, wearing, accounts, signs, highest, wageries, weight. The intervals were 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 3, if the heptachord was strong, according to the diatonic scale, in the Doric style.

<sup>†</sup> In proof of the account of the heptachord given in the text, see Boeckh de Metris Pindari, iii. 7, p. 205, 277.

Of these short intervals, however, the one is greater than the other, the former being more, the latter less, than a semitone. The first is called apotome, the other kinsma.

<sup>§</sup> See Plutarch de Musica, 7, 11, 20, 29, 33; a treatise full of valuable notices, but written with so little care that the author often contradicts himself.

<sup>||</sup> For example, whether the intervals of the distonon are \(\frac{1}{2}\). \(\begin{align\*}{c} 1, 1, as in the Doric style, or \(\begin{align\*}{c} 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, as in the Lydian. \end{align\*}\)

peculiar character was the origin of these styles. Yet their fixed and systematic relation to the Doric style must have been the work of a Greek musician, probably of Terpander himself, who, in his native island of Lesbos, had frequent opportunities of becoming acquainted with the different musical styles of his neighbours of Asia Minor. Thus a fragment of Pindar relates, that Terpander, at the Lydian feasts, had heard the tone of the pectis, (a Lydian instrument, with a compass of two octaves,) and had formed from it the kind of lyre which was called Barbiton\*. The Lesbians likewise used a particular sort of cithara, called the Asiatic (Ασιάς); and this was by many held to be the invention of Terpander, by others to be the work of his disciple Cepion t. It is manifest that the Lesbian musicians, with Terpander at their head, were the means of uniting the music of Asia Minor with that of the ancient Greeks (which was best preserved among the Dorians in Peloponnesus), and that they founded on it a system, in which each style had its appropriate character. To the establishment of this character the nomes (round) contributed, musical compositions of great simplicity and severity, something resembling the most ancient melodies of our church music. The Doric style appears from the statements of all the witnesses to have had a character of great seriousness and gravity, peculiarly calculated to produce a calm, firm, collected frame of mind, "With regard to the Doric style (says Aristotle), all are agreed that it is the most sedate, and has the most manly character." The Phrygian style was evidently derived from the loud vehement styles of music employed by the Phrygians in the worship of the Great Mother of the gods and the Corybantes 1. In Greece, too, it was used in orginstic worships. especially in that of Dionysus. It was pecaliarly adapted to the expression of enthusiasm. The Lydian had the highest notes of any of the three encient styles, and therefore approached nearer to the female voice; its character was thus softer and feebler than either of the others. Yet it admitted of considerable variety of expression, as the melodies of the Lydian style had sometimes a painful and melancholy, sometimes a calm and pleasing character. Aristotle (who, in his Politics, has given some judicious precepts on the use of music in education) considers the Lydian style peculiarly adapted to the musical cultivation of early youth.

In order to complete our view of this subject, we will here give an account of the other styles of Greek music, although they were

In Atheneus, 1vi. p. 635. There are great difficulties as to the serve of this
motion contested passage. Pindar's meaning probably is, that Terpander formed
the deep-resounding barbinol, by taking the howevecture from the precise (or magadis).
Among the Greek poets, Sappho is said to have first used the pectis or magadis,
then Anaerone.

<sup>†</sup> Plotarch de Mus. 6. Anecd. Bekker, vol. i. p. 452. Compare Aristoph. Thesm. 120. with the Scholia.

<sup>;</sup> See ch. iii. § 8.

invented after the time of Terpander. Between the Doric and Phrygian styles-with respect to the height and lowness of the tones.the Ionic was interpolated; and between the Phrygian and Lydian, the Æolic. The former is said to have had a languid and soft, but pathetic tone; it was particularly adapted to laments. The latter was fitted for the expression of lively, and even impassioned feelings; it is best known from its use in the remains of the Lesbian poets and of Pindar. To these five styles were then added an equal number with higher and lower tones, which were annexed, at their respective extremes, to the original system. The former were called Hyperdorian. Hyperiastian, Hyperphrygian, &c.; the others Hypolydian, Hypogeolian, Hypophrygian, &c. Of these styles none belong to this period except those which approximate closely to the first five, viz., the Hyperlydian, and the Hyperdorian, which was also called Mixolydian, as bordering upon the Lydian. The invention of the former is ascribed to Polymnestus \*, that of the latter to the poetess Sappho; this latter was peculiarly used for laments of a pathetic and tender cast. But the entire system of the fifteen styles was only brought gradually to perfection by the musicians who lived after the times of Pindar.

§ 5. Another proof that Terpander reduced to a regular system the styles used in his time is, that he was the first who marked the different tones in music. It is stated, that Terpander first added musical notes to poems †. Of his mode of notation, indeed, we know nothing : that subsequently used by the Greeks was introduced in the time of Pythagoras. Hence, in later times, there existed written tunes by Teroander. of the kind called nomes 1, whereas the nomes of the ancient bards. Olen. Philammon, &c., we only preserved by tradition, and must therefore have undergone many changes. These nomes of Terpander were arranged for singing and playing upon the cithars. It cannot. indeed, be doubted that Terpander made use of the flute, an instrument generally known among the Greeks in his time; Archilochus, the contemporary of Terpander, even speaks of Lesbian preans being sung to the flutes; although the cithara was the most usual accompaniment for songs of this kind. But it appears, on the whole, from the accounts of the ancients, that the cithara was the principal instrument in the Lesbian music. The Lesbian school of singers to the cithara maintained its pre-eminence in the contests, especially at the Carnean festival at Sparta, up to Pericleitus, the last Lesbian who was victorious on the cithara.

## \* Sec § 11.

<sup>†</sup> Miles reares registrat rais resignare, says Clemens Alex. Strom. i. p. 364, B. To Tigrandon ..... usagudino: wenere fora siquer narà sique inneres reig frees reig inneres nal reig Opelges pilan resistera Chen le reig aparen. Plutarch de Mus. 3, after Heraclides.

<sup>†</sup> Above, ch. iii. φ 7.

φ Above, ch. iii. φ 7.

φ Above iξέρχων πρὶς αἰλιὰ Αμβάν τακόνκη Archilochus in Athen. v. p. 180, E. fr. 58.
Gaisford. It may also be conjectured from the mutitated passage of the Parian marble, Ep. 35, that Terpander practised flute-playing.

and who lived before Hipponax (Olym. 60)\*. Probably some of these nomes of Ternander were improvements on ancient tunes used in religious rites; and this appears to be the meaning of the statement that some of the nomes noted down by Terpander were invented by the ancient Delphic bard Philammon. Others seem to have grown out of popular songs, to which the names of Æolic and Bœotian nomes allude t. The greater number were probably invented by Terpander himself. These nomes of Terpander were finished compositions, in which a certain musical idea was systematically worked out; as is proved by the different parts which belonged to one of them ‡.

The rhythmical form of Terpander's compositions was very simple. He is said to have added musical notes to hexameters §. In particular he arranged passages of the Homeric poems (which hitherto had only been recited by rhapsodists) to a musical accompaniment on the cithora; he also composed hymns in the same metre, which probably resembled the Homeric hymns, though with somewhat of the lyric character II. But the nomes of Terpander can scarcely all have had the simple uniform rhythm of the heroic hexameter. That they had not, is proved by the names of two of Terpander's nomes, the Orthian and the Trochaic; so called (according to the testimony of Pollux and other grammarians) from the rhythms. The latter was, therefore, composed in trochaic metre; the former in those orthian rhythms, the peculiarity of which consists in a great extension of certain feet. There is likewise a fragment of Terpander, consisting entirely of long syllables, in which the thought is as weighty and elevated as the metre is solemn and dignified. "Zeus, first cause of all, leader of all; Zeus, to thec. I send this beginning of hymns "." Metres composed exclusively of long syllables were employed for religious ceremonies of the greatest solemnity. The name of the spondaic foot, which consisted of two long syllables, was derived from the libation (σπονέή), at which a sacred silence was observed \*\*. Hymns of this kind were often sung to Zeus in his ancient sanctuary of Dodona, on the borders of Thesprotia and Molossia; and hence is explained the name of the Molossian foot, con-

<sup>\*</sup> Hence in Sappho, fr. 52, Blounf. (69, Neue), the Lesbian singer is called wision at alledanien.

<sup>†</sup> Plutarch de Mus. 4. Pollux iv. 9, 65,

<sup>1</sup> These, according to Pollax, iv. 9, 66, were ivage, mirages, marargera, merkaniareern, supakes, openyis, irikeyes. § See, particularly, Plutarch de Mus. 3; cf. 4. 6.; Proclus in Photius. Biblioth. p. 523.

<sup>||</sup> It is, however, possible that rome of the smaller Homeric hymns may have been process of this kind by Terpander. For example, that to Athene (xxviii.) appears to be peculiarly fitted for singing to the cithars.

टाउँ, वर्तारका बेह्सूबे, वर्तारका बेह्स्सक्टू,

Ziu, su vinew vaires unes ages.

In Clemens Alex. Strom. vi. p. 784, who also states that this hymn to Zeus was set in the Doric style.

es signaia.

sisting of three long syllables, by which the fragment of Terpander ought probably to be measured.

§ 6. The accounts of Terpander's inventions, and the extant remains of his inomes, however magre and scatty, give some notion of his merits as the father of Greeian music. Another ancient master, however, the Phrygian musician, Oxyraves, so much enlarged the system of the Greek music, that Plutarch considers him, and not Terpander, as the founder of it.

The date, and indeed the whole history of this Olympus, are involved in obscurity, by a confusion between him (who is certainly as historical as Ternander) and a mythological Olympus, who is connected with the first founders of the Phrygian religion and worship. Even Plutarch, who in his learned treatise upon music has marked the distinction between the earlier and the later Olympus, has still attributed inventions to the fabulous Olympus which properly belong to the historical one. The ancient Olympus is quite lost in the dawn of mythical legends; he is the favourite and disciple of the Phrygian Silenus, Marsyas, who invented the flute, and used it in his unfortunate contest with the cithara of the Hellenic god Apollo. The invention of nomes could only be ascribed to this fabulous Olympus, and to the still more ancient Hyagnis, as certain nomes were attributed by the Greeks to Olen and Philammon; that is to say, certain tunes were sung at festivals, which tradition assigned to these nomes. There was also in Phrygia a family said to be descended from the mythical Olympus, the members of which, probably, played sacred tunes on the flute at the festivals of the Magna Mater: to this family, according to Plutarch, the later Olympus belonged.

§ 7. This later Olympus stands midway between his mative country Phrygia which had in general little connexion with the Greek religion, and was remarkable only for its enthusiastic rites and its bolisterous music, obtained, by means of Olympus, an important influence upon the music, and thus upon the poetry, of Greece. But Olympus would not have been able to excrise this influence, if he had not, by a long residence in Greece, become acquainted with the Greek eviliazion. It is stated that produced new tunes in the Greek scatterary of Pytho; and that he had disciples who were Greeks, such as Crates and Hierax the Argic \*\*2. It was low means of Olympus that the flue attained an equal place in Greek insiste with the cithara; by which change music gained a much greater compasts han before. It was much easier to multiply the tones of the flut than those of the cithara; especially as the ancient flute-players were accustomed to plus upon two flutes at once. Hence the severe censors

 The former is mentioned by Piutarch de Mus. 7; the latter by the same writer, e. 26, and Pollux iv, 10. 79. Accordingly it is not probable that this second Olympus was a mythical personage, or a collective appellation of the Phrygian music in is improved state. of music in antiquity disapproved of the flute on moral grounds, since they considered the variety of its tones as eachulated to seduce the player into an unchaste and florid style of music. Olympus also invented and cultivated the third musical scale, the enharmonic; the powerful effects of which, as well as its difficulties, have been already mentioned. His nomes were accordingly *suletic*, that is, intended for the flute, and belonged to the enharmonic scale.

Among the different names which have been preserved, that of the Harmateios Nomos may be particularly mentioned, as we are able to form a tolerably correct idea of its nature. In the Orestes of Euripides, a Phrygian Eunuch in the service of Helen, who has just escaped the murderous hands of Orestes and Pylades, describes his dangers in a monody, in which the liveliest expression of pain and terror is blended with a character of Asiatic softness. This song, of which the musical accompaniment was doubtless composed with as much art as the rhythmical structure, was set to the harmatian nome, as Euripides makes his Phrygian say. This mournful and passionate music appears to have been particularly adapted to the talent and taste of Olympus. At Delphi, where the solemnities of the Pythian games turned principally upon the fight of Apollo with the Python, Olympus is said to have played a dirge in honour of the slain Python upon the flute and in the Lydian style \*. A nome of Olympus played upon several flutes (ξυναυλία) was well known at Athens. Aristophanes, in the beginning of his Knights, describes the two slaves of Demus as giving utterance to their griefs in this tune. But from the esteem in which Olympus was held by the ancients, it seems improbable that all his compositions were of this gloomy character; and we may therefore fairly attribute a greater variety to his genius. His nome to Athene probably had the energetic and serene tone which suited the worship of this goddess. Olympus also shows great richness of invention in his rhythmical forms, and particularly in such as seemed to the Greeks expressive of enthusiasm and emotion. It appears probable from a statement in Plutarch, that he introduced the rhythm of the songs to the Magna Mater, or Galliambi +. The Atys of Catullus shows what an impression of melancholy, beauty and tenderness this metre was capable of producing, when handled by a skilful artist.

A more important fact, however, is, that Olympus introduced not only the third scale of music, but also a third class of rhythms. All

<sup>.</sup> With this is connected the account that Olympus the Mysian cultivated the Lydian style, ipsterigysen. Clem. Alex. Strom. 1, p. 363. Potter.

<sup>+</sup> The passage of Plutarch de Musica, c. xxix., xai vis χερίσε (μεθμί), ψ ανλλφ κίχερατα is αιξι Ματερίσε, probably refers to the Immetr assachasses, which, on account of the prevalence of increes in it, might probably be considered as belonging to the χερίσε [νθηίε.

the early rhythmical forms are of two kinds\*, the equal (igor), in which the arsis is equal to the thesis; and the double (διπλάσιον), in which the arsis is twice as long as the thesis. The former is the basis of the hexameter, the latter of the chief part of the poetry of Archilochus. The equal rhythm is most appropriate, when a calm composed state of mind is to be expressed, as there is a perfect balance of the arsis and thesis. The double rhythm has a rapid and easy march, and is therefore adapted to the expression of passion, but not of great or elevated sentiments, the double arsis requiring no great energy to carry forward the light thesis. Now, besides these, there is a third kind of rhythm, called, from the relation of the arsis to the thesis. one and a half (ἡμιόλιον); in which an arsis of two times answers to a thesis of three. The Cretan foot ( / v \_ ), and the multifarious class of prons belong to this head ( / 000,000 / , &c.), to which last the theoretical writers of antiquity ascribe much life and energy, and at the same time, loftiness of expression. That the poets and musicians considered it in the same light may be inferred from the use which they made of it. Olympus was the first who cultivated this rhythm, as we learn from Plutarch, and it is almost needless to remark that this extension of the rhythms agrees with the other inventions of Olympus †.

§ 8. It appears, therefore, that Olympus exercised an important influence in developing the rhythms, the instrumental music, and the musical scales of the Greeks, as well as in the composition of numerous nomes. Yet if we inquire to what words his compositions were arranged. we can find no trace of a verse written by him. Olympus is never, like Terpander, mentioned as a poet; he is simply a musician !. His nomes, indeed, seem to have been originally executed on the flute alone. without singing; and he himself, in the tradition of the Greeks, was celebrated as a flute-player. It was a universal custom at this time to select the flute-players for the musical performances in Greek cities from among the Phrygians; of this nation, according to the testimony of Athenæus, were lambus. Adon and Telos, mentioned by the Lacedmmonian lyric poet Aleman, and Cion, Codalus, and Babys, mentioned by Hipponax. Hence, for example, Plutarch says, that Thaletas took the Cretan rhythm from the flute-playing of Olympus §, and thus acquired the fame of a good poet. Since Olympus did not properly belong to the Greek literature, and did not enter the lists with the poets

<sup>\*</sup> Abore, chap. xi. § 8.

<sup>†</sup> According to Plutarch de Mus. c. 29. Some also ascribe to Olympus the Baszchie judisis (v=-), which belongs to the same family, though its form makes a less pleasing impression.

Suidas attributes to him μίλη and Ιλογικα, which may be a confusion between compositions in the lyric and elegiac style and portical texts. δι στη Διαμένα κάλικαν, Putaren δια Μιμε. 10; εf. c. 15. Hence also, in c. 7, αν-

<sup>6</sup> L στη 'Ολήμστου κόλόστως, Plutarch de Mun.c. 10; cf. c. 15. Hence also, in c. 7, av-letic nomes are ascribed to Olympus; but in c. 3 the first autodic nomes are ascribed to Clonas.

of Greece, it is natural that his precise date should not have been reconcled. His date, however, is sufficiently marked by the advances of the Greek music and rhythm due to his efforts; and the generation to which he belonged can thus be determined. For, as it appears both from the nature of his inventions and from express testimony that music had made some progress in his time, he must be later than Terpander; on the other hand, he must be prior to Thalekas, according to the statement just mentioned; so that he must be placed between the 80th and 40th Owngiads (a. 6.60—20) \*.

& 9. THALETAS makes the third epoch in the history of Greek music. A native of Crete, he found means to express in a musical form the spirit which pervaded the religious institutions of his country, by which he produced a strong impression upon the other Greeks. He seems to have been partly a priest and partly an artist; and from this circumstance his history is veiled in obscurity. He is called a Gortynian, but is also said to have been born at Elyrus; the latter tradition may perhaps allude to the belief that the mythical expiatory priest Carmanor (who was supposed to have purified Apollo himself from the slaughter of the Python, and to have been the father of the bard Chrysothemis) lived at Tarrha, near Elyrus, in the mountains on the west of Crete. It is at any rate certain that Thaletas was connected with this ancient seat of religious poetry and music, the object of which was to appease passion and emotion. Thaletas was in the height of his fame invited to Sparta, that he might restore peace and order to the city, at that time torn by intestine commotions. In this attempt he is supposed to have completely succeeded; and his political influence on this occasion gave rise to the report that Lycurgus had been instructed by him t. In fact, however, Thaletas lived several centuries later than Lycurgus, having been one of the musicians who assisted in perfecting Terpander's musical system at Sparta, and giving it a new and fixed form. The musicians named by Plutarch, as the arrangers of this second system, are Thaletas of Gortyna, Xenodamus of Cythera, Xenocritus the Locrian, Polymnestus of Colophon, Sucadas of Argos. Among these, however, the last named are later than the former; as Polymnestus composed for the Lacedæmonians a poem in honour of Thaletas, which is mentioned by Pausanias. If, therefore, Sacadas was a victor in the Pythian games in Olymp. 47, 3 (s. c. 590), and if this may be taken as the time when the most recent of these musicians flourished, the first of them, Thaletas, may be fixed not later

According to Suidas, Olympus was contemporary with a king Midas, the son of Gordius; but this is no argument against the assumed date, as the Phrygian kings, down to the time of Cresus, were alternately named Midas and Gordius.

Nevertheless Strabe, v., v. 481, justly calls Thaletas a legislative man. Like the Cretan training in general (Ælian V. H. ii. 39), he doubtless combined poetry and music with a measured and well-ordered conduct.

than the 40th Olympiad (s. c. 620); which places him in the right relation to Terpander and Olympus \*.

§ 10. We now return to the musical and poetical productions of Thaletas, which were connected with the ancient religious rites of his country. In Crete, at the time of Thaletas, the predominating worship was that of Apollo; the character of which was a solemn elevation of mind, a firm reliance in the power of the god, and a calm acquiescence in the order of things proclaimed by him. But it cannot be doubted that the ancient Cretan worship of Zeus was also practised, with the wild war dances of the Curetes, like the Phrygian worship of the Magna Mater †. The musical and poetical works of Thaletas fall under two heads-paans and hyporchemes. In many respects these two resembled each other; inasmuch as the prean originally belonged exclusively to the worship of Apollo, and the hyporcheme was also performed at an early date in temples of Apollo, as at Delos !. Hence pæans and hyporchemes were sometimes confounded. Their main features, however, were quite different. The pean displayed the calm and serious feeling which prevailed in the worship of Apollo, without excluding the expression of an earnest desire for his protection, or of gratitude for aid already vouchsafed. The hyporcheme, on the other hand, was a dance of a mimic character, which sometimes passed into the playful and the comic, Accordingly the hyporchematic dance is considered as a peculiar species of the lyric dances, and, among dramatic styles of dancing, it is compared with the cordax of comedy, on account of its merry and sportive tone §. The rhythms of the hyporcheme, if we may judge from the fragments of Pindar, were peculiarly light, and had an imitative and graphic character.

These musical and poetical styles were improved by Thuletas, who employed both the prefactle productions of his native country, and the impassioned music and rhythms of Olympas. It has already been remarked that be borrowed the Cretan rhythm from Olympas, which doubtless acquired this name from its having been made known by Thuletas of Crete. The entire class of feet to which the Cretan foot belongs, were called Perous, from being used in peans (or peous). Thuletas doubtless gave a more rapid march to the pean by this animated and vigorous rhythm |. But the hyporchematic productions of Thuletas must have been still gayer and more energetic. And Sparta was the

<sup>\*</sup> Clinton, who, in Fast. Hellen vol. 1. p. 199, aq., places Tha'elas before Terpander, rejects the most authentic testimony, that concerning the meriorems of music at Sparts; and moreover, does not allow sufficient weight to the far more artificial character of the music and rhythms of Thaletas.

<sup>†</sup> Κευζδείς το Ιτά ζελοταί γρασε έχειστέξει. Hesiod, fr. 94. Goettling. † Above, ch. iii. § 6. § Athen, xiv. p. 630, R. || Fragments of a psean in pseons are preserved in Aristotle, Rhet. iii. 8, viz.— Δελογοιλ, ifre. Δοκίση and Χροτεκόμα "Exert, από Δείς.

country which at this time was best suited to the music of dancing. The Gymnopædia, the festival of "naked youths," one of the chief solemnities of the Spartans, was well calculated to encourage the love of gymnastic exercises and dances among the youth. The boys in these dances first imitated the movements of wrestling and the pancration : and then passed into the wild gestures of the worship of Bacchus \*. There was also much jesting and merriment in these dancest; a fact which points to mimic representations in the style of the hyporcheme, especially as the establishment of dauces and musical entertainments at the gymnopædia is ascribed by Plutarch to the musicians, at the head of whom was Thaletas .\* The Pyrrhic, or war-dance, was also formed by the musicians of this school, particularly by Thuletes. It was a favourite spectacle of the Cretans and Lacedremonians; and both these nations derived it from their ancestors, the former from the Curetes. the latter from the Dioscuri. It was accompanied by the flute, which could only have been the case after the music of the flute had been scientifically cultivated by the Greeks; although there was a legend that Athene herself played the war-dance upon the flute to the Dioscuri 8. It was a natural transition from the simple war-dance to imitations of different modes of fighting, offensive and defensive, and to the regular representation of mock fights with several Pyrrhichists. According to Plato, the Pyrrhic dance was thus practised in Crete; and Thaletas, in improving the national music of Crete, composed hyporchemes for the Pyrrhic dance. The rhythms which were chosen for the expression of the hurried and vehement movements of the combat were of course quick and changeable, as was usually the case in the hyporchematic poems; the names of some of the metrical feet have been derived from the rhythms employed in the Pyrrhic dance |. 8 15. Terpander, Olympus, and Thaletas are

§ 15. Terpander, Olympus, and Thaletas are dismissed by the salient peculiaries which belong to inventive genite it is difficult to find any individual characteristics in the numerous masters who followed them between the 40th and 50th Olympiads. It may, however, be useful to mention some of their names, in order to give an idea of the zeal with which the Greck music was cultivated, after it had possed out of the hands of its first founders and improves of its first founders and improves.

The first name we will mention is Clonas, of Thebes, or Tegea, not

<sup>•</sup> These gymnopædic dances, described by Atheneus, xiv. p. 631, xv. p. 678, were evidently different from the younerakes for thick, according to the same Atheneus, was the most solemn kind of lyric dance, and corresponded to the embelsia among the dramatic dances.

<sup>†</sup> Pollux iv. 14, 104.

<sup>†</sup> Plutarch de Mus. 9. The ancient chronologists place the first introduction of the gymnopædia somewhat earlier, viz. Olymp. 28. 4. (n. c. 665.)

<sup>§</sup> See Muller's Dorians, book iv. ch. 6. § 6 and 7.

<sup>||</sup> Not only the Pyrrhic (ωω), but also the proceleusmatic, or challenging, foot (ωωω), refers to the Pyrrhic dance. The latter ought probably to be considered a resolved anapast; and so the birahas μόψων is removed to the anapastic measure.

much later than Terpander, celebrated as a composer of aniodic nomes, one of which was called Elegos, on account of its plaintive tone. The poetry, which was set to his compositions and sung to the flute, chiefly consisted of hexameters and elegiac distichs, without any artificial rhythmical construction. Secondly, Hierax, of Argos, a scholar of Olympus, was a master of flute-playing; he invented the music to which the Argive maidens performed the ccremony of the Flower-carrying (ανθεσφορία), in the temple of Here; and another in which the youths represented the graceful exercises of the Pentathlon. We will next enumerate the masters who, after Thaletas, contributed the most towards the new arrangement of music in Sparta. These were Xenodamus, a Lacedæmonian of Cythera, a poet and composer of preaus and hyporchemes, like Thaletas; Xenocritus, from Locri Epizephyrii in Italy, a town noted for its taste in music and poetry. To this Xenocritus is attributed a peculiar Locrian, or Italian measure, which was a modification of the Æolic\*; as the Locrian love-songs † approached closely to the Æolic poetry of Sappho aud Erinna. Erotic poems, however, are not attributed to Xenocritus, but dithyrambs, the subjects of which were taken from the heroic mythology; a peculiar kind of poetry, the origin and style of which we will endeavour to describe hereafter. Lastly, there are to be mentioned Polymnestus, of Colophon 1, and Sacadas, of Argos; the former was an early contemporary of Aleman, who improved upon the aulodia of Clonas, and exceeded the limits of the five styles &. He appears, in general, to have enlarged the art of music, and was particularly distinguished in the loud and spirited Orthian nome. Sacadas was celebrated as having been victorious in flute-playing, at the first three Pythian games, at which the Amphictyons presided (Olymp. 47, 3; 49, 3; 50, 3; B. c. 590, 582, 578). He first played the flute in the Pythian style, but without singing. He left this branch of the Echembrotus, an Arcadian musician, who, in the branch of the Echembrotus, an Arcadian musician, who, in the first Pythiad, and the prize for accompanying the voice with the flute. But, according to Pausanias, this connexion of flute-playing and singing seemed, from its mournful and gloomy expression, so unsuited to the Pythian festival-a joyful celebration of victory,-that the Amphictyons abolished this contest after the first time. With regard to Sacadas, and the state of music in his time, he is stated to have been the inventor of the tripartite nome (τριμερής νόμος), in which one strophe was set in the Doric, the second in the Phrygian, the third in the Lydian style; the entire character of the music and poetry being, doubtless, changed with the change of the style.

Boeckh de Metris Pind. p. 212, 225, 241, 279.

<sup>†</sup> Лекика авишти.

The son of Meles, a name derived from Smyrna, which seems to have been often adopted in families of musicians and poets. (See above, ch. 6, § 2,) 6 By the branches seems. Plutarch de Mus. c. 29, although c. 8 does not agree with this statement. (See above, § 4.)

§ 12. By the efforts of these masters, music appears to have been brought to the degree of excellence at which we find it in Pindar's time; it was then perfectly adapted to express the general course of any feeling, to which the poet could give a more definite character and meaning. For however imperfect the management of instrumental music and the harmonious combination of different voices and instruments may have been among the ancient Greeks, nevertheless the Greek musicians of this time had solved the great problem of their art, viz., that of giving an appropriate expression to the different shades of feeling. It was in Greece the constant endeavour of the great poets, the best thinkers, and even of statesmen who interested themselves in the education of youth, to give a good direction to music; they all dreaded the increasing prevalence of a luxuriant style of instrumental music, and an unrestricted flight in the boundless realms of harmony. But these efforts could only for a while resist the inclinations and turbulent demands of the theatrical audiences \*; and the new style of music was established about the end of the Peloponnesian war. It will be hereafter shown how strong an influence it exercised upon the poetry of Greece at that time. At the courts of the Macedonian kings, from Alexander downwards, symphonies were performed by hundreds of Instruments; and from the statements of the ancients it would seem that instrumental music, particularly as regards wind instruments, was at that time scarcely inferior in force or number to our own. Yet amidst all these grand and brilliant productions, the best ludges were forced to confess that the ancient melodies of Olympus, which were arranged for the simplest instruments, possessed a beauty to which the modern art, with all its appliances, could never attain +.

We now turn to lyric poetry, which, assisted by the musical improvements of Terpander, Olympus, and Thaletas, began in the 40th Olympiad (620 n.c.) a course, which, in a century and the brighest perfection.

" The hargescarie of Plato. † Plutarch de Mus. c. 18.

## CHAPTER XIII.

- § 1. Difference between the Lyric Portry of the Kolians, and the Choral Lyric Poetry of the Doisson. 42, Life and political Acts of Atesus... 43. The Jordan Poetry of the Doisson. 42, Life and most elected for m., 46, Life and most clearater of Suppho... 97, Her Kneit's Poetry to Phono... 48, Poetrus of Suppho to women... 49, Hymenends of Suppho... 10, Followers of Suppho, Danophila, Frinna... 411, Life of Ancreton, 121, His Poems to the youths at the Court of Polycrates... 413, Life Love-songs to Heterme... 94, Character of his wereficiation... 415, Chomparison of the Anacronics... 416, Scolia; occasions on which they were sung, and their subjects... 417. Scolia of Hydrian and Callistratus.
- 6 1. THE lyric poetry of the Greeks is of two kinds, which were cultivated by different schools of poets; the name which is commonly given to poets living in the same country, and following the same rules of composition. Of these two schools, one is called the Aeolic, as it flourished among the Æolians of Asia Minor, and particularly in the island of Lesbos; the other the Doric, because, although it was diffused over the whole of Greece, yet it was first and principally cultivated by the Dorians in Peloponnesus and Sicily. The difference of origin appears also in the dialect of these two schools. The Lesbian school wrote in the Æolic dialect, as it is still to be found upon inscriptions in that island, while the Doric employed almost indifferently either a mitigated Dorism, or the epic dialect, the dignity and solemnity of which was heightened by a limited use of Doric forms. These two schools differ essentially in every respect, as much in the subject, as in the form and style of their poems; and as in the Greek poetry generally, so here in particular, we may perceive that between the subject, form, and style, there is the closest connexion. To begin with the mode of recitation, the Doric lyric poet intended to be executed by choruses, and to be sung to choral dances, whence it is sometimes called choral poetry: on the other hand, the Æolic is never called choral, because it was meant to be recited by a single person, who accompanied his recitation with a stringed instrument, generally the lyre, and with suitable gestures. The structure of the Doric lyric strophe is comprehensive, and often very artificial; inasmuch as the ear, which might perhaps be unable to detect the recurring rhythms, was assisted by the eye, which could follow the different movements of the chorus, and thus the spectator was able to understand the intricate and artificial plan of the composition, The Æolic lyric poetry, on the other hand, was much more limited, and either consisted of verses joined together (ro cara origor), or it formed of a few short verses, strophes in which the same verse is frequently repeated, and the conclusion is effected by a change in the versification, or by the addition of a short final verse. The strophes of the Doric

lyric poetry were also often combined by annexing to two strophese corresponding with one another, a third and different one called an epode. The origin of this, according to the ancients, is, that the chorus, having performed one movement during the strophe, return to their former position during the antistrophe; and they then remain motionless for a time, during which the epode is sung. The short strophes of the Æblel lyric poetry, on the other hand, follow each other in equal measure, and without being interrupted by epodes. The rhythmical structure of the choral strophes of the Doric lyric poetry is likewise capable of much variety, assuming sometimes a more cleaved, sometimes a more chereful character; whilst in the Æble, light and lively me'res, peculiarly adapted to express the passionate emotion of an excitable mind, are frequently respected.

Choral poetry required an object of public and general interest, as the choruses were combined with religious festivals; and if they were celebrated in private, they always needed a solemn occasion and celebration. Thoughts and feelings peculiar to an individual could not, with propriety, be snng by a numerous chorus. Hence the choral lyric poetry was closely connected with the interests of the Greek states. cither by celebrating their gods and heroes, and imparting a charm and dignity to the festal recreations of the people, or by extolling citizens who had acquired high renown in the eyes of their countrymen. It was also sometimes used at marriages or funerals; -- occasions in which the events of private life are brought into public notice. On the other hand, the Æolic lyric poetry frequently expresses thoughts and feelings in which only one mind can sympathize, and expresses them with such tenderness as to display the inmost workings of the heart. How would such impressions be destroyed by the singing of a chorus of many voices! Even when political events and other atters of public interest were touched upon in the Æolic lyric poet, they were not mentioned in such a manner as to invite general sympathy. Instead of seeking, by wisc admonitions, to settle the disorders of the state, the poet gives expression to his own party feelings. Nevertheless, it is probable that the Æolic poets sometimes composed poems for choral exhibition, for choruses were undoubtedly performed in Lesbos, as well as in other parts of Greece; and although some ancient festival songs might have existed, yet there would naturally be a wish to obtain new poetry, for which purpose the labour of the poets in the island would be put in requisition. Several of the Lesbian lyric poems, of which we have fragments and accounts, appear to have been composed for choral recitation \*. But the characteristic excellence of this lyric poetry

Especially the hymeneus of Sappho, from which the poem of Catatino, 62, in instanced; it was recited by cheruses of young men and women; see below \$9\$ of Cheral dances had been usual, in consocious with the hymeneum, from the earliest times; see above ch. 2, \$5\$. So likewise the fragment of Sappho, Reieras is or \$d^2\$, \$6\$, No. 83, \$6\$. Blomfield, No. 45; etc. Noc. 8, \$6\$ allows to some instaction of a Creta.

was the expression of individual ideas and sentiments, with warmth and frankness. These sentiments found a natural expression in the native dialect of these poets, the ancient Æolic, which has a character of simplicity and fondness; the epic dialect, the general language of Greek poetry, was only used sparingly, in order to soften and elevate this popular dialect. Unhappily the works of these poets were allowed to perish at a time when they had become unintelligible from the singularity of their dialect, and the condensation of their thoughts. To this cause, and not to the warmth of their descriptions of the passion of love. is to be attributed the oblivion to which they were consigned. For if lite rary works had been condemned on moral grounds of this kind, the writings of Martial and Petronius, and many poems of the Anthology, would not exist; while Alexeus and Sapplio would probably be extant. As, however, the productions of these two poets have not been preserved, we must attempt to form as perfect an idea of them as can be obtained from the sources of information which are open to us.

§ 2. The circumstances of the life of ALCEUS are closely connected with the political circumstances of his native city Mytilene, in the island of Lesbos. Alcreus belonged to a noble family, and a great part of his public life was employed in asserting the privileges of his order. These were then endangered by democratic factions, which appear to have placed ambitious men at their head, and to have given them powerful support, as happened about the same time in Peloponnesus. In many cases the demagogues obtained absolute, or (as the Greeks called it) turannical power. A tyrant of this kind in Mytilene was Melanchrus, who was opposed by the brothers of Alcreus, Antimenidas and Cicis, in conjunction with Pittacus, the wisest statesman of the time in Lesbos, and was slain by them in the 42d Olympiad, 612 B.C. At this time the Mytilenca were at war with foreign enemies, the Athenians, who, under Phrynon, had conquered and retained possession of Sigeum, a maritime town of Troas. The Mytileneaus, among whom was Alexus. were defeated in this war; but Pittacus slew Phrynon in single combat. Olymp, 43. 3, 606 n. c. Mytilene henceforth was divided into parties, from the heads of which new tyrants arose, such as (according to Strabo) Myrsilus, Megalagyrus, and the Cleanactids. The aristocratic party, to which Alexeus and Antimenidas belonged, was driven out of Mytilene, and the two brothers then wandered about the world. Alcaus, being exiled, made long sea voyages, which led him to Egypt; and Antimenidas served in the Babylonian army, probably in the war which Nebuchadnezzar waged in Upper Asia with the Egyptian Pharaoh Necho, and the states of Syria, Phœnicia, and Judzea, in the years from

dance round the altar; and dances of this kind were, perhaps, often combined with the hymns of the Æolians; see Anthol. Palat. 1, 189. Anscreon's poems were also sung by female choruses at nocturnal festivals, according to Critias sp. Athen, xiii. n. 600 D. n.c. 606 (Od. 43. 3) to 584 (Ol. 49. 1), and longer \*. Some time after this we again find the brothers in the neighbourhood of their microsity, at the lead of the exiled nobles, and trying to effect their return by force. Pitteus was then unanimously elected dictator by the property to defend the constitution, (airsupérige). The administration of Pitteus lasted, according to the accounts of ancient chronologyers. Or Dymp, 47. 3. (n. c. 590), to 50. 1. (n. c. 580). He was so fortunates as to overcome the exiled party, and to gain them over by his chemostry and moderation. He also (according to the authenticated statement) was reconciled with Alcrevis; and it is probable that the poet, and many wanderings, passed his latter days in the quiet enjoyment of his home.

§ 3. In the midst of these troubles and perils, Alcaus struck the lyre, not, like Solon, with a spirit of calm and impartial patriotism, to bewail the evils of the state, and to show the way to improvement, but to give utterance to the passionate emotions of his mind, When Myrsilus was about to establish a tyrannical government in Mytilene, Alcaeus composed the beautiful ode, in which he compares the state to a ship tossed about by the waves, while the sea has washed into the hold, and the sail is torn by the wind. A considerable fragment of this ode has been preserved †; and we may also form some idea of its contents from the fine imitation of it by Horace, which, however, probably falls short of the original 1. When Myrsilus dies, the joy of the poet knows no bounds. " Now is the time for carousing, now is the time for challenging the guests to drink, for Myrsilus is dead 6." Horace has also taken the beginning of this ode for one of his finest poems |. After the death of Myrsilus, we find Alcœus aiming the shafts of his poetry at Megalagyrus and the Cleanactids, on account of their attempts to obtain illegal power; although, according to Strabo, Alcaus himself was not entirely guiltless of attempts against the stitution of Mytilene. Even when Pittacus was chosen dictator by the people, the discontent of the poet with the political state of his country did not cease : on the contrary, Pittacus (who was esteemed by all a wise, moderate, and natriotic statesman, and who had clearly shown his republican virtue by resigning his power after a ten years' administration) now became the prime object of the vehement attacks of Alexus. He reproaches the people for having unanimously chosen the ignoble Pittacus to be tyrant over the ill-fated city; and he assails the dictator with vitupera

<sup>\*</sup> The battle of Carchemish, or Circesium, appears from Berosus to fall in 604 s. c., the date of the biblical chronology, is probably right.

<sup>†</sup> Fragm. 2. Blomf. 2. Matth. cf. 3. † Carm. 1, 14. O navis referent-

Fragm. 4. Blomf. 4. Matth.

<sup>||</sup> Carm. 1. 37. Nunc est bibeudum-

Très nanemárgeda Derranés, Fragm. 23. Blomf. 5. Matth.

tive epithets which appear fitter for iamble than for lytie poetry. Thus he taunts him in words of the boldest formation, sometimes with his mean appearance, sometimes with his low and vulgar mode of life. As As compared with Pittacus, it seems that the poet now deemed the former tyrant Melanchrus, "worthy of the respect of the city t."

In this class of his poems (called by the ancients his party poems, διχοστασιαστικά), Alexus gave a lively picture of the political state of Mytilene, as it appeared to his partial view. His war-songs express a stirring martial spirit, though they do not breathe the strict principles of military honour which prevailed among the Dorians, particularly in Sparta. He describes with joy his armoury, the walls of which glittered with helmets, coats of mail, and other pieces of armour, " which must now be thought upon, as the work of war is begun !." He speaks of war with courage and confidence to his companions in arms; there is no need of walls (he says), " men are the best rampart of the city § ;" nor does he fear the shining weapons of the enemy. "Emblems on shields make no wounds |." He celebrates the buttles of his adventurous brother, who had, in the service of the Babylonians, slain a gigantic champion ¶; and speaks of the ivory sword-handle which this brother had brought from the extremity of the earth, probably the present of some oriental prince \*\*. Yet the pleasure he seems to have felt in deeds of arms did not prevent him from relating in one of his poems. how in a battle with the Athenians he had escaped indeed with his life, but the victors had hung up his eastaway arms as trophies, in the temple of Pallas at Sigeum + +.

§ 4. A noble nature, accompanied with strong passions, a variety of Aleeus, especially in the numerous poems which sing the praises of love and wine. The frequent mention of wine in the fragments of loves and wine. The frequent mention of wine in the fragments of Alceus shows bor highly he prized the gift of Bacchus, and how ingenious he was in the invention of inducements to drinking. Now it is the cold storms of winter which drive him to drink by the flame of the

<sup>•</sup> In Diog. Lacrt. 1.81. Fragm. 6. Matth. Thus he calls Pittacus & efolografier, that is, who sups in the dark, and not in a room lighted with lamps and to thes.

<sup>†</sup> Fragm. 7, Blomf. 7, Matth. † Fragm. 24, Blomf. 1, Matth. comp. below § 5.

<sup>§</sup> Fragm. 9. Blemf. 11, 12. Matth.

Fragm. 13. Matth.

The fragment in Stralo xiii, p. 617, (86. Bland, S. Maith, has been thus emended by the author in Niebuhr S Brisinisches Museum, vol. i. p. 287.—Kei rå åthjede Artganiles, ir green 'Abrein Belgakanin enganggiven vallen playe film, sai is visus aktris fiseriale variations aktor garganis, if ver, Sarchin, valantaris derhildren playe in play vigus akt visures. (Eccl. for visus): that is, this royal champion only wanted a palm of fire Greek cubits.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fragm. 32. Blomf. 67. Matth.

<sup>††</sup> Fragm. 56, Blomf. 9, Matth.

hearth, as in a beautiful poem imitated by Horace \*; now the heat of the dog star, which parches all nature, and invites to moisten the tongue with wine †. Another time it is the cares and sorrows of life for which wine is the best medicine 1; and then again, it is joy for the death of the tyrant which must be celebrated by a drinking bout. Alcacus however does not consider wine-drinking as a more sensual excitement. Thus he calls wine the drowner of cares §; and, as opening the heart, it is a mirror for mankind |. Still it may be doubted whether Akæus composed a separate class of drinking songs, (συμποτικά.) From the fragments which remain, and the imitations by Horace, it is more probable that Alexeus connected every exhortation to drink with some reflection, either upon the particular circumstances of the time or upon man's destiny in general.

It is much to be regretted that so little of the erotic poetry of Alcæus has reached our time. What could be more interesting than the relations between Alcaus and Sappho? of the poet with the poetess? whilst on the part of Alcreus love and respect for the noble and renowned maiden were in conflict. He salutes her in a poem, "Violet crowned, pure, sweetly smiling Sappho;" and confesses to her in another that he wishes to express more, but shame prevents him. Sappho understands his meaning, and answers with maiden indignation, " If thy wishes were fair and noble, and thy tongue designed not to utter what is base, shame would not cloud thy eyes, but thou wouldst freely speak thy just desires "." That his poems to beautiful youths breathed feelings of the tenderest love may be conjectured from the well-known anecdote that he attributed a peculiar beauty to a small blemish in his beloved \* \*. The amatory poems, like the passages in praise of wine, are free from a tone of Sybaritic effendinacy, or merely sensual pussion. Throughout his poems, we see the active restless man; and the tumult of war, the strife of politics, the sufferings of exile, and of distant aderings, serve by contrast to heighten the effect of scenes of tranquil enjoyment. " The Lesbian citizen sang of war amidst the din of arms; or, when he had bound the storm-tossed ship to the shore, he sang of Baechus and the Muses, of Venus and her son, and Lycus, beautiful from his black hair and black eyes † †." It is evident that poetry was not a mere pastime, or exercise of skill to Alcaus, but a means of pouring out the inmost feelings of his soul. How superior are these poems to the odes of Horace ! which, admirable as they are for the refinement of the ideas and the

S Aufrander, Fragus. 20. Blomf. 31. Matth.

<sup>9</sup> Fragm. 1. Blomf. 27. Matth, Horat. Carm. I. 9. Vides ut alta.

<sup>†</sup> Fragm. 18, Blomf. 28, Matth. ! Fragm. 3. Blomf. 29. Matth.

<sup>||</sup> Fr. 16. Blomf. 36, 37, Matth.

T Fragm. 38. Blomf. and Sappho, Fragm. 30. In Matthia, Fragm. 41, 42.

<sup>++</sup> Horat, Carm. I. 32, 5, 200. Cf. Schol. Pind. Olymp. x. 15,

beauty of the execution, yet are wanting in that which characterized the. Æolic lyric poetry, the expression of vehement passion.

There is little characteristic in the religious poetry of Alcœus, which consisted of hymns to different deities. These poems (judging from a few specimens of them) had so much of the epic style, and contained so much diffuse and graphic narrative, that their whole structure must have been different from that of the poems designed for the expression of opinions and feelings. In a hymn to Apollo, Alcœus related the beautiful Delphic legend, that the youthful god, adorned by Zeus with a golden fillet, and holding the lyre, is carried in a car drawn by swans to the pious Hyperboreans, and remains with them for a year; when, it being the time for the Delphic tripods to sound, the god about the middle of summer goes in his car to Delphi, while choruses of youths invoke him with poems, and nightingales and cicadæ salute him with their songs . Another hymn, that to Hermes, had manifestly a close resemblance to the epic hymn of the Homeric poet †: both relate the birth of Hermes, and his driving away the oxen of Apollo, as also the wrath of the god against the thief, which however is changed into laughter, when he finds that, in the midst of his threats, Hermes has contrived to steal the quiver from his shoulder 1. In another hymn the birth of Hephæstus was related. It appears from a few extant fragments that Alcœus used the same metres and the same kind of strophes in the composition of these hymns, as for his other poems. The flow of the parrative must, however, have been checked by these short verses and strophes. Still Alexus (as Horace also does sometimes) was able to carry the same ideas and the same sentence through several strophes. It is moreover probable, from the extraordinary taste displayed by the ancient poets, and by Alcæus in particular, in the choice and management of metrical forms, that he would in his hymns have brought the verse and the sociect into perfect harmony.

§ 5. The metrical forms used by Alexus are mostly light and lively; a sometimes with a softer, sometimes with a more vehoment character. They consist principally of .Eolic daetyls, which, though apparently resembling the daetyls of epic poetry, yet are essentially unlike. Instead of depending upon the perfect balance of the Arsis and Thesis S, they admit the shortening of the former; whence arises an irregularity which was distinguished by the ascient writers on metre by the name of dipreportioned dautyls (£\delta vectors \delta vectors \de

§ Abore ch. 4. § 4.

<sup>•</sup> Fragm. 17. Matth. † Abore ch. 7. § 5. 1 Fragm. 21. Matth. Horace, Carm. I. 10. 9, has borrowed the last incident from Alcrus: but the hymn of Alcrus, which related at length the story of the theft, was on the whole different from the ode of Horace, which touches on many adventures of Hermes, without dwelling on any.

The choriambics of the Æolic lyric poets are composed on the same plan, as they have also the preceding basis; yet this metre always retains something of the stately tone which belongs to it. Hence Alexus. and also Horace, whose metres are for the most part borrowed from him, composed poems of choriambic verses by simple repetition, without dividing them into strophes; these poems have a somewhat loftier and more solemn tone than the rest. The Logacedic metre also belongs peculiarly to the Æolic lyric poets; it is produced by the immediate junction of dactylic and trochaic feet, so that a rapid movement passes into a feebler one. This lengthened and various kind of metre was pcculiarly adapted to express the softer emotions, such as tenderness, melancholy, and longing. Hence this metre was frequently used by the Æolians, and their strophes were principally formed by connecting logaædic rhythms with trochees, iambi, and Æolic dactyls. Of this kind is the Sapphic strophe, the softest and sweetest metre in the Greek lyric poetry, and which Alcreus seems to have sometimes employed, as in his hymn to Hermes \*. But the firmer and more vigorous tone of the metre, called after him the Alcaic, was better suited to the temper of his mind. The logacedic elements t of this metre have but little of their characteristic softness, and they receive an impulse from the iambic dipodies which precede them. Hence the Alcaic strophe is generally employed by these poets in political and warlike poems, and in all in which manly passions predominate. Alcreus likewise formed longer verses of logaædic feet, and joined them in an unbroken series, after the manner of choriambic and many ductylic verses. In this way he obtained a beautiful measure for the description of his armoury 1. Among the various metres used by Alcaus, the last which we shall mention

\* That is to say, if the verse in fragm. 37. Blomf. 22. Magth. was the beginning of this hymn. According to Apollonius de pronom, p. 90. eff. Bekker, it runs thus: χπίρη, καλλάπει į είλιας (as participie, with the Æolic accent, for μελιή), εί γάς μπ. † In these remarks it is assumed that the second part of the alcaic verse is not horizambic, or dactylic, hut logaculie; and that the whole ought thus to be arranged:

Thus, it appears that the third verse of the strophe is a prolongation of the first half of the two first verses; and that the fourth verse is a similar prolongation of the second half. The entire strophe is therefore formed of a combination of the two elements, the iambic and the logandic.

Verses 3 and 4 ought to be read thus;  $\chi$ álasas di marrálus apówrom mesasiusnas  $\lambda$ aµrças asáµdos, i. e. "and mazen shinneg grieves conceal the pegs, to which they are suspended." marrálus is the Æolic accusative; the dative in this dialect is always marrálus.

is the Ionic metre (Ionici a minori), which he used to express the emo-

§ 6. We come now to the other leader of the Lesbian school of poctry, Sappho, the object of the admiration of all antiquity. There is no doubt that she belonged to the island of Lesbos; and the question whether she was born in Ercsos or Mytilene is best resolved by supposing that she went from the lesser city to the greater, at the time of her greatest celebrity. She was nearly contemporaneous with her countryman Alcreus, although she must have been younger, as she was still alive in Ol. 53. 568 s. c. About Ol. 46. 596 s. c., shc sailed from Mytilenc in order to take refuge in Sicily +, but the cause of her flight is unknown; she must at that time have been in the bloom of her life. At a much later period she produced the ode mentioned by Herodotus, in which she reproached her brother Charaxus for having purchased Rhodopis I the courtesau from her master, and for having beeu induced by his love to emancipate her. This Rhodopis dwelt at Naucratis, and the event fails at a time when a frequent intercourse with Egypt had already been established by the Greeks. Now the government of Amasis (who permitted the Grecks in Egypt to dwell in Naucratis) began in Olymp. 52. 4. 569 B. C., and the return of Charaxus from the journey to Mytilene, where his sister received him with this reproachful and satirical ode, must have happened some years later,

The severity with which Sapyho censured her brother for his love for a courtesan enables us to form some judgment of the principles by which she guided her own conduct. For although at the time when she wrote this ode to Charavus, the fire of youthful passion had been quenched in her breast; yet she never could have repreached her brother with his love for a courtesan, if she had herself been a courtesan in her youth; and Charavus night have retained upon her with additional strength. Besides we may plainly discera the feeling of unimpeached bonour due to a freeborn and well educated maiden, in the verses already quoted, which refer to the relation of Alcans and Sappho. Alcans statificat that the attractions and loveliness of Sapho did not derogate from her moral worth when he calls her "violet-crowned, pure, sweetly smilling Sapho S." These genaine testimonies are indeed opposed to the accounts of many later writers, who represent Sapho a courtesan. To refute this ontinion, we will not resent to the excellent employed by

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. 36. Blomf. 69. Matth. | hij dukar, hai wasan xaxeraran widiyasan.

Every ten of these Ionic feet formed a system, as Bentley has arranged Horat. Carm. 111. 12. Horace, however, has not in this ode succeeded in catching the genuine tone of the metre. See above ch. 11. § 7.

<sup>†</sup> Marm. Par. ep. 36. comp. Ovid Her. xv. 51. The date of the Parian marble is lost; but it must have been between Olymp. 44. 1. and 47. 2.

<sup>†</sup> II. 135, and see Athen. xiii, p. 596. Bhodopis or Doricha was the fellow slave of Æsop, who flourished at the same time (Olymp. 52).

<sup>1 § &#</sup>x27;linkey', agra, muligimudi Iampii. See abore § 4.

some ancient writers, who have attempted to distinguish a courtesan of Eresos named Sappho from the poetess. A more probable cause of this false imputation seems to be, that later generations, and especially the refined Athenians, were incapable of conceiving and appreciating the frank simplicity with which Sappho pours forth her feelings, and therefore confounded them with the unblushing immodesty of a courtesan. In Sappho's time, there still existed among the Greeks much of that primitive simplicity which appears in the wish of Nausicaa in Homer that she had such a hushand as Ulysses. That complete separation between sensual and sentimental love had not yet taken place which we find in the writings of later times, especially in those of the Attie comic poets. Moreover the life of women in Lesbos was doubtless very different from the life of women at Athens and among the Ionians. In the Ionian States the female sex lived in the greatest retirement, and were exclusively employed in household concerns. Hence, while the men of Athens were distinguished by their perfection in every branch of art, none of their women emerged from the obscurity of domestic life. The secluded and depressed condition of the female sex among the Ionians of Asia Minor, originating in circumstances connected with the history of their race, had also become universal in Athens, where the principle on which the education of women rested was that just so much mental culture was expedient for women as would enable them to manage the household, provide for the bodily wants of the children, and overlook the female slaves; for the rest, says Pericles in Thueydides \*, "that woman is the hest of whom the least is said among men, whether for evil or for good," But the Æolians had in some degree preserved the ancient Greek manners, such as we find them depicted in their epic poetry and mythology, where the women are represented as taking an active share not only in social domestic life, but in public amusements; and they thus enjoyed a distinct individual existence and moral character. There can be no doubt that they, as well as the women of the Dorian states of Peloponnesus and Magna Grecia, shared in the advantages of the general high state of civilization, which not only fostered poetical talents of a high order among women, but, as in the time of the Pythagorean league, even produced in them a turn for philosophical reflections on human life. But as such a state of the education and intellect of women was utterly inconsistent with Athenian manners, it is natural that women should be the objects of scurrilous jests and slanderous imputations. We cannot therefore wonder that women who had in any degree overstepped the bounds prescribed to their sex by the manners of Athens, should be represented by the licentious pen of the Athenian comic writers, as lost to every sentiment of shame or decency +.

<sup>\* 1</sup>I, 45.

<sup>†</sup> There were Attic comedies with the title of "Sappho," by Amphis, Autiphanes, Ephippus, Timocles and Diphilus; and a comedy by Plato entitled "Phaon."

6 7. It is certain that Sappho, in her odes, made frequent mention of a youth, to whom she gave her whole heart, while he requited her passion with cold indifference. But there is no trace whatever of her having named the object of her passion, or sought to win his favour by her beautiful verses. The pretended name of this youth, Phaon, although frequently mentioned in the Attic comedies ", appears not to have occurred in the poetry of Sappho. If Phaon had been named in her poetry, the opinion could not have arisen that it was the courtesan Sappho, and not the poetess, who was in love with Phaon †. Moreover, the marvellous stories of the beauty of Phaon and the love of the goddess Aphrodite for him, have manifestly been borrowed from the mythus of Adonis !. Hesiod mentions Phaethon, a son of Eos and Cephalus. who when a child was carried off by Aphrodite, and brought up as the guardian of the sanctuary in her temples §. This is evidently founded on the Cyprian legend of Adonis; the Greeks, adopting this legend, appear to have given the name of Phaethon or Phaon to the favourite of Approdite; and this Phaon, by various mistakes and misinterpretations. at length became the beloved of Sappho. Perhaps also the poetess may, in an ode to Adonis, have celebrated the beautiful Phaon in such a manner that the verses may have been supposed to refer to a lover of her own.

According to the ordinary account, Sappho, despised by Phaon, took the leap from the Leucadian rock, in the hope of finding a cure for the pains of unrequited love. But even this is rather a poetical image. than a real event in the life of Sappho. The Leucadian leap was a religious rite, belonging to the expiatory festivals of Apollo, which was celebrated in this as in other parts of Greece. At appointed times, criminals, selected as expiatory victims, were thrown from the high overhanging rock into the sea; they were however sometimes canont at the bottom, and, if saved, they were sent away from Lencadia !. This custom was applied in various ways by the poets of the time to the description of lovers. Stesichorus, in his poetical novel named

<sup>.</sup> As in the verses of Menander in Strabo x. p. 452. er di Aiseras miera Lanze ris irigaspero fações Láus siergante wife fibar wirges åre enliganer.

<sup>†</sup> In Athen. XIII. p. 596 E, and several ancient lexicographers.

<sup>†</sup> Cratinus, the comic poet, in an anknown play in Athen. II. p. 69. D. relates that Aphrodite had concealed Phaon is systemizes, among the lettuce. The same legend is also related of Adonis by others, in Athenaus; and it refers to the us the horti Adanidis. Concerning Phaon-Adanis, see also Ælian V. H. xii, 18. Lucian Dial. Mort. 9. Plian N. H. xxii. 8. Servins ad Virg. Æn. 111. 279. not to mention inferior authorities for this legend.

hesiod. Theog. 986. aq. merides pixes, according to the reading of Aris-

<sup>||</sup> Concerning the connexion of this custom with the worship of Apollo, see Müller's Dorians, B. 11, ch. 11, 6 10,

Calvee, snoke of the love of a virtuous maiden for a youth who despised her passion; and in despair she threw herself from the Leucadian rock. The effect of the leap in the story of Sappho (viz. the euring her of her intolerable passion) must therefore have been unknown to Stesichorus. Some years later, Anacreon says in an ode, " again casting myself from the Leucadian rock, I plunge into the grey sea, drunk with love "." The poet can scarcely by these words be supposed to say that he cures himself of a vehement passion, but rather means to describe the delirious intoxication of violent love. The story of Sappho's leap probably originated in some poetical images and relations of this kind; a similar story is told of Aphrodite in regard to her lament for Adonis t. Nevertheless it is not unlikely that the leap from the Leucadian rock may really have been made, in ancient times, by desperate and frantic men. Another proof of the fictitious character of the story is that it leaves the principal point in uncertainty, namely, whether Sappho survived the leap or perished in it.

From what has been said, it follows that a true conception of the erotic poetry of Sappho, and of the feelings expressed in it, can only be drawn from fragments of her odes, which, though numerous, are for the most part very short. The most considerable and the best known of Sappho's remains is the complete ode t, in which she implores Aphrodite not to allow the torments and agitations of love to destroy her mind, but to come to her assistance, as she had formerly descended from heaven in her golden ear drawn by sparrows, and with radiant smiles on her divine face had asked her what had befallen her, and what her unquiet heart desired, and who was the author of her pain, She promised that if he fled her now, he soon would follow her; if he did not now accept her presents, he would soon offer presents to her; if he did not love her now, he would soon love her, even were she cov and reluctant. Sappho then implores Aphrodite to come to her again and assist her. Although, in this ode, Sappho describes her love in glowing language, and even speaks of her own frantic heart & vet the indelicacy of such an avowal of passionate love is much diminished by the manner in which it is made. The poetess does not importune her lover with her complaints, nor address her poem to him, but confides her passion to the goddess and pours out to her all the tumult and the angulsh of her heart. There is great delicacy in her not venturing to give utterance in her own person to the expectation that the coy and indifferent object of her affection would be transformed into an impatient lover; an expectation little likely to find a place in a heart so stricken and oppressed as that of the poetess; she

<sup>\*</sup> In Hephrestion, p. 130.

<sup>†</sup> See Ptolem. Hephrestion (in Phot. Bibliothec.) Ballin Z.

f Fragm. 1. Blomf, 1. Neue.

δ μασόλα ένμη.

only recalls to her mind, that the goddess had in former and similar situations vonchsafed her support and eonsolation. In other fragments Sappho's passionate excitable temper is expressed with frankness quite foreign to our manners, but which possesses a simple grace. Thus she says, "I request that the charming Menon be invited, if the feast is to bring enjoyment to me ";" and she addresses a distinguished vouth in these words: " Come opposite to me, oh friend, and let the sweetness which dwells in thine eyes beam upon me t." Yet we can no where find grounds for reproaching her with having tried to please men or met their advances when past the season of youth. On the contrary, she says, "Thou art my friend, I therefore advise thee to seek a younger wife, I cannot bring myself to share thy house as an elder ‡."

§ 8. It is far more difficult to discover and to judge the nature of Sappho's intimacies with women. It is, however, certain that the life and education of the female sex in Lesbos was not, as in Athens, confined within the house; and that girls were not entrusted exclusively to the care of mothers and nurses. There were women distinguished by their attainments, who assisted in instructing a circle of young girls, in the same manner as Socrates afterwards did at Athens young men of promising talents. There were also among the Dorians of Sparta noble and cultivated women, who assembled young girls about them, to whom they devoted themselves with great zeal and affection: and these girls formed associations which, in all probability, were under the direction of the elder women §. Such associations as these existed in Lesbos in the time of Sappho; but they were completely voluntary, and were formed by girls who were studying to attain that proficiency in music or other elegant arts, that refinement and grace of manners, which distinguished the women around whom they congregated. Music and poetry no doubt formed the basis of these societies, and instruction and exercise in these arts were their immediate object, Though poetry was a part of Sappho's inmost nature, a genuine expression of the feelings by which she was really agitated, it is probable that with her, as with the ancient poets, it was the business and study of life; and as technical perfection in it could be taught, it might, by persevering instructions, be imparted to the young ||. Not only Sappho, but many other women in Lesbos, devoted themselves to this mode of life. In the songs of this poetess, frequent mention was made

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. 33. Neue, from Hophset. p. 41; it is not, however, quite certain, that the verees belong to Sappho. Compare fragm. 10. Blomf. 5. Neue (1λδε, κότει)-1; + Fragm. 13. Blomf. 62. Newe. Compare fragment 24. Blomf. 32. Neue. (γλυκια μετά, κότει —), and 23. Blomf. 55. Neue, (γλυκια μετά ετλέπε —).

<sup>1</sup> Fragm. 12. Blomf. 20. Neue (according to the reading of the latter).

<sup>8</sup> Muller's Dorians, B. iv. chap. 4. \$ 8. ch. 5. \$ 2.

<sup>||</sup> Hence Sappho calls her house, "the house of the servant of the Muses,"

of Gorgo and Andromeda as her rivals . A great number of her young friends were from distant countries †, as Anaetoria of Miletus, Gongyla of Colophon, Eunica of Salamis, Gyrinna, Atthis, Mnasidica. A great number of the poems of Sappho related to these female friendships, and reveal the familiar intercourse of the woman's chamber, the Gynæconitis; where the tender refined sensibility of the female mind was cultivated and impressed with every attractive form. Among these accomplishments, music and a graceful demeanor were the most valued. The poetess says to a rich but uncultivated woman, "Where thou diest, there wilt thou lie, and no one will remember thy name in times to come, because thou hast no share in the roses of Pieria. Inglorious wilt thou wander about in the abode of Hades, and flit among its dark shades t." She derides one of her rivals, Andromeda, for her manner of dressing, from which it is well known the Greeks were wont to infer much more of the native disposition and character than we do. "What woman," says she to a young female friend, "ever charmed thy mind who wore a vulgar and graceless dress, or did not know how to draw her garments close around her ankles §?" She reproaches one of her friends, Mnasidica, because, though her form was beautiful as that of the young Gyrinna, yet her temper was gloomy ||. To another, Atthis, to whom she had shown particular marks of affection, and who had grieved her by preferring her rival Andromeda, she says, "Again does the strength-dissolving Eros, that bitter-sweet, resistless monster agitate me; but to thee, O Atthis, the thought of me is importunate; thou fliest to Andromeda T." It is obvious that this attachment bears less the character of maternal interest than of passionate love; as among the Dorians in Sparta and Crete, analogous connexions between men and vouths, in which the latter were trained to noble and manly deeds, were carried on in a language of high wrought and rossionate feeling which had all the character of an attachment between persons of different sexes. This mixture of feelings, which among nations of a calmer temperament have always been perfectly distinct, is an essential feature of the Greek character.

<sup>\*</sup> Fram the passage an the relations of Sappha in Maxim, Tyrius, Dissert, xxiv. † In Suidas to Zarpa the traines and participus of Sappho are distinguished: but the Iraines were, at least originally, participus. Thus Maximus Trius mentions Anactoria as being loved by Sappho; but it is probable that "Asspire Marsia, men-Anactors as being loves of y-supers; on it is promote that Average mozers, mentand by Solids summy her selvers, is the same power, and that the same ought the ancient name of Miletus was Anactaria; Scephan. Byrani. in var. Mozers, Fratta. at II. 18, p 21, ed. Rom; Schal. Apall. Rod. I. 187, 1 Pragm. 11. Blamt. 13. Neec.

† Pragm. 11. Blamt. 23. Neec.

sculpture, an which women are represented as walking with the upper garment drawn close to the leg above the ankle. See, far example, the relief io Mus. Capital. T. IV.

Fragm. 26, 27. Blamf. 42. Neue. The reading, however, is not quite certain. Fragm. 31. Blomf. 37, Neue, ef. 32. Blomf. 14. Neue. 'Heanas mis lya rites, 'Arfi, walm witn.

The most remarkable exemple of this impassioned strain of Sappho in relation to a female friend is that considerable fragment preserved by Longinus, which has often been incorrectly interpreted, because the beginning of it led to the erroneous idea that the object of the passion expressed in it was a man. But the poem says, "That man securs to me equal to the gods who sits opposite to thee, and watches thy sweet speech and charming smile. My heart loses its force: for when I look at thee, my tongue ceases to utter; my voice is broken, a subtle fine glides through my veins, my eyes grow dim, and a rushing sound fills my ears," In these, and even stronger terms, the poetess expresses nothing more than a friendly statchment to a young girl, but which, from the extreme excitability of feeling, assumes all the tone of the most ardent passion \*.

§ 9. From the class of Sapphic odes which we have just described, we must distinguish the Epithalamia or Hymeneals, which were peculiarly adapted to the genius of the poetess from the exquisite perception she seems to have had of whatever was attractive in either sex. These poems appear, from the numerous fragments which remain, to have had great beauty, and much of that mode of expression which the simple, natural manners of those times allowed, and the warm and sensitive heart of the poetess suggested. The Epithalamium of Catullus, not that playful one on the marriage of Manlius Torquatus, but the charming, tender poem, "Vesper adest, juvenes, consurgite," is an evident imitation of a Sapphic Epithalamium, which was composed in the same hexameter verse. It appears that in this, as in Catullus, the trains of youths and of maidens advanced to meet; these reproached, those praised the evening star, because he led the bride to the youth. Then comes the verse of Sappho which has been preserved, "Hesperus, who bringest together all that the rosy morning's light has scattered abroad +." The beautiful images of the gathered flowers and of the vine twining about the elm, by which Catullus alternately dissuades and recommends the marriage of the maiden, have quite the character of Sapphie similes. These mostly turn upon flowers and plants, which the poetess seem to have regarded with fond delight and sympathy 1. In a fragment lately discovered, which bears a strong impression of the simple language of Sappho, she compares the freshness of youth and the unsullied beauty of a maiden's face to au apple of some peculiar kind, which, when all the rest of the fruit is gathered from the tree, remains alone at an unattainable height, and drinks in the whole vigour of vegetation; or rather (to give the simple words of the poetess in

<sup>\*</sup> Catullus, who imitates this poem in Carm. 51, gives it an ironical termination, (Otium, Catulle, tibi molestum est, &c.,) which is certainly not borrowed from

<sup>+</sup> Fragm. 45. Blomf. 68. Neue.

<sup>†</sup> Concerning the love of Sappho for the rose, see Philostrat. Epist. 73, comp. Neue fragm, 132.

which the thought is placed before us and gradually heightened with great beauty and nature) " like the sweet apple which ripens at the top of the bough, on the topmost point of the bough, forgotten by the gatherers-no. not quite forgotten, but beyond their reach .. " A fragment written in a similar tone, speaks of a hyaclnth, which growing among the mountains is trodden underfoot by the shepherds, and Its purple flower is pressed to the ground t: thus obviously comparing the maiden who has no husband to protect her, with the flower which grows in the field, as contrasted with that which blooms in the shelter of a garden. In another hymeneal, Sappho compares the bridegroom to a young and slender sapling ‡. But she does not dwell upon such images as these alone; she also compares him to Arcs §, and his deeds to those of Achilles | ; and here her lyre may have assumed a loftler tone than that which usually characterised it. But there was another kind of hymeneal among the songs of Sappho, which furnished occasion to a sort of petulant pleasantry. In this the maidens try to snatch away the bride as she is led to the bridegroom, and vent their mockery on his friend who stands before the door, and is thence called the Porter T.

Sappho also composed hymns to the gods, in which she invoked them to come from their favourite abodes in different countries; but there is little information extant respecting their contents.

The poems of Sappho are little susceptible of division into distinct classes. Hence the ancient critics divided them into books, merely according to the metre, the first containing the odes in the Sapphic metre, and so on. The hymcneals were thus placed in different books. The rhythmical construction of her odes was essentially the same as that of Alcœus, yet with many variations, in harmony with the softer character of her poetry, and easily perceptible upon a careful comparison of the several metres.

How great was Sappho's fame among the Greeks, and how rapidly it spread throughout Greece, may be seen in the history of Solon \*\*, who was a contemporary of the Lesbian poetess. Hearing his nephew recite

· Oin to yauxinades lesisteras axes it' eebs. "Ordu la axectáry, hiháfeste & mahefestris.

Οὐ μὰν ἐκλιλάδου", ἀλλ' εἰκ ἐθώκιντ ἐφίκετθει.
The fragment is in Walz, Rhetores Græci, vol. viii. p. 883. Himerius, Orat. I. 4. 6 16, cites something similar from a hymeneus of Sappho. † Olas vas beinedes is ellerer meinere andere

πωτεί παταστέβουσε χαμαί δε τι πές βορο άνδος. Τ Demetrius de elocut. c. 106, quotes these verses without a name; but it can scarcely be doubted that they are Sappho's. In Catulius, the young women use the same image as the young men in Sappho. # Fragm. 42. Blomf. 34. Neue.

6 Fragm. 39. Blomf. 73. Neue.

| Himerius, Orat. I. 4. 5 16.

¶ Fragm. 43. Blomf, 38. Neue. It is worthy of remark that Demetrius de elocut. c. 167, expressly mentions the chorus in relation to this fragment,

\*\* In Stobæus, Serm, xxix. 28.

one of her poems, he is said to have exclaimed, that he would not willingly die till he had learned it by heart. Indeed the whole voice of antiquity has declared that the poetry of Sappho was unrivalled in grace and sweetness.

And doubless from that circle of accomplished women, of whom she formed the brillant centre, a flood of poetic warmth and light was poured forth on every side. A friend of hers, Danoophila the Pamphylian, composed a hymn on the worship of the Pergewan Artenin (which was solemnized in her native land after the Asiatic fashion); in this the Bolic style was blended with the peculiarities of the Pamphylian maner. A nother poetess of far higher renoun was Primas, who died in early youth, when chained by her mother to the spinning-wheel; she had as yet known the charm of existence in finangianton alone. Her poem, called "The Spindle" ("llhawfar), containing only 300 hermeter verses, in which she probably expressed the restless and supiring thoughts which crowded on her youthful mind, as she parased her monotonous work, has been deemed by many of the ancients of such high poetic merit as to entitle it to a place beside the epics of Homer 7.

6 11. We now come to Anacreon, whose poetry may be considered as akin to that of Alcreus and Sappho, although he was an Ionian from Teos, and his genius had an entirely different tone and bent. In respect also of the external circumstances in which he was placed, he belonged to a different period; inasmuch as the splendour and luxury of living had, in his time, much increased among the Greeks, and even poetry had contributed to adorn the court of a tyrant. The spirit of the Ionic race was, in Callinus, united with manly daring and a high feeling of honour, and in Mimnermus with a tender melancholy, seeking relief from care in sensual enjoyment; but in Anacreon it is bereft of of all these deeper and more serious feelings; and he seems to consider life as valuable only in so far as it can be spent in love, music, wine, and social enjoyments. And even these feelings are not animated with the glow of the Æolic poets; Anaereon, with his Ionic disposition, cares only for the enjoyment of the passing moment, and no feeling takes such deep hold of his heart that it is not always ready to give way to fresh impressions.

Anacreon had already arrived at manhood, when his native city Toowas, after some resistance, taken by Harpagus, the general of Cyrus. In consequence of this capture, the inhabitants all took ship, and soiled for Thrace, where they founded Abdera, or rather they took possession of a Greek colony already existing on the spot, and enlarged the town. This event happened about the 60th Olymp. 540 a.c. Anacreon was among these Tein exists; and, secording to ancient testimony, be

Philostrat. Vit. Apollon, i. 30, p. 37. ed, Olear.

himself called Abdera, " The foir settlement of the Tejans "". About this time, or at least not long after, Polycrates became tyrant of Samos : for Thucydides places the height of his power under Cambyses, who began to reign in Olymp. 62, 4. n. c. 529. Polyerates was, according to the testimony of Herodotas, the most enterprising and magnificent of all the Grecian tyrants. His wide dominion over the islands of the Ægæan Sca, and his intercourse with the rulers of foreign countries (as with Amasis, king of Egypt), supplied him with the means of adorning his island of Samos, and his immediate retinue, with all that art and riches could at that time effect. He embellished Samos with extensive buildings, kept a court like an oriental prince, and was surrounded by beautiful boys for various menial services; and he appears to have considered the productions of such poets as Ibycus, and especially Anaereon, as the highest ornament of a life of luxurious enjoyment, Anacreon, according to a well known story of Herodotus, was still at the court of Polyerates, when death was impending over him; and he had probably just left Samos, when his host and patron was murdered by the treacherous and sanguinary Oroetes (Olympiad 64, 3, B, c, 522). At this time Hippias, the son of Pisistratus, ruled in Athens; and his brother Hipparchus shared the government with him. The latter had more taste for poetry than any of his family, and he is particularly named in connexion with institutions relating to the cultivation of poetry among the Athenians. Hipparchus, according to a Platonic dialogue which bears his name, sent out a ship with fifty oars, to bring Anacreon to Athens; and here Anacreon found several other poets, who had then come to Atheus in order to adorn the festivals of the city, and, in particular, of the royal family. Meanwhile Anacreon devoted his muse to other distinguished families in Athens; among others he is supposed to have loved the young Critias, the son of Dropides, and to have extolled this house distinguished in the annals of Athens t. At this time the fame of Anacreon appears to have reached its highest

<sup>\*</sup> In Strabo xiv. p. 644. A fragment in Schol. Odysa viii. 293. (fragment 132, ed. Bergk.) also refirm to the Sintuns in Thrace, as likewise does an epigram of Austron (Anthol. Palat. viii. 226) to a brave warrior, who had fallon in the defence of his native city Abdera.

<sup>†</sup> Plata, Chireside, p. 137 E. Schol, Alechyl. Prom. 128. This Critisa was at this agrees with the fact, that his grandom Critisa, the statemans, one of the risk may be another agree with the fact, that his grandom Critisa, the statemans, one of the thirty may be a stateman of the control of

point; he must also have been advanced in years, as his name was, among the ancients, always connected with the idea of an old man, whose grey hairs did not interfere with his gaiety and pursuit of pleasure. It is, indeed, stated, that Anacreon was still alive at the revolt of the Ionians, caused by Histiacus, and that being driven from Teos, he took refuge in Abdera \*. But as this event happened in Olympiad 71, 3. B. c. 494, about 35 years after Anacreon's residence with Polycrates, the statement must be incorrect; and it appears to have arisen from a confusion between the subjugation of the Ionians by Cyrus, and the suppression of their revolt under Darius. From an inscription for the tomb of Anacreon in Teos, attributed to Simonides †, it is inferred that he returned in his old age to Teos, which had been again peopled under the Persian government. But the monuments which were erected to celebrated men in their own country were often merely cenotaphs; and this epitaph may perhaps, like many others bearing the name of Simonides, have been composed centuries after the time of that poet !. It is probable that Anacreon, when he had once become known as the welcome guest of the richest and most powerful men of Greece, and when his social qualities had acquired general fame, was courted and invited by princes in other parts of Greece. It is intimated in an enigram that he was intimately connected with the Alcuads, the ruling family in Thessaly, who at that time added great zeal for art and literature to the hospitable and convivial qualities of their nation. This epigram refers to a votive offering of the Thessalian prince Echecratides, doubtless the person whose son Orestes, in Olympiad 81. 2. B. c. 454, applied to the Athenians to reinstate him in the government which had belonged to his father \$.

§ 12. Anacreon seems to have laid the foundation of his poetical fame in his native town of Teos; but the most productive period of his poetry was during his residence in Samos. The whole of Anacreon's poetry (says the geographer Strabo, in speaking of the history of Samos) is filled with allusions to Polycrates. His poems, therefore, are not to be considered as the eareless outpourings of a mind in the stillness of retirement, but as the work of a person living in the midst of the splendour of the Samian tyrant. Accordingly, his notions of a life of enjoyment are not formed on the Greek model, but on the luxurious manners of the Lydians ||, introduced by Polycrates into his court. The beautiful youths, who play a principal part in the genuine poems of Anacreon, are not individuals distinguished from the mass of their contemporaries by the poet, but young men chosen for their beauty, whom

<sup>.</sup> In Suidas in v. 'Assession, Tior.

<sup>†</sup> Anthol. Pal. vii. 25, fragm. 52, ed. Gaisford.

<sup>1</sup> The fragment Abornes warped' briefigues (Schol. Harl. Od. M. 313, fragm. 33, Bergk.) appears to refer to a journey to this country. & Compare Authol. Pal. vi. 142, with Thucyd. I. 111.

A rus Aulus restife.

Polycrates kent about his person, and of whom some had been procured from a distance; as, for example, Smerdies, from the country of the Thracian Ciconians, Some of these youths enlivened the meals of Polycrates by music; as Bathyllus, whose flute-playing and Iouic singing are extolled by a later rhetorician, and of whom a bronze statue was shown in the Temple of Juno at Samos, in the dress and attitude of a player on the eithara; but which, according to the description of Apuleins, appears to have been only an Apollo Citharcedus, in the ancient Other youths were perhaps more distinguished as dancers. Anacreon offers his homage to all these voutlis, and divides his affection and admiration between Smerdies with the flowing locks, Cleobulus with the beautiful eyes, the bright and playful Lycaspis, the charming Megistes, Bathyllus, Simalus, and doubtless many others whose names have not been preserved. He wishes them to sport with him in druuken merriment \*; and if the youth will take no part in his joy, he threatens to fly upon light wings up to Olympus, there to make his complaints, and to induce Eros to chastise him for his scorn +. Or he implores Dionysus, the god with whom Eros, and the dark-eyed nymphs, and the purple Approdite, play,-to turn Cleobulus, by the aid of wine, to the love of Anaereon ‡. Or he laments, in verses full of careless grace, that the fair Bathyllus favours him so little \$. He knows that his head and temples are grey; but he hopes to obtain the affection of the youths by his pleasing song and speech ||. In short, he pays his homage to these youths, in language combining passion and playfulness,

§ 13. Anacreon, however, did not on this account withhold his admiration from female beauty. "Again (he says, in an extant fragment) golden-haired Eros strikes me with a purple ball, and challenges me to sport and play with a maiden with many-coloured sandals. But she, a native of the well-built Lesbos ¶, despises my grey hairs, and prefers another man." His amatory poetry chiefly consists of complaints of the indifference of women to his love; which, however, are expressed in so light and playful a manner, that they do not seem to proceed from menuine regret. Thus, in the beautiful ode, imitated in many places by Horace \*\*: "Thracian filly, why do you look at me askance, and avoid me without pity, and will not allow me any skill in my art? Know, then, that I could soon find means of eurbing your spirit, and, holding the

Anacreon has a peculiar term to express this idea, viz. ξβάν οτ συνηβάν. One of the amusements of this kind of life is gambling, of which the fragment in Schol. Hom. Il. xxiii. 88, fragment 44, Bergk, speaks: " Dice are the vehement passion and the conflict of Eros.

<sup>+</sup> Fragm, in Hephest. p. 52. (22. Bergk.), explained by Julian Epist, 18.

t Fragm. in Dio Chrysost, Or. II. p. 31, fr. 2: Bergk. b Horat, Ep. x.v. 9, sq.

<sup>||</sup> Fragm. in Maxim. Tyr. viii. p. 96, fr. 42. Bergk.
|| In Athen. xiii. p. 599. C. fr. 15. Bergk. That it does not refer to Sappho is proved by the dates of her lifetime, and of that of Anacroon.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In Heraclid, Allegor, Hom, p. 16, ed, Schow, fr. 79, Bergk,

reins, could guide you in the course round the goal. Still you wander about the pastures, and bound lightly round them, for there has been no dexterous hand to tame you." But such loves as these are far different from the deep seriousness with which Sappho confesses her passion, and they can only be judged by those relations between the sexes which were universally established among the Ionians at that time. In the Ionic states of Asia Minor, as at Athens, a freeborn maiden was brought up within the strict limits of the family circle, and was never allowed to enter the society of men. Thence it happened that a separate class of women devoted themselves to all those arts which qualified them to enhance the charm of social life-the Hetærie, most of them foreigners or freed women, without the vivic rights which belonged to the daughter of a citizen, but often highly distinguished by the elegance of their demeasor and by their accomplishments. Whenever, therefore, women are mentioned by Ionic and Attic writers, as taking part in the feasts and symposia of the men, and as receiving at their dwelling the salutations of the joyous band of revellers,-the Comus,there can be no doubt that they were Hetarae. Even at the time of the orators \*, an Athenian woman of genuine free blood would have lost the privileges of her birth, if she had so demeaned herself. Hence it follows, that the women with whom Anacreon offers to dance and sing. and to whom, after a pleuteous repast, he addresses a song on the Pectis +, are Hetæræ, like all those beauties whose charms are celebrated by Horsec. Anscreon's most serious love appears to have been for the "fair Eurypyle;" since jealousy of her moved him to write a satirical poem, in which Artemon, the favourite of Eurypyle, who was then passing an effeminate and luxurious life, is described in the mean and necessitous condition in which he had formerly lived !. Anacreon here shows a strength and bitterness of satirical expression resembling the tone of Archilochus; a style which he has successfully imitated in other poems. But Anacreon is content with describing the mere surface, that is, the outward marks of disgrace, the slavish attire, the lowbred demeanor, the degrading treatment to which Artemou had been exposed; without (as it appears) touching upon the intrinsic merit or demerit of the person attacked. Thus, if we compare Anacreon with the Æolic lyric poets, he appears less reflective, and more occupied with external objects. For instance, wine, the effects of which are described by Alcans with much depth of feeling, is only extolled by Anaercon as a means of social hilarity. Yet he recommends moderation in the use of it, and disapproves of the excessive carousings of the Seythians, which led to riot and brawling §. The aucients, indeed (probably with

<sup>\*</sup> Demosth. Newr. p. 1352, Reiske, and elsewhere; Isseus de Pyrihi Hered. p. 30.

<sup>+</sup> In Hephest, p. 59, fr. 16, Bergk,

In Athen. xii. p. 533, E. fr. 19. Bergk,

<sup>§ 1</sup>n Athen, x. p. 427, A. fr. 62, Bergk. Similarly Horace I. 27, 1, 19.

justice), considered the drunkenness of Amercon as rather poetical than real. In Amercon we see plainly bow the spirit of the lonic race, notwithstanding the elegance and refinement of Ionian manners, had loct its energy, its warmth of moral feeling, and its power of serious reflexion, and was reduced to a light play of pleasing thoughts and seni-meta. So far as we are able to judge of the poetry of Amercon, it seems to have had the same character as that attributed by Aristotte to the latter lonic selood of painting of Zeasis, that "it had elegance of design and brilliancy of colouring, but was wanting in moral character (e. § 80-e.)"

5 14. The Ionic softness, and departure from strict rule, which characterizes the poetry of Anacreon, may also be perceived in his versification. His language approached much nearer to the style of common conversation than that of the Æolic lyric poets, so as frequently to seem like prose embellished with ornamental epithets; and his rhythm is also softer and less bounding than that of the Æolians, and has an easy and graceful negligenee, which Horace has endeavoured to imitate. Sometimes he makes use of logaædic metres, as in the Glyconean verses, which he combines into strophes, by subjoining a Pherecratean verse to a number of Glyconeans. In this metre he shows his love for variety and novelty, by mixing strophes of different lengths with several Glyeonean verses, yet so as to preserve a certain symmetry in the whole . Anaereon also, like the Æolie lyric poets, sometimes used long choriambie verses, particularly when he intended to express energy of feeling, as in the poem against Artemon, already mentioned. This metre also exhibits a peculiarity in the rhythm of the Ionie poets, viz., an alternation of different metres, producing a freer and more varied, but also a more careless, flow of the rhythm. In the present poem this peculiarity consists in the alternation of choriambies with iambie dipodies †. The same cha racter is still more strongly shown in the Ionie metre (Ioniei a minori) which was much used by Anacreon. At the same time he changed its expression (probably after the example of the musician Olympus) t. by

\* So in the long fragment in Schol, Hephrest. p. 125, fr. 1. Bergk.

γουνόμαι ο Ιλαξαβίλι ξαιθή ται Δείς, άγριαν διετικό "Αρτιμι θημίο.

This is followed by a second strophe, with four glyconeans and a pherecratean; and both strophes together form a larger whole. This hyma of Anacron, the only compositue of its kind which is known, is evideally intended for the inhabitants of Magnesia, on the Masa-ader and Lethmus, rebuilt after its destruction (ch. 9, § 4.), where Artenia was worshipped under the title of Leucophyrus.

σελλά δι μέσου σεντίος μάστης δωμες δείς, κέμες.

Two such verses as these are then followed by an ambie dimeter, as an epode:

- σύγροῦ τ ἐκτινηλμέσος.

: See ch. 12. 67.

combining two Ionic feet, so that the last long syllable of the first foot was shortened and the first short syllable of the second foot was lengthened; by which change the second foot became a trochaic dipody \*. By this process, called by the ancients a bending, or refraction (avakage), the metre obtained a less uniform, and at the same time a softer, expression; and thus, when distributed into short verses, it became peculiarly suited to erotic poetry. The only traces of this metre, before Anacreon's time, occur in two fragments of Sappho. Anacreon, however, formed upon this plan a great variety of metres, particularly the short Anacreontic verse (a dimeter Ionicus), which occurs so frequently, both in his genuine fragments and in the later odes imitated from his style. Anacreon used the trochaic and jambic verses in the same manner as Archilochus, with whom he has as much in common, in the technical part of his poetry, as with the Æolic lyric poets. The composition of verses in strophes is less frequent with Anacreon than with the Lesbian poets; and when he forms strophes, it often happens that their conclusion is not marked by a verse different from those that precede; but the division is only made by the juxtaposition of a definite number of short verses (for example, four Ionic dimeters), relating to a common subject.

§ 15. It is scarcely possible to treat of the genuine remains of the poetry of Anacreon, without adverting to the collection of odes, preserved under his name. Indeed, these graceful little poems have so much influenced the notion formed of Anacreon, that even now the admiration bestowed upon him is almost entirely founded upon these productions of poets much later than him in date, and-very different from him in poetical character. It has long since been proved that these Anacreontics are not the work of Anacreon; and no further evidence of their spuriousness is needed than the fact, that out of about 150 citations of passages and expressions of Anacreon, which occur in the ancient writers, only one (and that of recent date) refers to a poem in this collection. But their subject and form furnish even stronger evidence. The peculiar circumstances under which Anacreon wrote his poetry never appear in these odes. The persons named in them (as, for example, Bathyllus) lose their individual reality; the truth and vigour of life give place to a shadowy and ideal existence. Many of the common places of poetry, as an old age of pleasure, the praise of love and wine, the power and subtlety of love, &c., are unquestionably treated in them with an casy grace and a charming simplicity. But generalities of this kind, without any reference to particular events or persons, do not consist with the character of Anacreon's poetry, which was drawn fresh from the life. Moreover, the principal topics in these poems have an epigrammatic and antithetical turn: the strength of the weaker sex, the power of little Eros, the happiness of dreams, the

<sup>\*</sup> So that UU / \_ | UU / \_ is changed into UU / U / U / \_ \_

freshness of age, are subjects for epigrams; and for epigrams like those composed in the first entury before Christ (especially by Meleager), and not like those of Simonides. Throughout these odes love is represented as a little boy, who carrise on a sort of mischlevous sport with mankind; a conception unknown to ancient art, and closely akin to the epigram-matic sports which belonged to the literature of a later period, and to the analogous representations of Cupid in works of art, especially on germ, where the appears, in various compositions, as a froward mischierous child. None of these works are more ancient than the time of Lysippus or Alexander. The Erros of the genuine Annereon, who "a trikes at the poet with a great hatchet, like a smith, and then bathes in the winty formet," in a evidently a being different both in body and mind. The language of these coles is also prossic and mean, and the verification monotonous, insufficial, and sometimes fault vt.

These objections apply to the entire collection; nevertheless, there is a great difference between the several cdes, some of which are excellent in their way, and highly pleasing from their simplicity 1; while others are feeble in their conception and barbarous in their language and versification. The former may, perhaps, belong to the Alexandrian period; in which (notwithstandling its refined civilization) some poets attempted to express the simplicity of childhis dispositions, as appears from the flylls of Theocritus. Those of inferior stamp may be ascribed to the later period of declining paganism, and to uncellutated writers, who initiated a backneyed style of poetical composition. However, many even of the better Anacreonties may have been written at as late a period as that of the national migrations. There can be no doubt that the centurry which produced the epic poetry of Nonuns, and so many ingenious and well-expressed epigrams, possessed sufficient talent and knowledge for Anacreonties of this kind.

§ 16. With Ausercon cessed the species of lyric poetry, in which be excelled: indeed he stands alone in it, and the tender softness of his song was drowned by the louder tones of the choral poetry. The poem (or melos) destined to be sung by a single person, never, among the Greeks, acquired so much extent as it has since attained in the modern begins and German poetry. By modern poets it has been used as the vehicle for expressing almost every variety of thought and feeling. The ancients, however, drew a more precise distinction between the

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. in Hephæst. p. 68. Gais. fr. 45. Bergk.

<sup>†</sup> The prevailing metre in these Anacrootics 2 → □ → □ → □ (a dimeter ismbic catalectic) does not occur in the fragments, except in Hephrast, p. 30, Schol, Aratoph. Put. 30½. (fr. 92. Bergk). The venes there quoted are imitated in one of the Anacroonics, od. 33. Hephrastion calls this metre, the "so called "Assagistrum."

<sup>†</sup> One of the best, viz. Anacreon's advice to the toreutes, who is to make him a cup. (No. 17 in the collection.) is cited by Gellius N. A. xx. 9, as a work of Anacreon himself; but it has completely the tone and character of the common Auaeronotics.

different feelings to be expressed in different forms of poetry; and reserved the Æolic melos for lively emotions of the mind in joy or sorrow, or for impassioned overflowings of an oppressed heart. Anacreon's poetry contains rather the play of a graceful imagination than deep emotion; and among the other Greeks there is no instance of the cmployment of lyric poetry for the expression of strong feeling; so that this kind of poetry was confined to a short period of time, and to a small portion of the Greek territory. One kind of lyric poems nearly resembling the Æolic, was, however, cultivated in the whole of Greece. and especially at Athens, viz., the Scolion.

Scolia were songs, which were sung at social meals during drinking, when the spirit was raised by wine and conversation to a lyrical pitch, But this term was not applied to all drinking songs. The scolion was a perticular kind of drinking song, and is distinguished from other parania. It was only sung by particular guests, who were skilled in music and poetry; and it is stated that the lyre, or a sprigof myrtle, was handed round the table, and presented to any one who possessed the power of amusing the company with a beautiful song, or even a good sentence in the lyrie form. This custom really existed .: although the notion that the name of the song arose from its irregular course round the table (σκολιόν, crooked) is not probable. It is much more likely (according to the opinion of other ancient writers), that in the melody, to which the scolia were sung, certain liberlies and irregularities were permitted, by which the extempore execution of the song was facilitated; and that on this account the song was said to be bent. The rhythms of the extant scolia are very various. though, on the whole, they resemble those of the Eolie lyric poetry; only that the course of the strophes is broken by an accelerated rhythm, and is in general more animated †. The Lesbians were the principal composers of Scolia. Terpander, who (according to Pindar) invented this kind of song, was followed by Alerens and Sappho, and afterwards by Annereon and Praxilla of Sicyon 1; besides many others celebrated for choral poetry, as Simonides and Pindar,

\* See particularly the scene described in Aristoph. Vesp. 1219. so. where the

Scotion is caught up from one by the other.

† This is porticularly true of the apt and elegant metre, which occurs in eight Scolia (one of them the Harmodius), and of which there is a comic imitation in Aristoph, Eccl. 938.

natura, net. 305.

-9 / 00 - 0 - 0

-0 Z 00 - 0 - 0

Here the henderacyllables begin with a composed and feeble tone; but a more rapid rhythm is introduced by the anaparstic beginning of the third verse; and the two expressions are reconciled by the logacedic members in the last verse.

Paxilla (who, according to Eusebius, flourished in Olymp. 81. 2. n. c. 451; and is mentioned as a composer of odes of an endic character) is stated to be further of the Scolion 'Tes \*eneri λέφ, which was in the \*engelone Heeξλλα». (Schol. Ray, in Aristoph, Thesin, 528), and of the Scolion, Oia iero abarraitio, (Schol, Vesp. 1279, [1232.])

We will not include in this number the seven wise men; for although Diogenes Laertius, the historian of ancient philosophy, cites popular verses of Thales, Solon, Chilon, Pittacus, and Bias, which are somewhat in the style of scolia \*; yet the genuineness of these sententions songs is very questionable. With respect to language and metre, they all appear formed upon the same model; so that we must suprose the seven wise men to have agreed to write in an uniform style, and moreover in a kind of rhythm which did not become common until the time of the tragedians †. Nevertheless they appear, in substance, to be as early as the age to which they are assigned, as their tone has a great resemblance to that of the scolia in the Æolie manner. For example, one of the latter contains these thoughts: "Would that we could open the heart of every man, and ascertain his true character; then close it again, and live with him sincerely as a friend;" the scolion, in Doric rhythms, ascribed to Chilon, has a similar tone: "Gold is rubbed on the touchstone, and thus tried; but the minds of men are tried by gold, whether they are good or bad." Hence it is probable that these scolia were framed at Athens, in the time of the tragedians, from traditional sayings of the ancient philosophers.

§ 17. Although seedia were mostly composed of moral maxims or of short invocations to the gods, or panegyries upon heroes, there exist two, of greater length and interest, the authors of which are not otherwise known as potes. The one beginning, "My great wealth is my spear and sword," and written by Hybrias, a Creton, in the Dorie measure, expresses all the pride of the dominant Dorian, whose right rested upon his arms; inasmuch as through them he maintained his sway over bondmen, who were forced to plough and gather in the harvest, and press out the grapes for him; The other beginning, "In the myrile-bong will I bear my sword," is the work of an Athenian, named Callistratus, and was written probably not long after the Persian war, as it was a favouritie song in the time of Arisophanes. It celebrates

† They are all in Daric rhythms (which consist of dactylic members and trochaic dipodies, but with an ithyphallic (→ ∪ → ∪ → ) at the close. This composite kind of rhythm erer occurs is Plandar, occurs only once in Simonides, but occurs regularly in the Doric choruses of Euripides. The following scolion of Solon may serve as an example:

Πιτυλαγμίνες διάφα διαστου δρας Μα αραττό δρχει έχων αραδός ταιάφῷ προτικόπη προσώπης Γλώστα δε εί λέγμοδες δα μιλαίτ και ξείνες γεγανός.

Also the following one of Pittacus:

Έχωτα δώ τίξα καὶ Ιδίαιν φαρίτρο στώχιο ποτί ζώτα κατό. Πιστο γάρ είδο γλώσσα δὰ στίματος λαλά, διχόμοδο έχωσα Καρλα τάμκα.

In that of Thales (Diog. Laert. I. i. 35,) the ithyphallic is before the last verse.

1 See Muller's Dorians, B. III. ch. 4. § 1.

the liberators of the Athenian people, Harmodius and Aristogiton, for having, at the festival of Athene, slain the tyrant Hipparchus, and restored equal rights to the Athenians : for this they lived for ever in the islands of the blest, in community with the most exalted heroes, and on earth their fame was immortal \*. This patriotic scolion does not indeed rest on an historical foundation; for it is known from Herodotus and Thucydides, that, though Hipparchus, the younger brother of the tyrant, was slain by Harmodius and Aristogiton, this act only served to make the government of Hippins, the elder brother, more cruel and suspicious; and it was Cleomenes the Spartan, who, three years later, really drove the Pisistratids from Athens. But the patriotic delusion in which the scotion was composed was universal at Athens. Even before the Persian war, statues of Harmodius and Aristogiton had been crected, as of heroes; which statues, when carried away by Xerxes, were afterwards replaced by others. Supposing the mind of the Athenian poet possessed with this belief, we cannot but sympathize in the enthusiasm with which he celebrates his national heroes, and desires to imitate their costume at the Panathenaic festival, when they concealed their swords in boughs of myrtle. The simplicity of the thoughts, and the frequent repetition of the same burden, "for they slew the tyrant," is quite in conformity with the frank and open tone of the scolion; and we may perhaps conjecture that this poem was a real impromptu, the product of a rapid and transient inspiration of its author.

## CHAPTER XIV.

- § 1. Consection of lyric poetry with choral songer: gradual rise of regular forms from this connection. Fort stages, "2. A Atman 1 his expira and date 1 mode of recitation and form of his choral songer.—3. Their poetral character.—4 he had been supported by the control of the choral stages. The support of the choral stages are supported by the choral stages. The choral stages are supported by the choral stages are supported by the choral stages. The choral stages are supported by the choral stages are supported by the choral stages are supported by the choral stages. Second stages—§ 8. Life of Plycus; his institution of Steincherus—49. Percile touchery of his poetry—§ 10. Life of Simonides.—§ 11. Variety and angenuity of his poetical powers. Comparison of his flyinkia with those of produce of the choral stages of the choral stages are supported by the choral stages are supported by the choral stages in the choral stages are supported by the choral stages.
- § 1. This characteristic features of the Doric lyric poetry have been already described, for the purpose of distinguishing it from the Æolic. These were; recitation by choruses, the artificial structure of long strophes, the Doric dialect, and its reference to public affairs, especially

<sup>\*</sup> These, and most of the other scolin, are in Athenaus, xv. p. 694, so.

to the celebration of divine worship. The origin of this kind of lyric poetry can be traced to the earliest times of Greece': for (as has been already shown) choruses were generally used in Greece before the time of Homer; although the dancers in the ancient choruses did not also sing, and therefore an exact correspondence of all their motions with the words of the song was not requisite. At that period, however, the joint singing of several persons was practised, who either sat, stood or moved onwards; as in preas and hymenreals; sometimes the mimic movements of the dancer were explained by the singing, which was executed by other persons, as in the hyporchemes. And thus nearly every variety of the choral poetry, which was afterwards so elaborately and so brilliantly developed, existed, even at that remote period, though in a rude and unfinished state. The production of those polished forms in which the style of singing and the movements of the dance were brought into perfect harmony, coincides with the last advance in musical art; the improvements in which, made by Terpander, Olympus, and Thaletas, have formed the subject of a particular notice.

Thickets is remarkable for having cultivated the art of dancing as much as that of music; while his rhythms seem to have been nearly as various as those afterwards employed in choral poetry. The union of song and dance, which was transferred from the lyric to the dramatic choruses ', must also have been introduced at that time; since the complicated structure of the strophes and antistrophes is founded, not on singing alone, but on the union of that art with dancing. In the first century subsequent to the epoch of these musicians, choral poetry does not, however, appear in its full perfection and individuality; but approaches either to the Leckian lyric poetry, or to the cpos; thus the line which resparated these two kinds (between which the choral songs occupy a middle place) gradually became more distinct. Among the lyric posts whom the Alexandrians placed in their canon, Alexan and Stesichorus belong to this period of progress; while finished lyric poetry is represented by Dreuce, Simonides with his discinte Bacchvildes, and Pindar.

We shall now proceed to take a view of these poets separately; classing among the former the dithyrambic poet Arion, and among the latter Pindar's instructor Lasus, and a few others who have sufficient individuality of character to distinguish them from the crowd.

We must first, however, notice the erroneous opinion that choral poetry existed among the Greeks in the works of these great poets only; they are, on the contrary, to be regarded merely as the eminent points arising out of a widely estanded mass; as the most perfect representatives of that poetical fervour which, at the religious festivation inspired all classes. Choral dances were so frequent among the Greeks

Πάλαι μέν γας εί αίτει καὶ έδεν καὶ είχεντε, says Lucian de Saltat. 30, comparing
the modern pautomimic style of dancing with the ancient lyric and dramatic style.

at this period, among the Dorians in particular, and were performed by the whole people, especially in Crete and Sparta, with such ardour and enthusiasm, that the demand for songs to be sung as an accompaniment to them must have been very great. It is true that, in many places, even at the great festivals, people contented themselves with the old traditionary songs, consisting of a few simple verses in which the principal thoughts and fundamental tone of feeling were rather touched than worked out. Thus, at the festival of Dionysus, the women of Elis sang, instead of an elaborate dithyramb, the simple ditty, full of antique symbolic language: "Come, hero Dionysus, to thy holy seatemple, accompanied by the Graces, and rushing on, oxen-hoofed; holy ox! holy ox \*!"

At Olympia too, long before the existence of Pindar's skilfully composed Epinikia, the little song ascribed to Archilochus † was sung in honour of the victors at the games. This consisted of two iambic verses;

" Hail, Hercules, victorious prince, all hail!

Thyself and Iolaus, warriors bold," with the burden "Tenella! victorious!" to which a third verse, in praise of the victor of the moment, was probably added extempore. So also the three Spartan choruses, composed of old men, adults and boys, sang at the festivals the three iambic trimeters:

"Once we were young, and strong as other youths. We are so still; if you list, try our strength.

We shall be stronger far than all of you :."

But from the time that the Greeks had learned the charm of perfect lyric poetry, in which not merely a single chord of feeling was struck by the passing hand of the bard, but an entire melody of thoughts and sentiments was executed, their choruses did not persist in the mere repetition of verses like these; songs were universally demanded, distinguished for a more artificial metre, and for an ingenious combination of ideas. Hence every considerable town, particularly in the Doric Peloponnesus, had its poet who devoted his whole life to the training and execution of choruses-in short to the business, so important to the whole history of Greek poetry, of the Chorodidascalus, How many such choral poets there were, whose fame did not extend beyond their native place, may be gathered from the fact that Piudar, while celebrating a pugilist of Ægina, incidentally mentions two lyric poets of the same family, the Theandrids, Timocritus and Euphanes, Sparta also possessed seven lyric poets hesides Aleman, in these early times §. There too, as in other Doric states, women, even in the time

<sup>.</sup> Plutarch, Quæst. Gree. 35.

<sup>+</sup> See above, p. 138, note +. † Plutarch, Lycurg. 21. These triple charuses are called vertices in Pollux IV. 107, where the establishment of them is attributed to Tyrtmus.

<sup>§</sup> Their names are Spendon, Dionysodotus, Xenodamus, (see Chap. xii. § 11.) Gitiadas, Areius, Eurytus, and Zar-x.

of Aleman, contributed to the cultivation of peetry; as, for example, the maiden whom Aleman himself celebrates in these words ". This gift of the sweet Muses bath the fair-haired Megalostrats, favoured among virgins, displayed among ns." From this we see how widely diffused, and how deeply rooted, were the feeling and the talent for such peetical productions in Sparta; and that Aleman, with his beautiful choral songs, introduced nothing new into that country, and only employed, combined and perfected elements already existing. But neither Aleman, nor the somewhat carlier Terpander, were the first who awakened this spirit among the Spartans. Even the latter found the lowe for arts of this descriptions already in existence, where, according to an extant verse of his, "The spear of the young usen, and the clear-sounding muse, and justice in the wide market-place, flourish."

§ 2. According to a well known and sufficiently accredited account, ALCMAN was a Lydian of Sardis, who grew up as a slave in the house of Agesidas, a Spartau; but was emancipated, and obtained rights of citizenship, though of a subordinate kind †. A learned poet of the Alexandrian age, Alexander the Ætolian, says of Aleman, (or rather makes him say of himself,) " Sardis, ancient home of my fathers, had I been reared within thy walls, I were now a cymbalbearer t, or a ennucli-dancer in the service of the Great Mother, decked with gold, and whirling the beautiful tambourine in my hands. But now I am called Aleman, and belong to Sparts, the city rich in sacred tripods; and I have become acquainted with the Heliconian Muses, who have made me greater than the despots Daskyles and Gyges," Aleman however, in his own poems, does not speak so contemptuously of the home of his forefathers, but puts into the mouth of a chorus of virgius, words wherein he himself is celebrated as being " no man of rude unpolished manuers, no Thessalian or Ætolian, but sprung from the lofty Sardis §." This Lydian extraction had doubtless an influence on Alemau's style and taste in music. The date at which he lived is usually placed at so remote a period as to render it unintelligible how lyric poetry could have already attained to such variety as is to be found in his works. It may indeed be true that he lived in the reign of the Lydian king Ardys; but it does not thence follow that he lived at the beginning of it; on the contrary, his childhood was contemporary with the close of that reign. (Ol. 37. 4. s. c. 629.) Alcman, in one of his poems, mentioned the musician Polymnastus, who, in his turn,

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm, 27, ed. Welcker.

<sup>+</sup> According to Suidas he was &vi Maries, and Mesoa was one of the phyles of Sparta, which were founded on divisions of the eigr. Perhaps, however, this statement only means that Aleman dwelt in Mesoa, where the family of his former marter and subsequent patron may have resided.

<sup>1</sup> Kirnis is equivalent to aspector, the bearer of the dish, airns, used in the worship of Cybele. See the epigram in Anthol. Pal. VII. 709.

<sup>§</sup> Fragm. 11. ed. Welcker, according to Welcker's explanation

composed a poem to Thaletas \*. According to this, he must have flourished about Ol. 42. (B. c. 612), which is the date assigned to him by ancient chronologists. His mention of the island Pityusæ † near the Balearic islands, points to this age; since, according to Herodotus, the western parts of the Mediterranean were first known to the Greeks by the voyages of the Phocæans, from the 35th Olympiad downwards; and then became a subject of geographical knowledge, not, as heretofore, of fabulous legends. Alcman had thus before him music in that maturity which it had attained, not only by the labours of Terpander, but also by those of Thaletas; he lived at a time when the Spartans, after the termination of the Messenian wars, had full leisure to devote themselves to the arts and pleasures of life; for their ambition was not as yet directed to distinguishing themselves from the other Greeks by rude unpolished manners. Aleman devoted himself entirely to the cultivation of art; and we find in bim one of the earliest examples of a poet who consciously and purposely strove to embellish his works with new artistical forms. In the ode which is regarded by the ancients as the first, he says, " Come, Muse, clear-voiced Muse, sing to the maidens a melodious song in a new fashion ; ;" and he elsewhere frequently mentions the originality and the ingenuity of his poetical forms. He ought always to be imagined as at the head of a chorus, by means of which, and together with which, he seeks to please.

"Arise, Muse," exclaims he, "Calliope, daughter of Jove, slng us pleasant songs, give charm to the hymn, and grace to the chorus §." And again, " May my chorus please the house of Zeus, and thee, oh lord | !" Aleman is regarded by some as the true inventor of choral poetry, although others assign this reputation to his predecessor Terpander, or to his successor Stesichorus. He composed more especially for choruses of virgins, as several of the fragments quoted above show; as well as the title of a considerable portion of his songs, Parthenia. The word Parthenia is, indeed, not always employed in the same sense; but in its proper technical signification it denotes choral songs sung by virgins, not erotic poems addressed to them. On the contrary, the music and the rhythm of these songs are of a solemu and lofty character; many of those of Aleman and the succeeding lyric poets were in the Doric harmony. The subjects were very various: according to Proclus, gods and men were celebrated in them, and the passage of Aleman, in which the virgius, with Homeric simplicity, ex-

<sup>†</sup> Steph. Byz, in Ilreniens. \* See Ch. xii. § 9.

This is the meaning of fragm. 1., which probably ought to be written and distributed (with a night alteration) as follows:

Μῶς ἄγς, Μῶς κυρμίος μέλος
Νισχωνά ἀχς τημείος αἰνίος
Νισχωνά ἀχς τημείος αἰνίος

The first verse is logacedic, the second iambic.

<sup>§</sup> Fragm. 4.

claim, "Oh father Zeus, were he but my husband"!" was doubtless in a Parthenion. If we inquire more minutely into the relation of the poet to his chorus, we shall not find, at least not invariably, that it as yet possessed that character to which Pindar strictly adhered. The chorus was not the mere organ of the poet, and all the thoughts and feelings to which it gave utterance, those of the poet †. In Alcman, the virgius more frequently speak in their own persons; and many Parthenia contain a dialogue between the chorus and the poet, who was at the same time the instructor and the leader of the chorus. We find sometimes addresses of the chorus of virgins to the poet, such as has just been mentioned; sometimes of the poet to the virgins associated with him; as in that beautiful fragment in hexameters, " No more, ye honeyvoiced, holy-singing virgins, no more do my limbs suffice to bear me; oh that I were a Cerylus, which with the halcyons skims the foam of the waves with fearless heart, the sea-blue bird of spring !!"

But, doubtless, Aleman composed and directed other choruses, since the Parthenia were only a part of his poetical works, besides which Hymns to the Gods, Panns, Prosodias, Hymeneals, and lovesongs, are attributed to him. These poems were generally recited or represented by choruses of youths. The love-songs were probably sung by a single performer to the cithara. The clepsiambic poems, consisting partly of singing, partly of common discourse, and for which a peculiar instrument, bearing the same name, was used, also occurred among the works of Aleman, who appears to have borrowed them, as well as many other things, from Archilochus . Alcman blends the sentiments and the style of Archilochus, Terpander, and Thaletas, and, perhaps, even those of the Æolian lyric poets: hence his works exhibit a great variety of metre, of dialect, and of general poetical tone. Stately hexameters are followed by the iambic and trochaic verse of Archilochus, by the ionics and cretics of Olympus and Thaletas, and by various sorts of logaædic rhythms. His strophes consisted partly of verses of different kinds, partly of repetitions of the same, as in the ode which opened with the invocation to Calliope above mentioned ¶. The connexion of two corresponding strophes with a third of a different

systems.

<sup>\*</sup> Schol, Hom, Od, VI. 244.

<sup>†</sup> There are only a few passages in Pindar, in which it has been thought that there was a separation of the person of the chorus and the poet; viz. Pyth. v. 68, (96.) ix. 98. (174.) Nem. i. 19. (29.) viz. 85. (125.); and these have, by an accurate interpretation, been reduced to the abovementioned rule.

<sup>1</sup> Fragm. 12. See Müller's Dorians, b. iv. ch. 7. 3 11.
5 Harridan, songs to be sung during a procession to a temple, before the sacrifice.

<sup>||</sup> Above, p. 139, note †, with Aristoxenus ap. Hesych, in v. Kardinpfler.

Il Mar dys, Kealairen, föyerre abis. Dactylic tetrameters of this kind were combined into strophes, without hiatus and syldade energe, that is, after the manner of

kind, called an epode, did not occur in Aleman. He made strophes of the same measure succeed each other in an indepinite number, like ¿Bolic lyric poets: there were, however, odes of his, consisting of fourteen strophes, with an alteration (µurquably) in the meter after the seventh "; which was of course accompanied with a marked change in the ideas and in the whole tone of the poem.

It ought also to be mentioued that the Laconic metre, a kind of anapæstic verse, used as n march (ἐμβατήριον), which the Spartan troops sang as they advanced to attack the enemy, is attributed to Aleman †; whence it may be conjectured that Aleman imitated Tyrtaus, and composed war-songs similar to his, consisting not of strophes, but of a repetition of the same sort of verse. The authority for such a supposition is, however, slight. There is not a trace extant of any marches composed by Aleman, nor is there any similarity between their form and character and any of his poetry with which we are acquainted. It is true that Aleman frequently employed the anapæstic metre, but not in the same way as Tyrtæus 1, and never unconnected with other rhythms. Thus Tyrtæus, who was Aleman's predecessor by one generation, and whom we have already described as an elegiac poet, appears to have been the only notable composer of Embateria. These were sung to the flute in the Castorean measure by the whole army; and, as is proved by a few extant verses, contained simple, but vigorous and manly exhortations to bravery. The measure in which they were written was also called the Messenian, because the second Messenian war had given occasion to the composition of war-songs of peculiar force and fervour.

§ 3. Aleman is generally regarded as the poet who successfully overeame the difficulties presented by the rough and intractable diaject of Sparta, and invested it with a certain grace. And, doubtlers, independent of their general Doric form, many Spartan idioms are found in his poems §, though by no means all the peculiarities of that dialect!. Aleman's language, therefore, agrees with the other poteful dialects of Greece, in not representing a popular dialect in its gennine state, but in elevating and refining it by an admixture with the language of epic poetry, which may be regarded as the mother and nurse of every variety of poetry among the Greeks.

We may also observe that this tinge of popular Laconian idioms is by no means equally strong in all the varieties of Alcman's poetry; they

- . Hephast, p. 134, ed. Gaisford.
- † The metrical scholia to Eurip. Hec. 59.

According to the Latia metrical writers, Servius and Marius Victorinus, the dimeter hypercatalectos, the trimeter catalecticus, and the tetrameter brachycatalectos were called diseaseince metro. The embaleria were partly in the dimeter catalecticus, partly in the tetrameter catalecticus.

As σ for θ (σάλλι» for δάλλι», &c.), the rough termination or in μάναση, Πιγέης».
 For example, not Νώα, Τιμέριος, άπαση (for άσπος), &c.

are most abundant in certain fragments of a hearty, simple character \*, in which Aleman depiets his own way of life, his eating and drinking, of which, without being absolutely a glutton, he was a great lover †.

But even here we may trace the admixture with the Æolic character; it, which ancient grammarians stribute to Aleana. It is explained by the fact that Peloponnesus was indebted for the first perfect specimen of lyric poetry to an Æolian of Leskos, Terpander. In other fragments the dialect approximates more nearly to the epic, and has retained only a faint tinge of Dorsim; especially in all the poemin hexameters, and, indeed, wherever the poetry assumes a dignified, majestic character §.

Aleman is one of the poets whose image is most effaced by time, and of whom we can the least hope to obtain any accurate knowledge. The admiration awarded to him by antiquity is scarcely justified by the extant remains of his pectry; but, doubtless, this is because they are extremely short, or are cited only in illustration of riffles. A true and lively conception of nature pervades the whole, elevated by that power of quickening the inanimate which descended from remote antiquity: thus, for instance, the poet calls the dew, Hersa, a daughter of Zeus and Schen, of the God of the Heavess and the Moon ||.

He is also remarkable for simple and cheerful view of human life, connected with an intense enthusiasm for the beautiful in whatsoever age or sex, especially for the grace of virgins, the objects of Aleman's most antent homage. The only evidence that his erotic poetry is somewhat voluptions § is to be found in the innocence and simplicity with which, in the true Spartan fashion, he regarded the relation between the sexes. A corrupt, refined sensually neither belongs to the age in which he lived, nor to the character of his poetry; and although, perhops, he is chiefly conversant with aensual existence, yet indications are not wanting of a quick and profound conception of the satirtual \*\*\*.

§ 4. The second great choral poet, STESICHORUS, has so little in common with Aleman, that he can in no respect be regarded as suc-

<sup>•</sup> Fragm. 24. 28.

<sup>†</sup> δ σάμφαγος 'Αλαμάν.

Tesperially in the sound OIX for an original ONX, as in \$\phi\_{eners}\$. It appears, however, that the pure Doric form \$\tilde{N}\_{ene}\$ ought to be introduced energywhere for \$M\_{ene}\$. In the third person plural, Ateman probably had, like Pindar, either abiner, (fb. 73), or \$\pi\_{eners}\$. The \$\phi\$ in \$\tau\_{eners}\$ in \$\text{miner}\_{eners}\$, is also \$\tilde{N}\_{eners}\$ in the pure Doric form was subspirity, \$\tilde{N}\_{eners}\$ in \$\tilde{N}\_{eners}\$ in

<sup>§</sup> As in the beautiful fragment, No. 10, in Welcker's collection, which contains a description of the repose of night.
| Fr. 47.

<sup>¶</sup> auskaeren, Archytas (i aguennig) in Athen. niii. p. 600. F.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Aleman called the memory, the μπίμα, by the name φρασθαρασ, " that which sees in the mind?" as should be written in Etym. God. p. 395. 52. for φασί δίφασ, +ωσί με ανεί λει αν ευθικλουν Πορτίς form for φρασί.

cessor to the Laconian poet, in his endeavours to bring that branch of poetry to perfection. We must consider him as starting from the same point, but led by the originality of his genius into a totally different path. Stesichorus is of rather a later date than Alcman. He was born, indeed, just at the period when the first steps towards the development of lyric poetry were made by Terpander (Olympiad 33. 4. 643 B. C.; according to others, Olympiad 37. B. C. 632), but his life was protracted above eighty years (to Olympiad 55. 1. 560 a. c.; according to others 56. B. c. 556); so that he might be a contemporary of the Agrigentine tyrant Phalaris, against whose ambitious projects he is said by Aristotle to have warned his fellow-citizens in an ingenious fable . According to common tradition, Stesichorus was a native of Himera, a city containing a mixed population, half Ionic, half Doric, the Himerwans having come partly from the Chalcidian colony Zancle, partly from Syracuse. But at the time Stesichorus was born. Himera was but just founded, and his family could have been settled there but a few years. His ancestors, however, were neither Zanclæans nor Syracusans, but dwelt at Mataurus, or Metaurus, a city on the south of Italy, founded by the Locrians +. This circumstance throws a very welcome light on the otherwise strange tradition, which Aristotle I thought worthy of recording, that Stesichorus was a son of Hesiod, by a virgin named Ctimene, of Œneon, a place in the country of the Ozolian Locrians. If we abstract from this what belongs to the ancient mode of expression, which generally clothes in the simplest forms all relationships of blood, the following will result from the first mentioned facts. There was, as we saw above §, a line of epic bards in the style of Hesiod, who inhabited Œneon, and the neighbouring Naupactus, in the country of the Locrians. A family in which a similar practice of the poetical art was hereditary came through the colony of Locri in Italy, in which the Ozolian Locrians took peculiar interest, to these parts, and settled in Mataurus. From this family sprang Stesichorus.

Stesichorus lixed at a time when the serene tone of the epos and an exclusive devotion to a mythical subject no longer sufficed; the predominant tendency of the Greek mind was towards lyric poetry. He himself was powerfully affected by this state, and conscerned his life to the ranspination of all the rich misterials, and the mighty and imposing shapes, which had hitherto been the exclusive property of the epos, to the choral poem. His special business was the training and direction of choruses, and he assumed the name of Stezichorus, or leader of choruses, this original name being Trisis. This occupation must have

<sup>.</sup> Above, ch. xi. 6 14.

<sup>†</sup> Steph. Byz. in Marauges, Erneigages, Maraugeses place. See Klein, Fragmenta

Stesichori, p. 9.

1 In Proclus and Tretzes, Proleg. to Hesiod.

5 Ch. 8. § 4.

remained hereditary in his family in Himera; a younger Stesichorus of Himera came, in Olympiad 73, 1, B, c, 485, to Greece as a poet \*: a third Stesichorus of Himera was victor at Athens, doubtless as chorusleader, in Olympiad 102. 3. s. c. 370 t. The eldest of them, Stesichorus Tisias, made a great change in the artistical form of the chorus, He it was who first broke the monotonous alternation of the strophe and antistrophe through a whole poem, by the introduction of the epode, differing in measure, and by this means made the chorus stand still I. During the strophe, the chorus moved in a certain evolution, which again during the antistrophe was made back to its original station, where it remained while the epode was sung. The chorus of Stesichorus seems to have consisted of a combination of several rows or members of eight dancers; the number eight appears indeed from various traditions to have been, as it were, consecrated by him §. The musical accompaniment was the cithara. The strophes of Stesichorus were of great extent, and composed of different verses, like those of Pindar, though of a simpler character. In many poems they consisted of dactylic series, which were sometimes broken shorter, sometimes extended longer, as it were variations of the hexameter. With these Stesichorus combined trochaic dipodies II, by which the gravity of the dactyls was somewhat tempered; the metres used by Pindar, and generally for all odes in the Dorian style of music, thus arose. Although Stesichorus also mainly employed this grave and solemn harmony, yet he himself mentions on one occasion the use of the Phrygian, which is characterized by a deeper pathos, and a more passionate expression ¶. It appears from this fragment that the poet chose, as its metrical form, ductylic systems (i. e. combinations of similar series without any close or break), to which ponderous trochees were attached \*\*. Elsewhere, Stesichorus used also anapæsts and choriambics, which correspond in their character to the dactylic verses just mentioned. Occasionally, however, he used the lighter and rather pleasing than solemn logacedic measure.

§ 5. As the metres of Stesichorus approach much more nearly to the epos than those of Aleman, as his dialect also is founded on the epic, to

 Marm. Par, ep. 50,
 † Ibid. ep. 73.

y symmetric.

§ Several grammarians at the explanation of whire invit.

| ∠ ∪ ⊥ ∪. Several verses of greater or less length, formed of dipodies of this kind, are called by the grammarians Stesichorean verses.

nd, are called by the grammarians Stesichorean verses.

Fragm. 12, Mus. Crit. Cantab. Fasc. VI. Fragm. 39. ed. Klein:

\*\*reads xel Xagirar la-\*\*

μώματα επλλιείμων όμ-1610 τρύγου μίλος ίξευ-

Aβρών έρου irregranism.

Stesichorus, also, according to Plutarch, used the ἀρμάτους νόμος, which had been set by Olympus in the Phrygian ἀρμάτους; above, ch. 12. § 7.

\*\* троудий впратти.

which he gave a different tone only by the most frequent and most current Dorisms, so also with regard to the matter and contents of his poems, Stesichorus makes, of all lyric poets, the nearest approach to the epic. "Stesichorus," says Quintilian elegantly, "sustained the weight of epic poetry with the lyre," We know the epic subjects which he treated in this manner; they have a great resemblance to the subjects of the shorter epic poems of the Hesiodean school, of which we have spoken above. Many of them were borrowed from the great mythic cycle of Hercules (whom he, like Pisander, invariably represented with the lion's skin, club, and bow); such as his expedition against the triple giant of the west, Geryon (Γηουονίς); Scylla (Σκύλλα), whom, in the same expedition, Hercules subdued; the combat with Cycnus (Κύκνος) \*, the son of Ares, and the dragging of Cerberus (Κέρβερος) from the infernal regions. Others related to the mythic cycle of Troy; such as the destruction of Ilium (Iliov mioorc), the returns of the heroes (Nóστοι), and the story of Orestes (Opegreia), Other mythical subjects were, the prizes which Acastus, King of Iolcus, distributed at the funeral games of his father Pelias (έπὶ Πελία άθλα); Eriphyle, who seduced her husband Amphiaraus to join in the expedition against Thebes (Εριφύλα); the hunters of the Calydonian boar (συοθήραι, according to the most probable interpretation); lastly, a poem called Europeia (a title also horne by the epos of Eumelus). which, from the little we know of it, seems to have treated of the traditional stories of Cadmus, with which that of Europa was interwoven.

A question here arises, how these epic subjects could be treated in a lyric form. It is manifest that these poems could not have had the perfect repose, the vivid and diffuse descriptions, in short all the characteristics of the epos. To connect with these qualities the accompaniment of many voices and instruments, a varied rhythmical structure, and choral dancing, would have seemed to the Greeks, with their fine seuse of harmony and congruity, a monstrous misjoinder. There must therefore, have been something which induced Stesichorus, or his fellow citizens, to take an interest in these heroes and their exploits. Thus in Pindar all the mythological narratives have reference to some recent event t. In Stesichorus, however, the mythical subject must have been treated at greater length, and have occupied nearly the entire poem; otherwise the names of these poems would not have been like those of epic compositions. One of them, the Orestein, was so long, that it was divided into two books; and it contained so much mythical matter, that in the Iliac table, a well known ancient has-relief, the destruction of Troy is represented in a number of scenes from this poem. The most probable supposition, therefore, is that these poems were intended to be represented at the mortuary sacrifices and festivals, which were fre-

<sup>·</sup> Ch. 8. (p. 98-9.)

quently celebrated in Magna Græcia to the Greek heroes, especially to those of the Trojan cycle \*.

The entire tone in which Stesichorus treated these mythic narratives was also quite different from the epic. It is evident from the fragments that he dwelt upon a few brilliant adventures, in which the force and the glory of the heroes was, as it were, concentrated; and that he gave the reins to his fancy. Thus, in an extant fragment, Hercules is described as returning to the god of the sun (Helios), on the goblet on which he had swum to the island of Geryoneus; " Helios, the Hyperionid, stepped into the golden goblet, in order to go, over the ocean, to the sacred depths of the dark night to his mother, and wife, and dear children; while the son of Zeus (Hercules) entered into the laurel grove †." In another, the dream of Clylæmnestra, in the night before she was killed, is described: "A serpent seemed to approach her, its crest covered with blood; but, of a sudden, the king of Pleisthenes race (Agamemnon) came out of it !." In general, a lyric poet like Stesichorus was more inclined than an epic poet to alter the current legend : since his object was not so much mere narration, as the praise of individual heroes, and the mythus was always introduced with a view to its application. As a proof of this assertion, it is sufficient to refer to the story, celebrated in antiquity, of Stesichorus having, in a poem (probably the destruction of Troy), attributed all the sufferings of the Trojan war to Helen 8: but the deified heroine having, as it was supposed. deprived him of his sight, as a punishment for this insult, he composed his famous Palinodia, in which he said that the Helen who had been seen in Troy, and for whom the Greeks and Trojans fought during so many years, was a mere shadow (φάσμα, είδωλον); while the true Helen had never embarked from Greece. Even this, however, is not to be considered as pure invention; there were in Laconia popular legends of Helen's having appeared as a shade long after her death ||, like her brothers Castor and Pollux; and it is possible that Stesichorus may have met with some similar story. Stesichorus simply conceived Helen to have remained in Greece; he did not suppose her to have gone to Egypt ¶.

Thus in Tarentum lessons were offered to the Atrids, Tydids, Aleids, Laertiads (Pseud-Aristot. Mirab, Ausc. 114.); in Metapontum to the Nelids (Strabo VI. p. 263.) &c.

<sup>+</sup> Fragm. 3. (10. ed. Klein).

<sup>†</sup> Fragm. ine. 1. (43. Klein). This fragment too is in a lyric metre, and ought not to be forced into an elegiac distich.

<sup>§</sup> Hence in the Iliae table. Menciaus is represented as attempting to stab Helen
whom he has just recovered; while she flies for protection to the temple of
Aphrodite.

II Hered, VI. 61.

Others supposed that Proteus, the marine demigod skilled in metamorphoses, went to the island of Pharos, and there formed a false Helen with which he dece-red Paris; a version of the story which even the success Scholaush where con-

The language of Stesichorus likewise accorded with the tone of his poetry. Quintilian, and other aucient critics, state that it corresponded with the dignity of the persons described by him; and that he might have stood next to Homer, if he had restrained the copiousness of his diction. It is possible that, in expressing this opinion, Quintilian did not sufficiently advert to the distinction between the epic and lyric styles.

& G. We have subjoined these remarks to the longer lyric poems of Stesichorus, which were nearest to the epos, as it was in these that the peculiar character of his poetry was most clearly displayed. Stesichorus, however, also composed poems in praise of the gods, especially pmans and hymns; not in an epic, but in a lyric form. There were also erotic poems of Stesichorus, differing as much as his other productions from the amatory lyric poems of the Lesbians. They consisted of love-stories; as the Caluce, which described the pure but unhappy love of a maiden of that name; and the Rhadina, which related the melancholy adventures of a Samian brother and sister, whom a Corinthian tyrant put to death out of love for the sister, and jealousy of the brother \*. These are the earliest instances in Greek literature of lovestories forming the basis of romantic poetry; the stories themselves probably having been derived from the tales with which the inmates of the Greek gynmeea amused themselves. These stories (which were afterwards collected by Parthenius, Plutarch, and others) usually belonged, not to the purely mythical period, but either to historical times, or to the transition period between fable and history. In this manner the story involved the ordinary circumstances of life, while extraordinary situations could be introduced, serving to show the fidelity of the lovers. Of a similar character was the bucolic poem, which Stesichorus first raised from a rude strain of merely local interest, to a classical branch of Greek poetry. The first bucolic poem is said to have been sung by Diomus, a cowherd in Sicily, a country abounding in cattlet. The hero of this pastoral poetry was the shepherd Daphnis (celebrated in Theocritus), who had been beloved by a nymph, and deprived by her, out of jealousy, of his sight; and with whose laments all nature

founded with that of Stesichorus. As this Proteus was converted by the Egyptian interpreters (tensis) into a lang of Egypt, this king was said to have taken likely interpreters (tensis) into a lang of Egypt, this king was said to have taken likely down to have the Egypt, the

<sup>\*</sup> Compare Strab. VIII. p. 347. D. with Pausan. VII. 5. 6. The chief authority for these love-stories is the long excurses in Athenseus on the popular songs of the Greeks, XIV. p. 618. qsg.

<sup>†</sup> Βιοπελιωσμές, Epicharmus ap. Athen. XIV. p. 619. The song of Eriphanis, Μακεαί δρύες, ω μέγαλαι, appears to have been of native Sicilian origin.

sympathised. This legend was current in the native country of Steichorus, near the river Himeras, where Daphnis is said to have uttered his laments; and near Cephalzedium, where a stone resembling a man's form was said to have once been Daphnis. Himera was the only one among the ancient Greek colonies in Sielly, which lay on the northern coast of the ishand; it was entirely surrounded by the aboriginal inhabbitants, the Sicialians; and it is therefore probable that the hero Daphnis, and the original form of the pastural song, belonged to the Siculian peasantry.

From what precedes, it appears that the poetry of Steichorus was not employed in expressing his own feelings, or describing the events of his own life, but that he preferred the past to the present. This character seems to have been common to all the poems of Steichorus. Thus he did not, like Suppho, compose Epithalamia having an immediate reference to the present, but he took some of his materials from mythology. The beautiful Epithalamian of Theoretius 1, support have been sung by the Laconian virgins before the chamber of Meneluss and Helen, is, in part, imitated from a poem of Steichorus.

§ 7. Thus much for the peculiarities of this choral poet, not less remarkable in himself, than as a precursor of the perfect lyric poetry of Pindar. Our information respecting Arion is far less complete and satisfactory; yet the little that we know of him proves the wide extension of lyric poetry in the time of Aleman and Stesichorus. Arion was the contemporary of Stesichorus; he is called the disciple of Alcman, and (according to the testimony of Herodotus) flourished during the reign of Periander at Corinth, between Olymp. 38. 1, and 48. 4. (628 and 595 s. c.), probably nearer the end than the beginning of this period. He was a native of Methymna in Lesbos; a district in which the worship of Bacchus, introduced by the Bootians, was celebrated with orginstic rites, and with music. Arion was chiefly known in Greece as the perfecter of the dithyramb. The dithyramb, as a song of Bacchanalian festivals, is doubtless of great antiquity; its name is too obscure to have arisen at a late period of the Greek language, and probably originated in the earliest times of the worship of Bacchus L. Its character was always, like that of the worship to which it belonged, impassioned and enthusiastic; the extremes of feeling, rapturous pleasure, and wild lamentation, were both expressed in it. Concerning the mode of its representation we are but imperfectly informed. Archilochus says, that " he is able, when his mind is inflamed with wine, to

<sup>•</sup> It appears from Ælian V. H., X. IS, that the legend of Daphnis was given in Steichorus, och as it is expanded in Theorit. 16.1, but as it is touched upon in id. V11.72. The pastoral legend of the Goathead Comatas, who was inclosed in a box by the king's command, and fed by a swarm of bees, sent by the Muses (Theorit V11.78. sp.) has all the appearance of a story embellished by Straichorus.

<sup>†</sup> On the formation of hospaniles, see p. 133 note \*.

sing the dithyramb, the beautiful strain of Dionysus "; from which expressions it is probable that in the time of Archilochus, one of a band of revellers sometimes sang the dithyramb, while the others joined him with their voices. There is, however, no trace of a choral performance of the dithyramb at this time. Choruses had been already introduced in Greece, but in connexion with the worship of Apollo, and they dauced to the cithara (660my), the instrument used in this worship. In the worship of Dionysus, on the other hand, an irregular band of revellers, led by a flute-player, was the prominent feature +. Arion, according to the concurrent testimonies of the historians and grammarians of antiquity, was the first who practised a chorus in the representation of a dithyramb, and therefore gave a regular and dignified character to this song, which before had probably consisted of irregular expressions of excited feeling, and of inarticulate ejaculations. This improvement was made at Corinth, the rich and flourishing city of Periander; hence Pindar in his enlogy of Corinth exclaims: "Whence, but from Corinth, arose the pleasing festivals of Dionysus, with the dishyramb, of which the prize is an ox1?" The choruses which sang the dithyramb were circular choruses (xuxliot yopoi); so called, because they danced in a circle round the altar on which the sacrifice was burning. Accordingly, in the time of Aristophanes, the expressions "dithyrambic poet," and "teacher of cyclian choruses" (κυκλιοδιδάσκαλος), were nearly synonymous 6. With regard to the subjects of the dithyrambs of Arion we know nothing, except that he introduced the tragic style into them ||. This proves that he had distinguished a choral song of a gloomy character, which referred to the dangers and sufferings of Dionysus, from the ordinary dithyramb of the joyous kind; as will be shown in a subsequent chapter ¶. With regard to the musical accompaniment of the dithyrambs of Arion, it may be remarked, that the cithara was the principal instrument used in it, and not the flute, as in the boisterous comus. Arion was himself the first cithara-player of his time : and the exclusive fame of the Lesbian musicians from Terpander downwards was maintained by him

ap. Athen. xiv. p. 628.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Ως Διονύσου άνακτος καλὸν ἐξάςξαι μέλος Οἶδα διόυραμβον οίνο συγκερανουδιάς φρίνας.

<sup>†</sup> See ch. iii. § 5.

<sup>†</sup> Pind. Ol. xiii. 18. (25.), where the recent editors give a full and accurate explanation of the matter.

<sup>§</sup> Hence Arion is said to have been the son of Cycleus.

<sup>||</sup> Tempusis represe. Suidas in 'Agine. Concerning the satyrs whom Arion is said to have used on this occasion, see below, chap. xxi.

hare used on 100 of common, see below, chap, xxi.

¶ Chap, xxi. The finest specimen of a dithyramb of the joyful kind is the fragment of a dithyramb by Pindar, in Dion. Hal. de Comp. Verb. 22. Thin dithyrramb was intended for the great Dionyia (cir appica or a faren America, by which are described in it as a great versal festival, at the season "when the chamber of the Bourn open, and the nectains plasts feel the approach of the fragreat storing."

Arion also, according to the well known fahle \*, played the orthinn nome †, when he was compelled to throw himself from a ship into the sea, and was miraculously asced by a dolphin ‡. Arion is also stated, as well as Terpander, to have composed processis, that is, hymns to the gods, which served as an introduction to festivals §.

§ 8. In descending to the choral poets who lived nearer the time of the Persian war, we meet with two poets of very peculiar characters; the vehement Ibycus, and the tender and refined Simonides.

Inyous was a native of Rhegium, the city near the southernmost point of Italy, which was closely connected with Sicily, the country of Stesichorus. Rhegium was peopled partly by Ioniaus from Chalcis, partly by Dorians from Peloponnesus; the latter of whom were a superior class. The neculiar dialect formed in Rhegium had some influence on the poems of Ibycus; although these were in general written in an epic dialect with a Doric tinge, like the poems of Stesichorus ||. Ibycus was a wandering poet, as is intimated in the story of his death having been attested and revenged by cranes; but his travels were not, like those of Stesichorus, confined to Sicily. He passed a part of his time in Samos with Polycrates; whence the flourishing period of Ibycus may be placed at Olymp, 63, (B. c. 528) ¶. We have already explained the style of poetry which was admired at the court of Polycrates. Ihyons could not here compose solemn hymns to the gods, but must accommodate his Doric cithara, as he was best able, to the strains of Anacreon. Accordingly, it is probable that the poetry of Ibycus was first turned mainly to erotic subjects during his residence in the court of Polycrates; and that his glowing love-songs (especially to beautiful youths), which formed his chief title to fame in antiquity, were composed at this time.

But that the poetical style of Ibycus resembled that of Stesichorus is proved by the fact that the ancient critics often doubted to which of the two a particular idea or expression belonged \*\*. It may indeed be

• Herod. I, 23. This fable probably arose from a sacred offering in a temple at Tenarum, which represented Teros sitting on a dolphin, as he appears on the coins of Tarentum. Plutarch, Conv. Sept. Sap. c, 18. ment-ons the Pythian instead of the arthian nome.

† The orthian nome was mentioned above, chap. xii. § 15, in connexion with Polymnestus.

† The nomes orthics was sung to the cithera (Hered. 1. 24. Aristoph. Eq. 1276, Ran. 1308, et Schol.), but also to the Phrygian flute (Lucian 4).

§ Suidas in v. The ode tn Neptune which Ælian H. A., xii. 45, ascribes to Arson, is copious in wards, but poor in ideas, and is quite unwartly of such a poet as Arion. It also presupposes the truth of the fable that Arion was sared by a dolphin.

|| A peculiarity of the Rheginian dialect in Stesichnrus was the formation of the third persons of barytone verbs in \*\*ε\*; φίρες, λίγες, &c.

¶ Above, ch. xiii. § 12.

\*• Citations of Stesichorus or Ihyens, or (fur the same expression) of Stesichorus and Ihyens, occur in Atheu. iv. p. 172 D., Schol, Ven. až Il. xxvv. 259. iii. 114. Hespeh, in βρωπλίωτων, vol. i. p. 774. t.d. Alb., Schol. Aristoph. Av. 1502, Schol.

conjectured that this doubt arose from the works of these two poets being united in the same collection, like those of Hipponax and Ananius, or of Simoulées and Bacchylides; but their works would not have been so fixed by the ancient editors if there had not been a close affinity between them. The metres of Ibyets also resemble those of Stesichorus, being in general dactylic series, connected together into verses of different lengths, but sometimes so long, that they are rather to be called systems than verses. Besides these, Ibyeus frequently uses logacidic verses of a soft or languid character: and in general his rhythms are less stately and dignified, and more suited to the expression of passion, than those of Stesichorus. Hence the effeminate poet Agathon is represented by Aristophanes as appealing to Ibyeus with Auarcon and Alczus, who had made music more sweet, and worm many-coloured fillets (in the oriental fashion), and had led the wanton Ionic dance.\*

§ 9. The subjects of the poems of biyous appear also to have a strong affinity with those of the poems of Steichours. For although no poems with such names as Cyenus or the Orestea are attributed to Dhyeas; yet so many peculiar accounts of mythological stories, sepecially relating to the heroic period, are cited from his poems, that it seems as if he too had written long poems on the Trojan war, the expedition of the Argonauts, and other similar subjects. That, like Steischorus, he dwelt upon the marvellous in the heroic mythology, is proved by a fragment in which Hercules is introduced as asying: "I also slew the youths on white horses, the sons of Molione, the twins with like heads and connected limbs, both horn In the silver egg I."

The crotic poetry of I bycus is however more celebrated. We know that it consisted of oles to youths, and that these breathed a ferrour of passion far exceeding that expressed in any similar productions of Greek literature. Doubtless the poet gave utterance to his own feelings in these obes; as indeed appears from the extant fragments. Nevertheless the length of the strophes and the artificial structure of the verses prove that these odes were performed by choruses. Birthdays or other family festivals or distinctions in the gymnasia may have afforded the poet an opportunity of coming with a chorus into the court-yard of the house, and offering his congratulations in the most imposling and brilliant manner. The occasions of these poetical congratulations were doubtless the same as those which gave rise to the painted vases in Magna Grenic, with the inscription "the boy is beautiful" (ακλε ὁ παίς), and scenes from gymnastic exercises and social life. But that in the poems of Hyoxa, swell as of Prindar, the

Vratislav. ad Pind. Ol. ix. 128. (si στεὶ "Ιβοπεν καὶ Σσπείχερο»), Etymol. Gud. in ἀτεςστερ. pp. 93. 31.

\* Them. 161.

<sup>†</sup> Ap. Athen. p. 57 F. (Fr. 27, coll. Schneidewin),

chours was the organ of the poet's thoughts and feelings, is sufficiently proved (as has been already remarked) by the estants fragments. In a very beautiful fragment, the versification of which expresses the course of the feeling with peculiar art, players says?: "In the spring the Cydonian spaple-trees floorish, watered by rivelest from the brooks in the untrodden garden of the virgins, and the grapes which grow under the shady tendrified of the vine. But Eroe gives me peace at no season; like a Thracian tempest, gleaming with fightning, he rushes from Cypris, and, full of fury, he sits up my heart from the bottom." In some other extant verses he says 1: "Again Eros looks at me from beneath his black eyelashes with mething glances, and drives me with blandishments of all kinds into the endless nets of Cypris. I tremble at his attack; as a harnersed steed which countends for the prize in the sacred games, when he approaches old age, unwillingly enters the race-course with the rapid charlot."

These amatory odes of Ibycus did not however consist merely of descriptions of his passion, which could scarcely have afforded sufficient materials for choral representation. He likewise called in the assistance of mythology in order to elevate, by a comparison with divine or heroic natures, the beauty of the youth or his own passion. Thus in a poem of this kind, addressed to Gorgias, Ibycus told the story of Ganymedes and Tithonus, both Trojans and favourites of the gods; who were described as contemporary I, and were associated in the narrative. Ganymedes is carried off by Zeus in the form of an eagle, in order to become his favourite and cup-bearer in Olympus; and, at the same time. Eros incites the rising Aurora to bear away from Ida. Tithonus, a Trojan shepherd and prince §. The perpetual youth of Ganymedes, the short manhood and the melancholy old age of Tithonus, probably gave the poet occasion to compare the different passions which they excited, and to represent that of Zeus as the more noble, that of Aurora the less praiseworthy.

§ 10. Leaving Ibycus in the obscurity which envelopes all the Greek lyric poets anterior to Pindar, we come to a brighter point in SINONIDES. This poet has been already described as one of the greatest masters of the elegy and the epigram; but a full account of him has been reserved for this place.

Simonides was born at Julis in the island of Ceos, which was In-

<sup>\*</sup> Fragm. 1. coll. Schneidewin. The end of the fragment is very difficult; the translation is made from the following alteration of the text; \*\*erip\$ner \*\*sparning\*\*, which \*\*exicker\*\* sparing\*\*, opens.

<sup>†</sup> Schot, Plat, Parm. p. 137. A. (Fragm. 2. coll. Schneidewin).

After the Little Itiad, in which Ganymedes is the son of Laomedon: Schot. Vat, ad Eurip. Troad. 322. Elsewhere Tithonus is his son.

<sup>§</sup> This account of the poem of Stesichorus is taken from Schol, Apotlon. Rhod,
III. 158, compared with Nonnus Dionys. xv. 278, ed. Graefe.

habited by Ionians; according to his own testimony , about Olymp. 56. 1. s. c. 556. He lived, according to a precise account, 89 years, and died in 78, 1, B, c, 468. He belonged to a family which sedulously cultivated the musical arts; his grandfather on the paternal side had been a poet †; Bacchylides, the lyric poet, was his nephew; and Simonides the younger, known by the name of " the genealogist," on account of a work on genealogies (περί γενεαλογιών), was his grandson. He himself exercised the functions of a chorus-teacher in the town of Carthaea in Ceos; and the house of the chorus (younyellor) near the temple of Apollo was his customary abode !. This occupation was to him, as to Stesichorus, the origin of his poetical efforts. The small island of Ceos at this time contained many things which were likely to give a good direction to a youthful mind. The lively genius of the Ionic race was here restrained by severe principles of moderation (σωφροσώνη); the laws of Ceos are celebrated for their excellence §; and although Prodicus of Ceos is named among the sophists attacked by Socrates, yet he was considered as a man of probity, and the friend of a beneficent philosophy. Simonides, also, appears throughout his whole life, to have been attached to philosophy; and his poetical genius is characterized rather by versatility and purity of taste than by fervid enthusiasm. Many ingenious apophthegms and wise sayings are attributed to him, nearly resembling those of the seven sages; for example, the cyasive answer to the question, what is God? is attributed both to Simonides and Thales; in the one anecdote the questioner is Hiero, in the other Crossus. Simonides himself is sometimes reckoned among the philosophers, and the sophists considered him as a predecessor in their art. The "moderation of Simonides" became proverbial #: a modest consciousness of human weakness, and a recognition of a superior power, are everywhere traceable in his poetry. It is likewise recorded that Simonides used, and perfected, the contrivances which are known by the name of the Muemonic art.

It must be admitted, that, in depth and novelty of ideas, and in the ferrour of pocietal feeling, Simonides was far inferior to his contemporary Pindar. But the practical tendency of his portry, the worldly wisdom, guided by a noble disposition, which appeared in it, and the delicacy with which he treated all the relations of states and rulers, made him the friend of the most powerful and distinguished men of his

In the epigram in Planudes, Jacobs Authol. Palat. Append. Epigr. 79. (203 Schneidewin).

<sup>†</sup> Marm. Par. ep. 49. according to Boeckh's explanation, Corp. Inscrip. vol. ii. p. 319.

t Chammleon ap. Ath. x. p. 456. E.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Müller's Æginetica. p. 132. note u.

<sup>| &#</sup>x27;II Zumeiter endererine. Aristicles ergi той спопаря. III. p. 645 A. Canter. II. p. 510. Dindorf. Semonalis re.ieju w od. Schneidema, р. хххні.

age. Scarcely any poet of antiquity enjoyed so much consideration in his lifetime, or exercised so much influence upon political events, as Simonides. He was one of the poets entertained by Hipparchus the Pisistratid (Olymp. 63, 2. - 66, 3, B, c, 527-14.), and was highly esteemed by him. He was much honoured by the families of the Aleuads and Scopads, who at that time ruled in Thessaly, as powerful and wealthy nobles, in their cities of Larissa and Crannon, and partly as kings of the entire country. These families attempted, by their hospitality and liberality to the poets and wise men whom they entertained, either to soften the rough nature of the Thessalians, or, at least, to cover it with a varnish of civilization. That, however, they were not always equally liberal to Simonides, appears from the anecdote that Scopas once refused to give him more than half the promised reward, and referred him for the other half to the Dioscuri, whom he had also praised in his ode; and that, in consequence, the Dioscuri saved Simonides when the house fell upon the impious Scopas \*. Simonides appears to have passed much of the latter part of his life in Sicily, chiefly with the tyraut of Syracuse. That he was in high honour at this court is proved by the well attested story, that when, after Gelo's death, a discord arose between the allied and closely connected families of the tyrants of Syracuse and Agrigentum, Hiero of Syracuse and Thero of Agrigentum, with their armies, were standing opposite to each other on the river Gelas, and would have decided their dispute with arms, if Simonides (who, like Pindar, was the friend of both tyrants) had not restored peace between them (Olymp. 76. 1. B. c. 476). But the high reputation of Simonides among the Greeks is chiefly apparent in the time of the Persian war. He was in friendly intercourse both with Themistocles and the Spartan general Pausanias; the Corinthians sought to obtain his testimony to their exploits in the Persian war; and he, more than any other poet, partly at the wish of others, and partly of his own accord, undertook the celebration of the great deeds of that period. The poems which he wrote for this purpose were for the most part epigrams; but some were lyric compositions, as the panegyric of those who had fallen at Thermopylæ, and the odes on the sea-fights of Artemisium and Salamis. Others were elegiac, as the . elegy to those who fought at Marathon, already mentioned,

§ 11. The versatility of mind and variety of knowledge, which Simonides appears from these accounts to have possessed, are connected with his facility of poetical composition. Simonides was probably the most prolific lyric poet whom Greece had seen, although all his productions did not descend to posterity. He gained (according to the inscription

That the ancients themselves had difficulties in ascertaining the true version of this story, appears from Quintilian, Inst. xi. 2.11; it is however certain that the family of the Scopads at that time suffered some great misforume which Simonides lamented in a threne: Phavorim. ap. Stob. Serm. CV. 62.

of a votive tablet, written by himself\*) 56 oxen and tripous in poetical contests; and pet prizes of this kind could only be gained at public festivals, such as the festival of Bacchus at Athens. Simonides, according to his own testimony, conquered at this latter festival, no Olymp, 75.4. n. c. 476, with a cyclian chorus of 50 men. The muse of Simonides was, however, far other in the pay of private men; he was the first who sold his poems for money, according to the frequent reproach of the ancients. Thus Scortes in Platot yeasy that Simonides was often forced to praise a tyrant or other powerful man, without being convised of the justice of his praises.

Among the poems which Simonides composed for public festivals, were hymns and prayers (carry-qui) to various gods, peans to Apollo, hyporchemes, dithyrambs, and parthenia. In the hyporchemes Simonides seemed to have excelled himself; so great a master was be of the act of paining, by age thythmas and words, the acts which he wished to describe; he says of himself that he knows how to combine the plastic movements of the fest with the voice I. His didhyrambs were not, according to their original purpose, dedicated to Dionysus, but admitted subjects of the groin empthology; thus a dithyramb of Simonides bore the title of Memion §. This transfer to herces, of poems properly becausing to Dionysus will be considered more fully in connexion with the subject of tragedy. Mareover the odes just mentioned, which celebrated those who fell at Thermosphe and in the sea-fights against the Pensians, were doubtless intended to be performed at public festivals in honour of victories.

Among the poems which Simonides composed for private persons, the Epinikia and Threnes are worthy of especial notice. At this period the Epinikia-songs which were performed at a feast in honour of a victor in public and sacred games, either on the scene of the conflict. or at his return home-first received the polish of art from the hands of the choral poets. At an earlier age, a few verses, like those of Archilochus, had answered the same purpose. The Epinikia of Simonides and Piudar are nearly contemporaneous with the erection of statues in honour of victorious combatants, which first became common about . Olymp. 60, and, especially in the time of the Persian war, employed the most emiaent artists of the schools of Ægina and Sicyon. A general idea of the structure of the epinikia of Simonides may be formed from those of Pindar (of which a copious analysis will be found in the next chapter). In these odes, too, the celebration of mythical heroes (as of the Dioscuri in the epiaikion of Scopas) was closely connected with the praise of the victor. General reflections and apophtherms were also applied to his peculiar circumstances. Thus in the same ode, the general maxim was stated, that the gods alone could be always

<sup>\*</sup> Anthol. Palat. vi. 213.

<sup>!</sup> Plutarch, Sympos. ix. 15. 2.

<sup>†</sup> Protag. p. 346. B.

<sup>§</sup> Strabo xv. p. 728. B.

good: that no man could be invariably good or bad, but could only act virtuously by the grace of the gods, and upon this principle the saying of Pittaens, "I tis difficult to be good," was ceasured as requiring too much, and probably was applied for the purpose of extenuating some faults in the life of the victorious prince."

We should be gully of injustice to Simonides were we to conclude that he did violence to his own convictions, and offered merenary and bespoken homage; we rather discover a trace of the mild and humane, though somewhat lax and commodious, opinions on morals, presalent among the Ionians. Among the Dorians, and in part also among the &Doliaus, law and custom were more rigorous in their demands upon the constancy and the virtue of mankind.

The epinikia of Simonides appear to have been distinguished from those of Pindar mainly in this; that the former dwelt more upon the particular victory which gave occasion to his song, and described all its details with greater minuteness; while Pindar, as we shall see, passes lightly over the incident, and immediately soars into higher regions. In an epinikion which Simonides composed for Leophron the son of the tyrant Anaxilas and his vicegerent in Rhegium to and in which he had to celebrate a victory obtained with a chariot drawn by mules (άπήνη), the poet congratulated the victorious animals, dexterously passing in silence over the meaner, and directing attention to the nobler, side of their parentage: " Hail, ye daughters of storm-footed steeds!" Simonides, too, in these songs of victory more frequently indulged in pleasantry than befitted a poem destined to be recited at a sacred feast; as, for example, in the epinikion composed in honour of an Athenian who had conquered Crios of Ægina in wrestling at Olympia; where he plays upon the name of the defeated combatant: " Not ill has the ram (o Kpioc) got himself shorn by venturing into the magnificent grove, the sanctuary of Zeus 1".

But the merits of Simonides were still more remarkable (as we have already seen in treating of the elegy) in dirges (3p\(\tilde{\eta}\)pivol). His style, as

See this long fragment from the oles of Simonides in Plato Pretag. p. 339, eq., A the historical relations are difficult of comprehension, I remark briefly, that Amazina was tyrnat of Rhegium, and, from about 10.71.3. (n.c. 493.) of Merciner, and that the well is the latter city, testing Loughnen to administer the government his choice to the contract of the co

<sup>1</sup> That the words "Errige" is keeps as instance, Rc. are to be understood as is indicated in the text, is proved by the meaner in which Aristoph. Nub. 1355, gives the substance of the song, which was sung at Athens at meals, from a patriotic interest, like a scolion. The contest must be placed about Olymp, 70. n. 500

an ancient critic observes, was not as lofty as that of Pindar; but what he lost in sublimity he gained in pathos . While Pindar's soaring flights extolled the happiness of the dead who had finished their earthly course with honour, and enjoyed the glories allotted to them in another existence. Simonides gave himself up to the genuine feelings of human nature; he expressed grief for the life that was extinguished; the fond regret of the survivors; and sought consolation rather after the manner of the Ionian elegiac poets, in the perishableness and weariness of human life. The dirges of Simonides on the hapless Scopad, and the Aleuad Antiochus, son of Echecratides t, were remarkable examples of this style; and doubtless the celebrated lament of Danae was part of a threne. Enclosed with her infant Perseus in a chest, and exposed to the raging of the storm, she extols the happiness of the unconscious sleeping babe, in expressions full of the charm of maternal tenderness and devotion 1.

§ 12. Simonides did not, like Pindar, in the overflowing riches of his genius, touch briefly on thoughts and feelings; he wrought out every thing in detail with care and finish §; his verses are like a diamond which throws a sparkling light from each of its many polished faces. If we analyze a passage, like the fragment from the eulogy on the heroes of Thermopylæ, we are struck with the skill and grace with which the hand of the master plays with a single thought; the glory of a great action before which all sorrow disappears; and the various lights under which he presents it.

"Those who fell at Thermopylæ have an illustrious fate, a noble destiny: their tomb is an altar, their dirge a song of triumph. And neither eating rust, nor all-subduing time, shall obliterate this epitaph of the brave. Their subterranean chamber has received the glory of Hellas as its inhabitant. Of this, Leonidas, the king of Sparta, bears witness, by the fair and undying renown of virtue which he left behind him ||." Some idea may be formed of this same kind of description naturally leading to a light and agreeable tissue of thoughts; of this easy graceful style of Simonides, so extremely dissimilar to that of Pindar, from a feeble prosaic translation of another fragment taken from an ode to a conqueror in the Pentathlon, which treats of Ornheus : " Countless birds flew around his head; fishes sprang out of the

dark waters at his beautiful song. Not a breath of wind arose to rustle the leaves of the trees, or to interrupt the honied voice which was

<sup>\*</sup> Ti siari (totus pà prymlorgerus às Midages, àllà materiaus. Dion. Hal. Cons. Vet. Script. ii. 6. p. 420. Reiske. † The son of the Echecratides, who was mentioned in ch. xiii. § 11. in connexion

with Anacreon, and the elder brother of Orestes. 1 Dionys. Hal. de Verb. Comp. 26. Fr. 7. Gaisford, 50, Schneidewin.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Simonides said that poetry was vocal painting. Plutarch, de Glor. Ath. 3. || Diod. xi | I Fr. 16. Gaisf. 9. Schneid.

wasfed to the ears of mortals. As when, in the wintry moon, Zeus appoints fourteen days as the sacred broading time of the gay-plumed haleyons, which the earth-dwellers call the sleep of the winds." With this smooth and highly polished style of composition every thing in the poetry of Simonides is in the most perfect larmony; the choice of words, which seeks, indeed, the noble and the graceful, yet departs less widely from the language of ordinary life than that of Findar; and the treatment of the rhythms which is distinguished from that of the Theban poet by a stronger preference for light and flowing measures (more especially the logaodic) and by less rigorous rules of motion.

§ 13. BACCHYLIDES, the nephew of Simonides, adhered closely to the system and the example of his uncle. He flourished towards the close of the life of Simonides, with whom he lived at the court of Hiero in Syracuse; little more of his history is known. That his poetry was but an imitation of one branch of that of Simonides, cultivated with great delicacy and finish, is proved by the opinions of ancient critics : among whom Dionysius adduces perfect correctness and uniform elegance as the characteristics of Bacchylides. His genius and art were chiefly devoted to the pleasures of private life, love and wine; and, when compared with those of Simonides, appear marked by greater sensual grace and less moral elevation. Among the kinds of choral poetry which he employed, besides those of which he had examples in Simonides and Pindar, we find crotic songs; such, for example, as that in which a beautiful maiden is represented, in the game of the Cottabus, as raising her whitearm and pouring out the wine for the youths +; a description which could apply only to a Hetæra partaking of the banquets of men.

In other odes, which were probably sung to cheer the feast, and which were transformed into choral odes from scolia, the praise of wine is celebrated as follows; 1: "A sweet compation flows from the wine cups and subdues the spirit, while the whiles of love, which are mingled with the gifts of Dionysus, agitate the heart. The thoughts of men take a lofty flight; they overthrow the embattled walls of cities, and believe themselves monarchs of the world. The houses

† Athen. xi. p. 782. xvi. p. 667. Fr. 23. ed. Neue.

† Athen. ii. p. 39. Fr. 26. Neue. The ode consists of short stroples in the Doric measure, which are to be reduced to the following metro.

This arrangement necessitates no other alterations than those which have been for other reasons: except that sirift, 'straightways,' should be written for sirif, in v. 6.

<sup>.</sup> Fr. 18. Schneidewin-

glitter with gold and ivory; corn-bearing ships bring hither from Egypt, across the glancing deep, the abundance of wealth. To such heights sours the spirit of the drinker." Here too we remark that elaborate and brilliant execution which is peculiar to the school of Simonides; and the same is shown in all the longer fragments of Bacchylides, among which we shall only quote the praise of peace :

"To mortals belong lofty peace, riches, and the blossoms of honeyvoiced song. On altars of fair workmanship burn thighs of oxen and thick-fleeced sheep in golden flames to the gods. The cares of the youths are, gymnastic exercises, flute-playing, and joyous revelry (αὐλοὶ καὶ κῶμος). But the black spiders ply their looms in the iron-bound edges of the shields, and the rust corrodes the barbed spear-head, and the two-edged sword. No more is heard the clang of brazen trumpets; and beneficent sleep, the nurse and soother of our souls, is no longer scared from our evelids. The streets are thronged with joyous guests, and songs of praise to beautiful youths resound ."

We recognise here a mind which dwells lovingly on the description of these gay and pleasing scenes, and paints itself in every feature, but without penetrating deeper than the ordinary observation of men reaches. Bacchylides, like Simonides, transfers the diffuseness of the elegy to the choral lyric poem; although he himself composed no elegies, and followed the traces of his uncle only as an epigrammatist. The reflections scattered through his lyrics, on the toils of human life, the instability of fortune, on resignation to inevitable evils, and the rejection of vain cares, have much of the tone of the Ionic elegy. The structure of Bacchvlides' verse is generally very simple : nine tenths of his odes, to judge from the fragments, consisted of dactylic series and troclaic dipodias, as we find in those odes of Pindar which were written in the Doric mode. Bacchylides, however, gave a lighter character to this measure ; inasmuch as in the places where the syllable might be either long or short, he often preferred the latter.

We find, in his poems, trochaic verses of great elegance; as, for example, a fragment, preserved by Athenaus, of a religious poem in which the Dioscuri are invited to a feast †. But its character is feeble and languid; and how different from the hymn of Pindar, the third among the Olympian odes, in celebration of a similar feast of the Dioscuri, held by Theron in Agrigentum !

§ 14. The universal esteem in which Simonides and Bacchylides were held in Greece, and their acknowledged excellence in their art, did not prevent some of their contemporaries from striking into various other paths, and adopting other styles of treating lyric poetry. Lasos or HERMIONE was a rival of Simonides during his residence in Atheus, and

<sup>·</sup> Stobæus, Serm. LIII. p. 209. Grot. Fr. 12, Neue. † Athen. xi. p. 500 B. Fr. 27. Neue.

likewise enjoyed high favour at the court of Hipparchus\*. It is however difficult to ascertain, from the very scanty accounts we possess of this poet, wherein consisted the point of contrast between him and his competitor. He was more peculiarly a dithyrambic poet, and was the first who introduced contests in dithyrambs at Athens t, probably in Olymp. 68, 1, s. c. 508 f. This style predominated so much in his works, that he gave to the general rhythms of his odes a dithyrambic turn, and a free movement, in which he was aided by the variety and flexibility of tone of the flute, his favourite instrument §. He was also a theorist in his art, and investigated the laws of music (i. e. the relation of musical intervals to rapidity of movement), of which later musicians retained much. He was the instructor of Pindar in lyric poetry. It is also very possible that these studies led him to attach excessive value to art; for he was guilty of over-refinement in the rhythm and the sound of words, as, for example, in his odes written without the letter σ (ἄσιγμοι ψεαί), the hissing sound of which is entirely avoided as dissonant.

. TIMOCREON THE RHODIAN was a genius of an entirely peculiar cha-Powerful both as an athlete and a poet, he transferred the pugnacity of the Palæstra to poetry. To the hate which he bore in political life to Themistocles, and, on the field of poetry, to Simonides, he owes his chief celebrity among the ancients. In an extant fragment | he bitterly reproaches the Athenian statesman for the arbitrary manner in which he settled the affairs of the island, recalling exiles, and banishing others, of which Timocreon himself was one of the victims. He attacks his enemy with the heavy pompous measure of the Dorian mode, as with the shock of a catapulta, though on other occasions he composed in elegiac distichs and measures of the Æolic kind; and it cannot be denied that his vituperation receives singular force from the stateliness of the expression. and the grandeur of the form. Timocreon seems to have ridiculed and paredied Simonides on account of some tricks of his art, as where Simonides expresses the same thought in the same words only transposed, first in an hexameter, then in a trochaic tetrameter ¶.

The opposition in which we find Pindar with Simonides and Bacchylides is of a much nobler character. For though the desire to

Aristoph. Vesp. 1410, comp. Herod. viil. 6.

<sup>+</sup> Schol, Aristoph, ubi sup.

<sup>?</sup> The statement of the Parian marble, ep. 46, appears to refer to the cyclic choruses.

<sup>§</sup> Plutarch de Mus. 39. The fragment of a hymn by Lasus to Demeter, in Athen. xiv. p. 621 K., agrees very well with this account. Il Plutarch. Themist. 21.

<sup>¶</sup> Anthol. Pal. xiii. 30. Concerning this enmity, see also Diog. Laert. ii. 46, and Suidas in Tuessiers. The citation from Simonides and Timorroon in Walz. Rhet. Grac. vol. ii., p. 10, is probably connected with their quarrel.

stand highest in the favour of the Syracusan tyrant, Hiero, and Thero of Agrigentum stimulated the jealousy between these two poets, yet the real cause lies deeper; it is to be found in the spirit and temper of the men; and the contest which necessarily arose out of this diversity, does no dishonour to either party.

The ancient commentators on Pindar rafer a considerable number of passages to this hontility \*: and in general these are in praise of genuine wisdom as a gift of nature, a deep rooted power of the mind, and in depreciation of acquired knowledge in the comparison; or the poet represents genial invention as the highest of qualities, and demands novelties even in mythic narratives. On the contextry, Simonides and Bacchylides thought themselves bound to adhere faithfully to tradition, and reproved any attempt to give a new form to the stories of antiquity †.

## CHAPTER XV.

- § 1. Pindar's decent; his early training in poetry and music. § 2. Exercise of his art; his independent position with respect to the Greek princes and republics, § 3. Kinds of poetry cultivated by him. § 4. His Epinikis; their origin and objects, § 5. Their two main elements, general remarks, and mythical narrations. § 6. Connexis of these two elements; psculiarities of the structure of Pindar's odes. § 7. Variety of tone in his odes, according to the different musical structure.
- § 1. Pixona was born in the spring of 522 s. c. (Olymp. 64. 3);
  and, according to a probable statement, he died at the age of eighty;
  He was therefore nearly in the prime of his life at the time when
  Kernes invaded Greece, and the battles of Thermopylea and Salamis
  were fought. He thus belongs to that period of the Greek nation,
  when its great qualities were first distinctly unfoldled; and when it exhibited an energy of action, and a spirit of enterprise, never afterwards
  surpassed, together with a love of poetry, art, and phillosophy, which
  produced much, and promised to produce more. The modes of
  thought, and style of art, which arose in Athens after the Persian of
  Kæchylus, and he admired the rapid rise of Athens in the Persya

Ol. II. 86. (154). IX. 48 (74). Pyth. II. 52. (97.) and passim Nem. III. 80. (143).
 IV. 37. (60). Isthm. II. 6. (10).

<sup>†</sup> See Plutarch, Num. 4. Fr. 37. Neue, and Clem. Strom. v. p. 687. Pott. Fr. 13. Neue.

<sup>2</sup> For Pindar's life, see Borckh's Pindar, tom, iii. p. 12. To the authorities there mentioned, may be added the Introduction of Eustathius to his Commentary on Pindar in Eustathii Opuscula, p. 32. ed. Tafel. 1832. (Eustath. Procm. Comment. Pindar. ed. Schneydewim. 1837.)

war; calling it "The Pillar of Greece, brilliant Athens, the worthy theme of ports." But the causes which determined his portical naracters are to he sought in an earlier period, and in the Doric and Zolic parts of Greece; and hence we shall divide Pindar from his content rary Zeschylus, by placing the former at the close of the early period, the latter at the head of the new period of literature.

Pindar's native place was Cynocephalæ, a village in the territory of Thebes, the most considerable city of Bootia. Although in his time the voices of Pierian bards, and of epic poets of the Hesiodean school had long been mute in Bostia, vet there was still much love for music and poetry, which had taken the prevailing form of lyric and choral compositions. That these arts were widely cultivated in Bœotia is proved by the fact that two women, Myrtis and Corinna, had attained great celebrity in them during the youth of Pindar. Both were competitors with Pindar in poetry. Myrtis strove with him for a prize at public games : and although Corinna said, " It is not meet that the clear toned Myrtis, a woman born, should enter the lists with Pindar ":" vet she is said (perhaps from jealousy of his growing fame) to have often contended against him in the agones, and to have gained the victory over him five times t. Pausanias, in his travels, saw at Tanaora. the native city of Corinna, a picture in which she was represented as binding her head with a fillet of victory which she had gained in a contest with Pindar. He supposes that she was less indebted for this victory to the excellence of her poetry than to her Bootian dialect, which was more familiar to the ears of the judges at the games, and to her extraordinary beauty. Corinna also assisted the young poet with her advice; it is related of her that she recommended him to ornament his poems with invthical narrations, but that when he had composed a hymn, in the first six verses of which (still extant) almost the whole of the Theban mythology was introduced, she smiled and said, "We should sow with the hand, not with the whole sack." Too little of the poetry of Corinna has been preserved to allow of our forming a safe judgment of her style of composition. The extant fragments refer mostly to mythological subjects, particularly to heroines of the Bœotian legends: this, and her rivalry with Pindar, show that she must be classed not in the Lesbian school of lyric poets, but among the masters of choral

poetry.

The family of Pindar seems to have been skilled in music; we learn from the ancient biographies of him that his father, or his uncle, was a flute-player. Flute-playing (as we have more than once remark cd)

r God

was brought from Asia Minor into Greece; its Phrygian origin may perhaps be indicated by the fact that Pindar had in his house at Thebes a small temple of the Mother of the gods and Pan, the Phrygian delities, to whom the first lymns to the finat were supposed to have suggest. The music of the flate had moreover been introduced into Becoin at a very early period; the Copain lake produced excelled receds for flates, and the worship of Dioayaus, which was supposed to have originated at Thebes, required the varied and loud music of the flate. Accordingly the Becoins were early celebrated for their skill in flate-playing it whilst at Albents the music of the flate did not become common till after the Perian war, when the desire for novelty in art had creatly increased †.

6 2. But Pindar very early in his life soared far beyond the sphere of a flute-player at festivals, or even a lyric poet of merely local celebrity. He placed himself under the tuition of Lasus of Hermione, a distinguished poet, already mentioned, but probably better versed in the theory than the practice of poetry and music. Since Pindar made these arts the whole business of his life t, and was nothing but a poet and a musician, he soon extended the boundaries of his art to the whole Greek nation, and composed poems of the choral lyric kind for persons in all parts of Greece. At the age of twenty he composed a song of victory in honour of a Thessalian youth belonging to the gens of the Aleuads §. We find him employed soon afterwards for the Sicilian rulers, Hiero of Syracuse, and Thero of Agrigentum; for Arcesilaus, king of Cyreue, and Amyntas, king of Macedonia, as well as for the free cities of Greece. He made no distinction according to the race of the persons whom he celebrated: he was honoured and loved by the Ionian states, for himself as well as for his art; the Athenians made him their public guest (πρόξενος); and the inhabitants of Ceos employed him to compose a processional song (προσόζιον), although they had their own poets, Simonides and Bacchylides. Pindar, however, was not a common mercenary poet, always ready to sing the praises of him whose bread he ate. He received indeed money and presents for his poems, according to the general usage praviously introduced by Simonides; yet his poems are the genuine expression of his thoughts and feelings. In his praises of virtue and good fortune, the colours which he employs are not too vivid; nor does he avoid the darker shades of his subject; he often suggests topics of consolation for past and present evil, and sometimes warus and exhorts to avoid future calamity. Thus he ventures to speak freely to the powerful Hiero, whose many great and noble qualities were alloyed by insatiable cupidity and

<sup>\*</sup> Marm. Par. ep. 10. † Aristot. Polit, viii, 7.

<sup>§</sup> Pyth. X. composed in Olymp. 69, 3. s. c. 502.

ambition, which his courtiers well knew how to turn to a bad account, Pindar exhorts him to tranquillity and contentedness of mind, to calm cheerfulness, and to clemency, saying to him \* : " Be as thou knowest how to be; the ape in the hoy's story is indeed fair, very fair; but Rhadamanthus was happy because he plucked the genuine fruits of the mind, and did not take delight in the delusions which follow the arts of the whisperer. The venom of calumny is an evil hard to be avoided, whether by him who hears or by him who is the object of it; for the ways of calumniators are like those of foxes." Pindar speaks in the same free and manly tone to Arcesilaus IV, king of Cyrene, who afterwards brought on the ruin of his dynasty by his tyrannical severity, and who at that time kept Damophilus, one of the noblest of the Cyreneans, in unjust banishmeut. " Now understand the enigmatic wisdom of Œdipus. If any one lops with a sharp axe the branches of a large oak, and spoils her stately form, she loses indeed her verdure, but she gives proof of her strength, when she is consumed in the winter fire, or when, torn from her place in the forest, she performs the melancholy office of a pillsr in the palace of a foreign prince †. Thy office is to be the physician of the country: Paean honours thee; therefore thou must treat with a gentle hand its festering wounds. It is easy for a fool to shake the stability of a city; but it is hard to place it again on its foundations, unless a god direct the rulers. Gratitude for these good deeds is already in store for thee. Deign therefore to bestow all thy care upon the wealthy Cyrene 1."

Thus Joffy and dignified was the position which Pindar assumed with regard to these princes; and he remained true to the principle which he so frequently proclaims, that frankness and sincerity are always landable. But his intercourse with the princes of his time appears to have been limited to poetry. We do not find him, like Simonides, the daily associate, counselbr, and friend of kings and stateamer; he plays no part in the public events of his time, either as a politician or a courier. Neither was his name, like that of Simonides, distinguished in the Persian war; partly because his feltow-citizens, the Thebans, were, together with half of the Greeian nation, on the Persian side, whilst the spirit of independence and victory were with the other half. Nevertheless the lofty character of Pindar's muse rises superior to these unfavorable circumstances. He did not indeed make the vain attempt of gaining over the Thebans to the cause of Greece; but he sought to appeace the internal dissensions which threatened to destroy

Pyth. II. 72. (131.) This ode was composed by Pindar at Thebes, but doubtless not till after he had contracted a personal acquaintance with Hiero.

<sup>†</sup> In this allegory, the oak is the state of Cyrene; the branches are the banished nobles; the winter fire is insurrection; the foreign palace is a foreign conquering power, especially Persia.

<sup>1</sup> Pyth. IV.

Thebes during the war, by admonishing his fellow citizens to union and concord \*: and after the war was ended, he openly proclaims, in odes intended for the Æginetans and Athenians, his admiration of the heroism of the victors. In an ode, composed a few months after the surrender of Thebes to the allied army of the Greeks t (the seventh Isthmian), his feelings appear to be deeply moved by the misfortunes of his native city; but he returns to the cultivation of poetry as the Greeks were now delivered from their great peril, and a god had removed the stone of Tantalus from their heads. He expresses a hope that freedom will repair all misfortunes; and he turns with a friendly confidence to the city of Ægina, which, according to ancient legends, was closely allied with Thebes, and whose good offices with the Peloponnesians might perhaps raise once more the humbled head of Bocotia.

63. Having mentioned nearly all that is known of the events of Pindar's life, and his relations to his contemporaries, we proceed to consider him more closely as a poet, and to examine the character and form of his poetical productions.

The only class of poems which enable us to judge of Pindar's general style are the epinikia or triumphal odes. Pindar, indeed, excelled in all the known varieties of choral poetry; viz. hymns to the gods, pæans and dithyrambs appropriate to the worship of particular divinities, odes for processions (προσόδια), songs of maidens (παρθένεια), mimic dancing songs (ὑπορχήματα), drinking songs (σκολιά), dirges (θρῆνοι), and encomiastic odes to princes (έγκωμια), which last approached most nearly to the epinikia. The poems of Pindar in these various styles were nearly as renowned among the ancients as the triumphal odes; which is proved by the numerous quotations of them. Horace too, in enumerating the different styles of Pindar's poetry, puts the dithyrambs first, then the hymps, and afterwards the epinikia and the threnes. Nevertheless, there must have been some decided superiority in the epinikia, which caused them to be more frequently transcribed in the later period of antiquity, and thus rescued them from perishing with the rest of the Greek lyric poetry. At any rate, these odes, from the vast variety of their subjects and style, and their refined and elaborate structure.-some approaching to hymns and preans, others to scolia and hyporchemes,-serve to indemnify us for the loss of the other sorts of lyric poetry.

We will now explain, as precisely as possible, the occasion of an epinikian ode, and the mode of its execution. A victory has been gained in a contest at a festival, particularly at one of the four great games most prized by the Greek people ;, either by the speed of horses, the

Palyb. iv. 31. 5. Fr. incert. 125. ed. Boeckh.

<sup>+</sup> In the winter of Olymp. 75. 2. B. c. 479.

<sup>1</sup> Olympia, Pythia, Nemea, Isthmia. Some of the epinikia, however, belong to other games. For example, the second Pythian is not a Pythian ode, but probably

strength and dexterity of the human body, or by skill in music \*. Such a victory as this, which shed a lustre not only on the victor himself, but on his family, and even on his native city, demanded a solemn celebration. This celebration might be performed by the victor's friends upon the spot where the victory was guined; as, for example, at Olympia, when in the evening after the termination of the contests, by the light of the moon, the whole sanctuary resounded with joyful songs after the manner of encomia t. Or it might be deferred till after the victor's solemn return to his native city, where it was sometimes repeated, in following years, in commemoration of his success 1. A celebration of this kind always had a religious character; it often began with a procession to an altar or temple, in the place of the games or in the native city; a sacrifice, followed by a banquet, was then offered at the temple, or in the house of the victor; and the whole solemnity concluded with the merry and boisterous revel called by the Grecks Kanoc. At this sacred, and at the same time joyous, solemnity, (a mingled character frequent among the Greeks,) appeared the chorus, trained by the poet, or some other skilled person §, for the purpose of reciting the triumphal hymn, which was considered the fairest ornament of the festival. It was during either the procession or the banquet that the hymn was recited; as it was not properly a religious hymn, which could be combined with the sacrifice. The form of the poem must, to a certain extent, have been determined by the occasion on which it was to be recited. From expressions which occur in several epinikian odes, it is probable that all odes consisting of strophes without epodes I were sung during a procession to a temple or to the house of the victor; although there are others which contain expressions denoting movement, and which yet have epodes ¶. It is possible that the epodes in the latter odes may have been sung at certain intervals when the procession was

belongs to games of Iolaus at Thebes. The ninth Nomean eelebrates a victory in the Pythia at Sirgon, (not at Dulphi; i) the tenth Nomean eelebrates a victory in the Pythia at Sirgon, (not at Dulphi; i) the tenth Nomean eelebrates a victory in the tenth of the tenth of the parties at Tenedon. Probably the Nomean odes were placed at the end of the collection, after the Isthmian; so that a miscellaneous supplement could be approaded to them.

 For example, Pyth. XII., which celebrates the victory of Midas, a flute-player of Agrigentum.

† Pindar's words in Olymp. XI. 76. (93), where this usage is transferred to the mythical establishment of the Olympia by Hercules. The 4th and 6th Olympian, the 6th, and proabby also the Thi Pythian, were sung at the place of the games. † The 9th Olympian, the 3d Nemean, and the 2nd Isthmian, were produced at a memorial celebration of this kind.

§ Such as Æceas the Stymphalian in Olymp. VI. 88. (150), whom Pindar ealls "a just messenger, a syntals of the fair-haired Muses, a sweet goblet of lood-sounding songs," because he was to receive the ode from Pindar in person, to carry it to Stymphalias, and there to instruct a chorus in the dancing, music, and text. || Ol. XIV. Pyth. VI. XII. Nem. II. IV. IX 1sthm. VII.

(a) O. VIII. XIII. The expression risks amount lifes doubtless means, "Receive this band of persons who have combined for a sacrificial meal and feast." Hence too it appears that the band went into the temple.

not advancing; for an epode, according to the statements of the ancients, always required that the chorus should be at rest. But by far the greater number of the odes of Pindar were sung at the Comus, at the jovial termination of the feast: and hence Pindar himself more frequently names his odes from the Comus than from the victory.

§ 4. The occasion of an epinikian ode.—a victory in the sacred games,-and its end .- the ennobling of a solemnity connected with the worship of the gods,-required that it should be composed in a lofty and dignified style. But, on the other hand, the boisterous mirth of the feast did not admit the severity of the antique poetical style, like that of the hymns and nomes: it demanded a free and lively expression of feeling, in harmony with the occasion of the festival, and suggesting the noblest ideas connected with the victor. Pindar, however, gives no detailed description of the victory, as this would have been only a repetition of the spectacle which had already been beheld with enthusiasm by the assembled Greeks at Olympia or Pytho; nay, he often bestows only a few words on the victory, recording its place and the sort of contest in which it was won +. Nevertheless he does not (as many writers have supposed) treat the victory as a merely secondary object; which he despatches quickly, in order to pass on to subjects of greater interest. The victory, in truth, is always the point upon which the whole of the ode turns; only he regards it, not simply as an incident, but as connected with the whole life of the victor. Pindar establishes this connexion by forming a high conception of the fortunes and character of the victor, and by representing the victory as the result of them. And as the Greeks were less accustomed to consider a man in his individual capacity, than as a member of his state, and his family: so Pindar considers the renown of the victor in connexion with the past and present condition of the race and state to which he belongs. Now there are two different points from which the poet might view the life of the victor; viz. destiny or merit 1; in other words, he might celebrate his good fortune or his skill. In the victory with horses, external advantages were the chief consideration; inasmuch as it required excellent horses and an excellent driver, both of which were attainable only by the rich. The skill of the victor was more conspicuous in gymnastic feats, although even in these, good luck and the favour of the gods might be considered as the main causes of success; especially as it was a favourite opinion of Pindar's, that all excellence is a gift of nature &.

<sup>\*</sup> Ισικόμως ὅμενς, ἱγκόμων μίλος. The grammarians, however, distinguish the encomia, as being laudatory poems strictly so called, from the epinikia.

<sup>†</sup> On the other hand, we often find a precise enumeration of all the victories, not only of the actual victor, but of his entire family: this must cridently have been required of the puet.

1 have and aperi.

<sup>§</sup> τὶ δὰ ψοῦ κράτωτον ἄταν, Ol. IX. 100 (151), which ode is a development of this general idea. Compare above, ch. xv. near the end.

The good fortune or skill of the victor could not however be treated abstractedly; but must be individualized by a description of his peculiar lot. This individual colouring might be given by representing the good fortune of the victor as a compensation for past ill fortune; or, generally, by describing the alternations of fortune in his lot and in that of his family \*. Another theme for an ode might be, that success in gymnastic contests was obtained by a family in alternate generations; that is, by the grandfathers and grandsons, but not by the intermediate generation †. If, however, the good fortune of the victor had been invariable, congratulation at such rare happiness was accompanied with moral reflections, especially on the right manner of estimating or enduring good fortune, or on the best mode of turning it to account. According to the notions of the Greeks, an extraordinary share of the gifts of fortune suggested a dread of the Nemesis which delighted in humbling the pride of man; and hence the warning to be prudent, and not to strive after further victories ‡. The admooltions which Pindar addresses to Hiero are to cultivate a calm serenity of mind, after the cares and toils by which he had founded and extended his empire, and to purify and ennoble by poetry a spirit which had been ruffled by unworthy passions. Even when the skill of the victor is put in the foreground, Pindar in general does not content himself with celebrating this bodily prowess alone, but he usually adds some moral virtue which the victor has shown. or which he recommends and extols. This virtue is sometimes moderation, sometimes wisdom, sometimes filial love, sometimes piety to the gods. The latter is frequently represented as the maio cause of the victory: the victor having thereby obtained the protection of the deities who preside over gymnastic contests; as Hermes, or the Dioscuri. It is evident that, with Piodar, this mode of accounting for success in the games was not the mere fiction of a poet; he sincerely thought that he had found the true cause, when he had traced the victory to the favour of a god who took an especial interest io the family of the victor, and at the same time presided over the games §. Generally, indeed, in extoliing both the skill and fortune of the victor, Piodar appears to adhere to the truth as faithfully as he declares himself to do; nor is he ever betraved into a high flown style of panegyric. A republican dread of incurring the censure of his fellow citizens, as well as an awe of the divine Nemesis, induced him to moderate his praises, and to keep in view the instability of human fortune and the narrow limits of human strength.

Thus far the poet seems to wear the character of a sage who expounds to the victor his destiny, by showing him the dependence of his

† Nem. VI.

<sup>\*</sup> Ol. II. Also Isthm. III.

<sup>1</sup> annier marram migene.

<sup>§</sup> As, e. g. Ol. VI. 77. (139). spp. In the above remarks I have chiefly followed Disserts Dissertation De Ratione poetica Carminum Findaricorum, in his edition of Pindar, sect. i. p. xi.

exploit upon a higher order of things. Nevertheless, it is not to be supposed that the poet placed himself on an eminence remote from ordinary life, and that he spoke like a priest to the people, unmoved by personal feelings. The Epinikia of Pindar, although they were delivered by a chorus, were, nevertheless, the expression of his individual feelings and opinious \*, and are full of allusions to his personal relations to the victor. Sometimes, indeed, when his relations of this kind were peculiarly interesting to him, he made them the main subject of the ode; several of his odes, and some among the most difficult, are to be explained in this manner. In one of his odes t, Pindar justifies the sincerity of his poetry against the charges which had been brought against it; and represents his muse as a just and impartial dispenser of fame, as well among the victors at the games, as among the heroes of antiquity. In another t, he reminds the victor that he had predicted the victory to him in the public games, and had encouraged him to become a competitor for it \$; and he extols him for having employed his wealth for so noble an object. In another, he excuses himself for having delayed the composition of an ode which he had promised to a wrestler among the youths, until the victor had attained his manhood; and, as if to incite himself to the fulfilment of his promise, he points out the hallowed antiquity of these triumphal hymns, connecting their origin with the first establishment of the Olympic games #.

& 5. Whatever might be the theme of one of Pindar's eninikian odes. it would naturally not be developed with the systematic completeness of a philosophical treatise. Pindar, however, has undoubtedly much of that sententious wisdom which began to show itself among the Greeks at the time of the Seven Wise Men, and which formed an important element of elegiac and choral lyric poetry before the time of Pindar. The apoplithegms of Pindar sometimes assume the form of general maxims, sometimes of direct admonitions to the victor. At other times, when he wishes to impress some principle of morals or prudence upon the victor, he gives it in the form of an opinion entertained by himself: " I like not to keep much riches hoarded in an inner room; but I like to live well by my possessions, and to procure myself a good name by making large gifts to my friends ¶."

The other element of Pindar's poetry, his mythical narratives, occupies, however, far more space in most of his odes. That these are not mere digressions for the sake of ornament has been completely proved by modern commentators. At the same time, he would sometimes

<sup>\*</sup> See above, ch. xiv. § 2.

<sup>†</sup> Nem. VII.

<sup>1</sup> Nem. I.

<sup>§</sup> I refer to this the sentiment in v. 27 (40); "The mind showed itself in the counsels of those persons to whom nature has given the power of foreseeing the future;" and also the account of the prophecy of Tiresias, when the serpents were killed by the young Hercules.

II OLXI.

<sup>¶</sup> Nem, I. 31 (45).

seem to wish it to be believed that he had been carried away by his poetical fervour, when he returns to his theme from a long mythical narration, or when he annexes a mythical story to a proverbial saving; as, for example, when he subjoins to the figurative expression, " Neither by sea nor by land canst thou find the way to the Hyperboreans," the history of Perseus' visit to that fabulous people". But even in such cases as these, it will be found, on close examination, that the fable belongs to the subject. Indeed, it may be observed generally of those Greck writers who aimed at the production of works of art, whether in prose or in poetry, that they often conceal their real purpose; and affect to leave in vague uncertainty that which had been composed studiously and on a preconceived plan. Thus Plato often seems to allow the dialogue to deviate into a wrong course, when this very course was required by the plan of the investigation. In other passages, Pindar himself remarks that intelligence and reflection are required to discover the hidden meaning of his mythical episodes. Thus, after a description of the Islands of the Blesseil, and the heroes who dwell there, he says, " I have many swift arrows in my quiver, which speak to the wise, but uced an interpreter for the multitude;" Again, after the story of Ixion, which he relates in an ode to Hiero, he continues-" I must, however, have a care lest I fall into the biting violence of the evil speakers; for, though distant in time. I have seen that the slanderous Archilochus, who fed upon loud-tongued wrath, passed the greater part of his life in difficulties and distress!." It is not easy to understand in this passage what moves the poet to express so much anxiety; until we advert to the lessons which the history of Ixion contains for the rapacious Hicro. The reference of these naythical narratives to the main theme of the

of may be either historical or ideal. In the first case, the mythical personages alluded to rette the trees at the head of the family or state to which the victor belongs, or the founders of the games in which he has conquered. Among the many odes of Pindar to victors from Egina, there is none in which he does not extlo the heroic race of the Æacids. "It is," he says, "to me an invariable law, when I turn towards this island, to scatter prise upon 100, O. Æacids, masters of golden chariots §." In the second case, events of the victor's life, or which contain lessons and admonitions for him to reflect upon. Thus two mythical personages may be introduced, of whom one may typify the victor in his praiseworthy, the other in his blanneable each; so that the one example may serve to deter, the other to encouraged. In general, Pindar contrives to unite both these modes of allusion, by representing the national or family heroes as alled in claracter and spirit to

Pyth. X. 29. (46.)
 Pyth. II. 54. (99.)
 Isthm. V. [VI.] 19. (27.)
 As Pelops and Tantalus, Ol. I.

the victor. Their extraordinary strength and felicity are continued in their descendants; the same mixture of good and evil destiny, and even the same faultst, recur in their posterity. It is to be observed that, in Pindar's time, the faith of the Greeks in the connexion of the heroes of antiquity with passing events was unshaken. The origin of historical events was sought in a remote age; conquests and settlements in barbarian countries were justified by corresponding enterprises of heroes; the Persian war was looked upon as an act of the same great drama, of which the expedition of the Argonauts and the Trojan war formed the earlier parts. At the same time, the mythical past was considered as invested with a splendour and sublimity of which even a faint reflection was sufficient to embellish the present. This is the cause of the historical and political allusions of the Greek tragedy, particularly in Eschylus. Even the history of Herodotus rests on the same foundation; but it is seen most distinctly in the copious mythology which Pindar has pressed into the service of his lyric poetry. The manner in which mythical subjects were treated by the lyric poets was of course different from that in which they had been treated by the epic poets. In epic poetry, the mythical narrative is interesting in itself, and all parts of it are developed with equal fulness. In lyric poetry, it serves to exemplify some particular idea, which is usually stated in the middle or at the end of the ode; and those points only of the story are brought juto relief, which serve to illustrate this idea. Accordingly. the longest mythical narrative in Pindar (viz., the description of the voyage of the Argonauts, in the Pythian ode to Arcesilaus, king of Cyrene, which is continued through twenty-five strophes) falls far short of the sustained diffuseness of the epos. Consistently with the purpose of the ode, it is intended to set forth the descent of the kings of Cyrene from the Argonauts, and the poet only dwells on the relation of Jason with Pelias-of the noble exile with the jealous tyrant-hecause it coutains a serious admonition to Arcesilaus in his above-mentioned relation with Damophilus.

§ 6. The mixture of apophthegmatic maxims and typical narratives would alone render it difficult to follow the thread of Pindar's meaning; but, in addition to this cause of obscurity, the entire plan of his poerry is so intricate, that a modern reader often fails to understand the connexion of the parts, even where he thinks he has found a clue. Pindar begins an ode full of the lofty conception which he has formed of the gorious destiny of the victor; and he seems, as it were, carried away by the flood of images which this conception pours forth. He does not attempt to express directly the general idea, but follows the train of thought which it suggests into its details, though without losing sight of their reference to the main object. Accordingly, when he has pur-

<sup>.</sup> As the fate of the ancient Cadmeans in Theron. Ol. II.

<sup>+</sup> As the errors (aurhaxia) of the Rhodian heroes in Diagonas, Ol. VII.

sued a train of thought, either in an apophthegmatic or mythical form, up to a certain point, he breaks off, before he has gone far enough to make the application to the victor sufficiently clear; he then takes up another thread, which is perhaps soon dropped for a fresh one; and at the end of the ode he gathers up all these different threads, and weaves them together into one web, in which the general idea predominates. By reserving the explanation of his allusions until the end, Pindar contrives that his odes should consist of parts which are not complete or intelligible in themselves; and thus the curiosity of the reader is kept on the stretch throughout the entire ode. Thus, for example, the ode upon the Pythian victory, which was gained by Hiero, as a citizen of Ætna, a city founded by himself\*, proceeds upon a general idea of the repose and serenity of mind which Hiero at last enjoys, after a laborious public life, and to which Pindar strives to contribute by the influence of music and poetry. Full of this idea, Pindar begins by describing the effects of music upon the gods in Olympus, how it delights, inspires, and soothes them, although it increases the anguish of Typhos, the enemy of the gods, who lies bound under Ætna. Thence, by a sudden transition, he passes to the new town of Ætna, under the mountain of the name; extols the happy auspices under which it was founded; and lauds Hiero for his great deeds in war, and for the wise constitution he has given to the new state; to which Pindar wishes exemption from foreign enemies and internal discord. Thus far it does not appear how the praises of music are connected with the exploits of Hiero as a warrior and a statesman. But the connexion becomes evident when Pindar addresses to Hiero a series of moral sentences, the object of which is to advise him to subdue all unworthy passions, to refresh his mind with the contemplation of art, and thus to obtain from the poets a good name, which will descend to posterity.

§ 7. The characteristics of Pindar's poetry, which have been just explained, may be discerned in all his epinkins odes. Their agreement, however, in this respect is quite consistent with the extraordinary variety of style and expression which has been already stated to belong to this class of poems. Every epinkina ode of Pindar has is peculiar tone; depending upon the course of the ideas and the consequent choice of the expressious. The principal differences are connected with the choice of the rhythms, which again is regulated by the musical style. According to the last distinction, the epinkin of Pindar are of three sorts, Dorie, Ecolic, and Lydinar; which can be easily distinguished, although each admits of innumerable varieties. In respect of metre, every ode of Pindar has an individual character; no two odes having the same metrical structure. In the Doric ode the same metrical forms occur as those which pressibled in the choral lyric poetry of Stesichorus,

viz., systems of dactyls and trochaic dipodies \*, which most nearly approach the stateliness of the hexameter. Accordingly, a serene dignity pervades these odes; the mythical narrations are developed with greater fulness, and the ideas are limited to the subject, and are free from personal feeling; in short, their general character is that of calmness and elevation. The language is epic, with a slight Doric tinge, which adds to its brilliancy and dignity. The rhythms of the Æolic odes resemble those of the Lesbian poetry, in which light dactylic, trochaic, or logaædic metres prevailed; these rhythms, however, when applied to choral lyric poetry, were rendered far more various, and thus often acquired a character of greater volubility and liveliness. The poet's mind also moves with greater rapidity; and sometimes he stops himself in the midst of narrations which seem to him impious or arrogant t. A larger scope is likewise given to his personal feelings; and in the addresses to the victor there is a gayer tone, which at times even takes a locular turn!. The poet introduces his relations to the victor. and to his poetical rivals; he extols his own style, and decries that of others §. The Æolic odes, from the rapidity and variety of their movemeul, have a less uniform character than the Doric odes; for example, the first Olympic, with its joyous and glowing images, is very different from the second, in which a lofty melancholy is expressed, and from the ninth, which has an expression of proud and complacent self-reliance. The language of the Æolic epinikia is also bolder, more difficult in its synlax, and marked by rarer dialectical forms. Lastly, there are the Lydian odes, the number of which is inconsiderable; their metre is mostly trochaic, and of a particularly soft character, agreeing with the tone of the poetry. Pindar appears to have preferred the Lydian rhythms for odes which were destined to be sung during a procession to a temple or at the altar, and in which the favour of the deity was implored in a humble spirit.

<sup>\*</sup> The ancient writers on music explain how those brochaic dipodies were reduced to an uniform rhythm with the dactylic series. These writers stale that the trochaic dipoly was considered as a rhythmical foot, having the entire first trocher as its arsis, the accound as its thesis; so that, if the syllables were measured shortly, it might be taken as equivalent to a dactyl.

† Ol. I. 52 (82) IX. 35.

Ol. IV. 26. (40.) Pyth. II. 72. (131.)

<sup>6</sup> Ol. 11. 86. (155.) IX. 100. (151.) Pyth, II. 79. (145.)

## CHAPTER XVI.

- § 1. Moral improvement of Greek poeter after Homer especially exident in the notions as to the state of man after death § 2. Indisone of the mysteries and of the Orphic destrines on these motions. § 3. First traces of Orphic ideas in Hesiod and other peip poets, § 4. Secrebial estimations in the say of the Series Sages; Epimenides, Abairs, Asistens, and Phreceydes. § 5. An Orphic literature arises after the electricies of the Pythageous leages, § 6. Subjects of the Orphic poetry 1 at first commogouic, § 7, afterwards prophetic, in reference to "Dionyus.
- § 1. We have now traced the progress of Greek poetry from Homer to Pindar, and observed it through its different stages, from the simple epie song to the artificial and elaborate form of the cloral ode. Fortumately the works of Homer and Pindar, the two extreme points of this long series, have been preserved nearly entire. Of the intermediate stages we can only form an imperfect judgment from isolated fragments and the statements of later writers.

The interval between Homer and Pindar is an important period in the history of Greek civilization. Its advance was so great in this time that the latter poet may seem to belong to a different state of the human race from the former. In Homer we perceive that infaney of the mind which lives entirely in seeing and imagining, whose chief enjoyment consists in vivid conceptions of external acts and objects, without caring much for causes and effects, and whose moral judgments are determined rather by impulses of feeling than by distinctly-conceived rules of conduct. In Pindar the Greek mind appears far more serious and mature. Fondly as he may contemplate the images of beauty and splendour which he raises up, and glorious as are the forms of ancient heroes and modern athletes which he exhibits, yet the chief effort of his genius is to discover a standard of moral government; and when he has distinctly conceived it, he applies it to the fair and living forms which the fancy of former times had created. There is too much truth in Pindar's poetry, it is too much the expression of his genuine feelings, for him to attempt to conceal its difference from the ancient style, as the later poets did. He says that the fame of Ulysses has become greater through the sweet songs of Homer than from his real adventures, because there is something ennobling in the illusions and soaring flights of Homer's fancy; and he frequently rejects the narratives of former poets, particularly when they do not accord with his own purer conceptions of the power and moral excellence of the godst.

But there is nothing in which Pindar differs so widely from Homer as in his notions respecting the state of man after death. According

<sup>\*</sup> Nem. vii. 20 (29).

<sup>+</sup> See, for example, Ol. i. 52 (82); ix. 35 (54).

to the description in the Odyssey, all the dead, even the most renowned heroes, lead a shadowy existence in the infernal regions (Aides), where, like phantoms, they continue the same pursuits as on earth, though without will or understanding. On the other hand, Pindar, in his sublime ode of consolation to Theron\*, says that all misdeeds of this world are severely judged in the infernal regions, but that a happy life in eternal sunshine, without care for subsistence, is the portion of the good; " while those who, through a threefold existence in the upper and lower worlds, have kept their souls pure from all sin, ascend the path of Zeus to the citadel of Cronust, where the Islands of the Blessed are refreshed by the breezes of Ocean, and golden flowers glitter." In this passage the Islands of the Blessed are described as a reward for the highest virtue, whilst in Homer only a few favourites of the gods (Menclaus, for example, because his wife was a daughter of Zcus) reach the Elysian Field on the border of the ocean. In his threnes, or laments for the dead. Pindar more distinctly developed his ideas about immortality, and spoke of the tranquil life of the blessed. in perpetual sunshine, among fragrant groves, at festal games and sacrifices; and of the torments of the wretched in eternal night. In these, too, he explained himself more fully as to the existence alternating between the upper and lower world, by which lofty spirits rise to a still higher state. He says !- "Those from whom Persephone receives an atonement for their former guilt, their souls she sends, in the ninth year, to the sun of heaven. From them spring great kings and men mighty in power and renowned for wisdom, whom posterity \$ 2. It is manifest that between the periods of Homer and Pindar

§ 2. It is manifest that between the periods of Homer and Pindar a great change of opinions took place, which could not have been effected at once, but must have been produced by the efforts of many sages and poets. All the Greek religious poetry treating of death and the world beyond the grave refers to the deities whose influence was supposed to be exercised in the dark region at the centre of the earth, and who were thought to have little connexion with the political and social relations of human life. These deities formed a class spart from the gods of Olympas, and were comprehended under the name of the Chithonian gods.]. The mysteries of the Greeks were counceted with the worship of these gods alone. That the love of immortality first

Ol. ii. 57 (105),

<sup>†</sup> That is, the way which Zens himself takes when he visits his dethroned father Cronus (now reconciled with him, and become the ruler of the departed spinits in bias), in order to advise with him on the destiny of mankind.

<sup>†</sup> Thren. fr. 4, ed. Boeckh.

<sup>§</sup> In order to understand this passage it is to be observed that, according to the ancient law, a person who had committed homicide must expiate his offence by an eaile or even servitude of eight years before his guilt was removed.

<sup>||</sup> Concerning this distinction, the most important in the Greek religious system, see ch. ii. § 5.

found a support in a belief in these deities appears from the fahle of Persephone, the daughter of Demeter. Every year, at the time of harvest. Persephone was supposed to be carried from the world above to the dark dominions of the invisible King of Shadows (Atone), but to return every spring, in youthful beauty, to the arms of her mother. It was thus that the ancient Greeks described the disappearance and return of vegetable life in the alternations of the seasons. The changes of nature, however, must have been considered as typifying the changes in the lot of man; otherwise Persephone would have been merely a symbol of the seed committed to the ground, and would not have become the queen of the dead. But when the goddess of inanimate nature had become the queen of the dead, it was a natural analogy, which must have early suggested itself, that the return of Persenbone to the world of light also denoted a renovation of life and a new hirth to men. Hence the Musteries of Demeter, and especially those celebrated at Eleusis (which at an early period acquired great renown among all the Greeks), inspired the most elevating and animating hopes with regard to the condition of the soul after death. " Happy" (says Pindar of these mysteries) " " is he who has beheld them, and descends beneath the hollow earth; he knows the end, he knows the divine origin of life;" and this praise is repeated by all the most distinguished writers of antiquity who mention the Eleusinian mysteries.

But neither the Eleusinian nor any other of the established mysteries of Greece obtained any influence upon the literature of the nation, since the hymns sung and the prayers recited at them were only intended for particular parts of the imposing ceremony, and were not imparted to the public. On the other hand, there was a society persons who performed the rites of a mystical worship, but were not exclusively attached to a particular temple and festival, and who did not confine their notions to the initiated, but published them to others, and committed them to literary works. These were the followers of Orpheus (oi 'Oppixoi); that is to say, associations of persons, who, under the guidance of the ancient mystical poet Orpheus, dedicated themselves to the worship of Bacchus, in which they hoped to find satisfaction for an ardent louging after the soothing and elevating influences of religion. The Dionysus to whose worship these Orphic and Bacchic rites were annexed t, was the Chthonian deity, Dionysus Zagreus, closely connected with Demeter and Cora, who was the personified expression not only of the most rapturous pleasure, but also of a deep sorrow for the miseries of human life. The Orphic legends and poems related in great part to this Dionysus, who was combined, as an infernal deity, with Hades; (a doctrine given by the philosopher Heraclitus as the



Thren. fr. 8, ed. Boeckh.
 † Τὰ 'Ορφικά παλιέμεια καὶ Βααχικά. Herod. xi. 81.

opinion of a particular sect\*;) and upon whom the Orphic theologest founded their hopes of the purification and ultimate immortality of the soul. But their mode of ce'ebrating this worship was very different from the popular rites of Beschoas. The Orphic worshippers of Beschus did not inhulge in unrestrained pleasure and frantic enthusiasm, but rather aimed at an ascetic parity of life and mannerst. The followers of Orpheus, when they had batsed the mystic scarfficial feast lowers of Orpheus, when they had batsed the mystic scarfficial feast of raw flesh torn from the ox of Dionysus (ψμοφογία), partock of no other natural food. They were white linen garments, like Oriental and Egyptian priests, from whom, as Herodotus remarks, much may have been borrowed in the ritual of the Orphic worship.

§ 3. It is difficult to determine the time when the Orphic association was formed in Greece, and when hymns and other religious songs were first composed in the Orphic spirit. But, if we content ourselves with seeking to ascertain the beginning of higher and more hopeful views of death than those presented by Homer, we find them in the poetry of Hesiod. In Hesiod's Works and Days, at least, all the heroes are described as collected by Zeus in the Islands of the Blessed near the ocean; according indeed to one verse (which, however, is not recognised by all critics), they are subject to the dominion of Crounst. In this we may see the marks of a great change in opinion. It became repugnant to men's feelings to conceive divine beings, like the gods of Olympus and the Titans, in a state of eternal dissension; the former selfishly enjoying undi-turbed felicity, and the latter abandoned to all the horrors of Tartarus. A humaner spirit required a reign of peace after the runture of the divine dynasties. Hence the belief, entertained by Pindar, the Zeus had released the Titaus from their chains 8; and that Crossus, the god of the golden age, reconciled with his son Zeus, still continued to reign, in the islands of the ocean, over the blessed of a former generation. In Orphic poems, Zeus calls on Cronus, released from his chains, to assist him in laying the foundation of the world. There is also, in other epic poets after Homer, a similar tendency to lofty and tranquillizing notions. Eugammon, the author of the Telegonial, is supposed to have borrowed the part of his porm which treated of Thesprotio, from Museus, the poet of the mysteries. Thesprotia was a country in which the worship of the gods of death was peculiarly cultivated. In the Alemaonis, which celebrated Alemason, the son of Amphiaraus, Zagreus was invoked as the highest of all the gods . The deity meant in this passage was the god of the in-

<sup>.</sup> Ap. Clem. Alex. Protr. p. 30, Potter.

<sup>†</sup> On this and other points mentioned in the text see Lobeck Aglaophamus, p. 244.

2. According to v. 169: ratas der dendeus rates Keins influentation (concerning this reading see Goettling's edition;) which verse is wanting in some manuscripts.

§ Ris (Love Treins).

<sup>||</sup> See above, ch. vi. & 6.

Thorna Fe, Zageio er fein anverigener marten. Etym. Gud. in v. Zageior.

fermal regions, but in a much mêxe elevated sense than that in which Hades is usually employed. A nothar porn of this period, the Mingar, gave an ample description of the infevant regions; the spirit of which may be inferred from the fact that this/part (which was called by the name of "The Descent to Hades") is advibuted, among other authors, to Ceerops, an Orphic post, or even to Orpheus himself".

6 4. At the time when the first philosophers appeared in Greece, poems must have existed which diffused, in neythical forms, conceptions of the origin of the world and the destiny of the soul, differing from those in Homer. The endeavour to attain to a knowledge of divine and human things was in Greece slowly and with difficulty evolved from the religious notions of a sacerdotal fanaticism; and it was for a long period confined to the refining and rationalizing of the traditional mythology, before it ventured to explore the paths of independent inquiry. In the age of the seven sages several persons appeared, who, (being mainly under the influence of the ideas and rites of the worship of Apollo,) partly by a pure and holy mode of life, and partly by a fanatical temper of mind, surrounded themselves with a sort of supernatural halo, which makes it difficult for us to discern their true character. Among these persons was Epimenides of Crete, an early contemporary of Solon, who was sent for to Athens, in his character of explatory priest, to free it from the curse which had rested upon it since the Cylonian mussaere (about Olymo, 42, B.C. 612). Enimes nides was a man of a sacred and marvellous nature, who was brought up by the nymphs, and whose soul quitted his body, assong and as often as it pleased; according to the opinion of Plato and other ancients. his mind had a prophetic and inspired sense of divine thingst. Another and more extraordinary individual of this class was Abaris, who, about a generation later, appeared in Greece as an expiatory priest, with rites of purification and holy songs. In order to give more importance to his mission, he called himself a Hyperborean; that is, one of the nation which Apollo most loved, and in which he manifested himself in person; and, as a proof of his origin, he carried with him an arrow which Apollo had given him in the country of the Hyperboreanst, Together with Abaris may be mentioned Aristess of Proconnesus, on the Propontis: who took the opposite direction, and, inspired by Apollo.

<sup>\*</sup> i le Aldeu navallares.

<sup>†</sup> Whether the nracles, expiatory verses, and poems (as the origin of the Cureles and Corybantes) attributed to him are his genuine productions cannot now be determined. Damacius, De Princip. p. 383, nowines to him (after Eudemus) a cosmogony, in which the mundane egg plays an important part, ns in the Orphic cor-

f This is the ancient form of the story in Herod, iv, 36, the order Lycurgus, &c. According to the later resion, which is derived from Heroclides Ponticus, Abaris was himself carried by the marvellous arrow through the air round the world. Some expisatory verses and oracles were likewise ascribed to Abaris; also an epic poem, called "the Atrial of Apollo among the Hyperboreaus."

travelled to the first north, in search of the Hyperbornams. He described this marvellous journey in a poem, called Arimappea, which was read by Herodotts, and Greeks of still later date. It consisted of thougraphical accounts and stories about the northern ations, mixed with notions belonging to the worship of Apollo. In this poem, however, Aristeas so far checked his media for the health size of the thin seed to be soon the second of the state of the thin seed to the one-eyed Arimaspians, of the griffins which guarded the gold, and of the happy Hyperboreans beyond the northern monatains. Aristeas became quite a marvellous personage: he is said to have accompanied. Apollo, at the founding of Metaportum, in the form of a raven, and to have appeared centuries afterwards, (viv. when he really lived, about the time of Pythagonss) in the same Greeks.

Pherecycles, of the island of Syros, one of the lends of the Ionic school, belongs to this class of the secretoid sages, inasmuch as he gave an syttlical form to his notions about the nature of things and their internal principles. There are extant some fragments of a througony composed by him, which bear a strange character, and have a much closer resemblance to the Orphic poems than to those of Hesiod\*. They show that by this time the claracter of the theogenic poetry had been chanced, and that Orbhic idees were in vocales.

6 5. No name of any literary production of an Orphic poet before Pherecydes is known; probably because the hymns and religious songs composed by the Orphic poets of that time were destined only for their mystical assemblies, and were indissolubly connected with the rites performed at them. An extensive Orphic literature first appeared about the time of the Persian war, when the remains of the Pythagoreau order in Magna Gracia united themselves to the Orphic associations. The philosophy of Pythagoras had in itself no analogy with the spirit of the Orphic mysteries; nor did the life, education, and manners of the followers of Orpheus at all resemble those of the Pythagoreau league in lower Italy. Among the Orphic theologers, the worship of Dionysus was the centre of all religious ideas, and the starting point of all speculations upon the world and human nature. The worship of Dionysus, however, appears not to have been held in honour in the cities of the Pythagorean league; these philosophers preferred the worship of Apollo and the Muses, which best suited the spirit of their social and political institutions. This junction was evidently not formed till after the dissolution of the Pythagorean league in Magna Græcia, and the sanguinary persecution of its



<sup>\*</sup> Sturz de Pherecyde p. 40. sqq. The mixture of divine beings (fanancia), the god Ophioneus, the entity of Zeus and Eros, and sereral other things in the Theory of Pherecydes also occur in Orphic posens. The Commogony of Acusilaus (Damascius, p. 313, after Endemas), in which Æther, Eros, and Metis, are made the childrea of Erebos and Night, also has an Orphic colour. See below, § 6.

members, by the popular party (about Olymp. 69. 1. B.C. 504). It was natural that many Pythagoreans, having contracted a fondness for exclusive associations, should seek a refuge in these Orphic conventicles, sanctified, as they were, by religion. Several persons, who are called Pythagoreans, and who were known as the authors of Orphic poems, belong to this period; as Cercops, Brontinus, and Arignote. To Cercops was attributed the great poem called the "Sacred Legends" (μροί λόγοι), a complete system of Orphic theology, in twenty-four rhapsodies; probably the work of several persons, as a certain Diognetus was also called the author of it. Brontinus, likewise a Pythagorean, was said to be the author of an Orphic poem upon nature (φυσικά), and of a poem called "The Mantle and the Net" (πίπλος καὶ δίκτυον), Orphic expressions symbolical of the creation. Arignote, who is called a pupil, and even a daughter, of Pythagoras, wrote a poem called Bacchica. Other Orphic poets were Persinus of Miletus, Timocles of Syracuse, Zopyrus of Heraclea, or Tarentum.

The Orphic poet of whom we know the most is Onomacritus, who, however, was not connected with the Pythagoreans, having lived with Pisistratus and the Pisistratids, and been held in high estimation by them, before the dissolution of the Pythagorean league. Hc collected the oracles of Musæus for the Pisistratids; in which work, the poet Lasus is said (according to Herodotus) to have detected him in a forgery. He also composed songs for Bacchic initiations; in which he connected the Titans with the mythology of Dionysus, by describing them as the intended murderers of the young god+; which shows how far the Orphic mythology departed from the theogony of Hesiod. In the time of Plato, a considerable number of poems, under the names of Orpheus and Musæus, had been composed by these persons, and were recited by rhapsodists at the public games, like the epics of Homer and Hesiod †. The Orpheotelests, likewise, an obscure set of mystagogues derived from the Orphic associations, used to come before the doors of the rich, and promise to release them from their own sins, and those of their forefathers, by sacrifices and expiatory songs; and they produced at this ceremony a heap of books of Orpheus and Museus, upon which they founded their promises t.

§ 6. In treating of the subjects of this early Orphic poetry, we may remark, first, that there is much difficulty in dissinguishing it dissinguishing it dissinguishing it dissinguishing it dissinguishing it detailed explanation of it would involve us in the mazes of analous mythology and religion. We will, therefore, only mention the principal contents of these compositions; which will suffice to give and of their spirit and character. We shall take them chiefly from the Orphic cosmogony, which later writers designate as the common one



This is the meaning of the important passage of Pausan. viii. 37, 3,
 + Plato, Ion. p. 536 B.
 2 Plato, Rep. il. p. 364.

(ἡ συνήθης),—for there were others still more wild and extravagant, and which probably formed a part of the long poetical collection of "Sacred Legends," which has been already mentioned.

We see, at the very outset of the Orphic theogony, an attempt to refine upon the theogony of Hesiod, and to arrive at higher abstractions than his chaos. The Orphic theogony placed Chronos, Time, at the head of all things, and conferred upon it life and creative power. Chronos was then described as spontaneously producing chaos and æther, and forming from chaos, within the æther, a mundane egg, of brilliant white. The mundanc egg is a notion which the Orphic poets had in common with many Oriental systems; truces of it also occur in aucient Greck legends, as in that of the Dioscuri; but the Orphic poets first developed it among the Greeks. The whole essence of the world was supposed to be contained in this egg, and to grow from it, like the life of a bird. The mundane egg, which included the matter of chaos, was impregnated by the winds, that is, by the æther in motion; and thence arose the golden-winged Eros\*. The notion of Eros, as a cosmogonic being, is carried much further by the Orphic poets than by Hesiod. They also colled him Metis, the mind of the world. The name of Phanes first became common in Orphic poetry of a later date. The Orphic poets conceived this Eros-Phanes as a pantheistic being; the parts of the world forming, as it were, the limbs of his body, and being thus united into an organic whole. The heaven was his head, the earth his foot, the sun and moon his eyes, the rising and setting of the heavenly bodies his horns. An Orphic poet addresses Phanes in the following poetical language: "Thy tears are the hapless race of men; by thy laugh thou hast raised up the sacred race of the gods." Eros then gives birth to a long series of gods, similar to that in Hesiod. By his daughter, Night, he produces Heaven and Earth; these then bring forth the Titans, among whom Cronus and Rhea become the parents of Zeus. The Orphic poets, as well as Hesiod, made Zeus the supreme god at this period of the world. He was, therefore, supposed to supplant Eros-Phanes, and to unite this being with himself. Hence arose the fable of Zeus having swallowed Phanes: which is evidently taken from the story in Hesiod, that Zous swallowed Metis, the goddess of wisdom. Hesiod, however, merely meant to imply that Zeus knows all things that concern our weal or woe; while the Orphic poets go further, and endow their Zens with the anima mundi. Accordingly, they represent Zeus as now being the first and last; the beginning, middle, and end; man and woman; and, in fine, everything. Nevertheless, the universe was conceived to

This feature is also in the burlesque Orphic cosmogony in Aristoph, Ar. 694; according to which the Orphic verse in Schol. Apoll. Rhod. iii, 26 should be thus understood:

Αύτας Γρωτα χρόος (not Κρόος) και σειύματα πάντα (in the nominative case) Ιτίποστο.

stand in different relations to Zeuss and to Eros. The Orphic poets also described Zeus as uniting the jurring elements into one harmonious structure; and thus restoring, by his wisdom, the unity which existed in Phanes, but which had afterwards been detroyed, and replaced by confusion and strife. Here we meet with the idea of a creation, which was quite unknown to the most ancient Greek poets. While the Greeks of the time of Homer and Heisol dousdered the world as an organic being, which was constantly growing into a state of greater perfection; the Orphic poets conceived the world as having been formed by the Deity out of pre-existing matter, and upon a predetermined plan. Hence, in describing creation, they usually employed the image of a "crater," in which the different elements were supposed to be mixed in certain proportions; and also of a "peplos," or garment, in which the different threads are united into one web. Hence "Crater," and "Peplos," over as the titles of Orphic poets.

6 7. Another great difference between the notions of the Orphic poets and those of the early Greeks concerning the order of the world was, that the former did not limit their views to the present state of mankind; still less did they acquiesce in Hesiod's melancholy doctrine of successive ages, each one worse than the preceding; but they looked for a cessation of strife, a holy peace, a state of the highest happiness and beatifude of souls at the end of all things. Their firm hopes of this result were founded upon Dionysus, from the worship of whom all their peculiar religious ideas were derived. According to them, Dionysus-Zagreus was a son of Zeus, whom he had begotten, in the form of a dragon, upon his daughter Cora-Persephone, before she was carried off to the kingdom of shadows. The young god was supposed to pass through great perils. This was always an essential part of the mythology of Dionysus, especially as it was related in the neighbourhood of Delphi; but it was converted by the Orphic poets, and especially by Onomacritus, into the marvellous legend which is preserved by later writers. According to this legend, Zeus destined Dionysus for king, set him upon the throne of heaven, and gave him Apollo and the Curetes to protect him. But the Titans, instigated by the jealous Here, attacked him by surprise, having disguised themselves under a coating of plaster (a rite of the Bacchic festivals), while Dionysus. whose attention was engaged with various playthings, particularly a splendid mirror, did not perceive their approach. After a long and fearful conflict the Titans overcame Dionysus, and tore him into seven pieces\*, one piece for each of themselves. Pallas, however, succeeded in saving his palpitating hearty, which was swallowed by Zeus in a drink. As the ancients considered the heart as the seat of life, Dionysus was again contained in Zeus, and again begotten by him. Zeus

<sup>\*</sup> The Orphic poets added Phoreys and Dione to the Titans and Titanides of Hesiod.
† Kending gallening, an etymological fable.

at the same time avenges the slaughter of his son by striking and consuming the Titans with his thunderholts. From their ashes, according to this Orphie legend, proceeded the race of men. This Dionysus, torn in pieces and horn again, is destined to succeed Zeus in the government of the world, and to restore the golden age. In the same system Dionysus was also the gold from whom the liberation of souls was expected; for, according to an Orphie notion, more than once alladed to by Plato, human souls are punished by being confined in the body, as in a prison, the sufferings of the soul in its prison, the steps and transitions by which it passes to a higher state of existence, and its gradual purification and enlightenment, were all fully described in these poems; and Dionysus and Cora were represented as the deities who performed the task of guiding and purifying the souls of men.

Thus, in the poetry of the first five centuries of Greek literature, especially at the close of this period, we find, instead of the calm eligo-ment of outward nature which characterised the early epic poetry, a perfocule sense of the misery of human life and an ardent longing or a condition of greater happiness. This feeling, indeed, was not so extended as to become common to the whole Greek nation; but if the extended as the same are the same and spiritual views of human sturre.

We will now turn our attention to the progress made by the Greeks, in the last century of this period, in prose composition.

## CHAPTER XVII.

- § 1. Opposition of philosophy and poetry among the Greeks; eauses of the introdoction of prose writings. § 2. The Ionians give the main impolse; tendency of philosophical speculation among the Ionians. § 3. Retrospect of the theological speculations of Pherecydes. § 4. Thales; he combines practical talents with bold ideas concerning the nature of things. § 5. Anaximander, a writer and inquirer on the nature of things. & 6. Anaximenes pursues the physical inquiries of his predecessors. § 7. Heraclitus; profound character of his natural philosophy. § 8. Changes introduced by Anaxagoras; new direction of the physical specolations of the Ionians. § 9. Dionysios contiones the early doctrine. Archelaus, an Anaxagorean, carries the Ionic philosophy to Athens. 6 10. Doctrines of the Eleatics, founded by Xenophanes; their cothusiastic character is expressed in a poetic form. § 11. Parmenides gives a logical form to the doctrines of Xenophanes; plan of his poem. § 12. Further development of the Kleatic doctrine by Melissus and Zeno. § 13. Empedocles, akia to Anaxagoras and the Eleatics, but conceives lofty ideas of his own. § 14. Italic school; receives its impulse from an Ionian, which is modified by the Doric character of the inhabitants. Coincidence of its practical tendency with its philosophical principle.
- § 1. As the design of this work is to give a history, not of the philosophy, but of the literature of Greece, we shall limit ourselves to such a

view of the early Greek philosophers as will illustrate the literary progress of the Greek nation. Philosophy occupies a peculiar province of the human mind; and it has its origin in habits of thought which are confined to a few. It is necessary not only to possess these habits of thought, but also to be singularly free from the shackles of any particular system, in order fully to comprehend the speculations of the aucient Greek philosophers, as preserved in the fragments and accounts of their writings. Even if a history of physical and metaphysical speculation among the early Greek philosophers were likely to interest the reader, yet it would be foreign to the object of the present work, which is intended to illustrate the intellectual progress and character of the entire Greek nation. Philosophy, for some time after its origin in Greece, was as far removed from the ordinary thoughts, occupations, and amusements of the people, as poetry was intimately connected with them. Poetry ennobles and elevates all that is most characteristic of a nation; its religion, mythology, political and social institutions, and manners. Philosophy, on the other hand, begins by detaching the mind from the opinions and habits in which it has been bred up : from the national conceptions of the gods and the universe; and from the traditionary maxims of ethics and politics. The philosopher attempts as far as possible to think for himself; and hence he is led to disparage all that is handed down from antiquity. Hence, too, the Greek philosophers from the beginning renounced the ornaments of verse; that is, of the vehicle which had previously been used for the expression of every elevated feeling. Philosophical writings were nearly the earliest compositions in the unadorned language of common life. It is not probable that they would have been composed in this form, if they had been intended for recital to a multitude assembled at games and festivals. It would have required grest courage to break in upon the rhythmical flow of the euphonious hexameter and lyric measures, with a discourse uttered in the language of ordinary conversation. The most ancient writings of Greek philosophers were however only brief records of their principal doctrines, designed to be imparted to a few persous. There was no reason why the form of common speech should not be used for these, as it had been long before used for laws, treaties, and the like. In fact, prose composition and writing are so intimately connected, that we may venture to assert that, if writing had become common among the Greeks at an earlier period, poetry would not have so long retained its ascendancy. We shall indeed find that philosophy, as it advanced, sought the aid of poetry, in order to strike the mind more forcibly. And if we had aimed at minute precision in the division of our subject, we should have passed from theological to philosophical poetry. But it is more convenient to observe, as far as possible, the chronological order of the different branches of literature, and the dependence of one upon another; and we shall therefore classify this philosophical poetry with prose compositions, as being a limited and peculiar deviation from the usual practice with regard to philosophical writings.

§ 2. However the Greek philosophers may have sought after originally and independence of thought, they could not soid being influenced in their speculations by the peculiar circumstances of their own position. Hence the earliest philosophers may be classed according to the races and countries to which they belonged; the idea of a chool (that is, of a transmission of doctrines through an unbroken series of teachers and disciples) not being applicable to this period.

The earliest attempts at philosophical speculation were made by the Ionians; that race of the Greeks, which not only had, in common life, shown the greatest desire for new and various kinds of knowledge, but had also displayed the most decided taste for scientific researches into the phenomena of external nature. From this direction of their inquiries, the Ionic philosophers were called by the ancients, "physical philosophers," or "physiologers." With a boldness characteristic of inexperience and ignorance, they began by directing their inquiries to the most abstruse subjects; and, unaided by any experiments which were not within the reach of a common man, and unacquainted with the first elements of mathematics, they endeavoured to determine the origin and principle of the existence of all things. If we are tempted to smile at the temerity with which these Ionians at once ventured upon the solution of the highest problems, we are, on the other hand, assonished at the sagacity with which many of them conjectured the connexion of appearances, which they could not fully comprehend without a much greater progress in the study of nature. The scope of these Ionian speculations proves that they were not founded on à priori reasonings, independent of experience. The Greeks were always distinguished by their curiosity, and their powers of delicate observation. Yet this gifted nation, even when it had accumulated a large stock of knowledge concerning natural objects, seems never to have attempted more than the observation of phenomena which presented themselves unsonght; and never to have made experiments devised by the investigator.

§ 3. Before we pass from these general remarks to an account of the individual philosophers of the Ionic school, (taking the term in its most extended sense,) we must mention a man who is important as forming an intermediate link between the sacerdotal enthusiasts, Epimenides, Abaris, and others, noticed in the last chapter, and the Ionic physiologers. Pherecupes, a native of the island of Syros, one of the Cyclades, is the earliest Greek of whose prose writings we possess any remains\*, and was certainly one of the first who, after the manner of the

<sup>·</sup> See chap, 18. \$ 3.

Ionians (before they had obtained any papyrus from Egypt), wrote down their unpolished wisdom upon sheep-skins.\* But his prose is only so far prose that it has cast off the fetters of verse, and not because it expresses the ideas of the writer in a simple and perspicuous manner. His book began thus: "Zeus and Time (Chronos), and Chthonia existed from eternity. Chthonia was called Earth (vn), since Zens endowed her with honour." Pherecydes next relates how Zeus transformed himself into Eros, the god of love, wishing to form the world from the original materials made by Chronos and Chthonia. "Zeus makes (Pherecydes goes on to say) a large and beautiful garment; upon it he paints Earth and Ogenos (ocean), and the houses of Ogenos; and he spreads the garment over a winged oak." † It is manifest, without attempting a complete explanation of these images, that the ideas and language of Pherecydes closely resembled those of the Orphic theologers, and that he ought rather to be classed with them than with the Ionic philosophers.

§ 4. Pherceydes lived in the age of the Seven Sages; one of whom, THALES OF MILETUS, was the first in the series of the Ionic physical philosophers. The Seven Sages, as we have already had occasion to observe, were not solitary thinkers, whose renown for wisdom was acquired by speculations unintelligible to the mass of the people. Their fame, which extended over all Greece, was founded solely on their acts as statesmen, counsellors of the people in public affairs, and practical men. This is also true of Thales, whose sagacity in affairs of state and public economy appears from many anecdotes. In particular, Herodotus relates, that, at the time when the Ionians were threatened by the great Persian power of Cyrus, after the fall of Crossus, Thales, who was then very old, advised them to establish an Ionian capital in the middle of their coast, somewhere near Teos, where all the affairs of their race might be debated, and to which all the other Ionic cities might stand in the same relation as the Attic demi to Athens. At an earlier age, Thales is said to have foretold to the Ionians the total eclipse of the sun, which (either in 610 or 603 n.c.) separated the Medes from the Lydians in the battle which was fought by Cyaxares against Halyattes. For this purpose, he doubtless employed astronomical formulæ, which he had obtained, through Asia Minor, from the Chaldeans, the fathers of Grecian, and indeed

<sup>•</sup> Herod. V. 59. The expression \*\*\*persidue laptica\* probably gave rise to the fable that Pherceydes was flayed as a punishment for his atheism; a charge which was made against most of the early phinosophers.

<sup>†</sup> See Sturr Commentatio de Pherecyde utroque, in his Pherecydis Fragmenta. ed. alt. 1924. The genuineness of the fragments is especially proved by the rare aucient lonic forms, cited from them by the learned grammarians, Apollonius and Herodian

<sup>†</sup> If Thales was (as is stated by Eusebius) born in Olymp. 35. 2. n. c. 639, he was then either twenty-nine or thirty-six years old.

of all ancient astronomy; for his own knowledge of mathematics could not have reached as far as the Pythagorean theorem. He is said to have been the first teacher of such problems as that of the equality of the angles at the base of an isosceles triangle. In the main, the tendency of Thales was practical; and, where his own knowledge was insufficient, he applied the discoveries of nations more advanted than his own in natural science. Thus he was the first who advised than his own in natural science. Thus he was the first who advised this countrymen, when at sea, not to steer by the Great Hear, which forms a considerable circle round the Pole; but to follow the example of the Phaeticians (from whom, according to Herodous, the faines (from whom, according to Herodous, the faines was descended), and to take the Lesser Bear for their Polar star.\*

Thales was not a poet, nor indeed the author of any written work. and, consequently, the accounts of his doctrine rest only upon the testlmony of his contemporaries and immediate successors; so that it would be vain to attempt to construct from them a system of natural philosophy according to his notions. It may, however, be collected from these traditions, that he considered all nature as endowed with life: "Everything (he said) is full of gods;"+ and he cited, as proofs of this opinion, the magnet and amber, on account of their magnetic and electric properties. It also appears that he considered water as a general principle or cause; ‡ probably because it sometimes assumes a vapoury, sometimes a liquid form; and therefore affords a remarkable example of a change of outward appearance. This is sufficient to show that Thales broke through the common prejudices produced by the impressions of the senses; and sought to discover the principle of external forms in moving powers which lie beneath the surface of appearances. § 5. Anaximander, also a Milesian, is next after Thales. It seems

- pretty certain that his little work "upon nature" (περὶ φόστους),—as the books of the Ionie physiologers were mostly called,—was writen in Olymp. 58. 2. B. α. 547, when he was sixty-four years old, Simany be said ub to the earliest philosophical work in the Greck language; for we can scarcely give that name to the mysterious revelations of \*This controllation was hence called \*soins. See Schol. Arat. Phom. 39. Pro-
- bably some trailitions of this kind served as the basis of the survay dergadoyin, which was attributed to Thales by the ancients, but, according to a more precise account, was the work of a later writer, Phocius of Samos.

  † In the passage of Aristotle, de Anima, i. 5, the words warra which there is no the control of the words of the survey of the survey of the survey of the words warra which there is no the words warra which there is no the words warra which the words warrance which we would be the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we would be a survey of the words warrance which we want to be a survey of the words warrance which we warrance which was at the words which we warrance which was at the words which we warrance which was at the words which we want to be a survey of the words was the words which was the words which we want to be a survey of the words was the words was the words was the words which was the words which we want to be a survey of the words was the wo
- † In the passage of Aristotle, de Anima, i. 5. the words πάντα πλάρη ἐκῶν εἶναι, alone express the traditional account of the doctrine of Thales; the words is λλφ τὰν ψυχλν μιμύχθα are the gloss of Aristotle.
  - 1 'AgX's, airis. The expression agx's was first used by Anaximander.
    5 From the statement of Apollodorus, that Anaximander was sixty-four years old
- 9 From the statement of Apollodorus, that Anaximanoer was sixty-four years old in Olymp. 58. 2. (Diog. Laert, ii. 2), and of Pliny (N. H. ii. 8.), that the obliquity of the celiptic was discovered in Olymp. 58, it may be inferred that Anaximander mentioned this year in his work. Who else could, at that time, have registered such discoveries?

Pherecycles.- It was probably written in a style of extreme conciseness, and in language more befitting poetry than prose, as indeed appears from the few extant fragments. The astronomical and geographical explanatious attributed to Anaximander were probably contained in this work. Anaximander possessed a gnomon, or sundial, which he had doubtless obtained from Babylon; and, being at Sparta (which was still the focus of Greek civilization), he made observations, by which he determined exactly the solstices and equinoxes, and calculated the obliquity of the ecliptic,+ According to Eratosthenes, he was the first who attempted to draw a map; in which his object probably was rather to make a mathematical division of the whole earth, than to lay down the forms of the different countries composing it. According to Aristotle, Anaximander thought that there were innumerable worlds, which he called gods; supposing these worlds to be beings endowed with an independent power of motion. He also thought that existing worlds were always perishing, and that new worlds were always springing into being; so that motion was perpetual. According to his views, these worlds arose out of the eternal, or rather indeterminable, substance, which he called το ἄπειρον; he arrived at the idea of an original substance, out of which all things arose, and to which all things return, by excluding all attributes and limitations. " All existing things (he says in an extent fragment) must, in justice, perish in that in which they had their origin. For one thing is always punished by another for its injustice (i. e., its injustice in setting itself in the place of another), according to the order of time." !

§ 6. AXXXIMERS, another Milesian, according to the general tradition of antiquity, followed Anaximader, and must, therefore, have flourished not long before the Persian war, § With him the Ionic philiosophy began to approach closer to the language of argumentative discussion; his work was composed in the plain simple dialect of the Ionians. Anaximenes, in seeking to discover some sensible substance, from which outward objects could have been formed, thought that of best fulfilled the conditions of his problem; and he showed much insensity in the problem; and he showed much incoming the problems of the rarefaction and condensation of bodies from sir. This elementary principle of the Ionians was always considered as having an indecement nower of motion; and as endowed

<sup>\*</sup> Herod. II. 109. Concerning Anaximander's gnomon, see Diog. Laert. II. 1, and others

<sup>+</sup> The obliquity of the ecliptic (that is, the distance of the sun's course from the equator) must have been evident to any one who observed it with attention; but Aoaximacder found the means of measuring it, in a certain manner, with the

<sup>2</sup> Simplicius ad Aristot. Phys. fol. 6.

<sup>§</sup> The more precise statements respecting his date are so confused, that it is difficult to unravel them. See Clinton in the Philological Museum, vol. i. p. 91.

with certain attributes of the divine essence. "As the soul in us (says Anaximenes in an extant fragment)," which is air, holds us together, so breath and air surround the whole world."

6 7. A person of far greater importance in the history of Greek philosophy, and especially of Greek prose, is HERACLITUS OF EPHESUS. The time when he flourished is ascertained to be about the 69th Olympiad, or B.C. 505. He is said to have dedicated his work, which was entitled " Upon Nature" (though titles of this kind were usually not added to books till later times), to the native goddess of Ephesus, the great Artemis -as if such a destination were alone worthy of it, and he did not consider it worth his while to give it to the public. The concurrent tradition of antiquity describes Heraclitus as a proud and reserved man, who disliked all interchange of ideas with others. He thought that the profound cogitations on the nature of things which he had made in solitude, were far more valuable than all the information which he could gain from others. "Much learning (he said) does not produce wisdom; otherwise it would have made Hesiod wise, and Pythagoras, and again Xenophanes and Hecatæus."† He dealt rather in intimations of important truths than in popular expositions of them, such as the other Ionians preferred. His language was prose only inasmuch as it was free from metrical shackles; but its expressions were bolder and its tone more animated than those of many poems. The cardinal doctrine of his natural philosophy seems to have been, that every thing is in perpetual motion, that nothing has any stable or permanent existence, but that everything is assuming a new form or perishing. "We step (he says, in his symbolical language) into the same rivers and we do not step into them" (because in a moment the water is changed). "We are and are not" (because no point in our existence remains fixed).2 Thus every sensible object appeared to him, not as something individual, but only as another form of something else. " Fire (he says) lives the death of the earth; air lives the death of fire; water lives the death of air; and the earth that of water;"§ by which he meant that individual things were only different forms of a universal substance, which mutually destroy each other. In

<sup>.</sup> Stobæns, Eelog., p. 296.

<sup>+ 1</sup> n Diog. Laert. z. 1: συλομαδία τόσο τὸ λλάσαιι (better than φύω). Ἡείνδει γιὰ δι Ιλίνας καὶ Πιδαγίας, κόδις τι Νευβάσιά τι καὶ Ἱλανταίο. Αυ important passage on the first appearance of έσαντείος among the Grocks.

on the inits appearance on courses among among the current, the set and one than, Heraclit, Alleg. Hom. c. xxiv. p. 84. The image of a stream, into which a person cannot step lwice, so it is always diffice. cut, was used by Heraclitis in several parts of his work, in order to show that all existing things are in a constant state of flux.

<sup>6.</sup> Ze wie vie yn femere, and the Ce vie word femere. Then Ce vie alone femere, not considered. Maxim. Tyr. Diss. xxv. p. 260. The expression that one thing lives the death of another is frequent in the fragments of Heraclitus, and generally he appears often to use certain fixed phrases.

like manner he said of men and gods, "Our life is their death; their life is our death;" that is, he thought that men were gods who had died, and that gods were men raised to life.

Seeking in natural phenomena for the principle of this perpetual motion, Heraclitus supposed it to be fire, though he probably meant, not the fire perceptible by the senses, but a higher and more universal agent. For, as we have already seen, he conceived the sensible fire as living and dying, like the other elements; but of the igneous principle of life he speaks thus: " The unchanging order of all things was made neither by a god nor a man, but it has always been, is, and will be, the living fire, which is kindled and extinguished in regular succession."t Nevertheless. Heraclitus conceived this continual motion not to be the mere work of chance, but to be directed by some power, which he called einappiers, or fate, and which guided " the way upwards and downwards" (his expression for production and destruction). "The sun (he said) will not overstep its path; if it did, the Erinnyes, the allies of justice, would find it out." He recognised in motion an eternal law, which was maintained by the supreme powers of the universe. In this respect the followers of Heraclitus appear to have departed from the wise example of their teacher; for the exaggerated Heracliteans (whom Plato in joke calls of peortes, " the runners") aimed at proving a perpetual change and motion in all things.

Heracitus, like nearly all the other philosophers, despised the popular religion. Their object was, by arguments derived from their immediate experience, to emancipate themselves from all traditional opinions, which included not only supersition and prejudices, but also some of the most valuable truths. Heracitus boldly rejected the whole ceremonial of the Greek religion. "They worship images (he said of his country-me): just as if any one were to convares with homses." S Nevertheless, the opinions of Heracitus on the important question of the relation between mind and body agreed with the popular religion and with the prevailing notions of the Greeks. The primitive beings of the world were, in the popular creed, both spiritual powers and material substances; and Heracitus conceived the original matter of the world to be the source of life. On the other hand, one of the most important changes in the history of the human mind was produced by Anaxagoras after the time of Heracitus, insamuch as he rejected all the popular after the time of Heracitus, insamuch as he rejected all the popular

Σώμεν τὸι Ιπέουν ἐἀνατον, τεδεάπαμεν δί τὸι ἱπέουν βίου. Philo. Alleg. leg. p. 60.
 Heracl. Alleg. Hom. c. xxiv.

<sup>†</sup> Kieper vir abvēr āvairon ebrī va būn ebr addeuven lvalore, àll' in al lorn nai lvrus vip āicļum āveiņiem pieņa nai āveiņiemņiem pieņa. Clemens Alex. Strom. v. p. 599.

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Haise son beriefibereus aleya" si di au, Leonet air Liun; iminope ifinebereen. Plutarch, De Enil, e. ni. p. 604.

Καὶ ἀράλωσει τουτίου: ιόχειται, ἐκῶι εἴ τις λίμως λιεχυτώντα. Clemens Alex, Cohort. p. 33.

notions on religion and streek into a new path of speculation on socred things. Similar opinions had indeed been previously entertaints or in the East, and, in particular, the Mosale conceptions of the Delty and not the world belong to the same class of religions views. But among the Greeks these views (which the Christian religion has made so familiar in modern times) were first introduced by Anasagoras, and were presented by him in a: philosophical form; and having been, from the beginning, much more opposed than the doctrines of former philosophers to the popular mythological religion, they tended powerfully, by their rapid diffusion, to undermine the principles upon which entire worship of the ancient goods rested, and therefore prepared the way for the subsequent triumph of Christianity.

§ S. AAXAGORAS, though he is called a disciple of Anaximenes, followed him at some interval of time; the flourished at a period when not only the opinions of the Ionic physical philosophers, but those of the Pythagoreans and even of the Eleatics, had been diffused in Green, and had produced some influence upon speculation. But since it is impossible to arrange together the contemporaneous advances to different schools or series of philosophers, and since Anaxagorne resembled his Ionic predecessors both in the object of his researches and his mode of expounding them, we will finish the series of the Ionic philosophers (before we proceed to the Eleaties and Pythagoreans).

The main events of the life of Anaxagoras are known with tolerable certainty from concurrent chronological accounts. He was born at Clazomenæ, in Ionia, in Olymp. 70, 1, s.c. 500, and came to Athens in Olymp. 81, 1, n.c. 456.\* There he lived for twenty-five years (which is also called thirty in round numbers), till about the beginning of the Peloponnesian war. At this time there was a faction in the Athenian state whose object it was to shake the power of the great statesman Pericles, and to lower his credit with the people; but before they ventured to make a direct attack upon him, they began by attacking his friends and familiars. Among these was Anaxagoras, at that time far advanced in age; and the freedom of his inquiries into Nature had afforded sufficient ground for accusing him of unbelief in the gods adored by the people. The discrepancy of the testimony makes it difficult to ascertain the result of this accusation; but thus much is certain, that in consequence of it Anaxagoras left Athens in Olymp, 87, 2, B.C. 431. He died three years afterwards at Lampsacus, in Olymp. 88, 1, B.C. 428, at the age of seventy-two.

The treatise on Nature by Anaxagoras (which was written late in his life, and therefore at Athens)† was in the Ionic dialect, and in prose,

In the archonship of Callias, who has been confounded with Callias or Calliades, archon in Olymp. 73, 1. This time, in the midst of the terrors of the Persian war, was little favourable to the philosophical studies of Anaxygoras.

<sup>†</sup> After Empedocles was known as a philosopher, Aristot. Metaph. i. 3, where to expresses the entire philosophical performances.

after the example of Anathmenes. The copious fragments extant "exhibit short sentence connected by particles (a.g. and, but, for) without long periods. But though his style was loose, his reasoning was compact and well arranged. His demonstrations were synthetic, not analytic; that is to say, he subjoined the proof to the proposition to be proved, instead of arriving at his result by a process of inquiry.

The philosophy of Anaxagoras began with his doctrine of atoms, which, contrary to the opinion of all his predecessors, he considered as limited in number. He was the first to exclude the idea of creation from his explanation of nature. "The Greeks (he said) were mistaken in their doctrine of creation and destruction; for nothing is either created or destroyed, but it is only produced from existing thiugs by mixture, or it is dissolved by separation. They should therefore rather call creation a conjunction, and destruction a dissolution."! It is easy to imagine that Anaxagoras, with this opinion, must have arrived at the doctrine of atoms which were unchangeable and imperishable, and which were mixed and united in bodies in different ways. But since, from the want of chemical knowledge, he was unable to determine the component parts of bodies, he supposed that each separate body (as bone, flesh, wood, stone) consisted of corresponding particles, which are the celebrated ομοιομέρειαι of Anaxagoras. Nevertheless, to explain the production of one thing from another he was obliged to assume that all things contained a portion of all other things, and that the particular form of each body depended upon the preponderating jugredient. Now, as Anaxagoras maintained the doctrine that bodies arc mere matter, without any spontaneous power of change, he also required a principle of life and motion beyond the material world. This he called spirit (vove), which, he says, is " the purest and most subtle of all things, having the most knowledge and the greatest strength." § Spirit does not obey the universal law of the ouocoutorial, viz. that of mixing with every thing; it exists in animate beings, but not so closely combined with the material atoms as these are with each other. This spirit gave to all those material atoms, which in the beginning of the world lay in disorder, the impulse by which they took the forms of individual things and beings. Anaxagoras considered this impulse as having been given by the roug in a circular direction; according to his opinion, not only the sun, moon, and stars, but even the air and the æther, are

<sup>\*</sup> The longest is in Simplicius ad Aristot. Phys. p. 336. Anaxagoræ Fragmenta Illustrata, ab K. Schaubach, Lipsis, 1827; fragm. 8.

<sup>†</sup> Hence, for example, the passage concerning production quoted lower down was not at the beginning, but followed the propositions about \*panapaguas, war, and motion.

† Simplicius ad Phys. p. 346, fragm. 22, Schauhach. Concerning the positiou see Panacethieter de Fragm. Anaxag. Ordine, p. 9, 21.

δ Έστι γας λιστότατο τι σύντον χυνμάτου και απαρώτασου, και γιάμαν γι στεί σαν τες σάσκο ίσχει, και ίσχειε μεγίστου. Simplicius, nbi sup. Fragm. 8, Schaub.

constantly moving in a circle." He thought that the power of this circular motion kept all these heavenly bodies (which he supposed to be masses of stone) in their courses. No doctrine of Anaxagoras gave so much offence, or was considered so clear a proof of his atheism, as his opinion that the sun, the bountiful god Helios, who shines upon both mortals and immortals, was a mass of red-hot iron. + How startling must these opinions have appeared at a time when the people were accustomed to consider nature as pervaded by a thousand divine powers ! And yet these new doctrines rapidly gained the ascendancy, in spite of all the opposition of religion, poetry, and even the laws which were intended to protect the ancient customs and opinions. A hundred years later Anaxagoras, with his doctrine of rove, appeared to Aristotle a sober inquirer, as compared with the wild speculators who preceded him; although Aristolle was aware that his applications of his doctrines were unsatisfactory and defective. For as Anaxagoras endeavoured to explain natural phenomens, and in this endeavour he, like other natural philosophers, extended the influence of natural causes to its utmost limits, he of course attempted to explain as much as possible by his doctrine of circular motion, and to have recourse as rarely as possible to the agency of rosc. Indeed, it appears that he only introduced the latter, like a deus ex machina, when all other means of explanation failed.

§ 9. Although Diogenes of Apollonia (in Crete) is not equal in importance, as a philosopher, to his contemporary Anaxagoras, he is yet too considerable a writer upon physical subjects to be here passed over in silence. Without being either the disciple or the teacher, he was a contemporary, of Anaxagoras; and in the direction of his studies he closely followed Anaximenes, expanding the main doctrines of this philosopher rather than establishing new principles of his own. He began his treatise (which was written in the Ionic dialect) with the laudable principle, " It appears to me that every one who begins a discourse ought to state the subject with distinctness, and to make the style simple and dignified."§ He then laid down the principle main-

<sup>\*</sup> The mathematical studies of Anaxagoras appear likewise to have referred chiefly to the eircle. He attempted a solution of the problem of the quadrature of the circle, and, according to Vitruvius, he instituted some inquiries concerning the optical arrangement of the stage and theatre, which also depended on properties of the circle.

<sup>†</sup> muleis describes. This opinion concerning the substance of the heavenly bodies was in great measure founded upon the great meteoric stone which fell at Ages Potami, on the Helles pant, in Olymp. 78, 1; Anaxagoras and Diogenes of Apo-lonia both spoke of this phenomenon. Bocckh Corp. Inscript, Gr. vol. ii. p. 320. Aristot, Met. A. iii. p. 984, ed. Berol.: aler refeur louin mue' elun Aigerene raie

Teirtees.

δ Λέγου ναιτὰ ἀχχύριου διαίει μοι χειὸν είναι τὰν ἀχχὰν ἀναμβιεβέντετα «καξεχισίας, τὸ δὶ ἐμμαικίσι ἀκλία καὶ σέμεια». Dog. Luvit, vi. 81, ix. 57. Diogen. Apolloniat. Pragm., ed. P. Pancerbieter (Lipian, 1830), Fragm. of Σ.

tained by all the physical philosophers who preceded Anaxagoras, viz. that all things are different forms of the same elementary substance; which principle he proved by saving that otherwise one thing could not proceed out of another and be nourished by it. Diogenes, like Anaximenes, supposed this elementary substance to be air, and, as he conceived it endowed with animation, he found proofs of his doctrine not only in natural phenomena, but also in the human soul, which, according to the popular notions of the aucient Greeks, was breath (Juyi), and therefore air. In his explanations of natural appearances Diogenes went into great detail, especially with regard to the structure of the human body; and he exhibited not only acquirements which are very respectable for his time, but also a spirit of inquiry and discussion, and a lubit of analytical investigation, which are not to be found even in Anaxagoras. The language of Diogenes also shows an attempt at a closer connexion of ideas by means of periodic sentences, although the difficulty of taking a general philosophical view is very apparent in his style.\*

Diogenes, like Anaxagoras, lived at Athens, and is said to have been exposed to similar dangers. A third Ionic physical philosopher of this time, Archelans of Miletus, who followed the manner of Anaxagoras, is chiefly important from having established himself permanently at Athens. It is evident that these men were not drawn to Athens by any prospect of benefit to their philosophical pursuits; for the Atheplans at this time showed a disinclination to such studies, which they ridiculed under the name of meteorosophy, and even made the subject of persecution. It was undoubtedly the power which Athens had acquired as the head of the confederates against Persia, and the oppres-sion of the states of Asia Minor, which drove these philosophers from Clazomenæ and Mi'etus to the independent, wealthy, and flourishing Athens. And thus these political events contributed to transfer to Athens the last efforts of Ionic philosophy, which the Athenians at first rejected as foreign to their modes of thinking, but which they afterwards understood and appreciated, and used as a foundation for more extensive and accurate investigations of their own.

§ 10. But before Athens had reached this pre eminence in phinosphy, the spirit of speculation was awakened in other parts of freece, and had strack into new paths of inquiry. The Eleaties afford a ramixable instance of independent philosophical research at this period; for, although Ionians by descent, they departed very widely from their countrymen on the coast of Asia Minore. Elea, (afterwants Veilia, according to the Roman pronunciation,) was a colony founded in Italy by the Phoceanse, when, from a noble love of freedom, they had deli-

Especially in the fragment in Simplicius ad Aristot. Phys. p. 32.6; Fragm. ii. ed. Pauterhieter.

vered un their country in Asia Minor to the Persians, and had been forced by the enmity of the Etruscans and Carthaginians to abandon their first settlement in Corsica; which happened about the 61st Olympiad, B. C. 536. It is probable that XENOPHANES, a native of Colophon. was concerned in the colonizing of Elea; he wrote an epic poem of two thousand verses upon this settlement, as he had sung the foundation of Colophon; he has been before mentioned as an elegiac poet.\* It appears that poetry was the main employment of his earlier years, and that he did not attach himself to philosophy until he had settled at Elea: for there is no trace of the influence of his Ionic countrymen in his philosophy; and again his philosophy was established only in Elea. and never gained a footing among the Ionians in Asia Minor. All the chronological statements are consistent with the supposition that he flourished in Elea as a philosopher between the 65th and 70th Olympiads. † But, even as a philosopher, Xenophanes retained the poetic form of composition; his work upon nature was written in epic language and metre, and he himself recited it at public festivals after the manner of a rhapsodist.\* This deviation from the practice of the Ionic physical philosophers, (of whom, at least, Anaximander and Anaximenes must have been known to him,) can hardly be explained by the fact that he had, upon other subjects, accustomed himself to a poetical form, Some other and weightier cause must have induced him to deliver his thoughts upon the nature of things in a more dignified and pretending manner than his predecessors. This cause, doubtless, was the elevation and enthusiasm of mind, which were connected with the fundamental principles of the Eleatic philosophy.

Xenophanes, from the first, adopted a different principle from that of the Ionic physical philosophers; for he proceeded upon an ideal system. while their system was exclusively founded upon experience. Xenophanes began with the idea of the godhead, and showed the necessity of conceiving it as an eternal and unchanging existence.§ The lofty idea of an everlasting and immutable God, who is all spirit and mind, was described in his poem as the only true knowledge. "Wherever the says) I might direct my thoughts, they always returned to the one and unchanging being; every thing, however I examined it, resolved itself

<sup>&</sup>quot; Chap. x. § 16. The verse of X-nophones, thelians her if i Miller atiates, Athen, ii. p. 54. E., probably refers to the arrival of the army of Cyrus in Ionia.

<sup>†</sup> Especially that he mentioned Pythagoras, and that Heraclitus and Epicharmus mentioned him. Xenophanes lived at Zancle (Diog. Lacrt. sx. 18); cridently not till after it had become Ionian, that is, after Olymp. 70. 4. s. c. 497. He is also said to have been alive in the reign of Hiero, Olymp. 75. 3. s. c. 478. (See Clinton F. H. ad n. 477.)

<sup>1</sup> abris igenteidu en labrei.

See principally the treatise of Aristotle (or Theophrastus) de Xenophane, Zenone, et Gorgia.

If This idea is expressed in the verse : oblas ign, oblas is ron, oblas if c' anoin. See Xenophonis Colophonis carminum reliquise, ed. S. Karsten. Brux, 1830.

into the self-same nature." How he reconciled these doctrines with the evidence of the senses, we are not sufficiently informed; but he does not appear to have worked out the pantheistic doctrine of one God comprehending all things with the logical consistency and definiteness of ideas which we shall find in his successor. Probably, however, he considered all experience and tradition as mere opinion and apparent truth. Xenophones did not hesitate to represent openly the anthropomorphic conceptions of the Greeks concerning their gods as mere prejudices. "If (said he) oxen and lions had hands wherewith to paint and execute works as men do, they would paint gods with forms and bodies like their own; horses like horses, oxen like oxen."† Homer and Hesiod, the poets who developed and established these anthropomorphic conceptions, were considered in Xenophanes as corruptors of genuine religion. "These poets are not contented with ascribing human qualities and virtues to the gods, but have attributed to them everything which is a shame and reproach among men, as thieving, adultery, and deceit."! This is the first decided manifestation of that discord which heuceforth reigned between poets and philosophers, and, as is well known, was still carried on with much vehemence in the time of Plato.

§ 11. Xenophanes was followed by Parkinstons or Elex, who, a swe know from Plank, was born shout Olymp, 66. 2, and passed some time at Athens, when he was about 65 years old.§ It is therefore possible that in his youth he may have conversed with Xenophanes, although Aristotle mentions with doubt the tradition that he was the disciple of the latter philosopher. It is, however, certain that the philosophy of Parmenides has much of the spirit of that of Xenophanes, and differs from it chiefly in having reached a maturer state. The all-comprehensiveness of the Deity, which appeared to Xenophanes a refuge from the difficulties of metaphysical speculation, was demonstrated by Parmenides by arguments derived from the idea of esistence. This mode of deductive reasoning from certain simple fundamental principles (analogous to mathematical reasoning) was first employed to a great extent by Parmenides. His whole philosophy rests upon the idea of existence, which, strictly understood, excluded the ideas of creation and cristines, which, strictly understood, excluded the ideas of creation and

This is the meaning of the passage in Sext. Empir. Hypot. i. 224.

όσση γὰς ἰμό τόσ τίς όσαιμι tiς îs ταὐτό τι σὰν ἀτιλύτο, σὰν δι ἔν [εί?] αἰτί σὰντη ἀτιλαίμενο μίαν τις φίσο "σταθ' ὑμοίαν.

The first metaphor is taken from a journey, the second from the balance. † Clem. Alex. Strom. v. p. 601. fragm 6. Karsten.

Sext, Empir, ad Mathem, ix, p. 193, fr. 7, Karsten.

A Transmission and attention to proceed the process of the age of 40, to proceed the action of the process of the age of 40, to proceed the action of the process of the age of 40, to proceed the action of the act

· annihilation. For, as he says himself, in some sonorous verses, " How could that which exists, first will to exist? how could it become what it is not? If it becomes what it is not, it no longer exists; and the same, if it begins to exist. Thus all idea of creation is extinguished; and annihilation is incredible." Although in this and other passages the expression of such abstract ideas in epic metre and language may excite surprise, yet there is great harmony between the matter of Parmenides and the form in which he has clothed it. His pantheistic doctrine of existence, which he pursued into all its logical consequences, and to which he sacrificed all the evidence of the senses, appeared to him a great and holy revelation. His whole poem on nature was composed in this spirit; and he expressed (though in figurative language) his genuine sentiments, when he related that "the coursers which carry men as far as thought can reach, accompanied by the virgins of the Sun, brought him to the gates of day and night; that here Justice, who keeps the key of the gate, took him by the hand, addressed him in a friendly manner, and announced to him that he was destined to know everything, the fearless spirit of convincing truth, and the opinions of mortals in which no sure trust is to be placed, &c." † And accordingly his poem, in pursuance of the subject mentioned in these verses, began with the doctrine of pure existence, and then proceeded to an explanation of the phenomena of external nature. It was given in the form of a revelation by the goddess Justice, who was described as passing from the first to the second branch of the subject in the following manner: " Here I conclude my sure discourse and thoughts upon truth; henceforward hear human opinions, and listen to the deceitful ornaments of my speech." Here however Parmenides evidently disparages his own labours; for, although in this second part he departed from his fundamental principle, still it is clear, from the fragments which exist, that he never lost sight of his object of bringing the opinions founded on external perceptions, into closer accordance with the knowledge of pure intellect.

§ 12. As compared with this great luminary of philosophical panthesim, his successors (whose youth, at least, falls in the time of which we are treating) appear as lesser lights. It will be sufficient for our purpose to explain the philosophical character of Melissos and Zeso. The first was a native of Samos, and was distinguished as being the general who resolutely defeuded his city against the Athenians, in the war of Olymp, St. 1. a.c. 440, and even defeated the Athenian fleet, in the absence of Pericles. He followed close upon Parmenides, whose doctrines he appears to have transferred into fonic prose; and thus gave greater perspically and order to the arguments which the fornier

Ap. Simplie, ad Aristot. Phys. f. 31, b. v. 80 sqq. in Brandis Commentationes Eleation.

<sup>†</sup> Sext. Empir. adv. Mathem. vii. 111. Comm. Eleat. v. 1 sqq.

had wiled in portic forms.\* The other, Zeno of Elea, a friend and diesiple of Parmeides, also developed the doctrines of Parmeides in a prose work, in which his chief object was to justify the disjunction of ophilosophical spaceulation from the ordinary modes of thought (250). This he did, by showing the absurdities involved in the doctrines of variety, of motion, and of creation, opposed to that of an all-competential substance. Yet the sophisms seriously advanced by him show how easily the mind is called in its own sames, when it mistaked wown abstractions for resilite; if and it only depended upon these Eleaties to argue with the same sublety against the doctrine of the sistence and unity, in order to make it appear equally absurd with those which the story to confide.

§ 13. Before we turn from the Eleafes to those other philosophers of Italy, to whom the name of Italic has been appropriated, we must notice a Sicilian, who is so peculiar both in his personal qualities and his philosophical doctrines, that he cannot be classed with any sect," although his opinions were influenced by those of the Ionians, the Eleatics, and the Pythagoreans. EMPEDOCLES OF AGRIGENTUM does not belong to so early a period as might be inferred from the accounts of his character and actions, which represent him as akin to Epimenides or Abaris. It is known that this Empedocles, the son of Meton.I flourished about the eighty-fourth Olympiad, s. c. 444, when he was concerned in the colony of Thurii, which was established by nearly all the Hellenic races, with unanimous enthusiasm and great hopes of success, upon the site of the ruined Sybaris. Aristotle considers him as a contemporary of Anaxagoras, but as having preceded him in the publication of his writings. Empedocles was held in high honour by his countrymen of Agrigentum, and also apparently by the other Doric states of Sicily. He reformed the constitution of his native city, by abolishing the oligarchical council of the Thousand; which measure gave such general satisfaction, that the people are said to have offered him the regal authority. The fame of Empedocles was, however,

† Thus Zeno, in order to disprova the existence of space (which he sought to disprove, for the purpose of disproving the existence of motion), argued as follows: If space exists, it must be in something; there must, therefore, be a space containing space. He did not consider that the idea of space is only conceived, in order to answer the operation, law half? not the question, What?

1 There was an earlier Empedocles, the father of Melon, who gained the prize with the race-horse in Olymp, 71.

principally acquired by improvements which he made in the physical condition of large tracts of country. He destroyed the pestiferous exhalations of the marshes about Selinus, by earrying two small streams through the swampy grounds, and thus draining off the water. This act is recorded on some beautiful coins of Sclinus, which are still extant.\* In other places he blocked up some narrow valleys with large constructions, and thus screened a town from the noxious winds which blew into it; by which he carned to himself the title of "wind averter" (κωλυσανέμας).† It is probable that Empedocles did not conceal his consciousness of possessing extraordinary intellectual powers, and of rising above the limited capacities of the mass of mankind; so that we need not wonder at his having been considered by his countrymen in Sicily as a person endowed with supernatural and prophetic gifts. Among the sharpsighted and sceptical Ionians, who were always seeking to penetrate into the natural causes of appearances, such an opinion could scarcely have gained ground at this time. But the Dorians in Sicily were as yet accustomed to connect all new events with their ancient belief in the gods, and to conceive them in the spirit of their religious traditions.

The poem of Empedocles upon nature also bears the mark of enthnsiasm, both in its epic language and the nature of its contents. At the beginning of it he said, that fate and the divine will had decreed that, if one of the gods should be betrayed into defiling his hands with blood, he should be condemned to wander about for thirty thousand years, far removed from the immortals. He then described himself to have been exiled from heaven, for having engaged in deadly conflict, and committed murder. ; As, therefore, since the heroic times of Greece, a fugitive murderer required an expiation and purification; so a god ejected from heaven, and condemned to appear in the likeness of a man, required some purification that might cuable him to resume his original high estate. This purification was supposed to be in part accomplished by the lofty contemplations of the poem, which was hence-either wholly or in part-ealled a song of expiation (καθαρμοί). According to the idea of the transmigration of souls, Empedocles supposed that, since his exile from heaven, he had been a shrub, a fish, a bird, a boy, and a girl. For the present, " the powers which conduct souls" had borne him to the dark cavern of the earth; and from hence the return to divine honours was open to him, as to seers and

<sup>\*</sup> Concerning these coins, see Annali dell' Instituto di corrisp. archeologica, 1835.

<sup>†</sup> Emjedocles Agrigentinus, de vita et philosophia ejus exposuit, carminum reliquias collegit Sturz. Lipsiss. 1805, T. 1. p. 49.

<sup>1</sup> Fragment ap. Plutarch. de exilio. c. 17. (p. 607.) ap. Sturz. v. 3. sqq.

<sup>§</sup> V. 362, and v. 9, in Sturz (from Diog. Laert, viii. 77, and Perphyr, de autro nymph. c. 8.) ought evidently to be connected in the manner indicated in the text.

poets, and other benefactors of mankind. The great doctrine, that Love is the power which formed the world, was probably announced to him by the Muse whom he invoked, as the secret by the contemplation of which he was to emancipate himself from ull the baneful effects of discord.\*

The physical philosophy of Empedocles has much in common with that of the Eleatics; and hence Zeno is said to have commented on his poem, that is, probably he reduced it to the strict principles of the Eleatic school. It has also much in common with the philosophy of Anaxagoras; which would itself scarcely have arisen, if the Elentic doctrine of eternal existence had not been already opposed to that of Heraclitus concerning the flux of things. Empedocles also denied the possibility of creation and destruction, and saw in the processes so called nothing more than combination and separation of parts; like the Eleatics, he held the doctrine of an eternal and imperishable existence. But he considered this existence as having different natures; inasmuch as he supposed that there are four elements of things. To these he gave mythological names, calling fire the all-penetrating Zeus; air, the life-giving Here; earth (as being the gloomy abode of exiled spirits), Aidoneus; and water, by a name of his own, Nestis. These four elements he supposed to be governed by two principles, one positive and one negative, that is to say, connecting, creating love, and dissolving, destroying discord. By the working of discord the world was disturbed from its original condition, when all things were at rest in the form of a globe, " the divine spherus;" and a series of changes began, from which the existing world gradually arose. Empedocles described and explained, with much ingenuity, the beautiful structure of the universe, and treated of the nature of the eurth's surface and its productions. In these inquiries he appears to have anticipated some of the discoveries of modern science. Thus, for example, his doctrine that mountains and rocks had been raised by a subterranean fire † is an anticipation of the theory of elevation established by recent geologists; and his descriptions of the rude and grotesque forms of the earliest animals seem almost to show that he was acquainted with the fossil remains of extinct races. I

§ 14. We now turn to that class of ancient philosophers which in

```
    This is proved by the passage in Simplic. ad Phys. f. 34. v. 52. sq. Sturz.;
    Kai ζελέσες is σώση, δεκ μῦλός σε πλάτες σε στὶς σε νέρ σε νέμ δίχκιο, μαδ ἔμμασο ἔτο πέππώς, &c.
```

In like manner the Muse says to the poet:

existat so τλείες γι βροτία μίτιε έρωρο.

331. from Sext. Empir. adv. math. vii. 142. aq. The invocation of the Muse is in Sext. Empir. adv. Math. vii. 124. v. 341. sq.

<sup>†</sup> Ptutarch de primo frig. c. 19. (p. 953.)

See Ælian Hist. An. zvi. 29. ap. Sturz. v 14 sq.

Greece itself was called the Italic; the most obscure region of the Greek philosophy, as we have no accounts of individual writings, and scarcely even of individual writers, belonging to it. Nevertheless, the personal history of PYTHAOORAS, the most conspicuous name among the Italic philosophers, is not so obscure as to compel us to resort to the hypothesis of an antehistorical Pythagoras, from whom a sort of Pythagorean religion, together with the primitive constitution of the Italian cities, was derived, and who had been celebrated in very early legends as the instructor of Numa and the author of an aucient civilization and philosophy in Italy.+ The Greeks who first make mention of Pythagoras (viz. Heraclitus and Xenophanes) do not speak of him as a fabulous person. Herselitus, in particular, mentions him as a rival whose method of seeking wisdom differed from his own. There are, moreover, good grounds for believing the general tradition of antiquity, that Pythagoras, the son of Mnesarchus, was not a native of the country in which he acquired such extraordinary honour, but of the Ionic island of Samos, and that he migrated to Italy when Samos fell under the tyrannical dominion of Polyerates; which migration is placed, with much probability, in Olymp: 62. 4. s. c. 529. Considering the different characters and dispositions of the Helleuic races, it was natural that philosophy, which seeks to give independence to the mind, and to free it from prejudices and traditions, should always receive its first impulse from Iohians. The notion of gaining wisdom by one's own efforts was exclusively Ionie; the Dorians laid greater stress on the traditions of their fathers, and their hereditary religion and morality, than on their own speculations. It is probable that Pythagoras, before he left the Ionic Samos, and came to Italy, was not very different from such men as Thales and Anaximander. He had doubtless an inquiring mind, and habits of careful observation; and he probably combined with mathematical studies (which made their first steps among the fonians) a knowledge of natural history and of other subjects, which he increased by travelling. § Thus Heraclitus not only includes him among persons of much knowledge, || but says of him as follows: "Pythagoras, the son of Mnesarchus, has made more inquiries than any other man; he has acquired wisdom, knowledge, and mischievous re-

<sup>•</sup> This appellative is an instance of the limited sense of the name Italia, according to which it only comprehends the later Bruttii and Calairia. Otherwise the Electies could not be distinguished from the Italia school.

<sup>†</sup> Niebuhr's hypothesis. See his Hist. of Rome, vol. i. p. 165, 244, ed. 2. [p. 158, 233. Eng. transl. last ed.]

<sup>†</sup> That the ancient chronologists in Cicero de Re Publ. II, 15, fixed Ol. 62, 4, as the year of the arrival of Pythagoras in Italy, is proved by the context. Ol. 62, 1, is given as the first year of the reign of Polycrates. Comp. Ch. XIII. § 11.

<sup>§</sup> That Pythagoras acquired his wisdom in Egypt cannot be safely inferred from Isocrat. Busir. § 30; the Busiris being a mere rhetorical and sophistical exercise, in which little regard would be paid to historical truth.

<sup>||</sup> See above, § 7.

finement "." But since this Ionic philosopher found himself, on his arrival at Croton, among a mixed population of Dorians and Achaeans; and since his adherents in the neighbouring Doric states were constantly increasing; it is difficult to say whether the opinions and dispositious which he had brought with him from Samos, or the opinious and dispositions of the citizens of Croton and the neighbouring cities, who received his doctrines, exercised the greater influence upon him, Thus much, however, is evident, that speculations upon nature, prompted by the mere love of truth, could not be in question; so that the principal efforts of Pythagoras and his adherents were directed to practical life, especially to the regulation of political institutions according to general views of the order of human society. There is no doubt that Crotou, Caulonia, Mempontum, and other cities in Lower Italy, were long governed, under the superintendence of Pythagorean societies, upon aristocratic principles; and that they enjoyed prosperity at home, and were formidable, from their strength, to foreign states. And even when, after the destruction of Sybaris by the Crotoniats (Olymp. 67. 3. B. C. 510.), dissensions between the nobles and the people concerning the division of the territory had led to a furious persecution of the Pvthagoreans; yet the times returned when Pythagoreans were again at the head of Italian cities; for instance, Archytas, the contemporary of Socrates and Plato, administered the affairs of Tarentum with great renown t. It appears that the individual influence of Pythagoras was exercised by means of lectures, or of savings uttered in a compressed and symholical form, which he communicated only to his friends, or by means of the establishment and direction of the Pythagorean associations and their peculiar mode of life. For there is no authentic account of a single writing of Pythagoras, and no fragment which appears to be genuine. The works which have been attributed to Pythagoras, such as "the Sacred Discourse" (μρος λόγος), are chiefly forgeries of those Orphic theologers who imitated the Pythagorean manner, and whose relation to the genuine Pythagoreans has been explained in a former chapter !. The fundamental doctrines of the Pythagorean philosophy; viz. that the essence of all things rests upon a numerical relation; that the world subsists by the harmony, or conformity, of its different elements: that numbers are the principle of all that exists; -all these

<sup>\*</sup> Πυλαγέρης Μυσάρχευ ἱστορίαν πεκιτειν ἀνθρώτων μάλιστα πάντων.... Ιστοήσανο ἰστονία κράτι, στλυμαίνα, κακενιχείαν. Diog. Lacett. VIII. 6. ἐστορία, according to the Ionic necaning of the word, is an inquiry founded upon interrogation.

<sup>† 11</sup> appears that there was a second expolsion of the Pythogosan from Italy after the time of Archytus. Loyis, the Pythogosan, seem to have goes, in consqueez of it, to Thebrs, where he became the teacher of Ryanniundas. The jobs about the Pythogosans and the those-jodens, with their strange and singular mode doubt the pythogosans and the those-jodens, with their strange and singular mode of the property of the

<sup>1</sup> Ch. 16. § 5.

must have originated with the master of the school. But the scientific development of these doctrines, in works composed in the Doric dialect (as we find them in the extant fragments of Philolaus, who lived about the 90th Olympiad, B. c. 420), belongs to a later period. The doctrines so developed are, that the essence of things consists, not, according to the ancient Ionians, in an animate substance, nor, according to the more recent Ionians, in a union of mind and matter, but in a form dependent upon fixed proportions; and that the regularity of these proportions is itself a principle of production. The doctrines in question derived much support from mathematical studies, which were introduced by Pythagoras into Italy, and, as is well known, were much advanced by him, until they were there first made an important part of education. The study of music also promoted the Pythagorean opinions, in two ways; theoretically, because the effects of the relations of numbers were clearly seen in the power of the notes; and practically, because singing to the cithara, as used by the Pythagoreans, seemed best fitted to produce that mental repose and harmony of soul which the Pythagoreans considered the highest object of education.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

- 3. High antiquity of bistory in Asia; causes of its companitive theorem among the Grocks, 4. Corpient of theory manuel the Grocks, 4. The Doinian, particularly the Micksian, taok the lead, § 3. Mythological historians; Codomo, Aenolaus, § 4. Extuning sengenghale knowledge of Hentzens, his free treatment of the traditions. § 3. Principles; his general-gield arrangement here; p. 2. Indiancies; a karned imprire into mythical and true his learny. Beginning of chronological researches, § 8. Xandhas, na seate observer. Dismyton of Micksian, which is the companies of the control of the co
- § 1. It is a remarkable fact, that a nation so intellectual and cultivated as the Greeks, should have been so long without feeling the want of a correct record of its transactions in war and peace.

From the earliest times the East had its annals and chronicles. That Egypt possessed a history seconding to a very remote antiquity, not formed of mythological materials, but based upon accurate chronbegieal records, is proved by the estant remains of the work of Manetho\*. The seulptures on buildings, with their explanatory inscriptions, afforded n history of the priests and kings, authenticated by names and numbers; and we have still hopes that this will hereafter be completely deeiphered. The kingdom of Babylon also possessed a very ancient

 Manetho, high-priest at Heliopolis in Egypt, wrote under Ptolemy Philadelphus (284 n.c.) three books of Ægyptiaca.



history of its princes; which Berosus imparted to the Greeks \*, as Manetho did the Egyptian history. Ahasucrus is described, in the book of Esther, as causing the benefactors of his throne to be registered in his chronicle t, which was read to him in nights when he could not sleep. Similar registers were perhaps kept many centuries earlier at the courts of Echatana and Babylon. The ancient sculptures of central Asia have likewise the same historical character as those of Egypt: they record military expeditions, treaties, pacifications of kingdoms, and the tributes of subject provinces. From the discoveries which have been recently made, it may be expected that many more sculptures of this description will be found in different parts of the ancient kingdom of Assyria. The early concentration of vast masses of men in enormous cities; the despotic form of the government; and the great influence exercised by the events of the court upon the weal and woe of the entire population, directed the attention of millions to one point, and imparted a deep and extensive interest to the journal of the monarch's life. Even, however, without these incentives, which are peculiar to a despotic form of government, the people of Israel, from the early union of its tribes around one sanctuary, and under one law, (for the custody of which a numerous priesthood was appointed.) recorded and preserved very ancient and venerable historical traditions, The difference between these Oriental nations and the Greeks, with

respect to their eare in recording their history, is very great. The Greeks evinced a careless and almost infantine indifference about the registering of passing events, almost to the time when they became one of the great nations of the world, and waged mighty wars with the ancient kingdoms of the East. The celebration of a by-gone age. which imagination had decked with all its charms, engrossed the attention of the Greeks, and prevented it from dwelling on more recent events. The division of the nation into numerous small states, and the republican form of the governments, prevented a concentration of interest on particular events and persons; the attention to domestic affairs was confined within a narrow circle, the objects of which changed with every generation. No action, no event, before the great conflict between Greece and Persia, could be compared in interest with those great exploits of the mythical age, in which heroes from all parts of Greece were supposed to have borne a part; certainly none made so pleasing an impression upon all hearers. The Greeks required that a work read in public, and designed for general instruction and entertainment, should impart unmixed pleasure to the mind; but, owing to the dissensions between the Greek republics, their historical traditions could not but offend some, if they flattered others. In short, it was not till a late pe-

<sup>\*</sup> Berosus of Chaldaca wrote under Antiochus Theos (262 s.c.) a work called Babylonica or Chaldaica.

<sup>†</sup> Baritmai lipfigue; from which Ctesius derived information, Diod. II. 32.

riod that the Greeks outgrew their postical mythology, and considered contemporary centre as worthy or biggit thought of and written about. From this cause, the history of many transactions prior to the Persian are has perioded; lat, without its influence, Greek literature could never have become what it was. Greek poetry, by its purely fictions character, and its freedom from the stackles of particular truth, acquired that general probability, on account of which Aristotle considered poetry as more philosophical than history.\* Greek art, likewise, from the lateness of the period at which it descended from the ideal representation of gods and heroes to the portains for real men, acquired a nobleness and beauty of form which it could never have otherwise stationed. And, in fine, the intellectual culture of the Greeks in general would not have taken its liberal and clevated turn, if it had not rested on a notical basic

6 2. Writing was probably known in Greece some centuries before the time of Cadmus of Miletus+, the earliest Greek historian; but it had not been employed for the purpose of preserving any detailed historical record. The lists of the Olympic victors, and of the kings of Sparta and the prytanes of Corinth, which the Alexandrian critics considered sufficiently authentic to serve as the foundation of the early Greek chronology; ancient treaties and other contracts, which it was important to perpetuate in precise terms; determinations of boundaries, and other records of a like description, formed the first rudiments of a documentary history. Yet this was still very remote from a detailed chronicle of contemporary events. And even when, towards the end of the age of the Seven Sages, some writers of historical nurratives in prose began to appear among the Ionians and the other Greeks, they did not select domestic and recent events. Instead of this, they began with accounts of distant times and countries, and gradually narrowed their view to a history of the Greeks of recent times. So entirely did the ancient Greeks believe that the daily discussion of common life and oral tradition were sufficient records of the events of their own time and country.

The Ionians, who throughout this period were the daring innovators and indefatigable discoverers in the field of intellect, took the lead in history. They were also the first, who, satisted with the childish numerous of mythology, began to tran their keen and resuless eyes on all sides, and to seek new matter for thought and composition. The Ionians had a peculiar delight in varied and continuous nurration. Nor is it to be overlooked, that the first Ionian who is mentioned as a historian, was a Mitesian. Miletus, the birth-place of the earliest plain birth-place of the earliest plain the property of the political movements produced by the spirit of Ionian Independence; and the spot in which the native dialacte was first formed into written Greek

<sup>.</sup> Aristot, Poet, 9.

<sup>†</sup> See above, ch. 4. 8 5.

prose; was evidently fitted to be the cradle of historical composition in Greece. If the Milesians had not, together with their neighbours of Axia Minor, led a life of too Insurious enjoyment; if they had known how to retain the severe manners and manly character of the ancient Greeks, in the midst of the refinements and activements of later times; it is probable that Miletus, and not Athens, would have been the teacher of the world.

§ 3. CADUUS OF MILETUS is mentioned as the earliest historian, and, together with Pherceyels of Syros, as the earliest writer of proce. Ilis date cannot be placed much before the 60th Olympiad, a. c. 540 °; he wrote a history of the foundation of Miletus (Kriege MAAriew), between the whole of Ionia. The subject of this history lay in the imperiod, from which only a few oral traditions of an historical kind, but intimately connected with mythical notions, had been preserved. The genuine work of Cadunus seems to have been early lost; the book which bore his name in the time of Dionysius (that is, the Augustan age) was considered a forgery; 1

The next historian, in order of time, to Cadmus, was Activatars or Anoos. Although by descent a Dorian, he worte his history in the fourie dialect, because the Iouians were the founders of the historical style: a practice universally followed in Greek Hierature. Actualisans confined his attention to the mythical period. His object was to collect into a short and connected narrative all the events from the formation of chaos to the end of the Trojan war. It was said of him that the translated Hesiod into prose; : an expression which serves to characterise his work. He appears, however, to have related many legends differently from Hesiod, and in the tone of the Orphic theologers of his own thus §. He seems to have written nothing which can properly be called history.

§ 4. HEATEMS OF MILETUS, the Ionian, was of a very different character of mind. With regard to his date, we know that he was a man of great consideration at the time when the Ionians wished to attempt a revolt against the Persians under Darius (Olymp, 69. 2. ac. 503). At that time he came forward in the council of Aristagoras, and dissunded the undertaking, enumerating the nations which were subject to the Persian king, and all his warlike forces. But if they determined to revolt, he advised them to endcavour, above all things, to maintain the sea by a large fleet, and for this purpose to take the

<sup>.</sup> See Clinton, F. H. Vol. II. p. 368, sqq.

<sup>†</sup> Concerning Xanthus and all the following historians, see the paper "On certain early Greek historians mentioned by Dionyains of Halicaransons," In the Museum Criticum, Vol. I. p. 80, 216; Vol. II. p. 90,

<sup>1</sup> Clem. Alex. Strom. vi. p. 629 A.

<sup>§</sup> Ch. xvi. § 4, note. For the fragments of Acusilaus see Sturz's edition of Pherceydes.

treasures from the temple of Branchidge\*. This advice proves Hecatgus to have been a prudent and sagacious man, who understood the true situation of things. Hecatæus did not share the prevalent interest about the primitive history of his nation, and still less had he the infantine and undoubting faith which was exhibited by the Argive Acusilaus. He says, in an extant fragment +- "Thus says Hecateus the Milesian : these things I write, as they seem to me to be true; for the stories of the Greeks are manifold and Indicrous, as it appears to me." He also shows traces of that perverse system of interpretation which seeks to transmute the marvels of fable into natural events; as, for example, he explained Cerberus as a serpent which inhabited the promontory of Tanarum. But his attention was peculiarly directed to passing events and the nature of the countries and kingdoms with which Greece began to entertain intimate relations. He had travelled much, like Herodotus, and had in particular collected much information about Egypt. Herodotus often corrects his statements; but by so doing he recognises Hecatæus as the most important of his predecessors. Hecatæus perpetuated the results of his geographical and ethnographical researches in a work entitled " Travels round the Earth" (Περίοδος γῆς), by which a description of the coasts of the Mediterranean Sea and of southern Asia as far as India was understood. The author began with Greece, proceeding in a book, entitled "Europe" to the west, and in another, entitled "Asia," to the east ‡. Hecatious also improved and completed the map of the earth sketched by Anaximander §; it must have been this map which Aristagoras of Miletus brought to Sparta before the Ionian revolt, and upon which he showed the king of Sparta the countries, rivers, and principal cities of the East. Besides this work. another is ascribed to Hecatæus, which is sometimes called "Histories," sometimes "Genealogies;" and of which four books are cited. Into this work, Hecatans admitted many of the gencalogical legends of the Greeks; and, notwithstanding his contempt for old fables, he laid great stress upon genealogies ascending to the mythological period; thus he made a pedigree for himself, in which his sixteenth ancestor was a god ||. Genealogies would afford opportunities for introducing accounts of different periods; and Hecatæus certainly narrated

Herod. v. 36, who calls him 'Εκαταίνς ὁ λεγοστούς. The times of the birth and
doath of Hecatama are fixed with less certainty at Olymp. 57. and Olymp. 75. 4.
 † See Demetr. de Elocul. § 12. Historicorum Grave. Autiq. Fragmenta, coll. F.
Crouner, p. 15.

<sup>1</sup> Three hundred and thirty-one fragments of this work are collected in Hecativi Milesii fragments ed. R. H. Klauses. Berolini, 1830. It appears in some cases to have received additions since its first publication, as was commonly the case with manuals of this kind. Thus Hecativas Fr. 27. mentions (Sapus, which name, according to Lwy, was given to Vulbirarous in A. U.C. 315 (no. 447).

<sup>§</sup> This is certain from Agathemerus I. I.

<sup>|</sup> Herod. II, 143.

many historical events in this work\*, although he did not write a connected history of the period comprised in it. Hecatans wrote in the pure Ionic dialect; his style had great simplicity, and was sometimes animated, from the vividness of his descriptions ?.

§ 5. Perractives also wrote on genealogies and mythical history, but did not extend his labours to geography and elmography; lews storn at Leros, a small island near Miletus, and afterwards went to Atheus; whence he is sometimes called a Lerian, sometimes an Athenian. He flourished about the time of the Persian war. His writingsticular, he gave a copious account, in a separate work, of the ancient times of Athens. He was much consulted by the later mythographers, and his numerous fragments must still serve as the basis of many mythological inquiries; B. 9following a generalical line he was led from Phileus, the son of Ajax, down to Miltiades, the founder of the servicing the campaign of Daries against the Scythians; concerning which we have a valuable fragment of this high service which we are valuable regarded to the work of the servicing the campaign of Daries against the Scythians; concerning which we have a valuable fragment of this highest control of the servicing which we have a valuable fragment of this history.

§ 6. Chasox, a native of Lampscous, a Milesian colony, also belongs to this generation 5, although he mentioned some events which fell in the beginning of the reign of Artacerace, Olymp. 78. 4. s.c. 465 Jb. Charon continued the researches of Hecaturus into eastern ethnography. He wrote (as was the custom of these ancient historians) separate works upon Ternis, Librya, Ethiopia, &c. He also subjoined the history of his own time, and he preceded Herodotus in narrating the events of the Tersian war, although Herodotus nowhere mentions him. From the fragments of his writings which remain, it is manifest that his relation to Herodotus was that of a dry chronicler to a historian, under whose hands everything acquires life and character. Charon wrote besides a chronicle \*\* of his own country, as several of the early historians did, who were thence called horographers. Probably

<sup>.</sup> As that in Herod, VI. 137,

<sup>†</sup> As in the fragment from Longinus de Sublim. 27. Creuzer, Hist. Ant. fr. p. 54.

Sturz Pherecydis fragmenta, ed. attera. Lips. 1824. Whether the ten books cited by the ancients were published by Pherecydes himself in this order, or whether were not separate short traities of Pherecydes which had been collected by later editors and arranged as parts of one work, seems doubtfut and difficult of investigation.

<sup>5</sup> Dionysius Hatie, de Thueyd, jud. 5. p. 818. Reiske pisces Charon with Acusilaus, Hecatreus, and others, among the early; Heltanieus, Xanthus, and others, among the more recent predecessors of Thucydides.
B Plutarto, Themist, 27.

Tharon's fragmonts are cottected in Creuzer, ibid. p. 89, sq.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Then, corresponding to the Latin assairs, ought not to be confounded with sen, termini, imites. See Schweighmuser ad Athen. XI. p. 475 B. XII. 520 D.

most of the ancient historians, whose names are commerated by Dionysius of Hulicarnassus, belonged to this class \*.

- & 7. HELLANICUS OF MYTILENE was almost a contemporary of Herodotus; we know that at the beginning of the Peloponnesian war he was 65 years old +, and still continued to write. The character of Hellanicus as a mythographer and historian is essentially different from that of the early chroniclers, such as Acusilaus and Pherecycles; he has far more the character of a learned compiler, whose object is, not merely to note down events, but to arrange his materials and to correct the errors of others. Besides a number of writings upon particular legends and local fables, the composed a work entitled "the Priestesses of Herè of Argos:" in which the women who had filled this priesthood were enumerated up to a very remote period (on no better authority than of certain obscure traditions), and various striking events of the heroic time were arranged in chronological order, according to this series. Hellanicus could hardly have been the first who ventured to make a list of this kind, and to dress it up with chronological dates. Before his time the priests and temple-attendants at Argos had perhaps employed their idle hours in compiling a series of the priestesses of Herè, and in explaining it by monuments supposed to be of great antiquity 1. The Carneonicae of Hellanicus would be of more importance for our immediate purpose, as it contained a list of the victors in the musical and poetical contests of the Carnea at Sparta (from Olymp. 26. B. c. 676) §, and was therefore one of the first attempts at literary history. The writings of Hellanicus contained a vast mass of matter; since, besides the works already mentioned, he wrote accounts of Phoenicia, Persia, and Egypt, and also a description of a journey to the renowned oracle of Zeus-Ammon in the desert of Libya' (the genuineness of which last work was however doubted). He also descended to the history of his own time, and described some of the events between the Persian and Peloponnesian wars, but briefly, and without chronological accuracy, according to the reproach of Thu-
- § S. Among the contemporaries of Hellanicus was (according to the statement of Dionysius) XANTHUS, the son of Candaules of Sardis, a Lydian, but one who had received a Greek education. His work
- \* Rugeon of Samos (above Ch. XI. § 16), Deiochus of Proconnesus, Eudemus of Paros, Democles of Phigalia, Amelesagoras of Chalcedon (or Athens).

† The learned Pamphila in Gellius N. A. XV. 23.

6 See Ch XII. 6 2.

Instances of similar catalogues of priests (in the connection of which some plous fraud must have been employed) are the genealegy of the Budais, which was painted up in the temple of Athens Poliss (Pausan, I. 26, 6. Plutarch X, Ozar, Z.), and which doubless ascended to the ancient here Butter; and the line of the priests of Poorden at Halicansauss, which begins with a son of Poseidou himself, in Boechk. Corp. Inceript. Gr. No. 2012.

upon Lydia, written in the Ionic dialect, bears, in the few fragments which remain, the stamp of high excellence. Some valuable remarks upon the nature of the earth's surface in Asia Minor, which pointed partly to volcanic agency, and partly to the extension of the sea; and precise accounts of the distinctions between the Lydian races, are cited from it by Strabo and Dionysius. The passages quoted by these writers bear unquestionable marks of genuineness; in later times, however, some spurious works were attributed to Xanthus. In particular, a work upon magic, which passed current under his name, and which treated of the religion and worship of Zoroaster, was indubitably a recent foregrey.

A still greater uncertainty prevails with respect to the writings on Dioxystics or Milkerts, insamends at the ancient writer of this name was confounded by the Greek critics themselves with a much later writer on mythology. It is certain that the Dioxysius, whom Diodorus follows in his account of the Greek heroic age, belongs to the times of learning and historical systems; he turns the whole heroic mythology into an historical romance, in which great princes, captains, sages, and henefactors of mankind take the places of the ancient broosts. It of the works which appear to belong to the ancient Diosysius, viz. the Persian histories and the events after Darius (probably a continuation of the former), nothing precise is known.

§ 9. To the Greek historians before Herodotus modern scholars have given the common name of logographers, which is applied by Thucydides to his predecessors. This term, however, had not so limited a meaning among the ancients; as logos signified any discourse in prosc. Accordingly, the Athenians gave the same name to writers of speeches, i.e. persons who composed speeches for others, to be used in courts of justice. It is however convenient to comprehend these ancient Greek chroniclers under a common name, since they had in many respects a common character. All were alike animated by a desire of recording, for the instruction and entertainment of their contemporaries, the accounts which they had heard or collected. But they did this, without attempting, by ingenuity of arrangement or beauty of style, to produce such an impression as had been made by works of poetry. The first Greek to whom it occurred that fiction was not necessary for this purpose, and that a narrative of true facts might be made intensely interesting, was Herodotus, the Homer of history.

<sup>\*</sup> The fragments in Creuzer ubi sup. p. 135, sqq.

<sup>†</sup> Whether this Dionysius is the Dionysius of Samos cited by Alhengus, who wrote concerning the cyclus, or Dionysius Scytobrachiou of Mytilene, has not been completely determined.

## CHAPTER XIX.

- § 1. Events of the life of Herodotos. § 2. His travels. § 3. Gradual formation of his work. § 4. Its plan. § 5. Its leading ideas. § 6. Defects and excellencies of his historical researches. § 7. Style of his narrative; character of his language.
- § 1. HERODOYES, the Son of Lyres, was, according to a statement of good authority\*, born in Olymp, 74.1, n.c. 484, in the period between the first and second Persian wars. His family was one of the most distinguished in the Doric colony of Halicarnassus, and thus became involved in the civil commotions of the city. Halicarnassus was that time governed by the family of Artemist, the princess was family to bravely for the Persians in the battle of Salamis, that Xeraes declared that she was the only man among many women. Lygainst, the son of Pisindelis, and grandson of Artemisia, was bottle to the family of Herodottus. He killed Panyasis, who was probably the maternal unde of Herodottus, and who will be mentioned hereafter as one of the restorers of epic poetry; and the obliged Herodottus himself to take refige abroad. His flight must have taken place about the 82nd Olympiols, no. 4329.

If endodus repaired to Samos, the Iouic island, where probably some of his kinsmen resided †. Samos must be looked upon as the second home of Herodotus; it many passeges of his work he shows a minute coquaintance with this lahand and his inhabitants, and he seems not take a pleasure in incidentally mentioning the part played by it in events of importance. It must have been in Samos that Herodotus inhibed the Ionic spirit which pervades his history. Herodotus likewise undertook from Samos the liberation of his native city from the poke of Lygdamis; and he succeeded in the attempt; but the context between the nobles and the commons having placed obstacles in the way of his well-intentioned plans, he come more foreook his native city.

Herodous passed the latter years of his life at Thurit, the great Greein settlement in Italy, to which so many distinguished med intented their fortunes. It does not however follow from this account that Herodotta was among the first settlers of Thurit; the numbers of the original colonists doublies received subsequent additions. It is certain that Herodotta war, since at the beginning of the Peloponnesiaa war; since at the beginning of it he must have been at Athens. He describes a sacred offering, which was on the Aeropolis of Athens, by its position with regard to the Proplysea 1; now the Proplica were not finished till the year in which the Peloponnesian war began. Herodotts likewise evidently appears to adopt those views of

<sup>.</sup> Of Pamphila in Gellins N. A. XV, 23.

<sup>+</sup> Panyasis too is called a Samian.

the relations between the Greek states, which were diffused in Athens by the statesmen of the party of Pericles; and he states his opinion that Athens did not deserve, after her great exploits in the Persian war, to be so envied and blamed by the rest of the Greeks; which was the case just at the beginning of the Pelsponnesian war \*c.

Herodotus settled quietly in Thurii, and devoted the leisure of his latter years entirely to his work. Hence he is frequently called by the ancients a Thurian, in reference to the composition of his history.

§ 2. In this short review of the life of Herodotus we have taken no notice of his travels, which are intimately connected with his literary labours. Herodotus did not visit different countries from the accidents of commercial business or political missions: his travels were undertaken from the pure spirit of inquiry, and for that age they were very extensive and important. Herodotus visited Egypt as high up as Elephantine, Libya, at least as far as the vicinity of Cyrene, Phoenicia, Babylon, and probably also Persia; the Greek states on the Cimmerian Bosnorus, the contiguous country of the Scythiaus, as well as Colchis: besides which, he had resided in several states of Greece and Lower Italy, and had visited many of the temples, even the remote one of Dodona. The circumstance of his being, in his capacity of Halicarnassian, a subject of the king of Persia, must have assisted him materially in these travels; an Athenian, or a Greek of any of the states which were in open revolt against Persia, would have been treated as an enemy, and sold as a slave. Hence it may be inferred that the travels of Herodotus, at least those to Egypt and Asia, were performed from Halicarnassus in his youth.

Herodous, of course, made these fuguiries with the view of imparting their results to his countrymen. But it is uncertain whether he had at that time formed the plan of connecting his information concerning Asia and Greece with the history of the Persian war, and of uniting the whole into one great work. When we consider that an intricate and extensive plan of this sort had hitherto been unknown in the historical writings of the Greeks, it can scarcely be doubted that the idea occurred to him at an advanced stage of his inquiries, and that in his cartier years he had not mixed his mind above the conception of such works as those of Hesakuros, Clarcon, and others of his predecessors and contemporaries. Even at a later period of his life, when he was composing his great work, contemplated willing a segnate book upon Asyria (Anesigue Asya); and it seems that this book was in existence at the time of Aristotle\*. In fact, Herodotsu might also have made separate books out of the accounts of

<sup>.</sup> Compare Herod, VII. 139, with Thuc, 11. 8.

<sup>†</sup> Aristotle, Hist. An. VIII. 18. mentions the account of the siege of Nineveh in Herodotus (fir, although the manuscripts generally read Heinel, Herodotus is evidently the more suitable name); that is, undoubtedly, the siege which Herodotus 1. 106, promises to describe in his separate work on Assyria (comp. I. 184);

Egypt, Persia, and Scythia given in his history; and he would, no doubt, have done so, if he had been content to tread in the footsteps of the logographers who preceded him.

6 3. It is stated that Herodotus recited his history at different festivals. This statement is, in itself, perfectly credible, as the Greeks of this time, when they had finished a composition with care, and had given it an attractive form, reckoned more upon oral delivery than upon solitary reading. Thueydides, blaming the historians who preceded him, describes them as courting the transient applause of an audience\*. The ancient chronologists have also preserved the exact date of a recitation, which took place at the great Panathensea at Athens, in Olymp. 83. 3. s. c. 446 (when Herodotus was 38 years old). The collections of Athenian decrees contained a decree proposed by Anytus (ψήσισμα 'Ανύτου), from which it appeared that Herodotus received a reward of ten talents from the public treasury +. There is less authority for the story of a recitation at Olympia; and least authority of all for the well-known anecdote, that Thucydides was present at it as a boy, and that he shed tears, drawn forth by his own intense desire for knowledge, and his deep interest in the narrative. To say nothing of the many intrinsic improbabilities of this story, so many anecdotes were invented by the ancients in order to bring eminent men of the same pursuits into connexion with each other, that it is impossible to give any faith to it, without the testimony of more trustworthy witnesses. .

The public readings of Herodotu (such as that at the Panathenais chairs) must have been confined to detached portions of his subject, which he afterwards introduced into his work; for example, the history and description of Egypt, or the accounts concerning Persia. Dris great historical work could not have been composed till the time of the Peloponnesian war. Indeed, his history, and particularly the four last books, are so fall of references and allusions to events which occurred in the first period of the war;, that he appears to have bed diligently occupied with the composition or final revision of fit at this time. It is however very questionable whether Herodotus lived into the second period of the Peloponnesian war§. At all events, he must have been occupied with his work till his death, for it seems to be in

<sup>\*</sup> Thneyd. I. 21.

<sup>†</sup> Plutarch de Matign. Herod. 26.

As the expulsion of the Æginetaus, the surprise of Platza, the Archidamian war, and other events. The passages of Herodotus which could not have been written before this time are, III, 160, VI, 91, 98, VII, 137, 233, IX, 73.

an unfinished state. There is no obvious reason why Herodotus should have carried down the war between the Greeks and Persians to the taking of Sestos, without mentioning any subsequent event of it\*. Besides, in one place he promises to give the particulars of an occurrence in a future part of his work †; a promise which is nuwhere fulfilled.

§ 4. The plan of the work of Herodatus is formed upon a notion, which, though it cannot in strictness be called true, was very current in his time, and had even been developed, after their fashion, by the learned of Persia and Phonicia, who were not unacquainted with Greek mythology. The notion is that of an ancient enmity between the Greeks and the nations of Asia. The learned of the East considered the rapes of Io, Medea, and Helen, and the wars which grew out of those events, as single acts of this great conflict; and their main object was to determine which of the two parties had first used violence against the other. Herodotus, however, soon drops these stories of old times, and turns to a prince whom he knows to have been the aggressor in his war against the Greeks. This is Crossus, king of Lydia. He then proceeds to give a detailed account of the enterprises of Creesus and the other events of his life; into which are interwoven as episodes, not only the early history of the Lydian kings and of their conflicts with the Greeks, but also some important passages in the history of the Greek states, particularly Athens and Sparta. In th's manner Herodotus, in describing the first subjugation of the Greeks by an Asiatic power, at the same time points out the origin and progress of those states by which the Greeks were one day to be liberated. Meanwhile, the attack of Sardis by Cyrus brings the Persian power on the stage in the place of the Lydian; and the narrative proceeds to explain the rise of the Persian from the Median kingdom, and to describe its increase by the subjugation of the nations of Asia Minor and the Babylonians. Whenever the Persians come in contact with other nations, an account, more or less detailed, is given of their history and neculiar usages. Herodotus evidently, as indeed be himself confesses !, strives to enlarge his plan by episodes; it is manifestly his object to combine with the history of the conflict between the East and West a vivid picture of the contending nations. Thus to the conquest of Egypt by Cambyses (Book II.) he annexes a description of the country, the people, and their history; the copionsness of which was caused by his fondness for Egypt, on account of its early civilization, and the sta-

It may, however, be urged against this view, that the accession of the Spartans
and their allies, the formation of the alliance under the supremacy of Athens, and
the change to the character of the war from defensive to offensive, made the taking
of Sestos a distinctly marked spoch. See Thucyd. I. 59.

<sup>+</sup> Herod. VII. 213

<sup>1</sup> Herod, IV. 30. Thus he speaks of the Libyans in the 4th book, only because he thinks that the expedition of the Satrap Arraodes against Barca was in fact directed against all the actions of Libya. See IV. 16.

bility of its peculiar institutions and usages. The history of Cambyses, of the false Smerdis, and of Darius, is continued in the same detailed manner (Book III.); and an account is given of the power of Samos, under Pulverates, and of his tragical end; by which the Persian power began to extend to the islands between Asia and Europe. The institutions established by Darius at the beginning of his reign afford an opportunity of surveying the whole kingdom of Persia, with all its provinces, and their large revenues. With the expedition of Darius against the Sevthians (which Herodotus evidently considers as a retaliation for the former incursions of the Seythians into Asia) the Persian power begins to spread over Europe (Book IV.). Herodotus then gives a full account of the north of Europe, of which his knowledge was manifestly much more extensive than that of Hecatæus; and he next relates the great expedition of the Persian army, which, although it did not endanger the freedom of the Scythians, first opened a passage into Europe to the Persians. The kingdom of Persia now stretches on one side to Scythia, on the other over Egypt to Cyrenaica. A Persian army is called in by Queen Pheretime against the Barceans; which gives Herodotus an opportunity of relating the bistory of Cyrene, and describing the Libyan nations, as an interesting companion to his description of the nations of northern Europe. While (Book V.) a part of the Persian army, which had remained behind after the Scythian expedition, reduces a portion of the Thracians and the little kingdum of Macedonia under the power of the great king, the great Ionian revolt arises from causes connected with the Scythian expedition, which brings still closer the decisive struggle between Greece and Persia. Aristagoras, the tyrant of Miletus, seeks aid in Sparta and Athens for the Ionians; whereupon the historian takes oeeasion to continue the history of these and other Greek states, from the point where he had left it (Book I.); and in particular to describe the rapid rise of the Athenians, after they had thrown off the voke of the Pisistratids. The enterprising spirit of the young republic of Athens is also shown in the interest taken by it in the Iunian revult, which was becam in a rash and inconsiderate manner, and, baying been carried on without sufficient vigour, terminated in a complete defeat (Book VI.). Herodotus next pursues the constantly increasing causes of enmity between Greece and Persia; among which is the flight of the Spartan king Demaratus to Darius. To this event he annexes a detailed explanation of the relations and enmittees of the Greek states, in the period just preceding the first Persian war. The expedition against Eretria and Athens was the first blow struck by Persia at the mother country of Greece, and the battle of Marathon was the first glorious signal that this Asiatic power, hitherto unchecked in its encroachments, was there at length to find a limit. From this point the narrative runs in a regular channel, and pursues to the end the natural course of events; the

preparations for war, the movements of the army, and the campaign against Greece itself (Book VII.). Even here, however, the narrative moves at a slow pace; and thus keeps the expectation upon the stretch. The march and mustering of the Persian army give full time and opportunity for forming a distinct and complete notion of its enormous force; and the negociations of the Greek states afford an equally clear conception of their jealousies and dissensions; facts which make the ultimate issue of the contest appear the more astonishing. After the preliminary and undecisive battles of Thermopylae and Artemisium (Book VIII.), comes the decisive battle of Sulamis, which is described with the greatest vividness and animation. This is followed (in Book 1X.) by the battle of Plates, drawn with the same distinctness, particularly as regards all its antecedents and circumstances; together with the contemporaneous battle of Mycale and the other measures of the Greeks for turning their victory to account. Although the work seems unfinished, it concludes with a sentiment which cannot have been placed casually at the end; viz. that (as the great Cyrus was supposed to have said) "It is not always the richest and most fertile country which produces the most valiant men."

§ 5. In this manner Herodotus gives a certain unity to his history; and, notwithstanding the extent of his subject, which comprehends nearly all the nations of the world at that time known, the narrative is constantly advancing. The history of Herodotus has an epic character, not only from the equable and uninterrupted flow of the narrative, but also from certain pervading ideas, which give an uniform tone to the whole. The principal of these is the idea of a fixed destiny, of a wisc arrangement of the world, which has prescribed to every being his path; and which allots ruln and destruction, not only to crime and violence, but to excessive power and riches, and the overweening pride which is their companion. In this consists the enry of the gods (οβόνος τῶν θεῶν), so often mentioned by Herodotus; by the other Greeks usually called the divine Nemesis. He constantly adverts, in his parrative, to the influence of this divine power, the Damonion, as he also calls it. Thus he shows how the deity visits the sins of the aucestors upon their descendants; how the human mind is blinded by acrogance and recklessness; how man rushes, as it were, wilfully upon his own destruction; and how oracles, which ought to be warning voices against violence and insolence, mislead from their ambiguity, when interpreted by blind passion. Besides the historical narrative itself, the scattered speeches serve rather to enforce certain general ideas, particularly concerning the envy of the gods and the danger of pride, than to characterise the dispositions, views, and modes of thought of the persons represented as speaking. In fact, these speeches are rather the lyric than the dramatic part of the history of Herodotus; and if we compare it with the different parts of a Greek tragedy, they correspond, not to the

dialogue, but to the choral songs. Herodotas lastly shows his awe of the divine Nemesis by his moderation and the firmness with which he keeps down the chulkitons of national pride. Por, if the eastern princes by their own rashness bring destruction upon themselves, and the Greeks remain the victors, yet the describes the East, with its early civilization, as highly worthy of respect and admiration; he even points out traits of greatness of character in the hostile kings of Persia; shows his countrymen how they often owed their successes to divine providence and external advantages, rather than to their own valour and ability; and, on the whole, is anything but a panegrist of the exploits of the Greeks. So little indeed has he this character, that when the rhetorical historians of later times had introduced a more pretending account of these events, the simple, faithful, and impartial Herodotus was reproached with being actuated by a spirit of calumny, and with seeking to deternet from the broric acts of his countrymen.

8 6. Since Herodotus saw the working of a divine agency in all human events, and considered the exhibition of it as the main object of his history, his aim is entirely different from that of a historian who regards the events of life merely with reference to man. Herodotus is, in truth, a theologian and a poet as well as an historian. The individual parts of his work are treated entirely in this spirit. His aim is not merely to give the results of common experience in human life. His mind is turned to the extraordinary and the marvellous. In this respect his work bears an uniform colour. The great eveuts which he relates-the gigantic enterprises of princes, the unexpected turns of fortune, and other marvellous occurrences-harmonise with the accounts of the astonishing buildings and other works of the East, of the multifarious and often singular manners of the different nations, the surprising phenomena of nature, and the rare productions and animals of the remote regions of the world. Herodotus presented a picture of strange and astonishing things to his mobile and curious countrymen, It were vnin to deny that Herodotus, when he does not describe things which he had himself observed, was often deceived by the misrepresentntions of priests, interpreters, and guides; and, above nll, by that propensity to boasting and that love of the marvellous which are so common in the East +. Yet, without his singlehearted simplicity, his disposition to listen to every remarkable account, and his admiration (undisturbed by the national prejudices of a Greek) for the wonders of the Eastern world, Herodotus would never have imparted to us many valuable accounts, in which recent inquirers have discovered substantial truth, though mixed with fable. How often have modern travellers,

Plutarch's Treatise week vas 'Harderso zazantines, concerning the malignity of Herodotus.

<sup>†</sup> Aristotle, in his Treatise on the Generation of Animals, 111, 5, calls him 'Itelberes i μυθελέγες, " Herodotus the story-teller."

naturalists, and geographers, had occasion to admire the truth and correctuess of the observations and information which are contained in the seemingly marvellous narratives of Herodotus! It is fortunate that he was guided by the maxim which he meations in his account of the circumanization of Africa in the reign of Necho. Having expressed his dishelled of the statement that the sailors had the sun on their right hand, he adds: "I must say what has been told to me; but I need not therefore believe all, and this remark applies to my whole work."

Herodotus must have completely familiarised himself with the manners and modes of thought of the Oriental nations. The character of his mind and his style of composition also resemble the Oriental type more than those of any other Greek; and accordingly his thoughts and expressions often remind us of the writings of the Old Testament. cannot indeed be denied that he has sometimes attributed to the eastern princes ideas which were essentially Greek; as, for example, when he makes the seven grandees of the Persians deliberate upon the respective advantages of monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy \*. But, on the whole, Herodotus seizes the character of an Oriental monarch, like Xerxes, with striking truth; and transports us into the very midst of the satellites of a Persian despot. It would be more just to reproach Herodotus with a want of that political discernment, in judging the affairs of the Greek states, which had already been awakened among the Athenian statesmen of his time. Moreover, in the events arising from the situation and interests of states, he lays too much stress on the feelings and passions of particular individuals; and ascribes to Greek statesmen (as, for instance, the two Cleisthenes of Sievon and Athens, in reference to their measures for the division of the people into new tribes) motives entirely different from those by which they appear, on a consideration of the case, to have been really actuated, He likewise relates mere anecdotes and tales, by which the yulgar explained (and still continue to explain) political affairs; where politicians, such as Thucydides and Aristotle, exhibit the true character of the transaction.

§ 7. But no discretation upon the historical researches or the style of Herodotus can consey an idea of the impression made by reading his work. To those who have read it, all description is superfluous. It is like hearing a person speak who has seen and lived through an infinite variety of the most remarkable things; and whose greatest delight consists in recalling the images of the past, and perpetuating the remembrance of them. He had caver and unwained listeners, who

<sup>\*</sup> Herod. III. 80. He afterwards (VI. 43) defeads himself against the charge of having represented a Persian as praising democracy, of which the Persians know nothing. This passage proves that a part at least of Book III, had been published before the entire work was completed,

were not impatient to arrive at the end; and he could therefore complete every separate portion of the history, as if it were an independent narrative. He knew that he had in store other more attractive and striking events; yet he did not hurry his course, as he dwelt with equal pleasure on everything that he had seen or heard. In this manner, the stream of his Ionic language flows on with a charming facility. The character of his style (as is natoral in mere narration) is to counect the different sentences loosely together, with many phrases for the purpose of introducing, recapitulating, or repeating a subject. These phrases are characteristic of oral discourse, which requires such contrivances, in order to prevent the speaker, or the hearer, from losing the thread of the story. In this, as in other respects, the language of Herodotus closely approximates to oral narration; of all varieties of prose, it is the furthest removed from a written style. Long sentences, formed of several clauses, are for the most part confined to speeches, where reasons and objections are compared, conditions are stated, and their consequences developed. But it must be confessed that where the logical connexion of different propositions is to be expressed, Herodotus mostly shows a want of skill, and produces no distinct conception of the mutoal relations of the several members of the argument. But. with all these defects, his style must be considered as the perfection of the unperiodic style (the λέξις εἰρομένη), the only style employed by his predecessors, the logographers . To these is to be added the tone of the Ionic dialect,-which Herodotus, although by birth a Dorian, adopted from the historians who preceded him +, - with its uncontracted terminations, its accumulated vowels, and its soft forms. These various elements conspire to render the work of Herodotos a production as harmonious and as perfect in its kind as any human work can be.

Demetrius de Elocutione, § 12.

<sup>†</sup> Nevertheless, according to Hermogenes, p. 513, the Ionic dialect of Hecatarus is alone quite pure; and the dialect of Herodotus is mixed with other expressions.

## SECOND PERIOD OF GREEK LITERATURE.

## CHAPTER XX.

- § 1. Karly formation of a national literature in Greece, § 2. Attents subsequently takes the head in literature and at He fitness for this purpose, § 3. Concurrence of the political circumstances of Athens to the same end. Solon. The Pisitustaids, § 4. Great increase in the power of Athens after the Persian ws. § 5. Administration and palicy of Pericles, particularly with respect to art and flouristic particularly with respect to art and flourishing period. § 7. Causes and modes of the degeneracy, § 5. Literature and art were not difficult by the causes of moral degeneracy.
- § 1. GREEK literature, so far as we have hitherto followed its progress, was a common property of the different races of the nation; each race cultivating that species of composition which was best suited to its dispositions and capacities, and impressing on it a corresponding character. In this manner the town of Miletus in Ionia, the Æolians in the island of Lesbos, the colonies in Magna Gracia and Sicily, as well as the Greeks of the mother country, created new forms of poetry and cloquence. The various sorts of excellence thus produced, did not, after the age of the Homeric poetry, remain the exclusive property of the race among which they originated; as popular poems composed in a peculiar dialect are known only to the tribe by whom the dialect is spoken. Among the Greeks a national literature was early formed; every literary work in the Greek language, in whatever dialect it might be composed, was enjoyed by the whole Greek nation. The songs of the Lesbian Sappho aroused the feelings of Solon in his old age, notwithstanding their foreign . Eolian dialect\*; and the researches of the philosophers of Elea in Enotria influenced the thoughts of Anaxagoras when living at Miletus and Athenst; whence it may be inferred, that the fame of remarkable writers soon spread through Greece at that time. Even in an earlier age, the poets and sages used to visit certain cities, which were considered almost as theatres, where they could bring their powers and acquirements into public notice. Among these, Sparta stood the highest, down to the time of the Persian war; for the Lacedemonians, though they produced little themselves, were considered as sugacious and sound judges of art and philosophy!. Accordingly, the principal poets, musicians, and philosophers of those times are related to have passed a part of their lives at Sparta §.
  - re related to have passed a part of their lives at Sparta §.

    8 2. But the literature of Greece necessarily assumed a different

iefor, 6: çest, va zenra na va na zenra va patra va palar.

6 For example, Architechus, Terpander, Thaletas, Theognis, Pherecydes, Anaxi-

form, when Athens, raised as well by her political power and other external circumstances as by the mental qualities of her citizens, acquired the rank of a capital of Greece, with respect rective literature and art. Not only was her copious native literature rective literature and art. Not only was her copious native literature rective literature and art. Not only self-time properties and taste were predeficient in all things relating to language and the aste were predeficient in all things relating to language and the arts, and decided whant in all things relating to language and the assistal literature of Greece, long before the Atlevandrine critics had prepared their canones. There is consorted to more important epoch in the history of the Greek intellect than the time when Atlens obtained this pre-eminence of where sizes states.

The character of the Athenians peculiarly fitted them to take this lead. The Athenians were Ionians; and, when their brethren separated from them in order to found the twelve cities on the coast of Asia Minor, the foundations of the peculiar character of Ionic civilization had already been laid. The dialect of the Ionians was distinguished from that of the Dorians and Æolians by clear and broad marks: the worship of the gods, which had a peculiarly joyful and serene cast among the Ionians, had been moulded into fixed national festivals\*: and some steps towards the development of republican feeling had already been taken, before this separation occurred. The boundless resources and mobility of the Ionian spirit are shown by the astonishing productions of the Ionians in Asia and the islands in the two centuries previous to the Persian war; viz., the lambic and elegiac poetry, and the germs of philosophic inquiry and historical composition; not to mention the epic poetry, which belongs to an earlier and different period. The literary works produced during that time by the Ionians who remained behind in Attica, seem poor and ·mcagre, as compared with the luxuriant outburst of literature in Asia Minor: nor did it appear, till a later period, that the progress of the Athenian intellect was the more sound and lasting. The advance of the literature of the Ionians in Asia Minor (which reminds us of the premature growth of a plant taken from a cold climate and barren soil, and carried to a warmer and more fertile region), as compared with that of the Athenians, corresponds with the natural circumstances of the two countries. Ionia had, according to Herodotus, the softest and mildest climate in Greece; and, although he does not assign it the first rank in fertility, yet the valleys of this region (especially that of the Maander) were of remarkable productiveness, Attica, on the other hand, was rocky, and its soil was shallow+; though not barren, it required more skill and care in cultivation than most other parts of Greece; hence, according to the sagacious remark

<sup>•</sup> Hence the Thargelia and Pyanepsia of Apollo, the Anthesteria and Lensen of Dionyaus, the Apatura and Eleusinia, and many other festivals and religious rites, were common to the Ionians and Athenians,

<sup>†</sup> Tê ALTTÉSIAN

of Thucydides, the warlike races tuened by preference to the fertile plains of Argos, Thebes, and Thessaly, and afforded an opportunity for a more secure and peaceable development of social life and industry in Attica. Yet Attica was not deficient in natural beauties. It had (as Sophocles says in the splendid chorus in the (Edipus at Colonus) " green valleys, in which the clear-voiced nightingale poured forth her sweet laments, under the shade of the dark ivy, and the sacred foliage of Bacchus, covering ahundant fruit, impenetrable to the sun, and unshaken by the blasts of all storms\*." Above all, the clear air, refreshed and purified by constant breezes, is celebrated as one of the chief advantages of the climate of Attien, and is described by Euripides as lending a charm to the productions of the Athenian intellect. " Descendants of Erechtheus (the poet says to the Athenians)+, happy from ancient times, favourite children of the blessed gods, you pluck from your sacred unconquered country renowned wisdom, as a fruit of the soil, and constantly walk, with graceful step, through the glittering air of your heaven, where the nine sacred Muses of Pieria are said to have once brought up the fair-haired Harmony as their common child. It is also said that the goddess Cypris draws water from the beautifully flowing Cephisus, and breathes over the land mild and refreshing airs; and that, twining her hair with fragrant roses, she sends the gods of love as companions of wisdom, and supporters of virtue."\*

6 3. The political circumstances of Attica contributed, in a remarkable manner, to produce the same effects as its physical condition. When the Ionians settled on the coast of Asia Minor, they soon discovered their superiority in energy and military skill to the native Lydian, Carian, and other tribes Having obtained possession of the entire coast, they entered into a friendly relation with these tribes, which, owing to the early connexion of Lydia with Babylonia and Ninevel, brought them many luxuries and pleasures from the interior of Asia. The result was, that when the Lydian monarchy was strengthened under the Mermnadae, and began to aim at foreign conquest, the Ionians were so enfeebled and corrupted, and were so deficient in political unity, that they fell an easy prey to the neighbouring kingdom; and passed, together with the other subjects of Crasus, under the power of the Persians. The Ionic inhabitants of Attien, on the other hand, encompassed, and often pressed by the manly tribes of Greece, the Æolians, Bootians, and Dorians, were forced to keep the sword constantly in their hands, and were placed in circumstances which required much courage and energy, in addition to the openness and excitability of the Ionic character. Athens, indeed, did not immediately attain to the proud security which the Spartans derived from their possession of half Peloponnesus, and their undisputed mastery

<sup>\*</sup> Soph, Œd, Col. v. 670.

<sup>†</sup> Eurip. Med. v. 824.

\*\* Ch. 16. & 5.

of the practice of war. Henge the Athenians were forced to be constantly on the look-cott, and to seek for opportunities of extending their empire. At the same time, while the Athenians sought to improve their political constitution, they stove to increase the liberty of the people; and a man like Solon could not have arisen in an Ionian state of Asia Minor, to become the peaceful regulator of the state with the approbation of the community. Solon was able to reconcile the hereditary rights of the aristocracy with the claims of the commonality grown up to manhood; and to combine moral strictness and order with freedom of action. Few statesmen shine in so bright a light as Solon; his humanity and warm sympathies with all classes of his countrymen appear from the fragments of his elegies and iambies which have been already cited?

After Solon comes the dominion of the Pisistratids, which lasted, with some interruptions, for fifty years (from 560 to 510 B.C.). This government was administered with ability and public spirit, so far as was consistent with the interests of the ruling house. Pisistratus was a politic and circumspect prince: he extended his possessions beyond Attica, and established his power in the district of the gold mines on the Strymon+, to which the Athenians subsequently attached so much importance. In the interior of the country, he did much to promote agriculture and industry, and he is said to have particularly encouraged the planting of olives, which suited the soil and climate in so remarkable a manner. The Pisistratids also, like other tyrants, showed a fondness for vast works of art; the temple of the Olympian Zeus, built by them, always remained, though only half finished, the largest building in Athens. In like manner, tyrants were fond of surrounding themselves with all the splendour which poetry and other musical arts could give to their house: and the Pisistratids certainly had the merit of diffusing the taste for poetry among the Athenians, and of naturalising among them the best literary productions which Greece then possessed. The Pisistratids were unquestionably the first to introduce the recital of the entire Iliad and Odyssey at the Panathencent; and the gentle and refined Hipparchus, the son of Pisistratus, was the means of bringing to Athens the most distinguished lyric poets of the time, as Anacrons, Simonides, and Lasus. Some of the collectors and authors of the mystical poetry also found a welcome reception at the court of the Pisistratids, as Onomacritus; whom they took with them, at their expulsion from Athens, to the court of the King of Persia .. But, notwithstanding their patronage of literature and art, Herodotus is undoubtedly right in stating that it was not till after the fall of their dynasty, that Athens shot up with the vigour which can only be de-\* Ch. 10. § 11, 12, ch. 11, § 12. + Herod, I. 64. 1 Ch. 5, 6 14. 5 Ch 13, 5 11. fi Ch. 14. § 10, Ch. 14. 5 14. rived from the consciousness of every chizen that he has a share in the common weal\*. This statement of Herodotus refers, indeed, principally to the warlike enterprises of Athens, but it is equally true of her intellectual productions. It is, indeed, a remarkable fact that Athens produced her most excellent works in literature and art in the midst of the greatest political convulsions, and of her utmost efforts for selfpreservation or conquest. The long dominion of the Pisistratids, notwithstanding the concourse of foreign poets, produced nothing more important than the first rudiments of the tragic drama; for the origin of comedy at the country festivals of Bacchus falls in the time before Pisistratus. On the other hand, the thirty years between the expulsion of Hippias and the battle of Salamis (B. c. 510 to 480) was a period marked by great events both in politics and literature. During this period, Athens contended with energy and success against her neighbours in Bootia and Eubora, and soon dared to interfere in the affairs of the Ionians in Asia, and to support them in their revolt against Persia; after which, she received and warded off the first powerful attack of the Persians upon Greece. During the same period at Athens, the pathetic tragedies of Phrynichus, and the lofty tragedies of Æsehylus, appeared on the stage; political eloquence was awakened in Themistocles; historical researches were commenced by Pherecydes; and everything seemed to give a promise of the greatness to which Athens afterwards attained. Even sculpture at Athens did not flourish under the encouragement which it doubtless received from the enterprising spirit of the Pisistratids, but first arose under the influence of political freedom. While, from B.C. 540, considerable masters and whole families and schools of brass-founders, workers in gold and ivory, &c., existed in Argos, Lacedæmon, Sicvon, and elsewhere, the Athens of the Pisistratids could not boast of a single sculptor; nor is it till the time of the battle of Marathon, that Antenor, Critias, and Hegias are mentioned as eminent masters in brass-founding. But the work for which both Antenor and Hegias were chiefly celebrated was the brazen statues of Harmodius and Aristogiton, the tyrannicides and liberators of Athens from the yoke of the Piststratids, according to the tradition of the Athenian peoplet.

§ 4. The great peril of the Persian war thus came upon a race of high spirited and enterprising men, and exercised upon it the hardening and elevating inflaence, by which great dangers, successfully overcome, become the highest benefit to a state. Such a period withdraws the mind from petty, selfsh cares, and fixes it on great and public objects. At the moment when half Greece had qualted before the Persian army, with a feafless spirit of independence, abandon their

. Herod. V. 78.

+ Ch. 13, 8 17.

country to the ravages of the effemy: embarking in their ships, they decide the sea-fights in favour of the Greeks, and again they are in the land-war the steadiest supporters of the Spartans. The wise moderation with which, for the sake of the general good, they submitted to the supreme command of Sparta, combined with a bold and enterprising spirit, which Sparta did not possess, is soon rewarded to an extent which must have exceeded the most sanguine hopes of the Athenian statesmen. The attachment of the Ionians to their metropolis, Athens, which had been awakened before the battle of Marathon, soon led to a closer connexion between nearly all the Greeks of the Asiatic coast and this state. Shortly afterwards, Sparta withdrew, with the other Greeks of the mother country, from any further concern in the contest; and an Athenian alliance was formed for the termination of the national war, which was changed, by gradual yet rapid transitions, into a dominion of Athens over her allies; so that she became the sovereign of a large and flourishing empire, comprehending the islands and coasts of the Egean, and a part of the Euxine seas. In this manner, Athens gained a wide basis for the lofty edifice of political glory which was raised by her statesmen.

§ 5. The completion of this splendid structure was due to Pericles, during his administration, which lasted from about B.C. 464, to his death (s.c. 429). Pericles changed the allies of Athens into her subjects, by declaring the common treasure to be the treasure of the Athenian state; and he resolutely maintained the supremacy of Athens, by punishing with severity every attempt at defection. Through his influence. Athens became a dominant community, whose chief business it was to administer the affairs of an extensive empire, flourishing in ngriculture, mechanical industry, and commerce. Pericles, however, did not make the acquisition of this power the highest object of his exertions, nor did he wish the Athenians to consider it as their greatest good. His aim was to realise in Athens the idea which he had conceived of human greatness. He wished that great and noble thoughts should pervade the whole mass of the ruling people; and this was in fact the case, so long as his influence lasted, to a greater degree than has occurred in any other period of history. Pericles stood among the citizens of Athens, without any public office which gave him extensive legal power\*; and yet he exercised an influence over the multitude which has been rarely possessed by an hereditary ruler.

<sup>•</sup> Pericles was indeed treasurer of the administration (i iv "in, handrus") at the Preling out of the Pelopomerisa may; but, influored, this office required an accurate knowledge of the finances of Athens, it did not confer any legal power. It is assumed that, the times are excepted, in which Pericles was strategies, particularly at the beginning of the Pelopomerian was when the strategies had a very extensive did camp. When the conference is the property of the pelopomerian was when the strategies had a very extensive difference and the property of the pelopomerian was when the strategies had a very extensive difference and the pelopomerian was when the strategies was frested like a fortified camp.

Atheuians saw in him, when he spoke to the people from the bema, an Olympian Zeus, who had the thunder and lightning in his power. It was not the volubility of his eloquence, but the irresistible force of his arguments, and the unjesty of his whole appearance, which gained him this appellation: hence a comic peet said of him, that he was the only one of the orators who left his sting in the minds of his hearers.

The objects to which Pericles directed the people, and for which he accumulated so much power and wealth at Athens, may be best seen in the still extant works of architecture and sculpture which originated under his administration. The defence of the state being already provided for, through the instrumentality of Themistocles, Cimon, and Pericles himself, by the fortifications of the city and harbour and the long walls, Pericles induced the Athenian people to expend upon the decoration of Athens, by works of architecture and sculpture, a larger part of its ample revenues than was ever applied to this purpose in any other state, either republican or monarchicalt. This outlay of public money, which at any other period would have been excessive, was then well-timed; since the art of sculpture had just reached a pitch of high excellence, after long and toilsome efforts, and persons endowed with its magical powers, such as Phidias, were in close intimacy with Pericles. Of the surpassing skill with which Pericles collected into one focus the rays of artistical genius at Athens, no stronger proof can be afforded, than the fact that no subsequent period, through the patronage either of Macedonian or Roman princes, produced works of equal excellence. Indeed, it may be said that the creations of the age of Pericles are the only works of art which completely satisfy the most refined and cultivated taste. But it cannot have been the intention of Pericles, or of the Athenians who shared his views, to limit their countrymen to those enjoyments of art which are derived from the eye: It is known that Pericles was on terms of intimacy with Sophocles; and it may be presumed that Pericles thoroughly appreciated such works as the Antigone of Sophocles; since (as we shall show hereafter) there was a close analogy between the 'political principles of Pericles and the poctical character of Sophocles. Pericles, however, lived on a still more intimate footing with Anaxagoras, the first philosopher who proclaimed

· Misse rus jurigus Te alerges bynarikure rus dageumisse. Eupolis in the Demi.

<sup>4</sup> The anomal revenue of Athera at the time of Pericles is estimated at 1000 thetaets (sucher more than 200,000.2) of which sum-600 clustest flowed from the tributes of the allies. If we recken that the Propylea (with the buildings belonging to i) cut 2012 theirs, the repeare off at the buildings of the thin time,—the Odeon, the Partheson, the Propyleas, the temple at Riesus, and other centemporary temples in the courty, as at Elemann and Smann, together with the sculpture and cannot have been less than 8000 toleras. And yet all these works fell in the last versal years of the Propognosian war.

in Greece the doctrine of a regulating intelligence. The house of Pericles, porticularly from the time when the beautiful and accomplished Milesian Aspasia presided over it with a greater freedom of intercourse than Athenian usage allowed to wives, was a point of union roll the men who had conceived the intellectual superiority of Athens. The sentiment attributed by Tamcydides to Pericles in the celebrated funeral contion, that "Athens is the school of Greece," is doubtless, if one in words, at least is substance, the genuine expression of Pericles,

6 6. It could not be expected that this brilliant exhibition of human excellence should be without its dark side, or that the flourishing state of Athenian civilization should be exempt from the elements of decay. The political position of Athens soon led to a conflict between the patriotism and moderation of her citizens and their interests and passions, From the earliest times, Athens had stood in an unfriendly relation to the rest of Greece. Even the Ionians, who dwelt in Asia Minor, surrounded by Dorians and Æolians, did not, until their revolt from Persia. receive from the Athenians the sympathy common among the Greeks between members of the same race. Nor did the other states of the mother country ever so far recognise the intellectual supremacy of Athens, as to submit to her in political alliances; and therefore Athens never exercised such an ascendency over the independent states of Greece as was at various times conceded to Sparta. At the very foundation of her political greatness, Athens could not avoid struggling to free herself from the superintendence of the other Greeks; and since Attica was not an island, - which would have best suited the views of the Athenian statesmen.-Athens was, by means of immense fortifications, as far as possible isolated from the land and withdrawn from the influence of the dominant military powers. The eyes of her statesmen were exclusively turned towards the sea. They thought that the national character of the Ionians of Attiea, the situation of this peninsula, and its internal resources, especially its silver mines, fitted Athens for maritime sovereignty. Moreover, the Persian war had given her a powerful inpulse in this direction; and by her large navy she stood at the head of the confederate islanders and Asiaties, who wished to continue the war against Persia for their own liberation and security. These confederates had before been the subjects of the King of Persia; and had long been more accustomed to slavish obedience than to voluntary exertion. It was their refusals and delays, which first induced Athens to draw the reins tighter, and to assume a supremacy over them. The

On the author of the first Alcibiades (among the Platonic dialogues), p. 118, unites the philosophical uniscians, Pythoclodes and Damon, with Anaxagoras, as friends of Pericles. Pericles is also said to have been connected with Zeno the Elestic and Protagoras the sophist.

<sup>†</sup> Thucyd. II. 41, gerta's et higu en macas miles en Ethabe maiburen than

Athenians were not cruel and "sanguinary by nature; but a reckless severity, when there was a question of ministaning principles which they thought necessary to their existence, was implanted deeply in their character; and c'remustances too often impelled them to employ it against their allies. The Athenian policy of compelling so many cities to contribute their wealth in order to make Athens the focus of art and cultivation, was indeed accompanied with profit and selfish patriorism. Yet the Athenians did not reduce millions to a state of abject servitude, for the purpose of ministering to the wants of a few thousand persons. The object of their statesmen, such as Pericles, doubtless was, to make Athens the pride of the whole confederacy; that their allies should enjoy in common with them the productions of Athenian art, and especially should participate in the great festivals, the Panathenas and Dionysia, on the embellshiment of which all the tressures of waith and art were laxished."

§ 7. Energy in action and eleverness in the use of languaget were the qualities which most distinguished the Athenians in comparison with the other Greeks, and which are most clearly seen in their political conduct and their literature. Both qualities are very liable to abuse. The energy in action degenerated into a restless love of adventure, which was the chief cabse of the fall of the Athenian power in the Peloponnesian war, after the conduct of it had ceased to be directed by the clear and composed views of Pericles. The consciousness of dexterity in the use of words, which the Athenians cultivated more than the other Grecks, induced them to subject everything to discussion. Hence too arose a copiousness of speech, very striking as compared with the brevity of the early Greeks, which compressed the results of much reflection in a few words. It is remarkable that soon after the Persian war, the great Cimon was distinguished from his countrymen by avoiding all Attic eloquence and loquacity!. Stesimbrotus, of Thasos, a contemporary, observed of him, that the frank and noble were prominent in his character, and that he had the qualities of a Peloponnesian more than of an Athenians. Yet this fluency of the Athenians was long restrained by the deeply-rooted maxims of traditional morality; nor was it till the beginning of the Peloponnesian war, when a foreign race of teachers,

Three are many grounds for thinking that these festivals were instituted repressly for the allies, what attends them it large numbers. Paryers were also pulsity offered at the Panathemas for the Plateaus (Hirod.vi. m.), and at all great public festivals for the Chisaus (Theopon, sp. 8thd. Arishiph. Ar. 850), who were described from the contraction of the Mylliemass. Moreover, the colonies of Athens (a.e. probably, in general, the cities of the confidency offered surfices at the Panathemas.

ed descriptes and to denie. I desire and erapplia.

<sup>§</sup> In Plutareh, Cimon, c. 4, indeed, Slesimbrotus is not unjustly consured for his credulity and his fondness for narrating the chronique acondations of those times: but statements, such as that in the text, founded upon personal observation of the ceneral state of society, are always very valuable.

chiefly from the colonies in the east and west, established themselves at Athens, that the Athenians learnt the dangerous art of subjecting the traditional maxims of morality to a scrutinising examination. For although this examination ultimately led to the establishing of morality on a scientific basis, yet it at first gave a powerful impulse to immoral motives and tendencies, and, at any rate, destroyed the habits founded on unreasoning faith. These arts of the sophists-for such was the name of the new teachers-were the more pernicious to the Athenians. because the manliness of the Athenian character, which shoue forth so nobly during the Persian war and the succeeding period, had already fallen off before the Peloponnesian war, under the administration of Pericles. This degeneracy was owing to the same accidental causes. which produced the noble qualities of the Athenians. Plato says that Pericles made the Athenians lazy, cowardly, loquacious, and covetous\*. This severe judgment, suggested to Plato by his constant repugnance to the practical statesmen of his time, cannot be considered as just; yet it must be admitted that the principles of the policy of Pericles were closely connected with the demoralization so bluntly described by Plato. By founding the power of the Athenians on dominion of the sea, he led them to abandon land-war and the military exercises requisite for it, which had hardened the old warriors of Marathon. In the ships, the rowers played the chief part, who, except in times of great danger, consisted not of citizens, but of mercenaries; so that the Corinthians in Thucydides about the beginning of the Peloponnesian war justly describe the power of the Athenians as being rather purchased with money than native t. In the next place, Pericles made the Athenians a dominant people, whose time was chiefly devoted to the business of governing their widely extended empire. Hence it was necessary for him to provide that the common citizens of Athens should be able to gain a livelihood by their attention to public business; and accordingly it was contrived that a considerable part of the large revenues of Athens should be distributed among the citizens, in the form of wages for attendance in the courts of justice, the public assembly, and the council, and also on less valid grounds, for example, as money for the theatre. Those payments to the citizens for their share in the public business were quite new in Greece; and many well disposed persons considered the sitting and listening in the Pnyx and the courts of justice as an idle life in comparison with the labour of the ploughman and vinegrower in the country. Nevertheless, a considerable time elapsed before the bad qualities developed by these circumstances so far prevailed as to overcome the noble habits and tendencies of the Athenian character. For a long time the industrious cultivators, the brave war-\* Plat. Gorg. p. 515. E.

† Thucyd. II, 121. Comp. Plutarch, Pericl. 9.

riors, and the men of old-fashioned morality were opposed, among the citizens of Athens, to the loqueoines, luxurious, and dissolute generation who passed their whole time in the market-place and courts of justice. The contest between these two parties is the main subject of the early Attic comedy; and accordingly we shall recur to it in connexion with Artisophanes.

§ S. Literature and art, however, were not, during the Peloponnesian war, affected by the corruption of morals. The works of this period,—which the names of Æschylus, Sophocles, and Phidias are sufficient to call to our minds—exhibit not only a perfection of form, but almost and levation of soul and a grandeur of conception, which fill us almost with an much admiration for those whose minds were sufficiently acture and strong to enjoy such works of art, as for those who produced to diffuse a taste for genuine beauty among the people, could be used to the words attributed to him by Thucyldies: "We are fond of beauty without departing from simplicity, and we seek windom wintout become generated and the produced to desire for evil pleasures, and the love of enuine beauty gave place to a desire for evil pleasures, and the love of wisdom degouerated into a habit of file legomachy."

We now turn to the drama, the species of poetry which peculiarly belongs to the Athenians; and we shall here see how the utmost beauty and elegance were gradually developed out of rude, stiff, antique forms.

## CHAPTER XXI.

- § 1. Cause of dramatic poetry in Greece. § 2. The increasion of dramatic poetry pocular to Greece. § 3. Origin of the Greek drama from the worship of Blocchus. § 4 Earliest, or Ducic form of trepsely, a choral or dishyramble song in the worship of Blocchus. § 5. Camerica of the early tracely with a therow of stayer. § 6. Improvement of trapely at a thinney by the chart of the property of the contract of the
- § 1. The spirit of an age is, in general, more completely and faithfully, represented by its poetry than by any branch of prose composition; and, accordingly, we may best trace the character of the three different stages of civilization among the Greeks in the three grand divisions of their poetry. The epic poetry belongs to a period when, during the

Thucyd. II. 40. φλεακλόμες γὰς μετ, εὐτιλείας, καὶ ψιλοσφύημες διου μαλακίος. The word ἐὐτλεια is not to be understood as if the Albenians did not expend large sums of public money upon works of art; what Perciles means is, that the Albenians admired the simple and serere beauty of att alone, without seeking after glitter and magnificence.

continuance of monarchical institutions, the minds of the people were impregnated and swayed by legends handed down from antiquity. Elegiac, inmbic, and lyric poetry arose in the more stirring and agitated times which accompanied the development of republican governments; times in which each individual gave vent to his personal aims and wishes, and all the depths of the human breast were unlocked by the inspirations of poetry. And now when, at the summit of Greek civilization, in the very prime of Athenian power and freedom, we see dramatic poetry spring up, as the organ of the prevailing thoughts and feelings of the time, and throwing all other varieties of poetry into the shade, we are maturally left to sak, how it comes that this style of poetry agreed so well with the spirit of the age, and so far outstripped its competitors in the contest for public favour?

Dramatic poetry, as the Greek name plainly declares, represents action which are not (as in the epos) merely narrated, but seem to take place before the eyes of the spectator. Yet this external appearance cannot constitute the essential difference between dramatic and epic poetry: for, since the events thus represented do not really happen at the moment of their representation; since the speech and actions of the persons in the drama are only a fiction of the poet, and, when successful, an illusion to the spectator; it would follow that the whole difference turned upon a mere deception. The essence of this style of poetry has a much deeper source; viz., the state of the poet's mind. when engaged in the contemplation of his subject. The epic poet seems to regard the events which he relates, from afar, as objects of caim contemplation and admiration, and is always conscious of the great interval between him and them; while the dramatist plunges, with his entire soul, into the scenes of human life, and seems himself to experience the events which he exhibits to our view. He experiences them in a two-fold manner: first, because in the drama, actions (as they arise out of the depths of the human heart) are represented as conpletely and as naturally as if they originated in our own breasts; secondly, because the effect of the actions and fortunes of the personages upon the sympathies of other persons in the drama itself is exhibited with such force, that the listener feels himself constrained to like sympathy, and powerfully attracted within the circle of the drama. This second means, the strong sympathy in the action of the drama, was, at the time when this style of poetry was developing itself, by far the most important; and hence arose the necessity of the chorus, as a participator in the fortunes of the principal characters in the drama of this period. Another similar fact is that the Greek drama did not originate from the narrative, but from a branch of lyric poetry. The latter point, however, we shall examine hereafter. At present, we merely consider the fact that the drama comprehends and develops the events of human life with a force and depth which no other style of poetry can reach;

and that these admit only of a dramatic treatment, while outward nature is best described in epic and lyric poetry.

- § 2. If we carry ourselves in imagination back to a time when dramatic composition was unknown, we must acknowledge that its creation required great bolduess of mind. Hitherto the bard had only sung of gods and heroes, as elevated beings, from ancient traditions : it was, therefore, a great change for the poet himself to come forward all at once in the character of the god or hero; in a nation which, even in its amusements, had always adhered closely to established usage. It is true that there is much in human nature which impels it to dramatic representations; namely, the universal love of imitating other persons, and the childlike liveliness with which a narrator, strongly impressed with his subject, delivers a speech which he has heard, or, perhaps, only imagined. Yet there is a wide step from these disjointed elements to the genuine drama; and it seems that no nation except the Green ever made this step. The Old Testament contains narratives interwoven with speeches and dialogues, as the Book of Job; and lyric poems placed in a dramatic connexion, as Solomon's Song; but we nowhere tind in this literature any mention of dramas properly so called. The dramatic poetry of the Indians belongs to a time when there had been much intercourse between Greece and India; and the mysteries of the Middle Ages were grounded upon a tradition, though a very obscure one, from antiquity. Even in ancient Greece and Italy, dramatic poetry, and especially tragedy, attained to perfection only in Athens; and, even here, it was only exhibited at a few festivals of a single god, Dionysus; while epic rhapsodies and lyric odes were recited on various occasions. All this is incomprehensible, if we suppose dramatic poetry to have originated in causes independent of the peculiar circumstances of the time and place. If a love of imitation, and a delight in disguising the real person under a mask, were the basis upon which this style of poetry was raised, the drama would have been as natural and as universal among men as these qualities are common to their nature.
- § 3. A more satisfactory explanation of the origin of the Greek drama may be found in its consuction with the worship of the gods, and particularly that of Bacchus. The Greek worship contains a great number of dramatic elements. The gods were supposed to dwell in their temples, and participate in their festivals; and it was not considered presumptuous or unbecoming to represent them as acting like human beings. Thus, Apollo's combat with the dragon, and his consequent flight and explainton, were represented by a noble youth of Delphi; in Samos the marriage of Zeus and Here was exhibited at the great festival of the goddess. The Eleusianian mysteries were (as a mateint writer expresses its) "a mystical drama," in which the his-

\* Clem. Alex. Protrept, p. 12. Potter.

tory of Demeter and Cora was acted, like a play, by priests and priestesses; though, probably, only with mimic action, illustrated by a few significant sentences of a symbolic nature, and by the singing of hymns. There were also similar mimic representations in the worship of Bacchus; thus, at the Anthesteria at Athens, the wife of the second Archon, who bore the title of Queen, was betrothed to Diouysus in a secret solemnity, and in public processions even the god himself was represented by a man\*. At the Bootian festival of the Agrionia, Dionysus was supposed to have disappeared, and to be sought for among the mountains; there was also a maiden (representing one of the nymphs in the train of Dionysus), who was pursued by a priest, carrying a hatchet, and personating a being hostile to the God. This festival rite, which is frequently mentioned by Plutarch, is the origin of the fable, which occurs in Homer, of the pursuit of Dionysus and his nurse by the furious Lycurgus.

But the worship of Bacchus had one quality which was, more than any other, calculated to give birth to the drama, and particularly to tragedy; namely, the enthusiasm which formed an essential part of it, This enthusiasm (as we have already remarked+) proceeded from an impassioned sympathy with the events of nature, in connexion with the course of the seasons; especially with the struggle which Nature seemed to make in winter, in order that she might break forth in spring with renovated beauty: hence the festivals of Dionysus at Athens and elsewhere were all solemnised in the months which were nearest to the shortest day!. The feeling which originally prevailed at these festivals was, that the enthusiastic participators in them believed that they perceived the god to be really affected by the changes of nature; killed or dying, flying and rescued, reanimated or returning, victorious and dominant; and all who shared in the festival felt these joyful or mournful events, as if they were under the immediate influence of them. Now the great changes which took place in the religion, as well as in the general cultivation of the Greeks, banished from men's minds the conviction that the happy or unhappy events, which they bewailed or rejoiced in, really occurred in nature before their eyes. Bacchus, accordingly, was conceived as an individual, anthropomorphic, self-existing being; but the cnthusiastic sympathy with Dionysus and his

<sup>\*</sup> A beautiful slave of Nicias represented Dionysus on an occasion of this kind: Plutarch, Nic. 3. Compare the description of the great Bacchic procession under Ptolemy Philadelphus in Athen. v. p. 196, sq.

<sup>+</sup> Ch. 2. 8 4.

In Athens the months succeeded one another in the following order:—Poseideon, Gamelion (formerly Lenzon), Anthesterien, Elaphebolion; these, secording to Boeckh's coavining demonstration, contained the Bacchie festivals of the lesser or country Dionysia, Lenna, Anthesteria, the grenter or city Dionysia. In Delphi, the three winter months were sacred to Dionysus (Plutarch de E. ap. Delphos, c. 9.), and the great festival of Trieterica was celebrated on Parnassus at the time of the shortest day.

fortunes, as with real events, always remained. The swarm of subordinate beings-Satyrs, Panes, and Nymphs-by whom Bacchus was surrounded, and through whom life seemed to pass from the god of outward nature into vegetation and the animal world, and branch off into a variety of beautiful or grotesque forms, were ever present to the fancy of the Greeks; it was not necessary to depart very widely from the ordinary course of ideas, to imagine that dances of fair nymphs and bold satyrs, among the solitary woods and rocks, were visible to human eyes, or even in fancy to take a part in them. The intense desire felt by every worshipper of Bacchus to fight, to conquer, to suffer, in common with him, made them regard these subordinate beings as a convenient step by which they could approach more nearly to the presence of their divinity. The custom, so prevalent at the festivals of Bacchus, of taking the disguise of satyrs, doubtless originated in this feeling, and not in the mere desire of concealing excesses under the disguise of a mask; otherwise, so serious and pathetic a spectacle as tragedy could never have originated in the choruses of these satyrs. The desire of escaping from self, into something new and strange, of living in an imaginary world, breaks forth in a thousand instances in these festivals of Bacchus. It is seen in the colouring the body with plaster, soot, vermilion, and different sorts of green and red juices of plants, wearing goats and deer skins round the loins, covering the face with large leaves of different plants; and, lastly, in the wearing masks of wood, bark, and other materials, and of a complete costume belonging to the character.

§ 4. These facts seem to us to explain how the drama might naturally originate from the enthusiasm of the worship of Bacchus, as a part of his festival ceremonies. We now come to consider the direct evidence respecting its origin. The learned writers of antiquity agree in stating that tragedy, as well as comedy, was originally a choral song. It is a most important fact in the history of dramatic poetry. that the lyric portion, the song of the chorus, was the original part of it, The action, the adventure of the god, was pre-supposed, or only symbolically indicated by the sacrifice : the chorus expressed their feelings upon it. This choral song belonged to the class of dithyrambs; Aristotle says that tragedy originated with the singers of the dithyramb.+ The dithyramb was, as we have already seen, an enthusiastic ode to Bacchus, which had in early time been sung at convivial meetings by the drunken revellers, but, after the time of Arion (about B. c, 620), was regularly executed by a chorus. The dithyramb was capable of expressing every variety of feeling excited by the worship and mythology One passage wilt serve for many: Euanthius de tragordia et comedia, c. 2.
 Comedia fere vetus, ut ipaa quoque olim tragedia, simplex fuit carmen, quod chorus circa aras fumantes nune spaiatus, nune consistens, nune revolvens gyros, come consistentes nune revolvens gyros.

<sup>†</sup> Aristot. Poet. 4. ari van Enggistus vis haliganism.

<sup>:</sup> Ch. XtV. § 7.

of Bacchus. There were dithyrambs of a gay and joyous tone, celebrating the commencement of spring; but tragedy, with its solemn and gloomy character, could not have proceeded from these. The dithyramb, from which tragedy probably took its origin, turned upon the sorrows of Dionysus. This appears from the remarkable account of Herodotus, that in Sicyon, in the time of the tyrant Cleisthenes (about 600 B.C.), tragic choruses had been represented, which celebrated the sorrows, not of Dionysus, but of the hero Adrastus; and that Cleisthenes restored these choruses to the worship of Dionysus.\* This shows, not only that there were at that time tragic choruses, but also that the subject of them had been changed from Dionysus to other heroes, especially those who were distinguished by their misfortunes and sufferings. The reason why sometimes the dithyramb, † and afterwards tragedy, was transferred from Dionysus to heroes, and not to other gods of the Greek Olympus, was that the latter were elevated above the chances of fortune, and the alternations of joy and grief, to which both Dionysus and the heroes were subject. The date given by Herodotus agrees well with the statement of the ancient grammarians, that the celebrated dithyrambic noet, Arion (about 580 n. c.), invented the tranic stule (τραγικός τρόπος); evidently the same variety of dithyramb as that usual in Sicvon in the time of Cleisthenes. This narrative also gives some probability to the tradition of a tragic author of Sicyon, named Epigenes, who lived before the time of the Athenian dramatists; from the perplexed and, in part, corrupt notices of him it is conjectured that he was the first who transferred tragedy from Dionysus to other persons.

§ 5. In attempting to form a more precise conception of the ancient tragedy, when it still belonged exclusively to the worship of Bacchus, we are led by the statement of Aristotle. "that tragedy originated with the chief singers of the dithyramb," to suppose that the leaders of the chorus came forward separately. It may be conjectured that these, either as representatives of Dionysus himself, or as messengers from his train, narrated the perils which threatened the god, and his final escape from or triumph over them smad that the chorus then expressed its feelings as at passing events. The chorus then submitted assumed the character of satellities of Dionysus; whence they easily fell into the parts of styre, who were not only his companions in sportive adventures, but also in combats and misfortunes; and were as well adapted to express terror or fear, as guiety or pleasure. It is stated by Aristotle and many grammarians, that the most ancient tragedy bore the character of a

<sup>9</sup> Herod, v. 67. và viêtu airen vrayanier, χημετι έχέραμες, vis με Δείνονε εἰ τρείωντες, vis με Δείνονε εἰ τρείωντες διαθές με τρ Δενείνρ ἀνείδουτ. Whether ἀνείδουτ is translated, "He gave them back," or "He gave them as something due," the result is the same.

<sup>†</sup> There was a dithyramb, entitled Memnon, composed by Simonides. Strabo, xvi. p. 728, comp. Plutarch de Mus. c. 10.

sport of gatyrs; and the introduction of satyrs into this species of poetry is ascribed to Arion, who is said to have invented the tragic dithyramb. The name of tragetly, or goat'z zong, was even by the ancients derived from the resemblance of the singers, in their character of satyrs, to goats. Yet the slight resemblance in form between satyrs and goat could hardly have given a name to this kind of poetry; it is far onso rould hardly have given a name to this kind of poetry; it is far onso probable that this species of dithyramb was originally performed at the burnt satriface of a goat; the connection of which with the subject of the earliest tragedy can only be explained by means of mythological researches foreign to the present subject.

Thus far had tragedy advanced among the Dorians, who therefore considered themselves the inventors of it. All its further development belongs to the Athenians; while among the Dorians it seems to have been long preserved in its original lyric form. Doubtless tragic dithyrambs of the same kind as those in Sicvon and Corinth continued for a long time to be sung in Athens; probably at the temple of Bacchus, called Lenæum, and the Lenæan festival, with which all the genuine traditions respecting the origin of tragedy were connected. Moreover, the Lengan festival was solemnized exactly at the time when, in other parts of Greece, the sorrows of Dionysus were bewailed. Hence in later times, when the dramstic speciacles were celebrated at the three Dionysiac festivals of the year, tragedy preceded comedy at the Lenua, and followed immediately after the festival procession; while both at the greater and lesser Dionysia, comedy, which came after a great carousal, was first, and was followed by tragedy. + At these festivals, before the innovations of Thespis, when the chorus had assembled round the altar of Dionysus, an individual from the midst of the chorus is said to have answered the other members of the chorus from the sacrificial table (ilasée) near the altar; that is to say, he probably imparted to them in song the subjects which excited and guided the feelings expressed by the chorus in its chants.

• We here reject the common account (adopted, among other widers, by Hanze) of the invention of clinedy at the visingus, the faces assessed with less of visine the waggen with which Thesis went round Attien, and as forth; since all these arise the waggen with which Thesis went round Attien, and as forth; since all these arise made at the rest and the state of the

or Artisother.

According to the very important statements concerning the parts of these feather and the statement of the second statement of the decomposition against Midina. Of the Leanest it is mid, \$i is it acousty expert and is reported and is supplying the statement of the greater Dimonjate, right for the constant agreement of the greater and is supplying the statement of the greater parts and is supplying the statement of the statement o

& 6. The ancients, however, are agreed that Thespis first caused tragedy to become a drama, though a very simple one. In the time of Pisistratus (n, c. 536), Thespis made the great step of connecting with the choral representation (which had hitherto at most admitted an interchange of voices) a regular dialogue, which was only distinguished from the language of common life by its metrical form and by a more clevated tone. For this purpose, he joined one person to the chorus, who was the first actor.\* Now according to the ideas which we have formed from the finished drama, one actor appears to be no better than none at all. When however it is borne in mind, that according to the constant practice of the ancient drama, one actor played several parts in the same piece (for which the linen masks, introduced by Thespis, must have been of great use); and moreover, that the chorus was combined with the actor, and could maintain a dialogue with him, it is easy to see how a dramatic action might be introduced, continued and concluded by the speeches inserted between the choral songs. Let us, for example, from among the pieces whose titles have been preserved, take the Pentheus. In this, the single actor might appear successively as Dionysus, Pentheus, a Messenger, and Agave, the mother of Pentheus; and, in these several characters, might announce designs and intentions, or relate events which could not conveniently be represented, as the murder of Pentheus by his unfortunate mother, or express triumphant joy at the deed; by which means he would represent, not without interesting scenes, the substance of the fable, as it is given in the Bacche of Enripides. Messengers and heralds probably played an important part in this early drama (which, indeed, they retained to a considerable extent in the perfect form of Greek tragedy;) and the speeches were probably short, as compared with the choral songs, which they served to explain. In the drama of Thespis, the persons of the chorus frequently represented satyrs, as well as other parts; for, before the satyric drama had acquired a distinctive character, it must have been confounded with tragedy.

The dances of the chorus were still a principal part of the performance; the ancient tragellians in general were teachers of dancing, (or, as we should say, ballet-masters,) as well as poets and musicians.

In the time of Aristophanes, (when plays of Thespis could scarcely be represented upon the stage,) the dances of Thespis were still performed by admirers of the ancient stylet. Moreover, Aristotle remarks that the earliest tragedians used the long trochaic verse (the trochaic tetrameter) in the dialogue more than the sambic trimeter; now the former was peculiarly adapted to lively, dance-like gesticulations.§

This is also confirmed by the passage of Aris'oph. Pac. 322,

Called waseris, from breagistes. because he answered the songs of the chorus.
 The funeral games of Pelias or Phorbas, the Priests, the Youths, Pentheus.
 Arstoph, Verp. 1479.

These metres were not invented by the tragic ports, but were borrowed by them from Archilochus, Solon, and other posts of this class, and invested with the appropriate character and expression. Probably the tragic posts adopted the fively and impassioned trechaic verse, formed the couple poets adopted the energetic and rapid ismbic verse, formed for jest and wrangling; the latter seems to have only obtained gradually, chiefly through Æschylus, the form in which it seemed a fitting metre for the solonn and dignified language of the others,

§ 7. In Phaynichus likewise, the son of Polyphradmon, of Athens, who was in great repute on the Athenian stage from Olymp. 67. 1. (B. C. 512), the lyric predominated over the dramatic element. He, like Thespis, had only one actor, at least until Æschylus had established his innovations; but he used this actor for different, and especially for female parts. Phrynichus was the first who brought female parts upon the stage (which, according to the manners of the ancients, could only be acted by men); a fact which throws a light upon his poetical character. The chief excellence of Phrynichus lay in dancing and lyric compositions; if his works were extant, he would probably seem to us rather a lyric poet of the Æolian school than a dramatist. His tender. sweet, and often plaintive songs were still much admired in the time of the Peloponnesian war, especially by old-fashioned people. The chorus, as may be naturally supposed, played the chief part in his drama; and the single actor was present in order to furnish subjects on which the chorus should express its feelings and thoughts, instead of the chorus being intended to illustrate the action represented upon the stage. It appears even that the great dramatic chorus (which originally corresponded to the dithyrambic) was distributed by Phrynichus into subdivisions, with different parts, in order to produce alternation and contrast in the long lyric compositions. Thus in the famous play of Phrynichus, entitled the Phanissa (which he brought upon the stage in Olymp, 75, 4, 8, c, 476, and in which he celebrated the exploits of Athens in the Persian war), the chorus consisted in part, as the name of the drama shows, of Phoenician women from Sidon and other cities of the neighbourhood, who had been sent to the Persian court ; but an-

<sup>\*</sup> Ch. XI. 6. 8.

<sup>†</sup> The fragments preserved under the name of Thespis are indeed inmbic trimeters; but they are evidently taken from the pieces composed by Heraclides Ponticus in his name. See Diog. Laert. V. 92.

I it is related that Physichus composed a piece in Olymp. 75. 4. (n. c. 47) for a tragic chorus, which Themistotes had furnished as chorepus. Begliep has conjectured with much probability that this piece was the Phonisses, in which Physichos dwelt on the merits of Themistotes. Among the titles of the plays of Physichus in Sindar, Sindara, "the committee of deliterators," probably designate the Phonisses, which would otherwise be wasting.

<sup>§</sup> The chorus of Phornician women sang at its entrance:—Σλόπον ἄντο λυντύνα
καὶ ἐρντζαί 'Ακαδον, as may be seen from the Schol. Arteloph. Vesp. 220 and Heaych,
in γλοκεφ Σλοπόν.

other part of it was formed of noble Persians, who in the king's absence consulted about the affairs of the kingdom. For we know that at the beginning of this drama (which had a great resemblance to the Persians of Æschylus) a royal cunuch and carpet-spreader \* came forward, who prepared the seats for this high council, and announced its meeting. The weighty cares of these aged men, and the passionate laments of the Phænician damsels who had been deprived of their fathers or brothers by the sea-fight, doubtless made a contrast, in which one of the main charms of the drama consisted. It is remarkable that Phrynichus, in several instances, deviated from mythical subjects to subjects taken from contemporary history. In a former drama, entitled the Capture of Miletus, he represented the calamities which had befallen Miletus, the colony and ally of Athens, at the Persian conquest, after the Ionic revolt (B. C. 498). Herodotus relates that the whole theatre was moved by it to tears; notwithstanding which the people afterwards sentenced him to a considerable fine "for representing to them their own misfortunes;" a remarkable judgment of the Athenians concerning a work of poetry, hy which they manifestly expected to be raised into a higher world, not to be reminded of the miseries of the present life.

§ S. Contemporary with Phrynichus on the tragic stage was CHE-RILUS, a prolific and, for a long time, active poet; since he came forward so early as the 64th Olympiad (B. c. 524), and maintained his ground not only against Æschylus, but even for some years against Sophocles. The most remarkable fact known with repard to this root is, that he excelled in the satyric drama,† which had therefore in his time been separated from tragedy. For as tragedy constantly inclined to heroic fables, in preference to subjects connected with Dionysus, and as the rude style of the old Bacchic sport yielded to a more dignified and serious mode of composition, the chorus of satyrs was no longer an appropriate accompaniment. But it was the custom in Greece to retain and cultivate all the earlier forms of poetry which had anything peculiar and characteristic, together with the newer varieties formed from them. Accordingly a separate Saturic Drama was developed, in addition to tragedy; and, for the most part, three tragedies and one satyric drama at the conclusion, were represented together, forming a connected whole. This satyric drama was not a comedy, but (as an ancient author aptly describes it) a playful tragedy, \$ Its subjects were taken from the same class of adventures of Bacchus and the heroes, as tragedy; but they were so treated in connexion with rude objects of outward nature, that the presence and participation of rustic,

According to the verse: 'Hoinn pile Barabile as Xeigibes is earliens. For the most part, I say; for we shall see, when we come to the Alcestis of Euripides, that tetralogies occur, composed of tragedies alone.

o Itaifeora venyelia, Demetrius de Elocut. & 169. Comp. Hor. Art. P. 231,

petulant sayrs seemed quite appropriate. Accordingly, all seemes from free, untamed nature, adventures of a striking clamarter, where strange monaters or savage tyrants of mythology are overcome by valour or stratagem, belong to this class; and in such seemes as these the satyrs could express various feelings of terror and delight, disgust and desire, with all the openness and unreserve which belong to their character, All mythical subjects and characters were not therefore suited to the satyrie drama. The character best suited to this drama seems to have been the powerful here I fercules, an eater and drinker and boon companion, who, when he is in good humour, allows himself to be amused by the petulant sports of satyrs and other similar elves.

§ 9. The complete separation of the satyric drama from the other dramatic varieties is attributed by ancient grammariants to Patraxas or Plataus, and therefore a Dorian from Pelopumeaus, although he came forward in Athens as a rival of Cherrilina and Eschylus about Olymp. 70 (a. c. 500), and probably still earlier. He also wrote lyrie poems of the hyporchematic kind, "which are closely connected with the, satyrie drama pt and he moreover composed tragedies; but the chiefly excelled in the satyrie drama, is not the perfecting of which he probably followed native masters: for Philus was a neighbour of Corinth and Sieyon, which produced the tragedy of Arion and Epigenes, represented by satyrs. He bequeathed his art to his son Aristens, who, his his father, lived at Athens as a privileged alien, and obtained great fame on the Athenian stage in competition with Sophocles. The satyric pieces of these two Philasians were considered, together with those of Æschylas, as the best of their kind.

We are now come to the point where Æschylus appears on the tragic stage. Tragedy, as he received it, was still an infant, though a vigorious one; when it passed from his hands it had reached a firm and goodly youth. By adding the second actor, he first gave the dramatic element its due development; and at the same time he imparted to the whole piece the dignity and elevation of which it was susceptible.

We should now proceed immediately to this first great master of the trage art, if it were not first usersary, for the purpose of forming a correct conception of his tragedy, to obtain a distinct idea of the external appearance of this species of francia representation, and of the established forms with which every tragic post must comply. Much may indeed be gathered from the history of the origin of the tragic drama; but this not sufficient to give a full and lively notion of the manner in which a play of Asschylus was represented on the stage, and of the relation which its several parts bore to each other.

<sup>\*</sup> See ch. XII. 5 10.

<sup>†</sup> Perhaps the hyporcheme in Athen. XIV. p. 617. occurred in a satyric drama.

## CHAPTER XXII.

- § 1. Ideal character of the Greek tragedy; spinodid costume of the solors, § 2. Cothmum; maske, § 3. Streaters of the theater, § 4. Arrangemont of the octoberts in comession with the form and position of the cherns, § 5. Form of the stage, and it meeting in tragedy; § 6. Messing of the estimates of the stage, and it is meeting in tragedy. § 6. Messing of the estimates of the protagonial, desterragonial, fortigonial, fortigonial
- § 1. We shall now endeavour to arrive at a distinct conception of the peculiar character of ancient tragedy, as it appeared in those stable forms which the origin and taste of the Greeks impressed upon it.

The tragedy of antiquity was perfectly different from that which, in progress of time, arose among other nations, :—a picture of human life agitated by the passions, and corresponding, as accurately as possible, to its original in all its features. Ancient tragedy departs entirely from orilinary life; its character is in the highest degree ideas.

We must observe, first, that as tragedy, and indeed dramatic exhibitions generally, were seen only at the festivals of Buechan,\* the character of these festivals exercised a great influence on the drama. It retained as not of Baechie coloning; it appeared in the character of a Baechie sulemnity and diversion; and the extraordinary excitement of all minds at these festivals, by raising them above the tone of every existence, gave both to the tragic and the comic muse unwonted energy and fire.

The contume of the persons who represented tragedy was far removed from that free and natural character which we find raised to the perfection of beauty by the Greeks in the arts of design. It was a Bacchie festal costume. Almost all the setors in a tragedy wore long striped garments, resching to the ground, forew which were thrown upper

In Athens nor tragelier were acted at the Lemas and the great Diospia: the that being a most Drilland fervised, at which the dilice of Athens and many that the distribution of the din

<sup>†</sup> geröng nedigus, erekak

garments\* of purple or some other brilliant colour, with all sorts of gay trimmings and gold ornaments; the ordinary dress at Bacchic fress at processions and choral dances.† Nor was the Hercules of the stage represented as the sturdy atthictic hero whose huge limbs were only concealed by a lion's hide; he appeared in the rich and gaufy dress we have described, to which his distinctive sturbulest, the club and the bow, were merely added. The choruses, also, which were furnished by wealthy citizens under the appletation of choregir, in the names of the titbes of Athens, vied with each other in the splendour of their dress and ornaments, as well as in the excellence of their singing and dances.

§ 2. The chorus, which came from among the people at large, and

which always bore a subordinate part in the action of the tragedy, was in no respect distinguished from the stature and appearance of ordinary men.1 On the other hand, the actor who represented the god or hero, in whose fate the chorus was interested, needed to be raised, even to the outward sense, above the usual dimensions of mortals. A tragic actor was a very strange, and, according to the taste of the ancients themselves at a later period, a very monstrous being & His person was lengthened out considerably beyond the ordinary proportions of the human figure; in the first place by the very high soles of the tragic shoe, the cothurnus. and secondly by the length of the tragic mask, called onkes; and the chest and body, arms and legs, were stuffed and padded to a corresponding size. It was impossible that the body should not lose much of its natural flexibility, and that many of those slighter movements which, though barely perceptible, are very significant to the attentive observer, should not be suppressed. It followed that tragic gesticulation (which was regarded by the ancients themselves as one of the most important parts of the art) necessarily consisted of stiff, angular movements, in which little was left to the emotion or the inspiration of the moment. The Greeks, prone to vehement and lively gesticulation, had constructed a system of expressive gesture, founded on their temperament and manners. On the tragic stage this seemed raised to its highest pitch, corresponding always with the powerful emotions of the actors.

Masks, also, which originated in the taste for mumming and disguises of all sorts, prevalent at the Bacchic festivals, had become an

<sup>·</sup> inaria and xxamilie.

<sup>†</sup> This is evident from the detailed accounts of Pollux IV. c. 18, as well as from works of ancient art, representing scenes of tragedies, especially the monaics in the varkane, edited by Millin. See Description of time Mossaque antique du Musée Pio-Clémentin à Rome, représentant des accines de tragédies, par A. L. Millin, Paris, 1819.

<sup>†</sup> The opposition of the chorus and the scenic actors is generally that of the Homeric has and descrip-

<sup>\$ &#</sup>x27;Ως ειδιχείς και φιβιφόν είαμα, is the remark of Luciun de Saltat. c. 27. upon a tragic actor.

indispensable accompaniment to tragedy. They not only concealed the individual features of well-known actors, and enabled the spectators entirely to forget the performer in his part, but gave to his whole aspect that ideal character which the tragedy of antiquity demanded. The tragic mask was not, indeed, intentionally ugly and caricatured, like the comic : but the half-open mouth, the large eye-sockets, the sharply-defined features, in which every characteristic was presented in its utmost strength, the bright and hard colouring, were calculated to make the impression of a being agitated by the emotious and the passions of human nature in a degree far above the standard of common life. The loss of the usual gesticulation was not felt in uncient tragedy; since it would not have been forcible enough to suit the conception of an aucient hero, nor would it have been visible to the majority of the spectators in the vast theatres of antiquity. The unnatural effect which a set and uniform cast of features would produce in tragedy of varied passion and action, like ours, was much less striking in ancient tragedy; wherein the principal persons, once forcibly possessed by certain objects and emotions, appeared through the whole remaining piece in a state of mind which was become the habitual and fundamental character of their existence. It is possible to imagine the Orestes of Æschylus, the Ajax of Sophocles, the Medea of Euripides, throughout the whole tragedy with the same countenance, though this would be difficult in the case of Hamlet or Tasso. The masks could, however, be changed between the acts. so as to represent the necessary changes in the state or emotions of the persons. Thus in the tragedy of Sophocles, after King (Edipus knows the extent of his calamity and has executed the bloody punishment on himself, he appeared in a different mask from that which he wore in the confidence of virtue and of happiness.

We shall not enterinto the question whether the masks of the ancients were also framed with a view to increase the power of the roice. It is, at least, certain that the voices of the tragic actors had a strength and a metallic resonance, which must have been the result of practice, no less than of natural organization. Various technical expressions of the ancients demote this sort of tone, drawn from the eight of the chest,\* which filled the vast area of the their with a monotonous sort of chant. This, even in the ordinary dislogue, had more resemblance to singing than to the speech of common life; and in its unwearied uniformity and distinctly measured relythmical calence, must have secured like the voice of some more powerful and exalted being than earth could then produce, resonading through the ample space.

§ 3. But before we examine further into the impressions which the car received from the tragedy of antiquity, we must endeavour to complete the outline of those made upon the eye; and to give such an

<sup>\*</sup> Βομβοίν, λαγυγγίζων, especially λακοδίζων, αυροβίων τὰ ἐαμβοία in Lucian.

account of the place of representation and the scenle arrangements as properly belongs to a history of literature. The ancient theatres were stone buildings of enormous size, calculated to accommodate the whole free and adult population of a fercek city at the spectacles and festal games; for example, the 16,000 Athenian citizens, with the educated women and many foreigners. These theatres were not telegined exclusively for damnatic poetry; choraf dances, festal processions, and revels, all sorts of representations of polic life and popular assembles, were held in them. Hence we find theatres in every part of Greece, though dramatic poetry was the peculiar growth of Athens. Much, bowever, in theatrical architecture, such as it became in Athens, where the forms were determined by fixed rules, can only be explained by the adaptation of those forms to dramatic exhibitions.

The Athenians began to build their stone theatre in the temple of Dionyaws on the south side of the citalel, in 10/pmp. 70. 1. no. (500) the wooden scaffolding, from which the people had heretofore witnessed the games, having fallen down in that year. It must very soon have been so far completed as 'to render it possible for the master-pieces of the three great tragedinas to be represented in it; though perhaps the architectural decorations of all the parts were finished later. As early as the Peloponnesian war, singularly beautiful theatres were built in Pelononnessa and Scilly.

§ 4. The whole structure of the theater, as well as the drama itself, may be traced to the chorus, whose station was the original center of the whole performance. Around this all the rest was grouped. The orthestra (which occupied a circular level space in the centre, and, at the same time, at the bottom of the whole building) gree root of the chorus, or dancing place, of the Homeric times; I a level smooth space, large and wike enough for the unerstained movements of a numerous band of dancers. The altar of Dionysus, sround which the didlyrambic horus danced in a circle, had given rise to a sort of raised platform in the centre of the orchestra, the Thympele, which served as resting place for the chorus when it took up a stationary position. It was used In various ways, according to purposes required by the particular tracey; whether as a function monument, a terrace with altars, &c.;

<sup>\*</sup> To la Auntien Starpes OF to Anstern Starpes.

<sup>†</sup> Above, ch. III. § 6.

<sup>1</sup> is authient here briefly to remark, that the form of the ancient Attic theater should not be confounded with that usual in the Maccedonia period, in Alexandria, Antiochia, and similar cities. In the latter, the original orchestra was divided into three, and the half which was necest the stage, was by means of a platform of learth, counted to a spacious inferior stage, upon which the mines or planificar, as well as missions and alexance, playel; while the stage, atterity us called, called the stage of the work.

The chorus itself, in its transition from lyric to dramatic poetry, had undergone a total change of form. As a dithyrambic chorus, it moved in a ring around the altar which served as a centre, and had a completely independent character and action. As a dramatic chorus, it was connected with the action of the stage, was interested in what was passing there, and must therefore, of necessity, front the stage. Hence, according to the old grammarians, the chorus of the drama was quadrangular, i. e., arranged so that the dancers, when standing in their regular places in rows and groups (στίχοι and ζυγά), formed right angles. In this form it passed through the wide side entrances of the orchestra (the πάροδοι) into the centre of it, where it arranged itself between the thymele and the stage in straight lines. The number of dancers in the tragic chorus was probably reduced from fifty, the number of the choreutæ in the dithyramhic chorus, in the following manner. First, a quadrangular chorus, of forty-eight 'persons, was formed; and this was divided into four parts or sets which met together. This hypothesis will explain many difficulties; for example, how it is that, at the end of the Eumenides of Æschylus, two separate choruses, the Furies and the festal train, come on the stage together.\* The chorus of Æschylus accordingly consisted of twelve persons; at a later period Sophocles increased them to fifteen, which was the regular number in the tragedies of Sophocles and Enripides.†

The places occupied by the choral dancers were all determined by established usages, the main object of which was to afford the public the most favourable view of the chorus, and to bring into the foreground the handsomest and best dressed of the choreuter. The usual movements of the tragic chorus were solemn and stately, as beeiemed the dignified venerable persons, such as matrons and old men, who frequently appeared in them. The tragic style of dancing, called Emmeleria, is described as the most grave and soletne of the public dances.

§ 5. Although the chorus not only sang alone, when the actors had quitted the stage, but nometimes sang alternately with the persons of the drama, and sumetimes entered into dislogue with them, yet it died not, in general, sand on the same level with them, but on a related stage or platform, considerably higher than the orchestra. But as the orchestra and the stage were not only contiguous, but joined, our information on this point is by no means so clear as might be wished. To the eye of the specedator the relation in which the persons of the drama stood to the chorus was determined by their appearance, the

• The same fact also throws a light on the number of the chorus of comedy, twenty-four. This was half the trage chorus, since comedies were not acted by fours, but singly.

† The accounts of the ancient grammarians respecting the arrangements of the chorus refer to the chorus of filers persons; as their accounts respecting the arrangements of the stage refer to the three actors. The reason was, that the form of the Æach lean tragedy had become obsolete.

former, heroes of the mythical world, whose whole aspect bespoke something mightier and more sublime than ordinary humanity; the latter, generally composed of men of the people, whose part it was to show the impression made by the incidents of the drama on lower and feebler minds; and thus, as it were, interpret them to the audience, with whom they owned a more kindred nature. The ancient stage was remarkably long, but of little depth. It was but a small segment cut from the circle of the orchestra; but it extended on either side so far that its length was nearly double the diameter of the orchestra." This form of the stage is founded on the artistical taste of the ancients generally; and again, influenced their dramatic representation in a remarkable manner. As ancient sculpture delighted above all things in the long lines of figures, which we see in the pediments and friezes, and as even the painting of antiquity placed single figures in perfect outline near each other, but clear and distinct, and rarely so closely grouped as that one intercepted the view of another; so also the persons on the stage, the heroes and their attendants (who were often numerous), stood in long rows on this long and narrow stage. The persons who came from a distance were never seen advancing from the back of the stage. but from the side, whence they often had to walk a considerable distance before they reached the centre where the principal actors stood. The ohlong space which the stage formed was inclosed on three sides by high walls, the hinder one of which alone was properly called the Scene, the narrow walls on the right and left were styled Parascenia, the stage itself was called in accurate language, not scene, but Proscenium, because it was in front of the scene. Scene properly means a tent or hut, and such was doubtless erected of wood by the earliest beginners of dramatic performances, to mark the dwelling of the principal person represented by the actor. Out of this he came forth into the open space, and into this he retired again. 'And although this poor and small hut at length gave place to the

And although this poor and small hat at length gave place to the stately scene, euriched with architectural decorations, yet its purpose and destination remained essentially the same. It was the dwelling of four of it, and the continuation of this space was the orchestra. Thus the seene might represent a camp with the tent of the hero, as in the Ajax of Sophocles; a wild region of wood and rock, with a cave for a dwelling place, as in the Philotetes; but its usual purport and deco-

<sup>•</sup> Those readers who wish for more precise information about architectural measures and proportions may consult the beautiful plus given by Donaliban, in the property of th

ration were the front of a chieftain's palace with its colormades, roofs and towers, together with all the accessory buildings which could be creeted on the stage, with mofe or less off finish and of adaptation to the special exigencies of the tragedy. Sometimes also it exhibited at temple, with the buildings and arrangements apportaining to a Green sanctuary. But in every case it is the front alone of the palace or the temple that is seen, not the inteller.

In the life of antiquity, everything great and important, all the main actions of family or political interest, passed in the open air and in the view of men. Even social meetings took place rather in public halls, in market-places and streets, than in rooms and chambers; and the habits and actions, which were confined to the interior of a house, were never regarded as forming subjects for public observation. Accordingly, it was necessary that the action of the drama should come forth from the interior of the house; and tragic poets were compelled to comply strictly with this condition in the invention and plan of their dramatic compositions. The heroic personages, when about to give utterance to their thoughts and feelings, came forth into the court in front of their houses. From the other side came the chorus out of the city or district in which the principal persons dwelt; they assembled, as friends or neighbours might, to offer their counsel or their sympathy to the principal actors on the stage, on some open space; often a market-place designed for popular meetings; such as, in the monarchical times of Greece, was commonly attached to the prince's palace.

Far from shocking received notions, the performance of choral dances in this place was quite in accordance with Greek usages. Anciently, these market-places were specially designed for numerous popular choruses; they even themselves bore the name of chorus.\* When the stage and the whole theatre had been adapted for this kind of representation, it was necessary that comedy also should conform to it; even in those productions which exclusively represented the incidents and passions of private and domestic life. In the imitations of the later Attic comedy which we owe to Plautus and Terence, the stage represents considerable portions of streets; the houses of the persons of the drama are distinguishable, interspersed with public buildings and temples; every thing is arranged by the poet with the utmost attention to effect; and generally to nature and probability, so that the actors, in all their goings and comings, their entrances and exits, their meetings in the streets and at their doors, may disclose just so much of their sentiments and their projects as it is necessary or desirable for the spectator to know.

§ 6. The massive and permanent walls of the stage had certain openings which, although differently decorated for different pieces, were

never changed. Each of these entrances to the stage had its established and permanent signification, and this enabled the spectator to apprehend many things at the first glauce, which he must have otherwise gradually made out in the course of the piece; since contrivances similar to our play-bills were unknown to the ancients. On the other hand, the audience came furnished with certain preliminary information concerning what they were about to witness, by means of which the plot was far more clear to them than it can now be by mere reading. Of this kind was the distinct meaning attached to the right and the left side. The theatre at Athens was built on the south side of the Acropolis, in such a manner that a person standing on the stage saw the greater part of the city and the harbour on his left, and the country of Attica on his right. Hence, a man who entered on the right by the parascenia, was invariably understood to come from the country, or from afar; on the left, from the city, or the neighbourhood. The two side-walls always bore the same relation to each other in the arrangements, as to exterior or interior. Of course, the lower side entrance which led into the orchestra, stood in the same relation; but of these, the right one was little used, because the chorus generally consisted of inhabitants of the place, or of the immediate neighbourhood. The main wall, however, or the scene, properly so called, had three doors; the middle, which was called the royal door, represented the principal entrance to the palace. the abode of the prince himself; that on the right was held to be a passage leading without, especially to the apartments of the guests. which in Greek houses were often in a detached building appropriated to that purpose; that on the left, more towards the interior, leading to a part of the house not obvious to the first approach; such as a shrine. a prison, the apartments of the women, &c.

§ 7. But the Greeks carried still further this association of certain incidents or appearances. The moment an actor entered, they could decide upon his part and his relation to the whole drama. And here we come to the point in which the Greek drama seems the most fettered by inflexible rules, and forced into forms which appear, to our feelings, stiff and unmateral. Greein art, however, as we have often had occasion to remark, in all its manifestations, loves distinct and unvarying forms, which take possession of the mind with all the finere of habit, and immediately put it into a certain frame and temper. If, on the one hand, these forms appear to cramp the creative genius, to check the free course of the finery; on the other, works of art, which have a given measure, a prescribed form, to fill out, acquire, when this form is animated by a corresponding spirit, a peculiar stability which seems to raise them above the capricious and ephemeral productions of the human mind, and to assimilate them to the eternal

works of nature, where the most rigorous conformity to laws is com-

In the dramatic poetry of Greece, indeed, the outward form to which equinis is forced to adapt itself, appears the more rigid, and, we may say arbitrary, since, to the conditions imposed on the choice of thoughts, expression and metre, are added rules, prescribed by the local and personal character of the representation. With regard to the persons of the drams, the ancients show that historical taske which consists as a singular union of attachment to given forms, with aspiration after further progress. The antique type is never unnecessarily rejection ties rendered susceptible of a greater display of creative power by expansions which may be said to lie in its very nature.

We have seen how a single actor was detached from the chorus, and how Thespis and Phrynichus contented themselves with this arrangement, by causing him to represent in succession all the persons of the drama, and either before, or with the chorus, to conduct the whole action of the piece. Æschylus added the second actor, in order to obtain the contrast of two acting persons on the stage, since the general character of the chorus was that of a mere hearer or recipient; and although capable of expressing its own wishes, hopes, and fears, it was not adapted to independent action. According to this form, only two speaking persons (mutes might be introduced in any number) could appear on the stage at the same time :- they, however, might both enter again in other characters, time only being allowed for change of dress. The appearance of the same actor in different parts of the same play did not strike the ancients as more extraordinary than his appearance in different parts in different plays; since the persons of the actors were effectually disguised by masks, and their skill enabled them to represent various characters with perfect success. The dramatic art of those times required extraordinary natural gifts; strength of body and of voice, as well as a most careful education and training for the profession.

From the time of the great poets, and even later, in the age of Philip and Aksander, when the interest and character of dramatic performance rested entirely on the sciors, the number of actors cupable of satisfying the taste and judgment of the public was always very small. Hence, it was an object to turn the talents of the few eminent actors to the greatest possible account; and to prevent that fujury to the general effect which the interposition of inferior actors, even in subordinate parts, must ever produce; and, in fact, so often nowadays does produce. Even Sophoeles sidd not venture beyond the introduction of a third actor; this appeared to accomplish all that was necessary to the variety and mobility of action in tragedy, without scerificing the



simplicity and clearness which, in the good ages of antiquity, were always held to be the most essential qualities. Elschlyus adopted this third actor in the three connected plays, the Agamemnon, Chorphorne, and Eumenides; which he seems to have brought out at Athema at the end off his career. His other tragedies, which were performed earlier, are all so constructed that they could be represented by two actors. All the plays of Sophocies and Daripides are adapted for three actors only, excepting one, the Cidipus in Colonus, which could not be netted without the introduction of a fourth. The rich and intricate composition of this noble draws would have been impossible without this introduce in the second of the colonies himself does not appear to have durard to introduce it on the stage. It is known that the Cidipus in Colonus was not acted till after his death, when it was brought to the Soohocles the younger.

§ 8. But the ancients laid more stress upon the precise number and the mutual relations of these three actors than might be inferred from what has been said. They distinguished them by the technical names of Protagonistes, Deuteragonistes, and Tritagonistes. These names are used with different meanings. Sometimes the actors themselves are designated by their parts; as, for example, when Cleandrus is called the protagonist of Æschylus, and Myniscus his deuteragonist; or when Demosthenes, in his contest with Æschines, says, that to represent such a stern and cruel tyrant as Creon in the Antigone, is the peculiar glory and privilege of the tritagonist: Æschines himself having served under more distinguished actors as tritagonist. Sometimes the persons entering the stage are distinguished by these three names : as when Pollux the grammarian says, that the protagonist should always enter from the middle door; that the dwelling of the deuteragonist should be on the right hand, and that of the third person of the drama on the left. According to a passage in a modern Platonic philosopher.I important to the history of the ancient drama, the poet does not create the protagonist, deuters gonist, or tritagonist; he only gives to each of these actors his appropriate part.

This, and other expressions of the ancients have involved the subject in many perplexing difficulties, which it would detain us too long to examine in detail. Our purpose will be best accomplished by giving such a summary explanation as will enable these distinctions to be understood.

The prologue of the Prometheus appears, indeed, to require three actors for the parts of Prometheus, Hephaestus, and Crates; but these might have been so stranged, on an ot te require a third actor.

stranged, so as not be require a third actor.

1 Unless we assume that the part of Theseus in this play was partly acted by the person who represented Antigone, and partly by the person who represented Antigone, and partly by the person who represented Jamone. It is, herever, far more difficult for the oaters be represent one part in the same tone and spirit than for one actor to represent acceptar in the same tone and spirit, than for one actor to represent acceptar in the same tone and spirit, than for one actor to represent acceptar in the same tone and spirit than for one actor to represent acceptant in the same tone and spirit with the appropriate modification.

<sup>†</sup> Plotin Kancad. ii L. ii. p. 268. Basil. p. 484. Creuzer. Compore the note of Creuzer, vol. iii. p. 153, ed. Oxon.

The tragedy of antiquity originated in the delineation of a suffering or passion (πάθος), and remained true to its first destination. Sometimes it is outward suffering, danger, and injury; sometimes, rather inward; a fierce struggle of the soul, a grievous burthen on the spirit; but it is always one passion, in the largest sense of the word, which claims the sympathy of the audience. The person, then, whose fate excites this sympathy, whose outward or inward wars and conflicts are exhibited, is the protagonist. In the four dramas which require only two actors, the protagonist is easily distinguished; in the Prometheus, the chained Titan himself; in the Persians, Atossa, torn with anxiety for the fate of the army and the kingdom; in the Seven against Thebes, Eteocles driven by his father's curse to fratricide; in the Suppliants, Danaus, the fugitive, seeking a new home. The deuteragonist, in this form of the drama, is not, in general, the author of the sufferings of the protagonist. This is some external power, which, in these tragedies, is not brought to view. His only function is to call forth the expressions of the various emotions of the protagonist, sometimes by friendly sympathy, sometimes by painful tidings; as for example, in the Prometheus, Oceanus, Io, and Hermes, are all parts of the deuteragonist. The protagonist may also appear in other parts; but the tragedian generally sought to concentrate all the force and activity of the piece on one part. When a tritagonist is introduced, he generally acts as justigator or cause of the sufferings of the protagonist; although himself the least pathetic or sympathetic person of the drama. he is yet the occasion of situations by which pity and interest for the principal person are powerfully excited. To the deuteragonist fall the parts in which, though distinguished by a lofty ardour of feeling, there is not the vehemence and depth appropriate to the protagonist; feebler characters, with calmer blood and less daring aspiration of mind, whom Sophocles is fond of attaching to his heroes as a sort of foil, to bring out their full force. But even these sometimes display a peculiar beauty and elevation of character. Thus the gradation of these three kinds of parts depends on the degree in which the one part is calculated to excite pity and anxicty, and to command, generally, the sympathy of the audience. If we look over the titles of the plays of the three great tragedians, we shall find that, when they are not derived from the chorus, or the general subject of the piece, they always consist of the names of the persons to whom the chief interest attaches. Antigone, Electra, Œdipus, the king and the exile, Aiax, Philoctetes, Dejanira, Medea, Hecuba, Ion, Hippolytus, &c., are unquestionably all protagonistic parts.\*

A more detailed illustration of this point, which would lead to investigations into the structure of the several tragedies, is not consistent with the plan of the present work. We will, however, state the distribution of the parts in several tragedies, which seems to us the most probable. In the extant trilogy of Abchriss,



It was the great endeavour of Greek art to exhibit the character and rank of the individuals whom it grouped together, and to present to the eye a symmetrical image, corresponding with the idea of the action which was to be represented. The protagonist, as the person whose fate was the centra eraund which all revolved, must therefore occupy the centre of the stage; the deuteragonist and tritagonist approached him from either side. Hence it was an invariable rule for the protagonist never to leave the stage by either of the side-doors. If, however, he came from abroad, like Agamemon and Orestes in Xicchylus, he passed through the middle door into the interior of the palace, which was his abitation. With regard to the deuteragonist and tritagonist, many difficulties must have arisen from the local meaning attacked to the two wise doors; but, if space suffice for such detailed explanations, we might show, from numerous examples, how the tragic poets found means to field all these conditions.

§ 9. Changes of scene were very seldom necessary in ancient tragedy. The Greek tragedies are so constructed that the speeches and actions, of which they are mainly composed, might with perfect propriety pass on one spot, and indeed ought generally to pass in the court in front of the royal house. The actions to which no speech is attached, and which do not serve to develope thoughts and feelings, (such as Eleodes' combat with his brother; the murder of Agamemnon; Antigone's performance of the obsequies of Polyneies, &c.), are magnified to pass behind or without the scene, and are only related on the stage. Hence the importance of the parts of messengers and heralds in ancient tragedy. The poet was not influenced only by the reason given by Hornes, 'viz., that bloody spectacles and incredible events excile less borror and doubt when related, and ought therefore not to be produced on the stage: there was also the fir deeper general reason, that it is never the outward act with which the interest of auclent

the problem must be to preserve the same part for the same actor through all the three plays.

```
Agamemoo, Z. Derierge, Casandia, Ægithus, Kangdoo, Derierge, Casandia, Ægithus, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Choise, Chiemaetta, Guithus, Kangdoo, Treing, Chiemaesta, female attendant.

Bumenides Derierge, Alexander Honder, Chiemaetta, Athene.
For Suphoels, the Anna, Pythias, Chiemaetta, Athene.
Theorem, Pythias, Chiemaetta, Athene.
Antigone, Prince, Treins, Wuryller, Kangdoo, Derierge, Innees, Suryller, Kangdoo.
Theory, Chemae, Tweels, Wuryller, Kangdoo.
Theory, Chemae, Tweels, Wuryller, Kangdoo.
Theory, Chemae, Treins, Chiemaetta, sevand, Eangdoo.
Theory, Chemaetta, sevand, tennale, Chiemaetta, Sangdoo.
Theory, Chemaetta, Sevand, Sevand, Sangdoo.
Theory, Chemaetta, Sevand, Sevand, Sangdoo.
```

\* Art. Poet, 180. sq.

tragedy is most intimately bound up. The action which forms the basis of every tragedy of those times is internal and spiritual; the reflections, resolutions, feelings, the mental or moral phenomena, which can be expressed in speech, are developed on the stage. For outward action, which is generally mute, or, at all events, cannot be adequately represented by words, the epic form-narration-is the only appropriate vehicle. Battles, single combats, murders, sacrifices, funerals, and the like, whatever in mythology is accomplished by strength of hand, passes behind the scenes; even when it might, without any considerable difficulty, be performed in front of them. Exceptions, such as the chaining of Prometheus, and the suicide of Ajax, are rather apparent than real, and indeed serve to coufirm the general rule; since it is only on account of the peculiar psychological state of Prometheus when bound, and of Ajax at the time of his suicide, that the outward acts are brought on the stage. Moreover, the costume of tragic actors was calculated for impressive declamation, and not for action. The lengthened and stuffed out figures of the tragic actors would have had an awkward, not to say a ludicrous effect, in combat or other violent action.\* From the sublime to the ridiculous would here have been but one step, which antique tragedy carefully avoided risking.

Thus it was rather from reasons inherent in its nature, than from obedience to prescribed rules, that Greek tragedy observed, with few exceptions, unity of plan; and hence it required no arrangement for a complete change of scenic decorations, which was first introduced in the Roman theatre. † In Athens all the necessary changes were effected by means of the Periacta, erected in the corners of the stage. These were machines of the form of a triangular prism, which turned round rapidly and presented three different surfaces. On the side which was supposed to represent foreign parts, it afforded at each turn a different perspective view, while, on the home side, some single near object alone was changed. For example, the transition from the temple of Delphi to the temple of Pallas on the Acropolis of Athens, in the Eumenides of Æschylus, was effected in this manner. No greater change of scene than this takes place in any extant Greek tragedy. Where different but neighbouring places are represented, the great length of the stage sufficed to contain them all, especially as the Greeks required no exact and elaborate imitation of reality: a slight indication was sufficient to set in activity their quick and mobile imaginations. In the Ajax of Sophocles, the half of the stage on the left hand represents the Grecian camp; the tent of Ajax, which must be in the centre, terminates the right wing of this camp; on the right, is

<sup>\*</sup> According to Lucian, Somnium sive Gallus, c. 26, it was ludicrous to see a person fall with the colburnus.

<sup>†</sup> The scene ductilis and rereilis,

seen a lonely forest with a distant view of the sea; here Ajax enters when he is about to destroy himself; so that he is visible to the audience, but cannot for s long time be seen by the Chorus, which is in the side space of the orchestra.

\$ 10. On the other hand, ancient tragedy was required to fulfil another condition, which could only co-exist with such a conception of the locality as has been just described. It is this: the proscenium or stage represents a space in the open air: what passes here is in public; even in confidential discourse the presence of witnesses is always to be feared. But it was occasionally necessary to place before the spectator a scene which was confined to the interior of the house; for example, when the plan and the idea of the piece required what is called a tragic situation, that is, a living picture, in which a whole series of affecting images are crowded together. Scenes of this tremendous power are: that in which Clytæmnestra with the bloody sword stands over the bodies of Agamemnon and Cassandra, holding the garment in which she has entangled her unfortunate husband; and, in the succeeding tragedy of the same trilogy, that in which Orestes is seen on precisely the same spot, where the same bathing robe now covers the bodies of Ægisthus and Clytæmnestra. Or, in the tragedy of Sophocles, Ajax, standing among the animals which he has slaughtered in his frenzy, taking them for the princes of the Greek host, and now, sunk in the deepest melancholy, contemplates the effects of his madness, It is easy to perceive that it is not the acts themselves in the moment of execution; but the circumstances, arising out of those acts when accomplished, which occupied the reflections and the feelings of the chorus and of the audience. To bring on the stage groups like these, (in the choice and disposition of which we recognize the plastic genius of the age that produced a Phidias.) and to bring to view the interior of dwellings hidden behind the scenes, machines were used, called Eccyclema and Exostra (the one being rolled, the other pushed forward). It were presumptuous to attempt to describe the construction of these machines from the slight indications we could gather from the grammarians; but their working may be clearly perceived in the tragedians themselves. The side doors of a palace or tent are thrown open, and in the same moment an inner chamber with its appropriate decorations is distinctly seen on the stage, where it remains as a central point of the dramatic action, till the progress of the drama requires its disappearance in the same manner. We may fairly presume that these local representations were far from rude or tasteless; that they were worthy of the feeling for beauty, and the fancy of the age and nation which produced them; especially in the latter years of Æschylus, and during the whole career of Sophocles, when the mathematicians, Anaxagoras and Democritus, had begun to study

perspective with a view to the stage; while the scene-painting of Agatharchus gave rise to a peculiar branch of that art, which, by "means of light and shadow, produced more perfect imitations of real bodies than had been heretofore known.

Machinery for raising figures from beneath the stage, or bearing them through the air, for the initiation of thunder and lightning, &c. arrived at sufficient perfection in the time of the three great tragedines to accomplish its end. The tragedies of Eschylus, especially Prometheus, prove that he was not unjustly reproached with a great love for fantastic opperamence; such as winged cars, and strange hippographs, an which deities, like Oceanus and his daughters, were borne on the stage.

§ 11. We believe that we have now brought before our readers the principal features of Greek tragedy, such as it appeared to the speciator when represented in the theatre. But it is equally necessary, before we renture upon an estimate of the several tragedians, to offer some remarks on the combination of the several parts or elements of a Greek tragedy, since this also involves much that is not implied in the general notion of a drama, and ear only be clustifiated by the peculiar historical origin of the tragic art in Green.

Ancient Grecian tragedy consists of a union of lyric poetry and framatic discourse, which may be analyzed in different ways. The chorus may be distinguished from the actors, song from dialogue, the vrical element from the strictly dramatic. But the most convenient distinction, in the first place, is that suggested by Aristotle, + between the song of many voices and the song or speech of a single person. The first belongs to the chorus only; the second to the chorus or the actors, The many-voiced songs of the chorus have a peculiar and determinate ignification for the whole tragedy. They were called stasimon when hey were sung by the chorus in its proper place, in the middle of the rchestra, and parodos when sung by the chorus while advancing brough the side entrance of the orchestra, or otherwise moving towards he place where it arranged itself in its usual order. The difference etween the parodos and the stasimon consists mainly in this,-that the former more frequently begins with long series of anapæstic systems, which were peculiarly adapted to a procession or march; or a system of this sort was introduced between the lyrical songs. As to the signification of these songs, the situation of the actors, and the action itself, form the subjects of reflection, and the emotions which they excite in a sympathizing and benevolent mind are expressed. The parodos chiefly explains the entrance of the chorus and its sympathy in the business of he drama, while the stasima develop this sympathy in the various forms

<sup>\*</sup> Called sunsycapia or sunycapia.

which the progress of the action causes it to assume. As the chorus, generally, represented the ideal spectator, whose mode of viewing things was to guide and control the impressions of the assembled people, so it was the peculiar province of the stasimon, amidst the press and tumult of the action, to maintain that composure of mind which the Greeks deemed indispensable to the enjoyment of a work of art; and to divest the action of the accidental and personal, in order to place in a clearer light its inward signification and the thoughts which lay beneath the surface. Stasima, therefore, are only introduced in pauses, when the action has run a certain course; the stage is often perfectly clear, or, if any persons have remained on it others come on who were not in connexion with them before, in order that they may have time for the change of costume and masks. In this manner these songs of the assembled chorus divide the tragedy into certain parts, which may be compared to the acts of modern plays, and from which the Greeks called the part before the parodos the prologue, the parts between the parodos and the stasima, episodia, the part after the last stasimon, exodus. The chorus appears in this kind of songs in its appropriate character, and is true to its destination, viz., to express the sentiments of a pious, well-ordered mind in beautiful and noble forms. Hence this part of aucient tragedy, both in matter and form, has the greatest resemblance to the choral lyrics of Stesichorus, Pindar, and Simonides. The metrical form consists of strophes and antistrophes, which are connected in simple series, without any artificial interweaving, as in the choral lyric poetry. Instead, however, of the same scheme of strophes and antistrophes being preserved through a whole stasimon, it is changed with each pair. Nor are there epodes after every pair of strophes; but only at the close of the odc.\* This change of metre (which seems also to have been occasionally connected with an alteration of the musical mode) was used to express a change in the ideas and feelings; and hercin the dramatic lyric poetry differs essentially from the Pindaric. For whereas the latter rests on one fundamental thought and is essentially pervaded by one tone of feeling, the dramatic lyric, containing allusions to past and to coming events, and subject to the influence of various leadings to the several interests which are opposed on the stage, undergoes changes which often materially distinguish the beginning from the end. The rhythmical treatment of the several parts, too, is generally less that artificial combination of various elements which we find in the works of the abovementioned masters of choral lyric poetry, than a working out of one

<sup>•</sup> The epodes, which are apparently in the middle of a long choral song (as in Sect.) Again. 140—29. Dindorf.) form the conclusion of the periods. In the intender, the period of the conclusion of the periods. In the intender, and in the period of the periods of the periods of the periods of the periods of the period of the periods o

theme, often with few variations. It is as if we heard the passionate song rushing in a mighty torrent right onwards, while the stream of Pindar's verse winds its mazy way through all the deep and delicate intricacies of thought. Without venturing upon the extensive and difficult subject of the difference between the rhythmical structure of lyric and tragic choral verse, we may remark that, as the tragedians used not only the Pindaric measures, but also those of the older Ionic and Æolic lyric poets, they observe very different rules in the combination of series and verses. To make this clear, it would be necessary to go into all the nicetics of the theory of the Greek metres.

6 12. The pauses which the choral songs produced naturally divided tragedy into the parts already mentioned, prologue, episodia, and exodus. The number, length, and arrangement of these parts admit of an astonishing variety. No numerical rule, like that prescribed by Horace, here confines the natural development of the dramatic plan.

The number of choral songs was determined by the number of stages in the action calculated to call forth reflections on the human affections. or the laws of fate which governed the events. These again depend on the plot, and on the number of persons necessary to bring it about. Sophocles composed some intricate tragedies, with many stages of the action and many characters, like the Antigone, which is divided into seven acts; and some simple, in which the action passes through few hut carefully worked-out stages, like the Philoctetes, which contains only one stasimon, and therefore consists of three acts, inclusive of the prologue. Long portions of a tragedy may run on without any such pause, and form an act. In the Agamemnon of Æschylus, the choral song which precedes the predictions of Cassandra is the last stasimon.+ These prophecies coincide so closely with their fulfilment by the death of Agamemnon, and the emotions which they excite are so little tranquillizing, that there is no opportunity for another stasimon. In Sophocles' Œdipus at Colonus, the first general choral song (that is to say, the parodos, in the meaning above given to it) occurs after the scene in which Theseus promises to Œdipus shelter and protection in Attica.! Hitherto the chorus, vacillating between horror of the accursed and pity for his woes; first fearing much, then hoping greatly from him; is in a state of restless agitation, and can by no means attain to the serenity and composure which are necessary to enable it to discern the hand of an overruling power.

& 13. As to the combination of the episodia or acts, the lyric may

<sup>\*</sup> Art. Poet. 299. Neve minor, neu sit quinto productior actu Fabula, quæ posci vult et spectata reponi.

<sup>+</sup> V. 975-1032. Dindorf.

V. 668-719. Dindorf. This ode is called the grapeles of the Œdipus Coloneus in Plutarch An Seni sit ger. Resp. 3.

here be far more intimately blended with the dramatic than in the choral songs of which we have hitherto treated. Wherever the discourse does not express subjects of the intellect, but feelings, or impulses of lively emotion, it becomes lyrical, and finds utterance in song. Such songs, which do not stand between the steps or pauses of the action, but enter into the action itself (inasmuch as they determine the will of the actors), may belong to the persons of the drama, to the chorus, or to both; but in no case can they be given to a full chorus. The third kind of these songs is, in its origin, the most remarkable and important, and unquestionably had place in the early lyrical tragedy. The name of this song, common to the actors and the chorus, is commos, which properly means planctus, "the wailing for the dead." The wail over the dead is therefore the primary form from which this species of odes took its rise. The liveliest sympathy with suffering constantly remains the main ingredient of the commos; although the endeayour to incite to an action, or to bring a resolution to maturity, may be connected with it. The commos often occupies a considerable part of a tragedy, especially those of Æschylus; as for instance, in the Persians and the Choephora, Such a picture of grief and suffering, worked out in detail, was an essential part of the early tragedies. In a commos, moreover, the long systems of artfully interwoven strophes and antistrophes had an appropriate place; since in representation they derived a distinctness and effect from the corresponding movements of the persons of the drama and of the chorus, which is necessarily lost to us in the mere perusal. We find a variety of the commos in scenes where the one party appears in lyrical excitement, while the other enounces its thoughts in ordinary language; whence a contrast arises which produces deeply affecting scenes even in Æschylus, as in the Agamemnon t and the Seven against Thebes. 8 But the chorus itself. when agitated by violent and conflicting emotions, may carry on a lyrical dialogue; and hence arose a peculiar kind of choral poetry, in which the various voices are easily recognized by the broken phrases now repeating, now disputing, what has preceded. Long lyric dialogues of this sort, in which all or many voices of the chorus are distinguished, are to be found in Æschylus, and have been noticed by the ancient commentators. || Succeeding tragedians appear to have employed these choral

Æsch. Pers. 907—1076. The extire exodus is a commos.
 † Æsch. Chorph. 306—478.

<sup>\*</sup> Asch. Agam. 1069-1177, where the lyrical excitement gradually passes from Cassandra to the chorus.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Æsch. Sept. cont. Theb. 369-708, through nearly the whole episodion. Comp.

<sup>3.</sup> Abstract Section 1998. As the Section 1998 and Theb. 94. Instances are furnished by Euro. 15 Sec Sebol. Arch. Euro. 139, and Theb. 97. Instances are furnished by Euro. 140—77, 253—73, 777—92, 856—46. Theb. 77—181. Suppl. 1019—74. The decitions frequently denote these simple rotices by hemistonia; but the division of the chorus into two equal parts, called h<sub>e</sub>/q<sub>e</sub> in Pollux, only occurred in certain rare circumstances, as in Exch. Theb. 1006. Suph. h<sub>e</sub>) Sec. 1006. Suppl. h<sub>e</sub>)

songs exclusively in connexion with commi, and bring forward only a few single voices out of the whole chorus.\* When the chorus enters the orchestra, not with a song of many voices, sung in regular rows, but in broken ranks, with a song executed in different parts, the choral ode consists of two portions; first, one resembling a commos, which accompanies this irregular eutrance; and, secondly, one like a stasimon, which the chorus does not execute till it has fallen into its regular order. Examples are to be found in the Eumenides of Æschylus and the Œdipus Coloneus of Sophocles,† The tragedians have also interspersed separate smaller choral songs, which the ancients expressly distinguish from the stasima, and which are properly designated by the word Hyporchemes; & songs which depict an enthusiastic state of feeling, and were united with expressive animated dances, of a kind very different from the ordinary grave Emmeleia. They are frequently used by Sonhocles in suitable places, to mark a strong but transitory sentiment. On the other hand, lyrical parts were sometimes allotted to the persons of the drama: these were in general called ἀπὸ σκηνής, and were either distributed into dialogues or delivered by single performers. Long airs of this sort, called Monodies, in which one person. generally the protagonist of the drama, abandons himself, without restraint, to his emotions, form a principal feature in the tragedies of Euripides. As the regular return of fixed musical modes and rhythms was not reconcileable with the free utterance and almost uncontrollable current of such passionate outpourings, the antistrophe gradually disappeared, and the almost infinitely irregular rhythmical structures (called ἀπολελυμένα), in the style of the later dithyrambics, came into use. The artificial system of regular forms, to which Greek art (and more particularly that of the earlier periods) completely subjected the expression of feeling and passion, was here completely swept away by the torrent of human affections and desires, and a kind of natural freedom was established.

As to what regards the detail of rhythmical forms, it is sufficient for

- \* As in Soph. Œd. Col. 117, sqq. Eurip. Ion. 184, sqq.
- † In the Eumenides of Aschylus, the expression χωρι άψωμις, v. 307, denotes this regular disposition of the chorus.
- Schol. Soph. Trach. 205. Similar odes in Aj. 693. Phil, 391. 827.
- δ Which occurs in Teetzes, σερὶ σραγιαῖε σωίσεως, in Cramer Anecd. Vol. iii. p. 346.
- || The bypochemes, however, can scarcely be distinguished from the songs resembling the common, since in the latter the entire choras could handly have joined in the song and dance. In the commanic odes in the Seven against Thebes of Zheshylas, especially in the first, v.78—181, a dancer named Tel-sixe (probably as leader of the chorus) represented, by means of mimic dances, the scenes of war described in the poetry, Athen. 1, p. 22. A.
- ¶ Aristophanes says of him, that he ἀνίσειψει (τὰν τραγολίαν) μειφλίαις, Καφισαφώντα μογούς; Cephisophon being his chief actor. Ran. 944. cf. 874.

our purpose to remark, that all the earlier lyrical measures might be used for the songs of a single person of the chorus or the stage, as well as for the stasima; but that, generally, grave and solomn forms were applicable only to the songs of the whole chorus; and that lighter and more sprightly measures, more suited to the expression of emotion and affection, prevailed in the monodies. Hence the rhythms of the Doric mode, known from Pindar, are found only in the stasima: not in commi and songs and σκηνής, which afford no place where this mode could sustain its peculiar character.\* On the other hand, dochmiat are admirably fitted, by their rapid movement and the apparent antipathy of their elements, to depict the most violent excitement of the human mind; while the great variety of form which may be developed from them, lends itself equally to the expression of stormy passion and of deep melancholy. Tragedy has no form more peculiarly her own, nor more characteristic of her entire being and essence. A fixed difference in the metrical forms of the commos and the ἀπὸ σκηνῆς is not perceptible; we only know from Aristotle, that certain modes were peculiar to certain persons of the drama, in consequence of the peculiar energy or pathos of the character, which appeared suited to the acting or suffering heroes or heroines of the drama, but not to the merely sympathizing chorus.\$

\$ 14. All the odes we have hitherto described are properly of a musical nature, called mele by the ancients; they were sung to an accompaniment of instruments, among which sometimes the cithara and lyre, sometimes the flute predominated. Other pieces belong to that middle kind, between song and speech, of which we have spoken in treating of the rhapsodic recitation of the epos, the elegy, and the iambus.§ The anapæstic systems, which were chanted sometimes by the chorus, sometimes by the actors, but properly as an accompaniment to a marching movement, either of entrance or exit, escort or salutation, recall the Spartan marching songs. We can hardly imagine them as set to regular melodies, nor yet as delivered in common speech. In the early tragedy they are allotted, in long systems, as a portion of the parodos. to the chorus when entering in rank and file. Hexameters were sometimes recited by the actors in announcing important tidings, or uttering serious reflections; where the peculiar dignity and gravity of this

<sup>\*</sup> Plutarch de musica 17, indeed, says that even σχαιομικί οἶκτω, i. c. commoi, were originally set in the Doric mode; but this must refer to the tragedians before

<sup>†</sup> The main form is  $0 \le 2 \le 2$ ; an antispastic composition, in which the area of the lambic and that of the trochaic part coincided.

<sup>1</sup> Aristot. Probl. xix. 43. 6 Ch. 4, 5 3, ch. 10, 5 2.

H Ch. 14 & 2.

majestic measure produced great effect.\* The usual trochuic verses which were allied to dialogue admitted of a higher-toned recitation, and especially of a more lively gesticulation, like that used in dancing; as we have already had occasion to remark.

§ 15. We now come to the Epeisodia, where the predominant character is not, as in the parts we have hitherto considered, the feeling, but the intellect, which, by directing the will, seeks to render external things subject to itself, and the opinions of others conformable to its own. This was originally the least important element. The variety of forms of discourse which tragedy exhibits grew by degrees out of mcre narration. Here also the chorus forms no contrast to the persons of the drama. It is itself, as it were, an actor. The dialogues which it holds with the persons on the stage are, however, necessarily carried on, except in a few cases, t not by all its members, but by its leader. Rare examples, and those only in Æschylus, are to be found, in which the members of the chorus converse among themselves; as in the Agamemnon, where the twelve chorente deliver their thoughts as twelve actors might do:f others, in which they express their opinions individually, in the form of dialogue with a person on the stage.§ The arrangement of the dialogue is remarkable for that studious attention to regularity and symmetry which distinguishes Greek art. The opinions and desires which come into conflict are, as it were, poised in a balance throughout the whole dialogue; till at length some weightier reason or decision is thrown into one of the scales. Hence the frequent scenes so artfully contrived in which verse answers to verse, like stroke to stroke; | and again, others in which two, and sometimes more, verses are opposed to each other in the same manner. Even whole scenes, consisting of dialogue and lyrical parts, are sometimes thus symmetrically contrasted, like strophes and antistrophes.

The metre generally used in this portion of ancient tragedy was, as we have a hready remarked, in early times the Trochaic tetrameter, which, in the extant tragedies, is found only in dialogues full of lively emotion, and in many does not occur at all. The Persians of Æstolyus—probably the earliest tragedy we posses,—contains the greatest number of trochaic passages. On the other hand, the Iambic trimeter, which Archicloshas had fashioned into a weapon of seom and ridicule,

<sup>•</sup> See Soph. Phil. 839. Eurip. Phaethon, fragm. c cod. Paris. v. 65. (fragm. 2. ed. Dindorf.)

<sup>†</sup> As Æsch. Pers. 154, yeins abrit marrae ublesse messaulas.

<sup>7</sup> Æsch. Agam. 1346—71. The three preceding trochaic verses, by which the consultation is introduced, are spoken by the three first persons of the chorus alone. & Æsch. Agam. 1047—1113.

Il These single verses were called erry motion.

As in the Electra of Sophocles, v. 1398-1421, and v. 1422-41, correspond.

was converted, by judicious alterations in the treatment, leaving list influndamental character unchanged, into the best metrical form for a vigorous, animated, and yet serious conversation. But in the works of a Eschyta is timely a greater elevation above cordinary protes that in those of his predecessors; not only from the stately sound of the intense of his predecessors; not only from the stately sound of the pauses in the sense with the ends of verses, by which the several verses stand out distinct. The later trapedians not only made the construction of the verses more varied, light, and voluble, but also divided and connected them more frequently according to the endings and beginnings of sentences; whereby the dislogue acquired an expression of freer and more neartern movement.

After having thus juvestigated and analyzed in detail the forms in which the tragic poet had to embody the creations of his genuis, we should naturally proceed to investigate the essence of a Greek tragedy, following the track indicated by the eelebrated definition of Arisotle, "Tragedy is the initiation of some action that is serious, entire, and of a proper magnitude; effecting through pity and terror the refinement of these and similar affections of the soul."

But this cannot be done till we have examined more closely the plan and contents of separate tragedies of Æschylus and Sophoeles. We shall therefore best accomplish our aim by proceeding to consider the peculiar character of Æschylus as presented to us by his life and works.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

- § 1. Life of Æschylus. § 2. Number of his tragedies, and their distribution including in. § 3. Outline of his tragedies; the Persians, § 4. The Phiness and the Glascus Peulius. § 5. The Æsmens venens. § 5. The Serven against Problems, § 7. The Superjanus. § 6. The Serven against the English of the English of the English of the Peulius § 7. The Cheiphare § 13. The Kunsmidter, and the Problem. § 14. General Association of the porty of Æschylus. § 15. His latter years and death.
- § 1. ÆSCRYAUS, the son of Euphorion, an Athenian, from the hamlet of Eleusis, was, according to the most authentic record, born in Olymp. 63.4. a.c. 525.† He was therefore thirty-five years old at the time of the battle of Marathon, and forty-five years old at the time of the battle of Salamis. Accordingly, he was among the Greeks who were contemporary, in the fullest sense of the word, with these great events,
- † The celebrated chronological inscription of the island of Paros states the year of his death and his age, whence the year of his birth can be determined.

and who had felt them with all the emotions of a patriotic spirit. His opinish speaks only of his fame in the battle of Marsthon, not of the spiritsh peaks only of his fame in the battle of Marsthon, in the first of the glories in poetic contests. \* Zischylus belonged completely to the race of the warriors of Marsthon, in the sense which this appellation born the time of Aristophanes; those patriotic and heroic Athenians, of the ancient stamp, from whose manyland honourable character sprang and the glory and greatness which were so rapidly developed in Athens after the Persian war.

Æschylus, like almost all the great masters of poetry in ancient Greece, was a poet by profession; he had chosen the exercise of the tragic art as the business of his life. This exercise of art was combined with the training of choruses for religious solemnities. The tragic, like the comic, poets were essentially chorus teachers. When Æschylus desired to represent a tragic poem, he was obliged to repair, at the proper time, to the Archon, who presided over the festivals of Bacchus,† and obtain a chorus from him. If this public functionary had the requisite confidence in the poet, he granted him the chorus; that is to say, he assigned him one of the choruses which were raised, maintained, and fitted out by the wealthy and ambitious citizens, as choregi, in the name of the tribes or Phylæ of the people. The principal business of Æschylus then was to practise this chorus in all the dauces and songs which were to be performed in his tragedy; and it is stated that Æschylus employed no assistant for this purpose, but arranged and conducted the whole himself.

Thus far the tragic was upon the same footing as the lyric, especially the dithyramble, poet, since the latter received his dithyramble chorus in the same manner, and was likewise required to instruct it. The tragic poet, however, also required actors, who were justin, not by the choregus, but by the state, and who were assigned by lot to the poet, in case he was not already provided. For some poets had actors, who were attached to them, and who were precludily practised in their pieces; thus Clemadrus and Myniscus sected for Æischylus. The practising or rehearanal of the piece was always considered the most important, because the public and official part of the business. Whoever thus brought out upon the stage a piece which had not been performed before, obtained the rewards offered by the state for it, or the prize, if the play was successful. The poet, who merely composed it in the play was successful.

Cynegeirus, the enthusiavtic fighter of Marathon, is called the brother of Æschylos: it is certain that his father was named Euphorion, Herod, VL 114, with Valcknaner's note. On the other hand, Ameinias, who began the battle of Salamis, cannot well have been a brother of Æschius, since he belonged to the deme of Pallene, while Æschylus belonged to the deme of Elleusis.

<sup>†</sup> This was for the great Dionysia, the first Archen, & Δεχων κατ' ὶξεχές; for the Lenea, the second, the basileus.

solitude of his study, could by no claim to the rewards due for its public exhibition.

§ 2. These statements show that the exercise of the tragic art was the sole occupation of a man's life, and (from the great fertility of the ancient poets) absorbed every faculty of his mind. There were extant in antiquity seventy dramas of Æschylus; and among these the satyric dramas do not appear to be included. All these plays fall in the period between Olymp. 70. 1. s. c. 500, and Olymp. 81. 1. s. c. 456. In the former of these years, Æschylus, then in his twenty-fifth year, first strove with Pratinas for the prize of tragedy, (upon which occasion the ancient scaffolding is said to have given way.) and in the latter year the poet died in Sicily. Accordingly he produced seventy tragedies in a period of forty-four years. That the excellence of these works was generally recognized is proved by the fact of Æschylus having obtained the prize for tragedy thirteen times.† For, since at every contest he produced three tragedies, it follows that more than half his works were preferred to those of his competitors, among whom there were such eminent poets as Phrynichus, Chœrilus, Pratinas, and Sophocles; the latter of whom had, at his first representation, in Olymp. 77. 4. s. c. 493, obtained the prize from Æschylus.

It has been already stated that Bischylus composed three tragedies for every tragic contest in which he appeared as competitor; and to these, as was also remarked, a satyric drama was annexed. In making this combination, Eschylus followed a custom which had probably grown up before his time, and which was retained as long as tragedy continued to flourish in Athens. But Bischylus differed from his successors in this, that his three tragedies formed a whole, connected, in subject and plan; while Sophocles began to oppose three separate tragedies to an equal number produced by his rivals.§ We should be at a loss to understand by what means the three pieces composing the trilogy were formed into a connected series, without depriving each piece of its individual character, if we were not so fortunate as to

In the much contested passage at the end of the Fita Azekyli, should probably be written: [winer heighers hishears libburgares as let veter paragraid applica wire.] 'He composed To dramas, and also satyle dramas five are acribed to him of doubtful authority.' The extant titles of dramas of Azekylus are, including the satyle drama, about 38.

<sup>†</sup> According to the life. First in Olymp. 73, 4 according to the Parian murble.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The calculation is indeed rendered somewhat uncertain by the fact that Ruphs-rino, the son of Rehydrus, gained the prise four times after his father's death, with dramas which had been boquasthed to him by his father, and which had not been body to the state of the state of

δ This is the meaning of the words, δεθμα σχός δεθμα άγωνίζεται, άλλὰ μὰ τελογίαν. Suidas in Σοριαλές.

possess a trilogy of Æschylus, in his Agameinnon, Choëphoræ, and Eumenides. The best illustration of the nature of a trilogy will therefore be a short analysis of these dramas, and accordingly we proceed to give an account of his extant works.

§ 3. Of the early part of the career of Æschylus we do not possess a single work. All his extant dramas are of a later date than the battle of Salamis. Probably his early works contained little to attract the taste of the later Greeks.

The earliest of the extant works of Exchylus is probably the Persians, which was performed in Olymp, 76.4. n. c. 472; a piece unique in its kind, which appears, at a first glance, more like a lament over the misfortunes of the Persians than a tragic drama. But we are led to modify this opinion, on considering the connexion of the perts of the triloger, which is appeared in the drama itself.

We will give an outline of the plan of the Persians of Æschylus. The chorus (consisting of the most distinguished men of the Persian empire, into whose hands Xerxes, at his departure, had committed the government of the country) proclaim in their opening song the numbers and power of the Persian army; but, at the same time, express a fear of its destruction; for "what mortal man may elude the insidious deceit of the gods?" The first stasimon, which immediately follows the opening choral song, describes, in a more agitated manner. the grief of the country in case the army should not return. The chorus is preparing for a deliberation, when Atossa appears, the mother of Xerxes, and widow of Darius; she relates an ominous dream which has filled her with anxious forebodings. The chorus advise her to implore the gods to avert the impending evil, and especially to pronitiate the spirit of Darius by libations, and to pray for blessing and protection. To her questions concerning Athens and Greece they answer with characteristic descriptions of the distinctions of the different natious; when a messenger from Greece arrives, and, after the first announcements of mishap and laments of the chorus, he prcsents a magnificent picture of the battle of Salamis, with its terrific consequences for the Persian army. Atossa resolves, though everything is lost, to follow the advice of the chorus, in case any benefit may be obtained from it. In the second stasimon the chorus dwell upon the desolation of Asia, to which is added a fear that the subject nations will no longer endure their servitude. In the second episodion the libations for the dead change into an evocation of the spirit of Darius. The chorus, during the libations of Atossa, call upon Darius, in songs resembling a commos, full of warmth and feeling, as the wise and happy ruler, the good father of his people, who now alone can help them, to appear on the summit of the tomb. Durius appears, and learns from Atossa (for fear and

respect tie the tongue of the chorus) the destruction of the kingdom. He immediately recognizes in the event the "too speedy fulfilment of oracles," which might have been long delayed, had not the arrogance of Xerxes hastened their accomplishment. "But when any man, of his own accord, hurries on to his ruin, the deity seconds his efforts." He regards the crossing of the Hellespont as an enterprise contrary to the will of the gods, and as the main cause of their wrath; and, on the authority of oracles known to him, which are now to be completely fulfilled, especially on account of the violation of the Greek temples, he announces that the remains of the invading Persian army will be destroyed at the battle of Platea. The annihilation of its power in Europe is a warning given by Zens to the Persians, that they should be satisfied with their possessions in Asia. The third stasimon, which concludes this act, describes the power which Darius had gained without himself invading Greece or crossing the Halys; contrasted with the misfortunes sent by the gods upon Persia for infringing these principles. In the third act Xerxes himself appears as a fugitive, in torn and ragged kingly garments, and the whole concludes with a long commos, or orchestic and musical representation of the despair of Xerxes, in which the chorus takes a part.

§ 4. It appears from this outline, that the evocation and appearance of Darius, and not the description of the victory, form the main subject of this drama. The arrogance and folly of Xerxes have brought about the accomplishment of the ancient oracles, and caused the fate which was hanging over Asia and Greece to be fulfilled in the destruction of the Persian power. The oracles alluded to in general terms by Darius are known to us from Herodotus. They were predictions attributed to Bacis, Museus, and others, and they had been made known, though in a garbled form, by Onomacritus, the companion of the Pisistratids at the Persian court. They contained allusions to the bridging of the Hellespont, the destruction of the Grecian temples, and the invasionof Greece by a barbarian army. They referred, indeed, in part, to mythical events, but they were then (as has been often the case with other predictions) applied to the events of the time. † Now we know from a didascalia that the Persians was, at its representation, preceded by a piece entitled the Phineus. It is sufficient to observe that Phineus. according to the mythologists, received the Argonauts on their vovage to Colchis, and, at the same time, foretold to them the adventures which were yet to befal them.

We have shown in a former chapter, that the notion of an ancient conflict between Asia and Europe, leading, by successive stages, to

events constantly increasing in magnitude, was one of the prevailing ideas of that time. It is probable that Æschylus took this idea as the basis of the prophecies of Phienra, and that he represented the expedition of the Argonauts as a type of the greater conflicts between Asis and Europe which succeeded it. We will be follow out the mythical combinations which the poet might have employed, inasmuch as what we have said is sufficient to explain the connexion and subject of the entire trilogy.

The same purpose is likewise perceptible in the third piece, the Glaucus-Pontius.\* 'The extant fragments show that this marine demigod (of whose wanderings and appearances on various coasts strange tales were told in Greece) described in this tragedy a voyage which he had made from Anthedon through the Eubœan and Ægean seas to Italy and Sicily. In this narrative a prominent place was filled by Himera, the city in which the power of the Sicilian Greeks had crushed the attempts of the Carthaginian invaders, at the time of the battle of Salamis. In this manner Æschylus had an opportunity of bringing this event (which was considered as the second great exploit by which Greece was saved from the voke of the barbarians) into close connexion with the battle of Platma; since the scene of the drama was Anthedon in Bœotia, where Glaucus was supposed to have lived as a fisherman. It may likewise be conjectured that in the tragedy of Phineus, the Phœuicians, as well as the Persians, may have been introduced into the predictions respecting the conflicts between Asia

§ 5. Accordingly, in this trilogy, Eschylus shows himself a friend of the Sicilian Greeks, as well as of his countrymen at Arlnens. His connexion with the princes and republics of Sicily must be here considered, since it exercised some influence upon his poetry. The later grammarians (who have filled the history of literature with numerous stories founded upon mere conjecture) have agained the most various

The argument of the Persians mentions the Passers Bernés. But as the two
plays of Aschylus, the Glaucus Pontius and Glaucus Potnieus are confounded in
other passages, we may safely adopt the conjecture of Weicker, that the Glaucus
Pontius is the play meant in the argument just cited.

<sup>†</sup> The explanation given is 4 or the right preferred to is exceedingly doubtful. The main subject of the Pursua is relieved in the constituent of the intending Persua by the Greeks. The exceeding of Duran is recommended in the intending Persua by the Greeks. The exceeding of Duran is recommended as the patter of Salarnia for the Commended of the Greek of the Salarnia for the Persua of the Commended of the Commended of the Salarnia subjects of the three pieces were connected content for the presence of the properties that the third piece as the Glucus Penture, and not the Feed with the conjective that the subject of the Commended of the Persua of the plays of any expectation of the Persua of

motives for the residence of Æschylus in Sicily, which was an ascertained fact, by enumerating all the circumstances in his life at Athens. which could have induced him to become a voluntary exile. Some accounts of a different character have, however, been preserved, on which we may safely rely. \* Æschylus was in Sicily with Hiero, just after this ruler of Syracuse had built the town of Ætna, at the foot of the mountain, and in the place of the ancient Catana. At this time he composed his tragedy of the "Women of Ætua," in which he announced the prosperity of the new colony. The subject of it, as its name, borrowed from the chorus, betokens, must have been taken from the events of the day. At the same time he reproduced the Persians at the court of Hiero; but whether with alterations, or as it had been acted at Athens, was a matter of controversy among the ancient scholars. Hence it appears that Æschylus, soon after the appearance of the Persians, went to Sicily, about the year 471 B. C., four years after the time when Ætna was founded, and when it was not quite finished. Hiero died four years afterwards, in 467 n. c. (Olymp. 78. 2.); but Æschylus must have left Sicily before this event, as in the beginning of the year 468 B. C. (Olymp. 77. 4.) we find him again at Athens, and engaged in a poetical contest with Sophocles. According to the aucients, his acquaintance with the Pythagorean philosophy and his use of certain rare Doric expressions then used in Sicily, may be traced to his residence in that island.

§ 6. The tragedy of the Seven against Thebes falls in the next time. It is known to have been acted after the Persians, and before the death of Aristides (which occurred about 462 B. c.)† In this drama the ancients peculiarly admired the warlike spirit exhibited by the poet; and, in fact, a fire burns throughout it which could only have been kindled in a brave and heroic breast. Eteocles appears as a wise and resolute general and hero, as well in the manner in which he recommends tranquillity to the women of the chorus, as in the answers which he makes to the tidings of the messengers, and in his opposing to each of the seven haughty leaders of the hostile army (who come like giants to storm the walls of Thebes) a brave Theban hero; until at length Polynices, his own brother, is named, when he declares his resolution to go out himself to meet him. The determination of Polynices to reserve himself for the combat with his brother creates an anxious interest in an attentive hearer; and his announcement of this resolution is the pivot upon which the whole piece turns. Nothing can be more striking than the gloomy resoluteness with which Eteocles recog-

<sup>\*</sup> Eratosth. ap. Schol. Aristoph. Ran. 1055 (1060), and the Fita Æschyli, with the additam. e cod. Guelferbytano.

<sup>†</sup> See Clinton F. H. ad ann. 472. Aristophanes Ran. 1026, appears to consider the Persians as posterior to the Seren against Thebes.

nizes the operation of the curse pronounced by Œdipus against his two sons, and yet proceeds to its fulfilment. 'The stasimon of the chorus which follows plainly recognizes the wrath and curse of Œdipus as the cause of all the calamities which threaten the Thebans. This dark side of the destiny of Thebes had not been revealed in the previous part of the drama, although Eteocles had once before declared his fear of the woes which this curse might bring upon Thebes (v. 70). Soon afterwards arrives the account of the preservation of the city, but with the reciprocal slaughter of the brothers. The two sisters, Antigone and Ismene, now appear upon the stage; and, with the chorus, sing a lament for the dead; which is very striking from the blunt ingenuity and melancholy wit with which Æschylus has contrived to paint in the strongest colours the calamitics and perversities of human life. At the conclusion, the two sisters separate from the chorus; inasmuch as Antigone declares her intention to bury her brother Polynices, against the command of the senate of Thebes, which had just been proclaimed.

§ 7. This concluding some therefore points as distinctly as the end of the Chosphore to the subject of a new piece, which was doubtless "the Elcusinians." This drama appears to have turned upon the burial of the Argive heroes slain before the gates of Thebes; which burial was carried into execution by Theseas with the Athenians, against the will of the Thebans, and in the territory of Eleusis. It is manifest that the fate of Antigone (who, following her own impulse, had burde her brother, and either suffered or was to suffer death in consequence) was cloucly connected with this subject. But uether the plan nor the prevailing ideas of this last drama of the trilogy can be gathered from the few fragments of it which remain.

The connexion of the Serca against Theles with a preceding piece is best evident, in the same way that the Chaephore points forward far more distinctly to the Eumenides than it points backward to the Again memon. But since we precrive in the extant thingy that Rechapture was accustomed to develope completely all the essential parts of a mythological series, it cannot be doubted that the Sercat against Theles was preceded by some drama with which it was connected. The subject of this drama should not, however, be sought, with some critics, in the falber respecting the expedition of the Argive heroes; for they do not form the centre about which this tragic composition revolves, but are a vax foreign power breaking in upon the destinies of Thebes. It should rather be sought in the earlier fortunes of the royal family of Thebes, If we consider the great effect produced in "the Seven against Thebes"

As when the chorus says. "Their hate is ended: their lives have flowed together on the gory earth; now in truth are they blood-relation" (ξμετμεν), v. 938-49, or where it is said, that the eril genius of the race has placed the trophies of destruction at the gate where they fell, and never rested till it had overcome both. V. 957-60.

by the curse of Cédipus, we must conclude that this curse must have been treated as the principal subject of the preceding play; so as to be lept in mind by the spectators during the speeches of Eteoeles, and to spread over the whole that feeling of anxious foreboding which is one of the most striking effects of tregoly.\* It may, therefore, be probably inferred that it was the Cédipus, one of the lost plays of Æschylus, with which this trilogy commenced.

The poetry of Æschylus furnishes distinct and certain evidence of his disposition and opinions, particularly with respect to those public occurrences which at that time occupied the mind of every patriotic Greek : and in speaking of the Seven against Thebes, our attention has been called to his political principles, which appear still more clearly in the Orestean trilogy. Æschylus was one of those Athenians who strove to moderate the restless struggles of their countrymen after democracy and dominion over other Greeks; and who sought to maintain the ancient severe principles of law and morality, together with the institutions by which these were supported. The just, wise, and moderate Aristides . was the statesman approved of by Æschylus, and not Themistocles, who pursued the distant objects of his ambition, through straight and crooked paths, with equal energy. The admiration of Æschylus for Aristides is clearly seen in his description of the battle of Salamis, + In the Seven against Thebes, the description of the upright Amphiaraus, who wished, not to seem, but to be, the best; the wise general, from whose mind, as from the deep furrows of a well-ploughed field, noble counsels proceed; was universally applied by the Athenian people to Aristides, and was doubtless intended by Æschylus for him. Then the complaint of Eteocles, that this just and temperate man, associated with impetuous companions, must share their ruin, expresses the disapprobation felt by Æschylus of the dispositions of other leaders of the Greeks and Athenians; among the rest, of Themistocles, who at that time had probably gone into exile on account of the part he had taken in the treasonable designs of Pausanias.

§ 8. We come next to the trilogy which may be called the Danais, and of which only the middle piece is preserved in the Suppliants. An instorical and political spirit pervades this trilogy. The extant piece turns upon the reception in Pelasgic Argos of Danaus and his daughters, who had fled from Egypt in order to escape the violence of their suitors, the sons of Ægyptus. They sit as suppliants near a group of

-7 In 1 mg 1

<sup>•</sup> The second of this curse which was given by Ziechylus seems to have been in several respect specialise. Cellips and only amounted that the briefers would not divide their heritage is amity (seconding to the Thebasi in Athen. XI. p. 460), but he also decired that a stranger from Seythin (tile nets of the weety) ahould Anta law). If Cellips had not used these words, the chorus, v. 729 and 924, and the messenger, v. 13f, could not express the same idea, in nearly the same terms.

<sup>†</sup> Comp. vv. 447-471, with Herodot. viii, 95.

altars (κοινοθωμία), in front of the city of Argos; and the king of the Argives (who is fearful of involving his kingdom in distress and danger) is induced, after many prayers and entreaties, to convene an assembly of the people, in order to deliberate concerning their reception. The assembly, partly from respect for the rights of suppliants, and partly from compassion for the persecuted daughters of Danaus, decrees to receive them. The opportunity soon presents itself of fulfilling the promise of protection and security: for the sons of Ægyptus land upon the coast, and (during the absence of Danaus, who is gone to procure assistance) the Egyptian herald attempts to carry off the deserted maidens. as being the rightful property of his masters. Upon this, the king of the Pelasgians appears in order to protect them, and dismisses the herald, notwithstanding his threats of war. Nevertheless, the danger is averted only for the moment; and the play concludes with prayers to the gods that these forced marriages may be prevented, with which are intermingled doubts concerning the fate determined by the gods.

The want of dramatic interest in this drama partly proceeds from its being the middle piece of a trilogy. The third piece, the Danaides, doubtless contained the decision of the contest by the death of the suitors, with the exception of Lynceus; while a preceding drama, the Exuptions, must have explained the cause and origin of the contest in Egypt. There are other instances, in the middle pieces of the trilogies of Æschylus, of the action standing nearly still, the attention being made to dwell upon the sufferings caused by the elements which have been set in motion. The idea of the timid, afflicted virgins flying from their suitors' violence like doves before the vulture (which is worked out, in lyric strains, with great warmth and intensity of feeling) is evidently the main subject of the drama; it seems, indeed, that the preservation of the play has been due to the beauty of these choral odes. Yet the reception of the Danaides must have been a much more appropriate and important subject for a tragedy, according to the ideas of Æschylus, than according to those of Sophocles and Euripides. What this action wants in moral significance was compensated, in his opinion, hy its historical interest. Æschylus belongs to a period when the national legends of Greece were considered, not as mere amusing fictions, but as evidences of the divine power which ruled over Greece. An event like the reception of the Danaides in Argos, on which depended the origin of the families of the Perscids and Heracleids, appeared to him as a great work of the counsels of Zeus; and to record the operation of these on human affairs seemed to him the highest calling of the tragic poet. Contrary to the custom of epic and tragic poets, he ascribes the greatest merit of the act to the Argive people, not to their king, and accordingly, the chorus, in a heautiful song (v. 625-709), invokes blessings upon them, the cause of which is evidently to he found in the relations which then subsisted hetween Athens and Argos. Æschylus,

however, never makes forced allusious to contemporary events; they arise naturally out of his mode of considering history, which closely resembles that of Pindar. According to this view, it was in the early mythical ages that the Greek states received the lot of their future destinies and were fixed in that position which they occupied in later times. Those passages in the Suppliants which so plainly refer to the establishment of a well regulated popular government in Argos and to treaties with foreign states by which war might be avoided,\* make it evident that this piece was produced about the time when the alliance between Athens and Argos was already in operation, perhaps towards the end of Ol. 79, B. c. 461.† Also, the threats of a war with Egypt, which are implied in the plot of this tragedy, furnish the poet with a favourable opportunity for introducing some striking and impressive sayings, which necessarily held out great encouragement to the Athenians for the war with Egypt, which began Olymp. 79. 3. B. c. 462; as when we find it said that "The fruit of the papyrus" (which was the common food of the Egyptians) " conquers not the wheat-stalk."I

§ 9. The Prometheus was in all probability one of the last efforts of the genius of Æschylus, for the third actor is to a certain extent employed in it (chap, XXII. § 7). It is, beyond all question, one of his greatest works. Historical allusions are not to be expected in this play, as the subject does not comprise the events of any particular state or family, but refers to the condition and relations of the whole human Prometheus, as we had occasion to remark when speaking of Hesiod (chap, VIII, & 3, p. 91 note), represents the provident, aspiring understanding of man, which ardently seeks to improve in all ways the condition of our being. He was represented as a Titan, because the Greeks, who considered the gods of Olympus as rulers only, not as creators, of the human race, haid the foundation and beginning of man in the time which preceded the kingdom of the Olympian gods. Thus, according to the conception of Æschylus, he is the friend and mediator of man-" the dæmon most friendly to mankind," in that period of the world when the kingdom of Zeus began. He does not, however, spiritualize him into a mere allegory of foresight and prudence, for in Æschylus a real, lively faith in the existence of mythical beings is harmoniously combined with a consideration of their significance. By teaching men the use of fire. Prometheus has made them acquainted with all the arts which reader human life more endurable; in general, he has made them wiser and happier in every respect, especially by taking from them the fear of death. But in this he does not respect

Thus the chorus says, v. 698—703: "May the people, who rule the city, maintain their rights—may they give foreigners their due, before they put weapons into the hands of Ares."

<sup>†</sup> This alliance is more distinctly mentioned in the Kamenides (v. 765 seqq.), which was brought out a few years after.

t V. 761, Comp. v. 954.

the limits which, according to the view of the ancients, the gods, who are alone immortal, have prescribed to the human race; he seeks to acquire for mortals perfections which the gods had reserved for themselves alone; for a mind which is always striving after advancement, and using all means to obtain it, cannot easily, from its very constitution, confine itself within the narrow limits prescribed to it by custom and law. These efforts of Prometheus, which we also learn occasionally from the play that has come dawn to us, were in all probability depicted with much greater perfection, and an connection with his stelling the fire, in the first portion of the trilogy, which was called Prometheus the Pierc-bringer (Longmbe); weodoop.)\*

The extant play, the Prometheus Bound (Προμηθεύς δισμώτης), begins at once with the fastening of the gigantic Titan to the rocks of Scythia, and the fettered prisoner is the centre of all the action of the piece. The daughters of Oceanus, who constitute the chorus of the tragedy, come to comfort and calm him; he is then visited by the aged Oceanus himself, and afterwards by Hermes, who endeavour, the one by mild arguments, the other by insults and threats, to move him to compliance and submission. Meanwhile Prometheus continues to defy the superior power of Zens, and stoutly declares that, unless his base fetters are removed, he will not give out an oracle that he has learned from his mother Themis, respecting the marriage, by means of which Zeus was destined to lose his sovereign power. He would rather that Zeus should bury his body in the rocks amid thunder and lightning. With this the drama concludes, in order to allow him to come forth again and suffer new torments. This grand and sublime defiance of Prometheus, by which the free will of man is perfectly maintained under overwhelming difficulties from without, is generally considered the great design of the poem; and in reading the remaining play of the trilogy, there is no doubt on which side our sympathies should be enlisted; for Prometheus appears as the just and suffering martyr; Zeus as the mighty tyrant, jealous of his power. Nevertheless, if we view the subiect from the higher ground of the old poetic associations, we cannot rest content with such a solution as this. Tragedy could not, in conformity with those associations, consist entirely of the opposition and conflict between the free will of an individual and omnipotent fate; it must appease contending powers and assign to each of them its proper place. Contentions may rise higher and higher, the opposition may be stretched to the utmost, yet the divine guidance which presides over the whole finds means to restore order and harmony, and allots to each conflicting power its own peculiar right.

<sup>•</sup> This Prometheus Pyrpheros must, as Welcker has shown, be distinguished from the Prometheus Pyrheiou, "the fire kindler," a satyric drama which was appended to the titiogy of the Persa, and probably had reference to the festal customs of the Promethen in the Cerameleus, which comprised a torch-race.

The contest, with all its attendant miseries, appears even beneficial in its results. This is the course of the tragedies of Æschylus, and indeed of Greek tragedy in general, so far as it remains true to its object. The tragedies of Æschylus uniformly require faith in a divine power, which, with steady eye and firm hand, guides the course of events to the best issue, though the paths through which it leads may be dark and difficult, and fraught with distress and suffering. The poetry of Æschylus is full of profound and enthusiastic glorifications of Zeus as this power. How then could Zeus he depicted in this drama as a tyrant, how could the governor of the world be represented as arbitrary and unjust? It is true that the Greek divinities are always described as heings who are not what they were, (above p. 88,) and hence it is difficult to separate from them the ideas of strife and contention. This also accounts for the severity with which Zeus, at the time described by Æschylus, proceeds against every attempt to limit and circumscribe his newly established sovereignty. But Æschylus, in his own mind, must have felt how this severity, a necessary accompaniment of the transition from the Titanian period to the government of the gods of Olympus, was to be reconciled with the mild wisdom which he makes an attribute of Zeus in the subsequent ages of the world. Consequently the deviation from right, the augoria in the tragic action, which, according to Aristotle, should not be considered as depravity, but as the error of a noble nature, would all lie on the side of Prometheus; and even the poet has clearly shown this in the piece itself, when he makes the charus of Oceanides, who are friendly to Prometheus, and even to the sacrifice of themselves, perpetually recur to the same thoughts, "Those only are wise who humbly reverence Adrastea," (the inexorable goddess of Fate).†

§ 10. In these remarks upon the Prometheus Bound we have passed over one act of the plex, which, however, is of the highest importance for an understanding of the whole trilogy, namely, the appearance of Io, who, having won the love of Zeus, has brought upon benefit the Interest of Hen. Persecuted by horrid plantoms, he comes in her wanderings to Prometheus, and learns from him the further miseries, all of which she has still to endure. The misfortunes of Io very much resemble those of Prometheus, since Io also might be considered as a victim to the selfsh severity of Zeus, and she is so considered by Prometheus. At the same time, however, as Prometheus does not consect from 10 that the thirteenth in descent from the ris to release him from all his sufferings; the love of Zeus for her appears in a higher light, and we obtain for the fate of Prometheus also that sort of assuagingth, and we obtain for the fate of Prometheus also that sort of assuaging the contract of the contra

<sup>\*</sup> That is to say, so far at it is the \*#apoia of the protagonists, so of Prometheus, Agamemono, Anigone, Edipus, and so forth; for the \*#apaoia of the tritagonists are of a totally different kind.

<sup>†</sup> V. 936. Oi mesenvrsorre vir 'Adeaertius estei.

ing tranquillity, which it was always the aim of the ancients to preserve, even in their most impassioned scenes. But as Ilermes amounces that Zeus will never succeed in overcoming the rebellious Titans till an immottal shall feely lay down his life for him, the issue remains dark and doubtful.

The Prometheus Unbound (Пооннвейс λυόμενος), the loss of which we lament more almost than that of any other tragedy, although many considerable fragments of it remain, began at a totally different period of the world. Prometheus, however, still remains bound to the rock in Scythia, and, as Hermes had prophetically threatened, he is daily torn by the eagle of Zens. The chorus, instead of the Oceanides, consists of Titans escaped from durance in Tartarus. Æschylus, therefore, like Pindar, adopts the idea, originating with the Orphic poets, that Zeus, after he had firmly fixed the government of the world, proclaimed a general amnesty, and restored peace among the vanquished powers of heaven. Meanwhile mankind had arrived at a much higher degree of dignity than even Prometheus had designed for them, by means of the hero-race, and man became, as it were, ennobled through heroes sprung from the Olympic gods. Hercules, the son of Zeus by a distant descendant of Io, was the greatest benefactor and friend of man among heroes, as Prometheus was among Titans. He now appears, and, after hearing from Prometheus the benefits he has conferred upon man, and receiving a proof of his good will in the way of prediction and advice with regard to his own future adventures, releases the sufferer from the torments of the eagle, and fram his chains. He does this of his own free will, but manifestly by the permission of Zeus. Zeus has already fixed upon the immortal who is ready to resign his immortality. Cheiron is, without Hercules' intending it, wounded by one of the poisoned arrows of the hero, and, in order to escape endless torments, is willing to descend into the lower world. We must suppose that, at the end of the piece, the power and majesty of Zeus and the profound wisdom of his decrees are so gloriously manifested, that the pride of Prometheus is entirely broken. † Prometheus now brings a wreath of Agnus Castus, (λύγος,) and probably a ring also, made from the Iron of his fetters, mysterious symbols of the dependence and subjection of the human race; and he now willingly proclaims his mother's ancient prophecy, that a son more powerful than the father who begot him should be born of the sea-goddess Thetis; whereupon Zeus resolves to marry the goddess to the mortal Peleus.

It is scarcely possible to conceive a more perfect katharsis of a tragedy, according to the requisitions of Aristotle.

The passions of fear, pity, hatred, love, anger, and admiration, as

<sup>·</sup> Pindar Pyth. iv. 291. Comp. above chap. XVI. § 1.

<sup>†</sup> Even after his liberation from fetters Prometheus had called Hercules " the most dear son of a hated father." Fragm. 187. Dindorf.

excited and stirred up by the actions and destiny of the individual claimracters in this middle piece, produce rather a distressing than a pleasing effect; but under the guidance of sublime and significant images they take such a course of development, that an elevated yet schop tone is shed over them, and all is resolved into a feeling of awe and devotion for the decrees of a higher power.

§ 11. The poetical career of Æschylus concludes for us, as for the ancient Athenians, with the only complete trilogy that is extant, be possession of which, after the Hiad and Odyssey, might be considered the richest tressure of Greek poetry, if it had been better preserved, and had come down to swithout the gaps and interpolations by which it is defaced. Æschylus brought this trilogy upon the stage at a moment of great political excitement in his native city, Oppn. 50.2 n. c. 458; at the time when the democratic party, under the guidance of Pericles, were endeavouring to overthrow the Arropagus, the last of those aristocratic institutions which tended to restrain the innovating spirit of the people in public and private like. He was impelled to make the legend of Orestes the groundwork of a trilogic composition, of which, as we have still the whole before us, we will give oult the principal points.

Agamemon comes on the stage in the tragedy which bears his name, a in one scene only, when he is received by his wife Clytamentars are conquering hero, and, after some hesitation, walks over the outspread purple carpets into the interior of his palace. It is, however, their person of the piece, for all through it the actors and chorus are almost exclusively occupied with his claracter and destine.

Æschylus represents him as a great and glorious monarch, but who, phis interprise against Troy, has sacrificed to his warlike ambition the lives of many men,\* and, above all, that of his own daughter phicies and the has thus involved in a gloomy destiny his house, which is already suffering from wounds indicted long before his time. Clytumenserin, on the other hand, is a wife, who, while she pursues her impulses and pleasures with unscrupulous resolution, has power and canning enough to carry her ev'd esigns into full effect. Agamemon is completely enveloped in her abothe schemes, even before she throws the traintous garment over him like a net; and after the deed is done, she has the skill, in her convensation with the chorus, to throw over it a clock of that sophistry of the passions, which Æschyluss so well knew how to paint, by enumerating all the reasons she might have had for it, had the real ground not been sufficient.

\* "For the gods," says the chorus, (v. 461.) " never loss sight of those who have been the cause of death to many mea" ( "" reductions yell six Leasens tisk.)

<sup>†</sup> The chorus does not hesitate to censure this sacrifics, (especially in v. 217.) and considers it as actually completed, so does Clytemaestra, v. 1555; though Æschylus does not mean by this to set asside the story of phignenis deliverance. According to his view of the case the sacrificers themselves must have been blinded by Artemis.

The great tragic effect which this play cannot fail to produce on every one who is capable of reading and understanding it, is the contrast between the external splendour of the house of the Atridæ and its real condition. The first scenes are very imposing; -the light of the beacon, the news of the fall of Troy, and the entrance of Agamemnon; -but, amidst these signs of joy, a tone of mournful foreboding resounds from the songs of the chorus, which grows more and more distinct and impressive till the inimitable scenc between the chorus and Cassandra, when the whole misfortune of the house bursts forth into view. From this time forth our feelings are wrought to the highest pitch-the murder of Agamemnon follows immediately upon this announcement; while the triumph of Clytemnestra and Ægisthus-the remorseless cold-bloodedness with which she exults in the deed, and the laments and reproaches of the chorus-leave the mind, sympathizing as it does with the fate of the house, in an agony of horror and excitement which has not a minute of repose or consolation, except in a sort of feeling that Agamemnon has fallen by means of a divine Nemesis.

§ 12. The Choëphoræ contains the mortal revenge of Orestes. The natural steps of the action, the revenge planned and resolved upon by Orestes with the chorus and Electra, the artful intrigues by which Orestes at length arrives at the execution of the deed, the execution itself, the contemplation of it after it is committed, all these points form so many acts of the drama. The first is the longest and the most finished, as the poet evidently makes it his great object to display distinctly the deep distress of Orestes at the necessity he feels of revenging his father's death upon his mother. Thus the whole action takes place at the tomb of Agamemnon, and the chorus consists of Trojan women in the service of the family of the Atrida: they are sent by Clyternnestra, who has been terrified by horrid dreams, in order, for the first time, to appease with offerings the spirit of her murdered husband, and, by the advice of Electra, bring the offerings, but not for the purpose for which they were sent. The spirit of Agamemnon is formally conjured to appear from below the earth, and to take an active part in the work of his own revenge, and the guidance of the whole work is repeatedly ascribed to the subterranean gods, especially to Hermes, the leader of the dead, who is also the god of all artful and hidden acts; and the poet has contrived to shed a gloomy and shadowy light over this whole proceeding. The act itself is represented throughout as a sore burthen undertaken by Orestes upon the requisition of the subterranean gods, and by the constraining influence of the Delphic oracle; no mean motive, no trifling indifference mingle with his resolves, and vet, or rather the more on that very account, while Orestes stands beside the corpse of his mother and her paramour upon the same spot where his father was slain, and justifies his own act by proclaiming the heinousness of their crime, even at that moment the furies appear before him,

and, visible to the spectators, though unseen by the chorus, torture him with their horrid forms till he rushes away and hastens to beg for stonement and purification from Apollo, who has urged him to the deed. We here perceive that, according to the views of .Rschylma and other Greeks, the furies do not properly betoken the degree of moral guilt or the power of an evil conscience (in which case they must have appeared in a more terrible shape to Clytimensetra than to Orestes); but they exhibit the fearful nature of the deed itself, of a mother's murder as such, for this, from whatever motive it may be committed, is a violation of the ordinances of nature which cannot fail to torture and oerolex the human mind.

§ 13. This character of the Erinnyes is more definitely developed in the concluding play of the trilogy, in the chorus of which Eschylus, combining the artist with the poet, gives an exhibition of these beings, of whom the Grecks had hitherto but a glimmering idea. He bestows upon them a form taken partly from their spiritual qualities and partly from the analogy of the Gorgons. They avenge the matricidal act as a crime in itself, without inquiring into motives or circumstances, and it is therefore pursued with all the inflexibility of a law of nature, and by all the horror and torments as well of the upper as of the lower world. Even the expiation granted by Apollo to Orestes at Delphi has no influence upon them; for all that Apollo can accomplish is to throw them for a short period into a deep sleep, from which they are awakened by the appearance of the ghost of Clytæmnestra, condemned for her crime to wander about the lower world; and this apparition must have produced the greatest effect upon the stage. After the scene in Delphi, we are transported to the sauctuary of Pallas Athena, on the Acropolis. whither Orestes has repaired by the advice of Apollo, and where, in a very regular manuer, and with many allusions to the actual usages of the Athenian law, the court of the Areopagus is established by Pallas, who recognizes the claims of both parties, but is unwilling to arrogate to herself the power of arbitrarily deciding the questions between them. Before this court of justice the dispute between Orestes and his advocate Apollo on the one side, and the furies on the other, is formally discussed. In these discussions, it must be owned, there occur many points which belong to the main question, and these are, as it were, summed up; for instance, the command of Apollo, the vengeance for blood which is imposed as a duty upon the son by the glost of his father; the revolting manner in which Agamemnon was murdered: nevertheless, the intrinsic difference between the act of Orestes and that of Clytæmnestra is not marked as we should have expected it to be, It is manifest that Æschylus distinctly perceived this difference in feeling, without quite working it out. Apollo concludes his apology with rather a subtle argument, showing why the father is more worthy of honour than the mother, by which he makes interest with Pallas, who

had no mother, but proceeded at once out of the head of her father, Zens. When the judges, of whom there are twelve, come to the vote, it is found that the votes on each side are equal; upon this the goddess gives the casting vote-" the voting pebble of Athena,"-the destination of which she has declared beforehand, and so decides in favour of Orestes. The poct here means to imply that the duty of revenge and the guilt of matricide are equally balanced, and that stern justice has no alternative; but the gods of Olympus, being of the nature of man, and acquainted and entrusted with the personal condition of individuals, can find and supply a refuge for the unfortunate, who are so hy no immediate guilt of their own. Hence the repeated references to the overruling name of Zeus, who always steps in between contending powers as the saviour-god (Zevc σωτήρ),† and invariably turns the scale in favour of virtue. After his acquittal, Orestes leaves the stage with blessings and promises of friendly alliance with Athens, but somewhat more hastily than we expected, after the intense interest which his fate has inspired. But the cause of this is seen in the heart-felt love of Æschylus for the Athenians. The goddess of wisdom, who has veiled her power in the mildest and most persuasive form, succeeds in soothing the rage of the furies, which threatens to bring destruction upon Athens, by promising to ensure them for ever the honour and respect of the Athenians; and thus the whole concludes with a song of blessing by the furies (wherein, on the supposition that their power is duly acknowledged, they assume the character of beneficent deities), and with the establishment of the worship of the Eumenides, who are at once conducted by torchlight to their sanctuary in the Areopagus with all the pomp with which their sacrifices at Athens were attended. The Athenians are here plainly admonished to treat with reverence the Areopagus thus founded by the gods, and the judicial usages of which are so closely connected with the worship of the Eumenides; and not to take from that body its cognizance of charges of murder, as was about to be done, in order to transfer their functions to the great jury courts. The stasima, too, in which the ideas of the piece appear still more clearly than in the treatment of the mythus, utter no sentiment more definitely than this; that it is above all things necessary to recognize without hesitation a power which bridles the unruly affections and sinful thoughts of man.1

We may remark in few words, that the satyrical drama which was appended to this trilogy, the Proteus, was in all probability connected with the same mythical subject, and turned upon the adventure of Mcuelaus and Helen with Proteus, the sea-demon and keeper of the

<sup>\*</sup> The number twelve is inferred from the arrangement of the short speeches made by the parties while the voting is going on (v. 710-733.) + Vv. 759, 797, 1045.

I Mondieu empeniir bu's erisu, v. 520,

see-monsters, an adventure which is known to us from Homer. The useless warderful, and thereby arrived too late not only to save, but be brother behind, and thereby arrived too late not only to save, but even to avenge him, "might give room for abundant mith and entertainment, without disturbing or efficing the impressions which had been produced by the grant of the house of he house of her house for

§ 14. These short accounts of those trilogies of Æschylus which have been preserved, in whole or in part, will suffice, we conceive, to give as much insight into the mind of that great poet as can be expected in a work of this kind. It must be confessed, however, that there is a wide difference between these cold abstracts of the dramas of Æschylus and the tone and character of the works themselves, which, even in the minutest details of execution, show all the power of a mind full of poetic inspiration, and impressed with the truth and profoundness of its own conceptions. As all the persons brought on the stage by Æschylus express their feelings and characters in strong and forcible terms, so also the forms of speech they make use of have a proud and lofty tone; the diction of these plays is like a temple of Ictinus, constructed solely of huge rectangular blocks of polished marble. In the individual expressions, the poetical form predominates over the syntactical; this is brought about by the employment of metaphorical phrases and new compounds :+ and here the poet's great knowledge and true comprehension of nature and human life give to his expressions a vividness and warmth which only differs from the naïveté of the epic style by the greater admixture of acute reflection which it displays, and by which he has contrived to mark at once a feeling of connexion and a consciousness of difference.; The forms of syntax are rather those which rest upon a parallel connexion of sentences (consequently, copulative, adversative, and disjunctive sentences) than those which result from the subordination of one sentence to another (as in causal and conditional periods, &c.). The language has little of that oratorical flow which at a later period sprung up in the courts and assemblies, and just as little of a subtle developement of complicated connexions of thought. It is throughout better calculated to display powerful impulses of the feelings and desires, and the instinctive actions of prompt and decided character, than the reflection of minds impelled by various motives. Hence in each piece we find some leading thoughts frequently repeated, particularly in the different forms of speech, dialogue, anapæsts, lyric measures,

Comp. above chap. VI. § 5, and Agam, 624, 839.

<sup>†</sup> We may also mention his employment of obsolete expressions, especially those borrowed from epic poetry—τὶ γλωνεῶλε τὰ λίξων. Æschylus ia a few degrees more epic in his language than Sophocles or Euripides.

<sup>†</sup> Hence arise the oxymers of which Æschylus is so fond: for instance, when he calls dust "the dumb messenger of the army."

&c. Yet the poet by no means wants the power of adapting his language to the different character, to say nothing of all those different was the power of the power of the state of the power of the power of the water of the wate

§ 15. To return once more to the Orestean trilogy of Orestes: the judges of tragic merit adjudged the prize to it before all the rival pieces. But this poetic victory seems to have been no compensation to Reschylus for the failure of the practical portion or his design, as the Athenhan at the same time deprived the Areopagus of all the honorand power which the post had striven to preserve for it. Æschylus returned a second time to Sicily, and died in his favourite city of Gela, there weras flert the performance of the Orestea.

The Athenians had a feeling that Æschylus would not be satisfied with the course their public life and their taste for art and science took in the next generation; the shadow of the poet, as he is brought up by Aristophanes from the other world in the "Frogs," manifests an angry discontent with the public, who were so pleased with Euripides, although the latter was no rival of Æschylus, for he did not appear upon the stage till the year in which Æschylus died. Yet this did not prevent the Athenians from recognizing most fully the beauty and sublimity of his poetry. "With him his muse died not," said Aristophanes, alluding to the fact that his tragedies were allowed to be performed after his death, and might even be brought forward as new pieces. The poet, who taught his chorus the plays of Æschylus, was remunerated by the state, and the crown was dedicated to the poet who had been long dead. The family of Æschylus, which continued for a long time, preserved a school of poetry in his peculiar style, which we will hereafter notice.

"This is the result of the passages in the Fise Rockyli, Philostrat, Fisa Apallon, vil. 1, p. 245, Ohen, Kober, Mor. Ros. 899. The Fiss. Advantages any that the poet was croward after his death; and this view some preferable to Quencidian's ascription (fast. L.), his was many other poles obtained the crown by re-formed to the property of the property of the property of Emphotics (above, § 2 and note) obtained by producing plays of Richylius that had not been represented; the law of Lyarques, too, with regard to the representation of pieces by the three great tragedams, from copies officially verified, has nothing to do with the custom alluded to in the text.



## CHAPTER XXIV.

- § 1. Condition in which tracic poetry came into the hands of Suphodest. His first paperance, 2. § 2. Subsequent extends of his life; his decedion to the drams, 5. Epochs in the poetry of Suphoeless. § 4. Thousugh change in the form of tracely, § 5. Online of his plays; the Antigons. § 6. The Electra, § 7. The engly, § 5. Online of his plays; the Antigons. § 6. The Electra, § 7. The tracely of the Politics of
- § 1. Taz tragic trilogies of Æschylus had given a dramatic representation of the great exploed I Helicine legends. In exhibiting the history of whole families, tribes, and states, the port had contrived to show the influence of supreme wisdom and power shining amidst the greatest difficulty and darkness. Every Greek, who witnessed such an exhibition of the dispensations of Providence in the history of his race, must have been filled with mingded emotions of wonder and joyful evulution. A tragedy of this kind was at once political, patriotic, and religious.

How was it possible that, after these mighty creations of so great a genius as Æschylus, a still fairer renown should be in reserve for Sophocles? In what direction could such great advances be made from the point to which Æschylus had brought the tracic art?

We will not indulge ourselves in an å priori determination of the way in which this advance might have been made, but will rather consider, with history for our guide, how it really took place. It will be seen that the change was retrograde as well as progressive; that if something was gained on the one side, it was because something was also given up on the other; and that it was due above all to moderation and sobriety of character, which was the noblest and most amiable property of the Greek missed.

Before we can solve the great question proposed above, we must give an account of so much of the poet's life as may be necessary for an understanding of his poetical career.

Sornocers, the son of Sophilus, was born at the Attic demis, or village of Colouss, in Olymp, 71.2. s. c. 495. He was, therefore, fifteen years old when the battle of Salamis was fought. He could not, of course, share in the dangers of the fight, but he was the exzerchus, or leader of the chorus which sang the pseun of victory, and in that capacity appeared naked, according to the rule in gymnastic solem-

\* This is the statement in the Fi'a Sopkoclis. The Parian marble makes bim two years older, but this is opposed to the fact mentioned in the note to § 2.

nities, anointed with oil, and holding a lyre in his left hand. The managers of the feast had selected him for this purpose on account of his youthful beauty and the musical education which he had received.

Eleven or twelve years after this, in Olymp. 77. 4. s.c. † 468, Sophocles came forward for the first time as a competitor in a dramatic contest, and, indeed, as a rival of the old hero Æschvlus. This happened at the great Dionysia, when the first Archon presided; it was hiseduty to nominate the judges of the contest. Cimon, who had just conquered the pirates of Seyros, and brought back to Athens the bones of Theseus, happened to come into the theatre along with his colleagues in order to pay the suitable offerings to Bacchus, and Aphepsion the archon thought it due to the importance of the contest to submit the decision of the poetical victory to these glorious victors in real battle. Cimon, a man of the o'd school, and of noble moderation of character, who undoubtedly appreciated Æschylus, gave the prize to his young rival, from which we may infer how completely his genius outshone all competition, even at his first coming out. The play with which he gained this victory is said to have been the Triptolemus, a patriotic piece, in which this Eleusinian hero is celebrated as promoting the cultivation of corn, and humanizing the manners even of the wildest barbarians,

§ 2. The first piece of Sophocles-which has been preserved is twenty-eight years subsequent to this event; it is remarkable as also marking a glorious period in the poet's life. Sophocles brought out the Antigozen in Olymp, \$1.4. n.c. 440. The goodness of the play, but a brown all the athrewd reflections and admirable sentiments on public matters which are frequently expressed in it, induced the Athrematical to elect him to the office of general for the ensuing year. It must be remembered that the ten Strategi were not merely the commanders of the troops, but also very unuch employed in the administration of affairs at home, and in carrying on negociations with foreign states. Sophocles was one of the generals, who, in conjunction with Perisles, carried on the war with the aristocrats of Samos, who, after being expelled from Samos by the Athenians, had returned from Auma on the confinent with Perisina aid, and stirred up the island to revolt against Athens.§ This war was carried on in Olymp, \$5.1. n.c. 440, 459.

<sup>\*</sup> Atheneus I. p. 20. f., in speaking of this occasion, soys that Sophocles was xalls rise digar, which applies best to the age ossigned to him above.

<sup>†</sup> All new dramas of Athera were performed at the Lenwe and the greot Disnysis, the former of which took place in the mouth Gamelion, the latter in Elaphebolion, and therefore in the second half of the Attic or Olympian year, after the winter soldier; consequently, in the history of the drama we must always reckon the year of the Olympian equal to the year no. in which its second half falls. I This appears from a combination of the narraitive in the text with a chrono-

<sup>†</sup> This appears from a combination of the narrative in the text with a chronological statement in Pliny N. H. XVIII. 12.

<sup>&</sup>amp; On this account the Fita Sophocis calls the war, in the management of which

According to several old ancedotes, Sophocles preserved even in the bustle of war his cherefulness of temper, and that pretical disposition which delights in a clear and tranquil contemplation of human affairs. It was also on this occasion that Sophocles became acquainted with Herodotus, who about this time was living at Samos (chap, XIX, § 1.), and composed a peem for him, no doubt a lyrical one. It is interpating to think of the social intercourse of two such men with one another. They both scrutinized the knowledge of human affairs with calm and comprehensive vision; but the Samian, with a more boyish disposition, sought out the traditions of many nations and many lands, while the Athenian had applied his riper and more searching intellect to that which was immediately before him,—the secret workings of power and passion in the breast of every man.

It is doubtful whether Sophocles took any further part in public affairs at a later period. On the whole, he was, as his contemporary Ion of Chios tells us,† neither very well acquainted with politics nor particularly qualified for public business. In all this, he did not get beyond the ordinary standard of individuals of the better sort. It is clear that, in his case, as in that of Æschylus, poetry was the business of his life. The study and exercise of the art of poetry occupied the whole of his time, as appears at once from the number of his dramas. There existed under his name 130 plays, of which, according to the grammarian Aristophanes, seventeen were wrongly ascribed to him, The remaining 113 seem to comprise tragedies and satyrical dramas, In several of the tetralogies, however, the satyrical drama must have been lost or perhaps never existed (as we find to be the case with other poets also), because otherwise the number could not have been so uneven; at the utmost there could only have been twenty-three extant sawrical dramas to ninety tragedies. All these pieces were brought out between Olymp, 77, 4, s.c. 468, when Sophocles first came forward, and Olymp, 93. 2. s.c. 406, when he died; consequently, in a period of sixty-two years, the last of which, comprehending his extreme old age, cannot have added much to the number. The years of the Peloponnesian war must have been the most prolific; for if we may depend upon the

Sophocles took a part, πλε πρός 'Αναίσι πέλιμεν. The list of generals in this war is preserved to a certain extest complete in a fragment of Androtion, quoted by the Scholiast on Aristides, p. 225 C (p. 182), Ed. Frommel.)

See Pittarch, On veri, Re. 3., where this stery is brought in by the head and shoulder. It is from this peem, of course, that the author of the Vide Sophecits derives his assertion with regard to the age of Sophecies at the time of the Samian war; otherwise, how did he come to make an assertina to unusual with grammature of the samian of the samian to the samian of the samian that the passage in Pittarch, where the text is more to be depended on. This will make Sophecies day serve old at this period.

<sup>†</sup> Athenaus XIII. p. 603.

tradition\* that the Antigone was the thirty-second play in a chronological afrangement of the dramas of Sophocles, there still remain eighty-one dramas for the second half of his poetical career; or, if we leave out the satyrical dramss, we have about fifty-eight pieces remaining. We arrive at the same result from a date relating to Euripides, of whose pieces, said to be ninety-two in number, the Alcestis was the sixteenth.† Now, according to the same authority, the Alcestis was exhibited in Olymp, 85, 2, B.c. 438, the seventeenth year of the poetical life of Euripides, which lasted for forty-nine, from Olymp, 81, 1, B.C. 455, to Olymp. 93. 2. B.c. 406. It may be seen from this, that at first both poets brought out a tetralogy every three or four years, but afterwards every two years at least. A consequence of this more rapid production appears in that slight regard for, or rather the absolute neglect of, the stricter models, which has been remarked in the lyrical parts of tragedy after the 90th or 89th Olympiad.

& 3. As far as one can judge from internal and external evidence, the remaining tragedies are all subsequent to the Antigone: the following is perhaps their chronological order; Antigone, Electra, Trachinian Women, King Œdipus, Ajax, Philoctetes, Œdipus at Colonus. The only definite information we possess is that the Philoctetes was acted in Olymp, 92, 3, s.c. 409, and the Œdipus at Colonus not till Olymp. 94, 3, n.c. 401, when it was brought out by the younger Sophocles, the author being dead. Taken together, they exhibit the art of Sophocles in its full maturity, in that mild grandeur which Sophocles was the first to appropriate to himself, when, after having (to use a remarkable expression of his own which has been preserved) put away the pomp of Æschylus along with his boyish things, and laid aside a harshness of manner, which had sprung up from his own too great art and refinement, he had at length attained to that style which he himself considered to be the hest and the most suited to the representation of the characters of men. 1 In the Antigone, the Trachinian Women, and the Electra, we have still, perhaps, a little of that artificial style and studied

<sup>\*</sup> See the hypothesis to the Antigone, by Aristophanes of Byzantium. If the number thirty-two included the satyrical dramas also, some of the trilogies must have been without this appendage; otherwise the thirty-second piece would have been a satyrical drama.

been a sayreat urasule.

4 See the distancing to the Alcestis e cod. Faircase published by Dindorf in the
Oxford edition 1836. The number of is, in accordance with this view, changed to
et, which suits the reckoning better than d. We have a third date of this kind in
the Birds of Aristophanes, which is the thirty-fifth of that poet's consedies.

The important passage, under the Pintarch, De Professe Friend. Seat, p. 79, B, g. The important passage, under the Pintarch, De Professe Friend. Seat, p. 79, B, g. stranging from the extra passage under the professe from the extra passage of the extra passage o

p. 853, C. is er zararaton eus impáres. - Ep. ]

obscurity which Sophocles objected to in himself; the Ajax and Philoctetes, as well ase the voc Käjlunes, show, in a manner which canho be mistaken, an easier flow of language than his earlier plays, and do not require so great an effort on the part of the reader. Nevertheless, the tragic art of Sophocles is fully slowen in all of them, and is like nothing but itself; Sophocles must have hit upon the changes which he introduced into the tragedy of Æschyhas, long before he wrote any one of those plays, and must have already made, in accordance with his principles, a complete change in the whole constitution of tragedy.

§ 4. We have mentioned these alterations, as far as concerns the details, in the two preceding chapters; we must here consider their connexion with the change of the whole essence and organization of tragedy effected by Sophocles. The foundation and cornerstone of this new edifice, which was erected on the same area as the old building, but according to a different plan, was always this, that, though Sophocles still followed the old usages and laws, and always, or as a general rule, exhibited at one time three tragedies and a satyrical drama, he nevertheless loosened the connexion of these pieces with one another, and presented to the public not one great dramatic poem, but four separate poetical works, which might just as well have been brought forward at different festivals.\* The tragic poet, too, no longer processed to himself to exhibit a series of mythical actions, the developement of the complicated destinies of families and tribes, which was inconsistent with the compass and unity of plan required by separate tragedies; he was obliged to limit himself to one leading fact, and, to take the example of the Orestea, could only oppose to such a trilogy fragments of itself, like the Electra of Sophocles or Euripides, in which everything is referred to the murder of Clytæmnestra. The tragedies subsequent to Olymp, 80 had indeed become considerably longer,† which is said to have originated with Aristarchus, a tragedian who mede his appearance in Olymp. 81. 2. n.c. 454.1 The Agamemnon of Æschylus, however, the first piece of his last trilogy, is considerebly longer than the others, and nearly of the same length as a play of Sophocles. Still, this extension has not been effected by an increase in the action, which even in Sophneles turns mon a single point, and very seldom, as in the Antigone, is divided into several important moments,

As e. g. Euripides brought out in n.c. 431 the Meden, Philoctetes, Dictys, and the satyrical drams "the Reapers" (\*\*equers\*): in n.c. 414 Xenocles exhibited the Œdipus, Lycaon, Bacchas, and the satyrical drams "the Athames."

P. E., the Persians, 1076; Supplians, 1074; Seven against Thebes, 1078; Prometheus, 1093. On the other hand, the Agamemnon, 1673; the Antgone, 1304; King Cdipus, 1530; Cdipus at Colonus, 1780, according to the numbers in Dindorf's edition.

<sup>1</sup> Suidas v. 'Αρίσταρχος...."ς πρώτος είς εί τον αίνων μύπος τὰ δράματα κατίστησες Eusebius gives us the year of his first appearance.

but is entirely subservient to the development of the events out of the character and passions of actors, and belongs to the delineation of their state of mind. The lyrical element, on the contrary, so far from gaining anything by this extension, was considerably diminished, especially in the part which fell to the chorus, since it is clear that Sophocles did not feel himself so much called upon, as #Sethylus did, to represent the impression of the events and circumstances upon those who took no part in them, and to lend his voice to express the feelings of right-minded spectators, which was the chief business of the tragic chorus, but he directed his efforts to express what was going on in the bosoms of the persons whose actions were represented on the state.

It is sufficiently obvious that the introduction of the third actor (chap. XXII. § 7.) was necessary for this change. The dialogue naturally gains much in variety by the addition of a third interlocator; for this enables the characters to show themselves on different sides. If it is the property of the tritagonist to produce opposition on the part of the first person by gainsying lain, the deviaagonist, on the other hand, may, in friendly conversation, draw from his bosom its gentler feelings and more secret thoughts. It was not till the separation of the deuteragonist from the tritagonist that we could have persons like Chrysothemis by the side of Electra, and Ismene by the side of Antigone, who elevate the vigour of the chief character by the opposition and contrast of a gentler womashood.\*

These outward changes in the stage business of tragedy enable us at once to see the point to which Sophocles desired to bring tragic poetry; he wished to make it a true mirror of the impulses, passions, strivings, and struggles of the soul of man. While he laid aside those great objects of national interest, which made the Greek look upon the time gone by as a high and a holy thing, and to keep up the remembrance of which the art of Æschylus had been for the most part dedicated, the mythical subjects gained in his hands a general, and therefore a lasting significance. The rules of Greek art obliged him to depict strong and great characters, and the shocks to which they are exposed are exceedingly violent; they are drawn, however, with such intriusic truth that every man may recognize in them in some points a likeness of himself: the corrections and limitations of the exercise of man's will, and the requirements and laws of morality are expressed in the most forcible manner. There has hardly been any poet whose works can be compared with those of Sophocles for the universality and durability of their moral significance.

§ 5. We cannot here attempt to submit the plan of the different tragedies of Sophoeles to a circumstantial analysis (to which the remarks in chap. XXII. furnish a sort of introduction); it will, however,

<sup>\*</sup> Comp. Schol. on the Electra, 328.

be in accordance with the object of this work to take a nearer view of the particular situations which form the turning points of the different plays, and of the ethical ideas which are asserted in them.

The Antigone turns entirely on the contest between the interests and requirements of the state and the rights and duties of the family. Thebes has successfully repulsed the attack of the Argive army; but Polyneices, one of her citizens, and a member of the Theban royal family, lies dead before the walls among the enemies who had threatened Thebes with fire and sword. Creon, the king of Thebes, only follows a custom of the Greeks, the object of which was to preserve a state from the attacks of its own citizens, when he leaves the enemy of his native land unburied as a prey to dogs and vultures; yet the manner in which he keeps up this political principle, the excessive severity of the punishment denounced against those who wished to bury the corpse, the terrible threats addressed to those who watched it, and, still more, the boastful and violent strain in which he sets forth and extols his own principles-all this gives us a proof of that infatuation of a narrow mind, unenlightened by gentleness of a higher nature, which appeared to the Greeks to contain in itself a foreboding of approaching misfortune. But what was to be done by the relations of the dead man, the females of his family, on whom the care of the corpse was imposed as a religious duty by the universal law of the Greeks? That they should feel their duty to the family in all its force, and not comprehend what they owed to the state, is in accordance with the natural character of women: but while the one sister. Ismene, only sees the impossibility of performing the former duty, the great soul of Antigone fires with the occasion, and forms resolves of the greatest boldness. Defiance begets defiance : Creon's harsh decree calls forth in her breast the most obstinate, inflexible self-will, which disregards all consequences, and despises all gentler means. In this consists her guilt, which Sophocles does not conceal; on the contrary, he brings it prominently before us, and especially in the choruses;\* but the very reason why Autigone is so highly tragical a character is this, that, notwithstanding the crime she has committed, she appears to us so great and so amiable. The sentinel's description of her, how she came to the corpse in the buruing heat of the sun, while a scorching whirlwind (rupuc) was throwing all nature into confusion, and how she raised a shrill cry of woe when she saw that the earth she had scattered over it had been taken away, is a picture of a being, who, possessed by an ethical idea as by an irresistible law of nature, blindly follows her own noble impulses.

It must, however, be insisted on that it is not the tragical end of this great and nohle creature, but the disclosure of Creon's infatuation, which forms the general object of the tragedy; and that, although

<sup>\*</sup> See particularly v. 853, Dindorf: nethae' in' lexure beisous.

Sophocles considers Antigone's act as going beyond what women should dare, he lays much more stress on the truth; that there is something holy without and above the state, to which the state should pay respect and reverence: a doctrine which Antigone declares with such irresistible truth and sublimity.\* Every movement in the course of this piece which could shake Creon in the midst of his madness, and open his eyes to his own situation, turns upon this and is especially directed to him :- the noble security with which Antigone relies on the holiness of her deed; the sisterly affection of Ismene, who would willingly share the consequences of the act; the loving zeal of Hamon, who is at first prudent and then desperate; the warnings of Teircsias; -all are in vain, till the latter breaks out into those prophetic threatenings of misfortune which at last, when it is too late, penetrate Creon's hardened heart. Hæmon slays himself on the body of Antigone, the death of the mother follows that of her son, and Creon is compelled to acknowledge that there are blessings in one's family for which no political wisdom is an adequate substitute.

§ 6. The characteristics of the art of Sophocles are most prominently shown in the Electra, because we have here an opportunity of making a direct comparison with the Orestea of Æschylus, and in particular with the Choephores. Sophocles takes an entirely different view of this mythological subject, as well by representing the punishment of Clytæmnestra without the connexion of a trilogy, as by making Electra the chief character and protsgonist. This was impracticable in the case of Æschylus, for he was obliged to make Orestes, who was the chief person in the lerend, also the chief character in the drama. But for Sophocles' finer delineation of character, and for his psychological views, Electra was a much more suitable heroine. For while Orestes, a matricide from duty and conscience, an avenger of blood from his birth, and especially intrusted with this commission by the Delphic oracle, appears to be urged to it by a superior power; Electra, on the contrary, is sustained in her burning hatred against her mother and her mother's paramour, by her own feelings,-which are totally different from shose of her sister Chrysothemis,-by her entire devotion to the sublime image of her murdered father, which is ever present to her mind, by disgust for her mother's pride and lust, in short by the most secret impulses of a young maiden's heart; that Ægisthus wears the robes of Agamemnon, that Clytæmnestra held a feast on the day of her husband's murder, these are continually recurring provocations, Such is the character which Sophocles has made the central figure in his tragedy, a character in which the warmest feelings are blended with the peculiar shrewdness that distinguished the female character at the time represented, and he has contrived to give such a direction to the plot, v. 450. ob vale vi ma Ziùi in-

that the interest is entirely centered in the actions and feelings of this person. According to Æschylus, Orestes had been driven from the house by Clytæmnestra, and sent to Strophius of Phocis: he appears in the paternal mansion as an expelled and illegally disinherited son. According to Sophocles, Orestes, then a child, was to have been put to death when Agamemnon was murdered, and it was only Electra who rescued him and put him under the care of his father's friend, Strophius. by which she gains the credit of having preserved an avenger of her father, and a deliverer of the whole family. † On the other hand, Sophocles is obliged to omit the secret plot between Orestes and Electra, and their conspiracy to effect the murder, which is the leading incident in the play of Æschylus, because Sophocles did not set so much importance on making Electra a participator in the deed, as in exhibiting the mind of the high-souled maiden driven about by a storm of contending emotions. This he effects by some slight modifications of the story, in which he makes all possible use of his predecessor's ideas, but follows them out and works them up with such gentle and delicate touches that they fit exactly with his new plan. Æschylus had already hit upon the contrivance by which Orestes gets into the house of the Atridæ; he appeared as an ally and vassal of the house with the pretended funeral urn of Orestes; t but Electra had herself planned this device with him, and speaks in concert with him; consequently, the completion of the scheme commences inmediately after the first leading division of the play. In Sophocles, where there is no such concert between him and his sister, Electra is herself deceived by the trick, and is cast down and grieved in the same degree as Clytæmnestra, after a transient outbreak of maternal affection, is gladdened and tranquillized by it.§ The funeral offerings of Orestes at his father's grave, which in Æschylus lead to the recognition, in Sophocles only excite a hope in Chrysothemis, which is at once cast down by Electra, who refuses to take comfort from it. Her desire for revenge becomes only the more urgent when she believes herself deprived of all help from man; her grief reaches its highest point when she holds in her arms the sepulchral urn, which she supposes to contain her

<sup>\*</sup> It is for this reason that Sophocles considers Strophius of Crisa as the friend of Agamemnon and his children, and therefore he names Phanateus, the hero of a state houlite to the Crisasans, as the pers. who sends Chitementar tha message about her son, sithough Strophius had collected and seat the sales of Octster. F Kurpides, it his Electra, gives this incident up again, and supposes that

Electra and Orestes were reparated from one another as children.

† Up to v. 348 of the Choephore, Orestes wears the cummon dre-s of a traveller; it is not before v. 652 that he appears in a different costume as \$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\pi}\_{2}\tilde{

<sup>§</sup> It was a kindly trait in Sophoeles, which would never have occurred to Æschylus, that Clytemnestra's first feeling, when she hears the news, is a mutual emotion of love for the child, which she had borne with pain and travail, v. 770.

only hope. As it is Orestes himself who gives it to her, the recognition scene follows immediately, and this constitutes the revolution, or peripoteia, as the ancients called it. The death of Clytemiestra and Egistuhes is treated by Sophoeles more as necessary consequence of the rest, and less as the chief incident; and while it is the aim of Rochylus to place this action jixelf in its proper light, Sophoeles at once relaxes his efforts as soon as Electra is relieved from her sorrow and dismuietude.

- § 7. The Trachinian Women of Sophocles has also entirely the plan and object of a delineation of character, and the imperfections, with which this play is not altogether unreasonably charged, arise from the conflict between the legend on which the play is founded, and the intentions of Sophocles. The tragical end of Hercules forms the subject of the play : Sophocles, however, has again made the heroine Deianeira. and not Hercules, the chief person in the play. Sorrow arising from love, this is the moving theme of the drama, and, treated as the poet wished it to be, it is one possessing the greatest beauties. All Deianeira's thoughts and endeavours are directed towards regaining the love of her husband, on whom her whole dependence is placed, and towards assuring herself of his constant attachment to herself. By pursuing this impulse without sufficient foresight, she brings upon him, as it appears to her, the most frightful misery and ruin. By this her fate is decided: but in the ancient tragedy, even when a person perishes, it is possible, by a justification of his name and memory, to attain to that tranquillizing effect, which was required by the feelings of Sophocles as well as by those of Æschylus. It is this, not to speak of the conclusion of the legend itself, which is the object of the best part of the Trachinian Women, in which Hercules appears as the chief character, and, after uttering the most violent imprecations against his wife, at last acknowledges that Deianeira, influenced by love alone, had only contributed to bring about the end which fate had destined for him.\* It is true that Hercules does not, as we might expect, give way to compassionate lamentations for Deianeira, and earnest wishes that slic were present to receive his parting forgiveness. The feelings of a Greek would be satisfied by the hero's quitting the world without uttering any reprosches against his unhappy wife, for this removes any real grounds for reprehension.
- § S. We shall form the clearest idea of the meaning of King GEdipx, if we consider what it does not mean. It does not contain a history of the crime of CEdipus and its detection; but this crime, which fate had brought upon him, without his knowledge or his will, forms a dark and guoony background on which the action of the drama itself is painted.
  - \* Hyllus says of her, v. 1136: aras et yenn' apares, yenre papira.

with bold and strong colours. The action of the drama has reference throughout to the discovery of these horrors, and the moral ideas, which are developed in it, must be brought out in this discovery, if they are particularly contained in it. Let us consider, then, what changes take place in Ædipus in the course of the tragedy. At the beginning, not only is he praised by the Thebans in the most emphatic terms as the best and wisest of men, but he also shows that he is himself fully conscious of his own worth, and well satisfied with the measures he has set on foot, in the first instance, to investigate the cause of the destructive malady, and then to discover the murderer of Laïus; and in this he is not disturbed by any misgiving, not even by the faintest shadow of a suspicion, that he himself may be this murderer. In this self-reliance, and the confidence which springs from it, we have an explanation of the violence and unjustifiable warmth with which Œdipus repels the declaration of Teiresias, that he himself by his presence has brought pollution on the land, which he ought to remove by withdrawing as soon as possible. Here an occasion was presented on which Œdipus should have felt how vain and perishable humau greatness is, how weak the virtue of man; on which he ought to have examined his heart, and to have questioned himself whether there was no dark spot in his life to which this fcarful crime might correspond, Such, however, is his self-confidence, that, where the truth comes so near to him, he sees only falsehood and treason, and maintains his fancied security, until, in a conversation with Iocasta, when she mentions that Laïus was murdered at a place where three roads meet, he is for the first time disturbed by a sudden suspicion,\* and an entire revolution takes place in his mind. It is particularly worthy of remark that the steps which Iocasta takes to tranquillize her husband, and to banish all the terror occasioned by the prophecies of Teiresias, are just those which lead to a discovery of all the horrors; she endeavours to prove the nothingness of the prophetic art by means of that which shortly afterwards confirms its authority. We may recognise in this, as in many other features of this tragedy, distinct traces of that sublime irony, which expresses the poet's sorrow for the limitation of human existence by striking contrasts between the conceptions of the individual and the real state of the ease. It is expressed in many passages of the tragedies of Sophocles, but is particularly developed in King Œdipus, for the theme of the whole is the infatuation of man in regard to his own destiny, and in this play the idea is echoed even by the words and turns of expression. † The same sort of peripeteia is further repeated

Οδό μ' απεύσαντ' άφτίως ἔχτι, γόναι, ψυχᾶς πλάνημα πάναπίσησες φρινών.

<sup>†</sup> See Mr. Thirlwall's excellent essay " on the Irony of Sophocles," in the Philological Museum, Vol. II. No. VI. p. 483.

when Œdipus has allowed himself to be calmed by his queen, and believes that the news he has received of the death of his parents in Corinth has freed him from all fear of having committed the horrible crimes denounced by the oracle: it is, however, by the narrative of this same messenger, with regard to his discovery on Cithæron, that he is suddenly torn from this state of security, and from that moment, though Jocasta sees at one glance the whole connexion of their horrible fate. he cannot rest or be quiet until he has become fully convinced of his parricidal act, and of his incestuous connexion with his mother. He accordingly inflicts punishment on himself, which is the more terrible. the more confident he was before that he was good and blameless in the eyes of god and man. "O ve generations of mortals, how unworthy of the name of life I must reckon your existence:" so begins the last stasimon of the chorus, which in this tragedy, as in all those of Sophocles, performs the duty which Aristotle prescribes as its proper vocation; it gives indication of a humane sympathy, which, although not based upon such deep views as to solve all the knotty points in the action, is guided by such a train of thought as to bring back the violent emotions and the shocks of passion to a certain measure of tranquil contemplation. The chorus of Sophocles, therefore, when in its songs it meddles with the action of the piece, often appears weak, vacillating, and even blinded to the truth: when, on the contrary, it collects its different feelings into a general contemplation of the laws of our being, it peals forth the sublimest hymns, such as that beautiful stasimon, which, after Jocasta's impious speeches, recommends a fear of the gods, and a regard for those ordinances which had their birth in heaven, which the mortal nature of man has not brought forth, and which will never be plunged by oblivion into the sleep of death.

§ 9. In the Ajaz of Sophocles the extraordinary power of the poet is shown in the production of a character, which, though entirely peculiar, and like nothing but itself, is nevertheless a general picture of humanity, applicable to every findividual case. Sophocles' Ajaz, like Homer's, is from first to last a brave and noble character, always resuly to exert his nawaering heroism for the benefit of his people. He is a man who relies on himself, and can depend upon his own firmness in every case that occurs. But in the full consciousness of his indomitable courage, he has forgotten that there is a higher power on which man is dependent, even for that which he considers most steadfast and most his own, the practical part of his character. This is the more deeply-vooted guilt of Ajax, which is shown at the very beginning of the play; but it does not appear in its full compass till afterwards, the proplecties communicated to Teuer by Calebas, where Ajax's here proplected in the proplecties communicated to Teuer by Calebas, where Ajax's

<sup>\*</sup> King Œdip, v. 863: il un Emin Cipori.

arrogant words-" With the assistance of the gods even the feeble might conquer; that he was confident he could perform his part even without their help;" are cited as proof of his mode of thinking." Now, by the vote of the Greeks, which has awarded the arms of Achilles to Ulysses and not to him. Aiax has suffered that sort of humiliation. which, to a character like his, is always most intolerable, and the gods have chosen this moment for the punishment of his presumption. In the night after the decision, when Ajax has set out in the most ungovernable passion to wreak his vengeance on the Atridæ and Ulysses, Athena distracts his mind so that he mistakes oxen and sheep for his enemies, and gives vent to his wrath against them. In this unworthy condition and performing these unworthy actions, Sophocles shows him at the very beginning of his drama as " Ajux the whip-bearer" (Aiac μαστιγοφόρος). When he returns to his senses, his whole soul is possessed with the deepest sense of shame, and the more so as all his pride is shaken to its foundation. The beautiful Eccyclema scene † is introduced for the purpose of representing Aiax, ashamed and humbled, with all the circumstances of his case. However deeply he feels his disgrace, and however clearly he recognizes the gods as the authors of it, he is as far as possible from being a downcast penitent. His whole character is far too consistent to allow him to live on in humble resignation. He has convinced himself that he can no longer live with houser. It is true that the poet, in the oracle ascribed to Calchas, " that Athena is persecuting Ajax only for this day, and that he will be delivered if he survives it," suggests the possibility of Ajax having more modest views, of his recognizing the limits of his power. But this, though possible, is never actually the case. Ajax remains as he His death, in order to effect which he employs a sort of stratagem, is the only atonement which he offers to the gods. I Sophocles, however, would look upon this as only one side of the complete developement of the action. Severely as the poet punishes what was worthy of punishment in Ajax, he acknowledges with equal justice the greatness of such a character as his. The opinions of antiquity, which regarded a man's burial as an essential part of the destiny of his life, allowed a continuation of the action after the death of the hero. Teucer, the brother of Ajax, contends, as the champion of his honour, with the Atridæ, who seek to deprive him of the rites of burial; and Ulysses.

See the speech of Calchas:—
 Τὰ γὰς στιροτὰ αὐνίνετα σώματα
 πίστοι βαρίπια πρός δών δυσσηπέρας,
 Εφασχ' ὁ μάντις. ν. 758, ff.

<sup>†</sup> V. 346-595. comp. chap. XXXII. § 10.

<sup>?</sup> Compare the ambiguous words in the deceitful speech : — λλλ' δμι σχός τι λουτρλ, &c., ν. 654; ff.

the very person whom Ajax had hated most bitterly, comes forward on the side of Teucer, openly and distinctly acknowledging the excellences of the deceased warrior.\* And thus Ajax, the tubble heiro, whom the Athenians too honoured as a hero of their rece,† appears as a striking example of the divine Nemesis, and the more so as his heroism was altogether spotless in every other respect.

§ 10. In the Philoctetes, which was not represented till Olymp. 92. 3. B. C. 439, when the poet was eighty-five years old, Sophocles had to emulate not only Æschylus, but also Euripides, who had before this time endeavoured to impart novelty to the legend by making great alterations in it, and adding some very strange contrivances of his own. \$ Sophocles needed no such means to give a peculiar interest to the subject as treated by himself. He lays the chief stress on a skilful outline and consistent filling up of the characters; it is the object of his drama to depict the results of these characters in the natural, and, to a certain extent, necessary developement of their peculiarities. In this piece, however, this psychological developement, starting from an hypothesis selected in the first instance and proceeding in accordance with it, leads to results entirely different from those contained in the original legend. In order to avoid this contest between his art and the old mythological story, Sophocles has been obliged for once to avail himself of a resource which he elsewhere despises, though it is frequently employed by Euripides, namely, the Deus ex machina, as it is called, i. e. the intervention of some deity, whose sudden appearance puts an end to the play of passions and projects among the persons whose actions are represented, and, as it were, cuts the Gordian knot with the sword.

Sophocles having assumed that Ulysses has associated with himself the young hero Neoptolemus, in order to bring to Troy Philocetets, or his weapons, we have front the beginning of the piece an interesting contrast between the two heroes thus united for a common object. Ulysses

<sup>\*</sup> It is not till this incident that we have the Peripetria, which was always a violent change in the direction of the piece (\$\tilde{\text{till}}\$ violent change in the direction of the piece (\$\tilde{\text{till}}\$ violent change in the direction which the dram had to keen from the very beginning.

<sup>†</sup> It is worthy of remark that he speaks only of the sword of Eurysaces, and not of Phileus, from whom the family of Mittades and Cimon derived their descent. Sophocles manifestly avoids the appearance of paying intentional homage to distinguished families.

Elimipide hod feigred that the Trojons also sent an embany to Philectries and offered him the sovereignty in return for his aid, in order (at 10 hothypastom cremarks, Orat. 52, p. 549) to give himself an opportunity of introducing the long speeches, pro and one, of which he is no fand. Clysons, dispined as a ferrick whom his countymen before Troy had illeand, embersours to induce him to assist him to assist him to the continuous cont

relies altogether on the ambition of Neoptolemus, who is destined by fate to be the conqueror of Troy, if he can obtain the aid of the weapons of Philoctetes, and Neoptolemus does, in fact, suffer himself to be prevailed upon to deceive Philoctetes by representing himself as an enemy of the Greeks who are besieging Troy, and is just on the point of carrying him off to their camp, under the pretence of taking him home; meanwhile Neoptolemus is deeply touched, in the first place, by the unsophisticated eloquence of Philoctetes, and then by the sight of his unspeakable sufferings; \* but it is long before the resolute temper of the young hero can be drawn aside by this from the path he has once entered on. The first time he departs from it is after Philoctetes has given him his bow to take care of, when he candidly admits the truth, that he is obliged to take him to Troy, and cannot conduct him to his home. Yet he still follows the plans of Ulysses, though much against his own inclination, and this drives Philoctetes into a state of despair, which almost transcends all his bodily sufferings, until Neoptolemus suddenly reappears in violent dispute with Ulysses, as himself, as the simple-minded, straightforward, noble young hero, who will not in any case deceive the confidence of Philoctetes; and as Philoctetes cannot and will not overcome his hatred of the Achæans, he throws aside all his ambitious hopes and wishes, and is on the point of escorting the sick hero to his native land, when Hercules, the Deus ex machina, suddenly makes his appearance, and, by announcing the decrees of fate, produces a complete revolution in the sentiments of Philoctetes . and Neoptolemus. This drama, then, is exceedingly simple, for the foundation on which it is built is the relation between three characters. and it consists of two acts only, separated by the stasimon before the scene, in which the change in Neoptolemus's views is brought about, But if we consider the consistent and profound developement of the characters, it is by far the most artificial and elaborate of all the works of Sophocles. The appearance of Hercules only effects an outward peripeteia, or that sort of revolution which bears upon the occurrences in the piece; the intrinsic revolution, the real peripeteia in the drama of Sophocles, lies in the previous return of Neoptolemus to his genuine and natural disposition, and this peripeteia is, quite in accordance with the spirit of Sophocles, brought about by means of the characters and the progress of the action itself.

§ 11. In all the pieces of which we have spoken hitherto, the prevailing ideas are ethical, but necessarily based on a religious foundation, since it is always by reference to the divinity that the proper bias is

V. 965: 'Εμαὶ μὲν ἄπτης Ικούς ἰμπύπτωκὶ της τῶδ' ἀνθρές, οὐ νὲν σχῶτος ἀλλὰ καὶ
πάλκα. The silence of Neoptolemus in the scene beginning with 0.4. ἄ κάκιντ'
λέγῶν τɨ βεᾶς, v. 974, and ending with the words ἀκούτεμαι μές, v. 1074, is just as characteristic as any speech could have been.

given to human actions in every field. There is, however, one drama in which the religious ideas of Sophoeles are brought so prominently forward that the whole play may be considered as an exposition of the Greek belief in the gods.

This drama, the Œdipus at Colonus, is always connected in the old stories with the last days of the poet. Sophocles attained the age of 89, or thereabouts, for he did not die till Olymp. 93. 2. n. c. 406,\* and yet he did not himself bring out the Œdipus at Colonus; it was first brought on the stage in Olymp, 94, 3, B, c, 401, by his grandson, the younger Sophocles. This younger Sophocles was a son of Ariston, the offspring of the great poet and Theoris of Sicvon. Sophocles had also a son Iophon by a free-woman of Athens, and he alone, according to the Attic law, could be considered as his legitimate son and rightful heir. Iophon and Sophocles both emulated their father and grandfather; the former brought tragedies on the stage during his father's lifetime, the latter after his grandfather's death; the whole family seems, like that of Æschylus, to have dedicated itself to the tragic muse. But the heart of the old man yearned towards the offspring of his beloved Theoris; and it was said, that he was endeavouring to bestow upon his grandson during his own lifetime a considerable part of his means. Ionhou, fearing lest his inheritance should be too much diminished by this, was urged to the undutiful conduct of proposing to the members of the phratria (who had a sort of family jurisdiction) that his father should no longer be permitted to have any control over his property, which he was no longer capable of managing. The only reply which Sophoeles made to this charge was to read to his fellowtribesmen the parodos from the Œdinos at Colonus: t which must, therefore, have been just composed, if it were to furnish any proof for the object he had in view; and we think it does the greatest honour to the Athenian judges, that, after such a proof of the poet's powers of mind, they paid no attention to the proposal of Iophon, even though he was right in a legal point of view. Iophon, it seems, became sensible of his error, and Sophocles afterwards forgave him. The ancients found

The old authorities give Olymp. 93. 3. as the year of Sophoeler death: this was the year of the Archon Gallias, which Aristophaner Fryar were lenought not at the Lema, and the death of Sophoeles is preemposed in this comedy as well as that of Dumples. The Fish Sophoeles, however, following farms and Neathers, that of Dumples. The Fish Sophoeles however, following farms and Neathers, the Authorities of the Comment of the

<sup>+</sup> Edirge, Eles, carde garas, v. 668 ff. Comp. chap. XXII, & 12.

an allusion to this fact in a passage of the (Edipus at Colonus,\* where Antigone says, by way of apology for Polyneices, "Other people, too, have lad bad children, and a choleric temper, but have been induced by the southing apecches of their friends to give up their anger."

§ 12. It was then in the latter years of his life that Souhoeles composed this tragedy, which the ancients justly designate as a sweet and charming poem; t so wonderfully is it pervaded by gentle and amiable feelings, so deeply tinged with a tone mixed up of sorrow for the miseries of human existence and of comforting and clevating hopes. This drama impresses every susceptible reader with a warmth of sensibility as if it treated of the weal of the poet himself; here, more than in any other poem, one can recognize the immediate language of the heart.; In this play the aged Sophoeles has plunged into the recollections of his youth, during which the monuments and traditions of his rustic home, the village of Colonus near Athens, had made a deep and lasting impression on his mind; in the whole piece, and esuccially in the charming parodox-song which celebrates the natural beauties and aucient glory of Colonus, he expresses in the most amiable manner his patriotism and his love for his home. At Colonus were hallowed spots of every kind, consecrated by faith in the powers of darkness; a grove of the Erinnyes, who were designated as "the venerable goddesses" (πεμναί); " a brazen threshold," as it was called, which was regarded as the portal of the subterranean world; and, among other things, also an abode where Œdipus was said to dwell beneath the earth as a propitious deity, conferring upon the land peace and bliss, and destroying its enemies, especially the Thebans. The touching thought that this (Edipus, whom the Erinnyes had so cruelly persecuted in his life-time, should find rest from his sorrows in their sanctuary, had been mythically expressed in other places, and was connected with particular localities. That such a sacrifice, however, to the avenging goddesses, one reconeiled to them, and even tranquillized by them, should also possess the power of conferring blessings, depends upon the fundamental ideas of the worship of the Chihonian deities among the Greeks, which directly ascribe to the powers of the earth and the night a secret and mysterious fulness of life. It was in reference to these, according to the views of

<sup>\*</sup> åλλ' în abris' siei χάτίρως γεκαί κακαί. V. 1192 ff.

<sup>†</sup> Mollissimum ejus carmen de Œdipode. Cicero de Fin., v. i. 3.

Not to touch upon the higher ideas, we may also refer to the complaints of the chorus about the miseries of old age, v. 1271. There is a counterpoise to these lamcuts in the subsequent praises of an easy death, at peace with the gods.

aments in the sunsequent graves on a reary seem, is great war now good.

§ Spipholes handed says, "C\$, of the temples an amountment of Collons, Spipholes and the same seems of the same seems o

Sophocles, that Œdipus, at the very commencement of his unhappy career, before his rencontre with Laïus, received an oracle from the Delphic Apollo, stating that he would reach the end of his sorrowful iourney through life in that place, where he should obtain an hospitable reception from the Erinnyes. He does not, however, perceive that he is approaching the fulfilment of the oracle till the beginning of the drama, when, wandering about as an exile, he unexpectedly learns that he is in the sanctuary of these goddesses. It is, however, long before the people of Colonus, who hasten to the spot, are willing to receive him: they are shocked in the first place by the audacity of the stranger, who has so boldly profaned the grove of the fearful goddesses, and in the next place by the terrible curse which attaches to his destiny: and it is the noble and humane disposition of Theseus, the prince of the country, which first assures him of reception and protection in Attica. Meanwhile, a second oracle comes to light. It has been obtained by the parties who are contending for the sovereignty of Thebes, and promises conquest and prosperity to those who possess Œdipus or his grave. This gives occasion for a number of scenes in which Creon and Polyneices, both of whom have grievously offended Œdipus, strive with all their might to gain his aid for their own purposes; but they are at once haughtily rejected by him, assured as he is by the protection of Athens from all outward violence. The real object of these scenes, which fill up the middle portion of the tragedy, obviously is to represent the blind and aged (Edipus a miserable being, bowed down by a curse, disgraced, and banished, yet raised to a state of honour and majesty by the interposition of the divinity in his favour; and in this state he is elevated far above his enemies, who before ill-treated him in the insolence of power. There is a sort of majesty even in the anger with which he sends from him, loaded with a curse, his wicked son Polyneices, now so deeply humbled; although, according to our notions, the Greek Charis may appear somewhat harsh and rude in this justance. After this exaltation upon earth, the thunder of Zeus is heard, calling Œdipus to the other world; and we learn, partly from what (Edipus said before, and partly from the messenger who comes back to us, how (Edipus, adorned for death in festal attire, and summoned by subterraneous thunders and voices, has vanished in a mysterious manner from the surface of the earth. Theseus puts a stop to the laments of the daughters with the words, "One must not complain of the manner in which the Chthonian powers display their favours: it were an offence to the gods to do so."\*

traditions. See v. 976. 1004. It is true that Euripides has the same tradition in his Phonissus, v. 1707; but this tragedy belongs to a period (about Olymp. 93) when Sophocles' Edipus at Coloms, though not yet brought out, might have been known to the lowers of literature at Atheus.

V. 1751. παίντι έρθους παίδις το αξ γάς Χάρις à Χθοία ξύο γ' Ατύπιστας πισδίες οδ .
 Χρά κίμιστε γάς.

It cannot have escaped any attentive reader how much in this mythms, so treated, is splitcable not merely to the old here. Cédipus, but also to the destiny of man in general, and how a gentle longing for death, as a deliverance from all worldly troubles and as a clearing up of our destination of the contract of the c

§ 13. Thus the tragedies of Sophocles appear to us as pictures of the mind, as poetical developments of the secrets of our souls and of the laws to which their nature makes them amenable. Of all the poets of antiquity, Sophocles has penetrated most deeply into the recesses of the human heart. He bestows very little attention on facts; he regards them as little more than vehicles to give an outward manifestation to the workings of the mind. For the representation of this world of thought, Sophocles has contrived a peculiar poetical language. If the general distinction between the language of poetry and prose is that the former gives the ideas with greater clearness and vividness. and the feelings with greater strength and warmth; the style of Sophocles is not poetical in the same degree as that of Æschylus, because it does not strive after the same vivid description of sensible impressions, and because his art is based upon a delineation of the manifold delicate shades of feeling, and not on an exhibition of the strong and uncontrollable emotions. Accordingly, the style of Sophocles comes a good deal nearer to prose than that of Æschylus, and is distinguished from it less by the choice of words than by their use and connexion, and by a sort of boldness and subtilty in the employment of ordinary expressions. Sophocles seeks to make his words imply something which people in general would not expect in them; he employs them according to their derivation rather than according to their actual use : and thus his expressions have a peculiar pregnancy and obscurity+ which easily degenerates into a sort of play with words and significa-

• It is true that the whole piece is full of networks to the Pelopomerian and to the devastations to which Attinue was subjected, though they sparted the country about Colonian and the Academy, and the body olive-trees. Difficulties, the control of the contr

+ Especially also one, of which the speakers themselves are unconscious; so that, without knowing it, they often describe the real state of the case. This belongs esentially to the tragical irony of Sophocles, of which we have spoken above (§ 8.)

tions. With regard to this, it must be remarked that, at the period when he wrote, the spirit of the Greek nation was in a state of progressive developement, in which it entered upon speculations beyond its own impulses and their utterance by means of words and sentences, and in which the reflecting powers were every day gaining more and more the mastery over the powers of perception. In such a period as this, an observation of and attention to words in themselves is perfectly natural. Besides, at this time of vehement excitement, the Athenians had an especial fondness for a certain difficulty of expression. An orator would please them less by telling them everything plainly than by leaving them something to guess, and so giving them the satisfaction of acquiring a sort of respect for their own sagacity and discernment. Thus Sophocles often plays at hide and seek with the significations of words, in order that the mind, having exerted itself to find out his meaning, may comprehend it more vividly and distinctly when it is once arrived at. In the syntactical combinations, too, Sophocles is very expressive, and to a certain extent artificial, while he strives with great precision to mark all the subordinate relations of thought. Perspicuity and fluency are incompatible with such a style as this; and, indeed, these properties were not generally characteristic of the rhetoric of the time. The style of Sophocles moves on with a judicious and accurate observation of all incidental circumstances, and does not hurry forwards with inconsiderate haste; though in this very particular there is a difference between the older and the more recent tragedies of Sophocles. for several speeches in the Ajax, the Philoctetes, and the Œdipus at Colonus have the same oratorical flow which we find in Euripides,† In the lyrical parts, this distinct exhibition and clear illustration of the thoughts are combined with an extraordinary grace and sweetness: several of the choral odes are, even taken by themselves, master-pieces of a sort of lyric poetry, which rivals that of Sappho in beauty of description and grace of conception. Sophocles, too, has with singular good taste cultivated the Glyconian metre, which is so admirably calculated for the expression of gentle and kindly emotions,

Cleon says (io Thucydides 11L 38) that the Athenisas may easily be deceived by novelties of style; that they despise what is common, admire what is strange, and, though they speak not themselves, are nevertheless so far rivals of the speaker that they follow close upon him with their thoughts, and even outrun him.

<sup>†</sup> See the speeches of Menelaus, Agamemon, and Tencer, in the second part of the Ajax, and Œdipus' defence in v. 960 of the Œdipus at Colonus.

## CHAPTER XXV.

- § 1. Difference between Sophocles and Euripides. The latter essentially speculative. Tragedy a subject ill-suited for his genius. § 2. Intrusion of tragedy into the interests of the private and, § 3, public life of the time. § 4. Alterations in the plan of tragedy introduced by Euripides. Prologue and, § 5, Deus ex machina. § 6. Comparative insignificance of the chorus. Prevalence of monodies. § 7. Style of Euripides. § 8. Outline of his plays: the Alcestis; § 9. the Medea; § 10. the Hippolytus; § 11. the Hecuba. § 12. Epochs in the mode of treating his subject: the Heracleids; § 13. the Suppliants; § 14. the Ion; § 15. the raging Heracles; § 16. the Andromache; § 17. the Trojan Women; § 18. the Electra; § 19. the Helena; § 20. the Iphigenia at Tauri; § 21. the Orestos; § 22. the Phornician Women; § 23. the Bacchanalians; § 24. the Iphigenia at Aulis. § 25, Lost pieces: the Cyclops,
- § 1. The tragedies of Sophocles are a beautiful flower of Attic genius, which could only have sprung up on the boundary line between two ages differing widely in their opinions and mode of thinking." Sophocles possessed in perfection that free Attic training which rests upon an unprejudiced observation of human affairs; his thoughts had entire freedom, and the power of mastering outward impressions; yet with all this, Sophocles admits a something which cannot be moved and must not be touched, which is deeply rooted in our conscience, and which a voice from within warns us not to bring into the whirlpool of speculation. He is, of all the Greeks, at once the most pious and most enlightened. In treating of the positive objects of the popular religion of his country, he has hit upon the right mean between a superstitions adherence to outward forms and a sceptical opposition to the traditionary belief. He has always the skill to call attention to that side of his religion, which must have produced devotional feelings even in a reflecting and educated mind of that time.†

The position of Euripides, in reference to his own time, was totally different. Although he was only eleven years younger than Sophocles. and died about half a year before him, he seems to belong to an entirely different generation, in which the tendencies, still united in Sophocles and presided over by the noblest perception of beauty, had become irre-

<sup>\*</sup> Comp. chap. XX. § 7.

Coup. cnap. A. .? Which Sophocles everywhere evinces for the prophetic art is highly worthy of remark, and to a modern reader must be particularly surprising. It does not, however, appear in his dramass as an inexplicable guessing at accidental occurrences, but as a thorough initiation into the great and just dispensations of providence. In the Ajax, the Philotectics, the Texchinian Women, the Antigoon, the two (Edipuses, the prophecies express profound ideas though enveloped occasionally in a mystical phraseology. Europides has no sympathy with this reverence for the prophetic art.

concileably opposed to one another. Euripides was naturally a serious character, with a decided bias towards nice and speculative inquiries into the nature of things human and divine. In comparison with the cheerful Sophocles, whose spirit without any effort comprehended life in all its significance, Euripides appeared to be morose and peevish.\* Although he had applied himself to the philosophy of the time and had entered deeply into Anaxagoras' ideas with regard to matters relating principally to physical science in general, while in regard to moral studies he had manifestly allowed himself to be allured by some of the views of the sophists; nevertheless, the philosophy of Socrates, the opponent and conqueror of the sophists, had, on the whole, gained the upper hand in his estimation. We do not know what induced a person with such tendencies to devote himself to tragic poetry, which he did, as is well known, in the twenty-sixth year of his age, and in the very same year in which Æschylus died (Olymp. 81. 1. B. c. 455.) Suffice it to say, that tragic poetry became the business of his life, and he had no other means of giving to the world the results of his meditations. With respect to the mythical traditions, however, which the tragic muse had selected as her subjects, he stood upon an entirely different footing from Æschylus, who recognized in them the sublime dispensations of providence, and from Sophocles, who regarded them as containing a profound solution of the problem of human existence. He found himself placed in a strange, distorted position with regard to the objects of his poetry, which were fully as disagreeable as they were attractive to him. He could not hring his philosophical convictions, with regard to the nature of God and his relation to mankind, into harmony with the contents of these legends, nor could be pass over in silence their incongruities. Hence it is that he is driven to the strange necessity of carrying on a sort of polemical discussion with the very materials and subjects of which he had to treat. He does this in two ways: sometimes, he rejects as false those mythical narratives which are opposed to purer conceptious about the Gods; at other times, he admits the legends as true, but endeavours to give a base or contemptible appearance to characters and actions which they have represented as great and noble. Thus, the two favourite themes of Euripides are, to represent Helen, whom Homer has had the skill, notwithstanding her failings, to clothe with dignity as well as loveliness, as a common . He is called erguing and meregians by Alexander Ætolus, in the verses quoted by Gellius N. A. xv. 20, 8,

† This is in accordance with the Fise Euripäis, which Elinsky published from a MS, in the Ambosiona Library, and which, with several alterations and additions, is also found in a Paris and Vienna MS. According to Enzatothenes, who gives the gape of 20 for his first appearance and of 73 for his affects, he trums thave been born in Orymp, 74, 3, n. c. 45c. 1, although the Parisa matchip places his birth at Olymp, School and the School and the School and the School and Sc

prositiute, and Menelaus as a great simpleton, who, in order to get back his worthless which, has brought so many brave men into distress and danger—and distinctly to blame and misrepresent the deed of Ogsates as a crime to which he had been urged by the Delphic oracle; which Æschylus has striven to exhibit it as an unavoidable though a dreadful deed.

§ 2. Although Euripides, as an enlightened philosopher, might have found pleasure in showing the Athenians the folly of many of the traditions which they believed in and considered as holy, yet it is somewhat strange that he all along kept close to these mythical subjects, and did not attempt to substitute for them subjects of his own invention, as his contemporary Agathon did, according to Aristotle, in his piece called "the Flower" (areas). It is certain that Euripides regarded these mythological traditions as merely the substratum, the canvas, on which he paints his great moral pictures without the restraint of any rules. He avails himself of the old stories in order to produce situations in which he may exhibit the men of his own time influenced by mental excitement and passionate emotion. There is great truth in the distinction which Sophocles, according to Aristotle, made between the characters of his plays and those of Euripides, when he said that he represented men as they ought to be, Euripides men as they are: \* for, while Sophocles' persons have all something noble and great in their composition, and even the less noble are in a measure justified and eunobled by the sentiments of which they are the vehicle, † Euripides, on the other hand, strips his of the ideal greatness which they claimed as heroes and heroines, and allows them to appear with all the petty passions and weaknesses of people of his own time; -- properties which often make a singular contrast to the grave and messured speeches and the outward point which the tragie cothurnus carries with it. All the characters of Euripides have that loquacity and dexterity in the use of words which distinguished the Athenians of his day, and that vehemence of passion which, formerly restrained by the conventions of morality, was now appearing with less desire for concealment every day, They have all an extraordinary fondness for arguing, and consequently

<sup>\*</sup> Arist, Poet. 25.

<sup>†</sup> Like the Atride in the Ajax, Creon in the Antigone, Ulysses in the Philocetere. There are no shoulter villains in Sophocies; but in Eurjudes, Polymestor in the Heraba, Menelaus in the Orestes, and the Acheran princes in the Trudes, very nearly descret that appellation. In general, every person in ancient tragedy is, to a certain catent, right in his way of thusking: the absolutely insignificant and contempible occupy by no means so much space is ancient tragedy as in our own.

<sup>†</sup> Thus, Euripides represents heroes, like Bellerophon and Ixion, as mere smiers, With similar caprice, he turns the seven heroes warring against Thebes into so many characters from common life, interesting enough, it is true, but not elevated above the ordnavs standard.

<sup>&</sup>amp; rrauplia, during. Comp. chap. XX. \$ 7,

are on the watch for every opportunity of reasoning on their views of things human and divine. | Along with this, objects of common life are treated with the minutest attention to petty circumstances of daily occurrence," as when Medea makes a detailed complaint of the unhappy lot of women, who are obliged to bring a quantity of money as dowry in order to purchase for themselves a lord and master;† and as Hermione, in the Andromache, enlarges on the topic, that a prudent husband will not allow his wife to be visited by strange women, because they would corrupt her mind with all sorts of bad speeches.! Euripides must have bestowed the greatest pains on his study of the female character. Almost all his tragedies are full of vivid sketches and ingenious remarks referring to the life and habits of women. The deeds of passion, bold undertakings, fine-spin plans, as a general rule, always originate with the female characters, and the men often play a very dependent and subordinate part in their execution. One may easily conceive what a shock would be given by thus bringing forward the women from the domestic restraint and retirement in which they lived at Athens: But it would be doing Euripides great injustice if we were, like Aristonhanes, to make this a ground for calling him a womanhater. The honour which his mode of treating the subject confers on the female sex is quite equal to any reproaches which he puts upon women. Euripides also brings children on the stage more frequently than his predecessors; perhaps he did this for the same reason that made people, when brought before the criminal courts on charges involving severe punishment, produce their children to the judges in order to touch their hearts by the sight of their innocence and helplessness. He brings them on in situations which must have moved the heart of every affectionate father and mother among his audience, although they were seldom introduced as speaking or singing, because this was not possible without making some tedious arrangements.

§ 3. Euripides also avails himself of every opportunity of touching upon public events, in order to give weight to his opinions on political subjects, whether favourable or unfavourable. He expresses himself

sixua eranuara, as yeaust, as fineun, says Aristophanes, Frege, 939. † Euripides, Medea, 235.

<sup>!</sup> Eurip. Androm. 944.

<sup>&</sup>amp; As when Peleus holds up the little Molossus to untie the cords with which his mother is bound (Androw. 724). Astyanax, in the Trondes, is first embraced by his mother in the midst of her bitter grief, and afterwards brought in dead upon a shield. The infant Orestes must coax Agamemnon, so as to make him listen to the prayers of Iphigenia.

As in the scenes in the Alcestis and the Andromache (for the children of Medea are heard crying ont from behind the scenes). One of the chorus then stood behind the scenes and sang the part which the child scted, and which was called ταρακαίουν, also ταραχοράγημα, a name which comprehended all the chorus did besides their proper part.

against the dominion of the multitude, especially when it consisted chiefly of the sea-faring people, who were so numerous among the Athenians.\* He inveighs severely against the demagogues who, by their unbridled audacity, were hurrying the people to destruction. † He shows himself, however, no friend to the aristocrats of the time, but represents their pride in their riches and high descent as utter folly. When he declares his political creed more directly, he makes the wellbeing of the state and the preservation of good order depend on the middle class. Euripides has an especial affection for the agriculturists who till the land with their own hands; he regards them as the real patriots and the protectors of the state. Thus we may select from the works of Euripides sentences and sentiments for every situation of human life; for Euripides is fond of taking a general and abstract view of all relations of things; and it is just because it is so easy to extract sententious passages from his plays, and collect them in anthologies, that the later writers of antiquity, who were better able to appreciate the part than the whole-the pretty and clever passages than the general plan of the work-have so greatly liked and admired this poet. Euripides takes such liberties with his dialogue, and allows himself auch an arbitrary extension of it, that he has a place in it even for indirect poetical criticisms, which he turns against his predecessors, espeeially Æschylus. There are distinct passages in the Electra and the Phonissa, which every one at Athens must have understood as objecting, the former to the recognition scenes in the Choephore, the latter to the descriptions of the besieging warriors, before the decision of the battle, as stiff and unnatural. Euripides never expresses himself ngainst Sophocles in this manner. Although the contemporary and rival of Sophocles, he always appears, even in the Frogs of Aristophanes, in hostile opposition to Æschylus, whose manner he despised as rough and uncultivated, Æschylus being the favourite of the old honest Athenians of the race of those who fought at Marathon, and Euripides the hero of the more modern youth, brought up in sophistical opinions and rhetorical studies. Sophocles stands superior to this clash of

<sup>\*</sup> The reverse direction is mentioned in the Hec. 611, and again in the Iphig. at Aul. 919.

<sup>+</sup> The demagogue of Argos mentioned in the Orestes, 895, "an Argive and oo Angive," seems to be an allusion to Cleophon, who had great influence towards the cod of the Peloponnesian war, but was said to be a Thracian, and therefore out a geomine chizen of Athens.

<sup>1</sup> As in the remarkable passage of the Suppliants, 241: rens you welvens purities, &c.

<sup>§</sup> τρώτ δὶ μαχώτ, δ'ν μίτψ κάζει τολοπ.
|| The airrogyni: see Electra, 389, Orest. 911. He has a great antipathy to the heralds, whom he attacks on every occasion.

T Eurip. Electra, 523, Phorness. 764. After the battle, however, Euripides fields this description quite appropriate,

parties, for he had actually found the means of reconciling and uniting in himself the old deep-rooted morntly and the more enlightened views of the age. That the Athenians were conscious of this, and that in his life-time Euripides had not so many partizans as we might have supposed, may be seen in the fact that, siltough he wrote a great number of plays (in all inicty-two),\* he did not gain nearly so many tragic victories as Sophockes."

8 4. We may connect with these remarks on the development of the thoughts in the tragedies of Euripides, some observations on their form or outward arrangement, since it may easily be shown how nearly this is connected with his mode of treating the subjects. There are two elements in the outward form of tragedy which are almost entirely due to Euripides-the prologue and the deus ex machina, as it is called. In the prologue, some personage, a god or a hero, tells in a monologue who he is, how the action is going on, what has happened up to the present moment, to what point the business has come, nay more, if the prologuer is a god, also to what point it is destined to be carried. Every unprejudiced judge must look upon these prologues as a retrograde step from a more perfect form to one comparatively defective. It is doubtless much easier to show the state of affairs by a detached narrative of this kind than by speeches and dialogues which proceed from the action of the piece; but the very fact that these narratives have nothing to do with the context of the drama, but are only a make-shift of the poet, is also a reason why the form of the drama should suffer from them. That Euripides himself probably felt this appears from the manuer in which he has been at the pains of justifying, or at least excusing, this sort of prologue in the Medea, one of the oldest of his remaining plays. The nurse of Medea there says, after having recounted the hard fate of her mistress and the resentment which it has excited in her, that she has herself been so overcome with grief on Medea's account, that she is possessed with a longing to proclaim to earth and heaven her mistress's unhappy lot. Euripides, however, with his peculiar tendencies, could not well have dispensed with these prologues. As it is his sole object to represent men under the influence of passion, he found it necessary to lay before the spectator a concise statement of the

Of which severty-five are spoken of as extant; and of these three were not considered genuine.

<sup>†</sup> Euripides did not gain a victory till Olymp. 84. 3. n. c. 441. His victories amounted on the whole to five; according to some writers, to fifteen. Sophocles gained eighleen, twenty, or tweoty-four victories.

For example, in the Ion, the Hippolytus, and the Bacche; in the Hecuba, too, the shade of Polydorus spears with the drine power of lowerling the future. In the Alcertis, lowever, the whole form of the probague is different. In the Troader the prologous, inclinded in the disalogue between Posesion and Athena, goes a good way beyond the action of the piece. Comp. § 16.

<sup>&</sup>amp; Eurip. Med. 56 foll.

circumstances which had brought them to that point, in order that the third might be able, as soon as the piece setually byegan, to paint the particular passion in all its strength. Besides, so complicated are this situations into which is brings his characters, in order to have an opportunity of thoroughly developing a varied play of affections and passions, that it would be difficult in a solid particular that the special soon, that it would be difficult in a solid particular that the special passions, that it would be difficult in a solid passion which is solid particular to so otherwise than by a circumstantial narration, especially when the solid passion is a solid passion of the district solid passion of the di

§ 5. With regard to the deus ex machina, it is much the same sort of contrivance for the end of a play of Euripides that the monologues we have mentioned are for the beginning. It is a symptom that dramatic action had already lost the principle of its natural development. and was no longer capable of producing, in a satisfactory manner, from its own resources, a connexion of beginning, middle, and end. When the poet had by means of the prologue pointed out the situation, from which resulted an effect on the passions of the chief character and a contest with opposing exertions, he introduced all sorts of complications, which rendered the contest hotter and hotter, and the play of passions more and more involved, till at last he can hardly find any side on which he may bring the impassioned actions of the characters to a definite end, whether it be a decided victory of one of the parties, or peace and a reconciliation of the contending interests. Upon this, some divinity appears in the sky, supported by machinery, announces the decrees of fate, and makes a just and peaceable arrangement of the affair. Euripides, however, by degrees only, became bolder in employing this sort of denouement. He winds up his earliest plays without any deus ex machina; then follow pieces in which the action is brought to its proper end by the persons themselves, the deity being introduced only to remove any remaining doubt and to complete the work of tranquillizing the minds of those who might be discontented : and it was not till the end of his career that Euripides ventured to lay all the weight on the deus ex machina, so that it is left to this power alone, not to undo, but to cut asunder the complicated knot of human passions, which otherwise would be inextricable.! The poet attempted to make up for any want of satisfaction which this might occasion to the mind, by endeavouring to gratify the bodily eye: he often intro-

<sup>·</sup> As in the Medea, the Hippolytus, and other plays.

<sup>†</sup> Examples confirmatory of these views may be derived from the Orestes, the Helena, and the Electra,

<sup>1</sup> This applies to the Orestes. Besides this, we find the Deus ex markina in the Hippolytus, the Ion, the Iphigenia at Tauri, the Suppliants, the Andromache, the Helena, the Electra, and the Bacches.

duced the divinity in such a manner as to surprise, or even, in the first instance, to terrify the spectator, by exhibiting him in all his greatness and power, and surrounding him with a halo of light; in some cases he combined with this other startling appearances, which could not have been brought forward without some acquaintance with the science of ordices.

§ 6. The position of the chorus also was essentially perverted by the changes which Euripides allowed himself to make in the outward form of tragedy. The chorus fulfils its proper office when it comes forward to mediate between, to advise, and to tranquillize opposing parties, who are actuated by different views of the case, and who have, or at least for the time appear to have, each of them the right on their own side. The special object of the stasima is, by reference to higher ideas, to which the contending powers ought to submit, to introduce a sort of equilibrium into the irregularities of the action. The chorus fulfils this office in very few of the plays of Euripides : t it is generally but little suited for so dignified a position. Euripides likes to make his chorus the confidant and accomplice of the person whom he represents as under the influence of passion; the chorus receives his wicked proposals, and even lets itself be bound by an oath not to betray them, so that, however much it may wish to hinder the bad consequences resulting from them, it is no longer capable of doing so.; As a chorus so related to the actors is seldom qualified to pronounce weighty and authoritative opinions, by which a restraint may be placed on the unbridled passions of the actors, it generally fills up the pauses, in which its songs take place, with lyrical narrations of events which happened before, but have some reference to the action of the piece. How many of the choral songs of Euripides consist of descriptions of the Grecian hosts which sailed for Troy and of the terrible destruction of that city! In the Phonissa, the subject of which is the contest of the hostile brothers at Thebes, the choral songs tell all the terrible and shocking stories connected with the house of Cadmus. We might almost class these stasima with the species of choral songs mentioned by Aristotle, and

<sup>\*</sup> In the Helena it is clear that, while the Dioceuri are speaking, we see Helen excaps from the above (v. 1862); so also in the liplay, Tautr., v. 146, we see the high with the fugilities out at wes. In the Oresten, 1.61, Helen appears bovering in the air. It is clear that these were images, which must have been prepared and the seed of the seed of

<sup>†</sup> Most of all perhaps in the Medea, where the stanima, altogether or in part composed in the lively rhythms of the Doric mode, are sometimes designed to represent the justice of Medea's wrath and hatted against Jason, at other times to mitigate her revenge which is hurrying her to extremes.

<sup>?</sup> Thus in the Hippolytus, v. 904.

called embolima, because they were arbitrarily inserted as a lyrical and musical intertude between the acts, without any reference to the subject of the play; much in the same way as those passes are now-a-days filled up with instrumental music ad libitum. We are told that these embolima were first introduced by Agathon, a friend and contemporary of Euripides.\*

The tragedy of Euripides did not, however, on this account lose its lyrical element; it only came more and more into the hands of the actors, in the same proportion as it was taken from the chorus. The songs of persons on the stage form a considerable part of the travedies of Euripides, and especially the prolix airs or monodies, in which one of the chief persons declares his emotions or his sorrows in passionate outpourings.† These monodies were among the most brilliant parts of the pieces of Euripides: his chief actor, Cephisophon, who was nearly connected with the poet, showed all his power in them. A lively expression of the emotions, called forth by certain outward acts, was their chief business; we must not expect here that elevation of soul which is nurtured by great thoughts. With Euripides in particular, this species of lyric poetry lost more and more in real, sterling value; and these descriptions of pain, sorrow, and despair degenerated into a trifling play with words and melodies, to which the abrupt short sentences, tumbling topsy-turyy, as it were, the questions and exclumations, the frequent repetitions, the juxta-position of words of the same sound, and other artifices, imparted a sort of outward charm, but could not make up for the want of menning in them. There is a feeble, childish, affected tone in these parts of the later pieces of Euripides, which Aristophanes, who never spares him, not only felt himself, but rendered obvious to others by means of striking parodies t

The lastiy and shallowness of these lyrical pieces is also shown in the metrical form, which is always growing looser and more irregular in several ways, especially in the accumulation of short syllables. In the Glyconic system, in particular, Euripides, after Olymp. 80, choat n. c. 424, allowed hinself to take some liberties by virtue of which the peculiar charms of this beautiful metre degenerated more and more into obspicuous weakness.§

A Latin critic of soms oright, the tragedian and reviewer Action, who is his Defaceative instituted the similar blown of the Advandings grammarians, says in a fragment quoted by Nonius, p. 17s, ed. Metter, Berrigiste, go: chewe tenerarize in flashir.— Former critics have supposed that a choral song in the Helena of Kuripides (v. 1301) has been interpolated from another tragedy; and indeed some things in it would be more intelligible if the choral song had originally belonged to the

<sup>†</sup> See above Chap. XXII. § 13.

<sup>1</sup> See Aristophan. Frogs, v. 1330 foll.

<sup>§</sup> G. Hermann has in several places called attention to the revolution which occurred in Olymp. 90, in the mode of treating several metres,

§ 7. The style of Euripides in the dialogue cannot be distinguished in any marked manner from the mode of speaking then common in the public assemblies and law courts. The comedian calls him a poet of lawspeeches; conversely, he asserts, it is necessary to speak "in a spruce Euripidean style " in the public exhibitions. The perspicuity, facility, and energetic adroitness of this style made the greatest impression at the time. Aristophanes, who was reproached with having learned much from the poet to whom he was so constantly opposed, admits that he had adopted his condensation of speech, but adds, surcastically, that he takes his thoughts less from the daily intercourse of the market-place.+ Aristotle remarks, that Euripides was the first to produce a poetical illusion by borrowing his expressions from ordinary language; that his audience needed not for illusion's sake to transport themselves into a strange world, raised far above themselves, but remained at Athens in the midst of the Athenian orators and philosophers. Euripides was incontestably the first who proved on the stage the power which a finent style, drawing the listener along with it by means of its beautiful periods and harmonious falls, must exert upon the public mind; nay more, he even produced a reaction on Sophocles by means of it. But it cannot be denied that he gave himself up too much to this facility also, and his characters sometimes display quite as much garrulity as eloquence: the attentive reader often misses the stronger nourishment of thoughts and feelings furnished by the style of Sophocles, which, though more difficult, is at the same time more expressive. Euripides, too, descends so low to common life in his choice of expressions that he actually uses words of a nobler meaning in the sense which they bore in the common colloquial language.§ Finally, it must be remarked, though the establishment of this position belongs to the history of the Greek language, that we find traces in Euripides of an impaired feeling for the laws of his own language. In the lyrical passages he uses forms of inflexion, and in the dialogue compound words. which offend against the well-founded analogy of the Greek language: and he is perhaps the first of all the Greek authors who can be charged with this

§ S. In these considerations of the poetry of Euripides in general we have often referred to the distinction which subsists between the earlier

<sup>\*</sup> xam fugering: The Knights, v. 18.

<sup>†</sup> प्राण्याका पूर्वत बांगाण गाँ वर्गाम्यागा गाँ वार्श्वपूर्णकेल, गाँउ भागेर में बेप्रसूर्वाला सैंगान में 'बांगार व्याण':

<sup>-</sup>Fragment in the Scholia to Plato's Apology, p. 93, 8. Fragm. No. 397. Diudorf. ? Rhetor. 111. 2. § 5.

<sup>§</sup> Thus he used equals in a bad sense, as signifying "proud," "arrogant;" Media, 219, see Elmsley; Hippolys. 93, 1056; ακλαώτει as signifying "simplicity," "foolishness," Heleno, 1066.

and later plays of this poet; in the following remarks on some of the separate plays we shall endeavour to make this distinction still clearer and more definite.

The first, in point of time, of the extant plays of Euripides is, as it happens, not adapted to serve as a striking example of the style of his tragedies at that time. The same authority that has made known to us the year in which the Alcestis was brought out (Olymp, 65, 2, B, C, 438), also informs us that this drama was the last of four pieces, cousequently, that it was added instead of a saturic drama to a trilogy of tragedies. This one notice places us at once on the right footing with regard to it, and sets us free from a number of difficulties which would otherwise interfere with our forming a right judgment of the piece. When we consider all the singularities of this play-its hero. Admetus. allowing his wife to die for him, and reproaching his father with not having made this sacrifice; the toper Hercules making a most unmusical uproar in the house of mourning as he feasts like a glutton and drinks potations pottle-deep; and especially the farcical concluding scene, in which Admetus, the sorrowing widower, strives long not to be obliged to receive Alcestis, who has been won back from death and is introduced to him as a stranger, because he is afraid for his continencewe must admit that this piece deserves the name of a tragi-comedy rather than that of a tragedy proper. We cannot get rid of the comicality of these situations by an excuse derived from the rude naïveté of the ancient poetry. The shortness of the drama, in comparison with the other plays of this poet, and the simplicity of the plan, which requires only two actors,† all this convinces us that we must not include this play in the list of the regular tragedies of Euripides. As it is, however, it perfectly fulfils its destination of furnishing a cheerful conclusion to a series of real tragedies, and thus relieving the mind from the stress of tragic feeling which they had occasioned.

§ 9. The Medea, on the contrary, which was brought out Olymp 75, 1. p. c. 431, is unquestionably a model of the tragedies of Enripties, a great and impressive picture of human passion. In this piece Euripides takes on himself the risk, and it was certainly no slight risk in those days, of representing in all her fearfulness a divorced and slighted wife: he has done this in the character of Medea with such vigour, that all our feelings are enlisted out the side of the incessed wife, and we follow with the most eager sympathy her crafty plan for obtaining, by dissimulation, time and opportunity for the destruction of all that is dear

<sup>\*</sup> A didascalia of the Alcestis, e cod. Vaticano, published by Dindorf in the Oxford Rdition of 1834.

<sup>†</sup> For Alcestis, when she returns to the stage as delivered from the power of death, is represented by a mute. The part of Rumeius is a paracheregeme, as it was called. See above, 5 2 note.

to the faithless Jason; and, though we cannot regard this denouement without horror, we even consider the murder of her children as a deed necessary under the circumstances. The exasperation of Medea against her husband and those who have deprived her of his love certainly contains nothing grand : but the irresistible strength of this feeling, and the resolution with which she casts aside all and every of her own interests, and even rages against her own heart, produces a really great and tragic effect. The scene, which paints the struggle in Medea's breast between her plans of revenge and her love for her children, will always be one of the most touching and impressive ever represented on the stage. The judgment of Aristotle, that Euripides, although he does not manage everything for the best, is nevertheless the most tragical of the poets, is particularly true of this piece. Euripides is said to have based his Medea on a play by Neophron, an older or contemporary tragedian, in which Medea was also represented as murdering her own children.† Others, on the contrary, maintain that Euripides was the first who represented Medea as the murderess of her children, whereas the Corinthian tradition attributed their death to the Corinthians,-but certainly he did not make this change in the story because the Corinthians had bribed him to take the imputation of guilt from them, but because it was only in this way that the plot would receive its full tragical significance.

8 10. The Hippolytus Crowned, t brought out Olymp. 87, 4. B. c. 428, is related to the Medea in several points, but is far behind it in unity of plan and harmony of action. The unconquerable love of Phadra for her step-son, which, when scorned, is turned into a desire to make him share her own ruin, is a passion of much the same kind as that of Medea. These women, loving and terrible in their love, were new appearances on the Attic stage, and scandalized many a champion of the old morality; at any rate, Aristophanes often affects to believe that the morals of the Athenian women were corrupted by such representations on the stage. The passion of Phædra, however, is not so completely the main subject of the whole play as Medea's is: the chief character from first to last is the young Hippolytus, the model of continence, the companion and friend of the chaste Artemis, whom Euripides, in consequence of his tendency to attribute to the past the customs of his own age, has made an adherent of the ascetic doctrines of the Orphic school ;§ the destruction of this young man through the anger of Aphrodite, whom he has despised, is the general subject of the play, the proper

<sup>·</sup> Poet, c. 13.

<sup>+</sup> According to the fragments of Neophron in the Scholia.

As distinguished from an older play, the Veiled Hippolytus, which appeared in an altered and improved form in the Hippolytus Crowned. & Comp. Chap. XVI. & 3.

action of the piece; and the love of Phuelra is, in reference to this action, only a lever set in motion by the goldless hould to Hippolytus. It cannot be denied that this plot, as it turns upon the selfsh and cruel harted of a delity, can give but tiltue satisfaction, noverbitstanding tegrets beauties of the piece, especially the representation of Phuelra's passion.

§ 11. The Hecuba also, although a little more recent," belongs to this class of tragedies, in which the emotion of passion, a pathos in the Greek sense of the word, is set forth in all its might and energy. The piece has been much censured, because it is deficient in unity of action, which is certainly much more important to tragedy than the unity of time or place. The censure, however, is unjust. It is only necessary that the chief character, Hecuba, should be made the centre-figure throughout the piece, and that all that happens should be referred to her, in order to bring the seemingly inconsistent action to one harmonious cuding. Hecuba, the afflicted queen and mother, learns at the very beginning of the piece a new sorrow; it is announced to her that the Greeks demand the sacrifice of her daughter Polyxena at the tomb of Achilles. The daughter is torn from her mother's arms, and it is only in the willing resignation and noble resolution with which the young maiden meets her fate that we have any alleviation of the pain which we feel in common with Hecuba. Upon this, the female servant, who was sent to fetch water to bathe the dead body of Polyxena, finds on the sea-shore, washed up by the breakers, the corpse of Polydorus, the only remaining hope of his mother's declining age. The revolution, or peripeteia, of the piece consists in this, that Hecuba, though now cast down into the lowest abyss of misery, no longer gives way to fruitless wailings; she complains now much less than she did before of this last and worst of misfortunes; but she, a weak, aged woman, a captive, and deprived of all help, accertheless finds means in her own powerful and active mind (for the Hecuba of Euripides is from first to last a woman of extraordinary boldness and freedom of mindt) to take fearful vengeance on her perfidious and cruel enemy, the Thracian king, Polymestor. With all the craft of a woman. and by sagaciously availing herself of the weak as well as of the good side of Agamemnon's character, she is enabled not merely to entice the

Aristophanes ridicules the play in the Clouds, consequently in Olymp. 89. 1.
 n. c. 423. The passage v. 649 seems to refer to the misfortunes of the Spartans at Pylos in n. c. 425.

<sup>+</sup> She is also a sort of free-binker. She says (Hessle, 794) "that hav and custom (rises), also ever the good; for it is in conformity with cautom that we because the same of the same of

barbarian to the destruction prepared for him, but also to make an honourable defence of her deed before the leader of the Greek host.

§ 12. It seems as if Euripides had exhausted at rather an early period the materials most suited to his style of poetry: no one of his later pieces paints a passion of such energy as the jealousy of Medea or the revengeful feelings of Hecuba. It is possible too that his method generally may not have had such capabilities as the manner in which Sophocles has been able to make the old legends applicable to the developement of characters and moral tendencies. Euripides endeavours to find a substitute for the interest, which he could no longer excite by a representation of the effects of passion, in the introduction of a greater number of incidents on the stage and in a greater complication of the plot. He calls up the most surprising occurrences in order to keep the attention on the stretch; and the action is designed to represent the proper developement of a great destiny, notwithstanding the accidents which may thwart and oppose it. The pieces of this period are also particularly rich in allusions to the events of the day and the relative position of the parties which were formed in the Greek states, and calculated in many ways to flatter the patriotic vanity of the Athenians. But on this it must be remarked, that he does not. like Æschylus, consider the mythical events in any real connexion with the historical, and treat the legends as the foundation, type, and prophecy of the destinies of the time being, but only seeks out and eagerly lays hold of an opportunity of pleasing the Athenians by exalting their national heroes and debasing the heroes of their enemies.

The Heracleide can afford us no satisfaction unless we pay attention to these political views. This play narrates with much circumstantial detail and exactness, like a pragmatical history, how the Heracleidæ, as poor persecuted fugitives, find protection in Athens, and how by the valour of their own and the Athenian heroes they gain the victory over their oppressor. Eurystheus; it does not, however, create much tragic interest. The episode, in which Macaria with surprising fortitude voluntarily offers herself as a sacrifice, is designed to put a little spirit into the drama; only it must be allowed that Euripides makes rather too much use of the touching representation of a noble, amiable maiden vielding herself up as a sacrifice, either of her own accord or at least with singular resolution.\* All the weight, however, in this piece is laid upon the political allusions. The generosity of the Athenians to the Heracleidæ is celebrated in order to charge with ingratitude their descendants, the Dorians of the Peloponnese, who were such bitter enemies to Athens, and the oracle which Eurystheus makes known at the end of the play, that his corpse should be a protection to the land .

<sup>\*</sup> Polyxena. Macaria, Iphigenia at Aulis,

of Atties against the descendants of the Herndeidse when they should invade Atties as enemies, was obviously designed to strengthen the confidence of the less enlightened portion of the audience in regard to the issue of this struggle. The drama was probably brought out at a the time when the Argives stood at the head of the Peloponnesian confederacy, and it was thought probable that they would join the Sparing and Bootians in their march against Athens, about Olymp. 89. 3. a. c. 421.

§ 13. The Suppliants has a considerable affinity to the Heracleide. In this play also a great political action is represented with circumstantial detail and with an ostentatious display of patriotic speeches and stories. The whole turns on the interment of the fallen Argive heroes, which was refused by the Thebaus, but brought about by Theseus. It is highly probable that Euripides ltad in view the dispute between the Athenians and Bostians after the battle of Delium, on which occasion the latter refused to give up the dead bodies for sepulture (Olymp. 89. 2. a. c. 424.) The alliance which Euripides makes the Argive ruler contract with Athens on behalf of all his descendants, refers unquestionably to the alliance which actually took place between Athens and Argos about this time (Olymp, 89. 4, s. c. 421). The piece has, however, besides this political bearing, some independent beauties, especially in the sougs of the chorus, which is composed of the mothers of the seven heroes and their attendants; to which are added, later in the piece, seven youths, the sons of the fallen warriors. The temple of Demeter at Eleusis, where the scene is laid, forms an imposing background to the whole piece. The burning of the dead bodies, which is seen on the stage, the urns with the bones of the dead which are carried by the seven youths, are scenes which must have produced a great outward effect; and the frantic conduct of Evadne, who of her own accord throws herself on the blazing funeral pile of her husband Capaneus, must have created emotions of terror and surprise in the minds of the spectators. It is clear that in this play Euripides summoned to his aid all the resources which might contribute to make its representation splendid and effective.

§ 14. The Ion of Euripides possesses great beauties, but is defective in the very same points as those which we have just described. No great character, no violent passion perdominates in the poem; the only motive by which the characters are actuated is a consideration of their own advantage; all the interest lies in the ingenuity of the plot, which is so involved that, while on the one hand it keeps our expectation on the stretch and agreeably surprises us, on the other hand the result is highly flattering to the patriotic whishes of the Athenius Apollo is desirous of advancing Ion, his son by Creuse, the daughter of Erechtlens, but the sovereight of Athena, but without acknowledging

that he is his father. With this view he delivers an ambiguous oracle, which induces Xuthus, the husband of Creusa, to believe that Ion is his own son, begotten before his marriage with the Athenian princess. The violence of Creusa, however, hinders the success of this plan. She endeavours to poison him, whom she considers as her husband's bastard and as an intruder into the ancient royalty of the Erechtheidæ, and Ion, protected by the gods from her attempt upon his life, is about to take a bloody revenge on the authoress of the murderous design. Upon this, the woman who took care of Ion in his infancy appears with the tokens which prove his origin, and Ion at once embraces as his mother the enemy whom he was about to punish. The worthy Xuthus, however, whom gods and men leave in his error, undoubtingly receives the stranger youth into his house and kingdom as his son and heir. It is clear that the general object of this play is to maintain undimmed and undiminished the pride of the Atheuians, their autochthony, their pure descent from their old earth-born patriarchs and national kings. The common ancestor of the Ionians who ruled in Attica must not be the son of a stranger settled in the country, an Achean chiestain, like Xuthus, but must belong to the pure old Attic stock of the Erechtheidæ.

8 15. The Raging Hercules contains very definite indications that the poet composed it at a time when he began to feel the inconvenience of old age, which might easily be the case from Olymp. 89. 3. B.c. 422.\* This piece is also constructed so as to produce a great effect in the way of surprise, and contains scenes-such as the appearance of the goddess Lyssa (Madness), and the representation, by means of an eccyclema, of Hercules, bound and recovering from his madness-which must have produced a powerful effect on the stage. But it is altogether wanting in the real satisfaction which nothing but a unity of ideas pervading the drama could produce. It is hardly possible to conceive that the poet should have combined in one piece two actions so totally different as the deliverance of the children of Hercules from the persecutions of the blood-thirsty Lycus, and their murder by the hands of their frantic father, merely because he wished to surprise the audience by a sudden and unexpected change to the precise contrary of what had gone before. We believe that the afflictions of Hercules and his family are over, when suddenly the goddess of madness appears to bring about a new and greater sorrow, and to destroy the children by the hands of the very person who had delivered them from death in the first part of the play, and that too with no apparent ground, except that Hera will give no rest to Hercules, although he has got over all the labours hitherto imposed upon him.

In the choral song, v. 639 foll. ά πότας μα φίλει-especially in the words for τα γίρατ άπδη πιλαδά μιαμρεύτας. Compare with this Cresphontes, frag. 15, ed. Matthia.

§ 16. We have assigned the two last pieces to this epoch not from any external grounds, but on the evidence of their contents. Other pieces, the date of which may be definitely assigned, show still more clearly the form which the tragedy of Euripides assumed from after Olymp. 90. a. c. 420. It became more and more his object to represent the wayward and confused impulses of human passion, in which, by sudden and surprising changes, now the one side, now the other, gains the mastery; the plans of the wicked fail, but even the just suffer adversity and affliction, without our being able to perceive any solid foundation on which those varied desinies of the individual actors are based.

This is particularly applicable to the Andromache, in which, at first, the helpless wife of Hector, who is represented in the play as the slave of Neoptolemus, is persecuted to the uttermost by his wife Hermione and her father Menelaus; then, by the opportune intervention of Pelcus, Andromache is set free, Menelaus compelled to retire, and Hermione plunged into the most desperate sorrow; upon this Orestes appears, carries off Hermione, who was betrothed to him before, and contrives plans for the destruction of her husband, Neoptolemus; the news soon arrives that Neoptolemus has been slain at Delphi in consequence of the intrigues of Orestes; and Thetis, who comes forward as the deus ex machina, brings consolation and tranquillity, not from the past, but from the future, by promising to the descendants of Andromache the sovereignty of the Molossi, and to Peleus immortality among the deities of the sea. If we must seek in this play for a subject which goes all through the piece, it is the mischief which a bad wife may, in many ways, direct and indirect, bring upon a family, Hermione causes mischief in the family of Neoptolemus, as well by the icalous cruelty which she exercises in the house as by faithlessly leaving her husband for a stranger. The political references bear a very prominent part in the piece. The bad characters are throughout Peloponnesians, and especially Spartans; and Euripides embraces, with a delight which cannot be mistaken, this opportunity of giving yent to all the ill-will that he felt towards the cruel and crafty men and the dissolute women of Sparta. The want of honour and sincerity with which he charges the Spartans" appears to refer particularly to the transactions of the year 420, Olymp. 89, 4.7 so that the play seems to have been brought out in the course of the 90th Olympiad.

§ 17. The Troades, or Trojan Women, of which we know with

<sup>\*</sup> See v. 445 foll, especially the words λίγιντις άλλα μὶν γλώτες, φρονώτες Υάλλα.

† When Alcibiades, by his intrigues, had got the Spartan ambassadors to say before the people something different from what they had intended and wished to speak—a deceit which wo one intercopt of the time—Thugs d, v. 45.

certainty that it was brought out Olymp. 91, 1. s. c. 415," is the most irregular of all the extant pieces of Euripides. It is nothing more than a picture of the horrors which befall a conquered city and of the cruelties exercised by arrogant conquerors, though it is continually hinted that the victors are in reality more unhappy than the vanquished. The distribution of the Trojan women among the Achæans; the selection of the prophetic maiden, Cassandra, to be the mistress of Agamemnon, whose death she prophesies; the sacrifice of Polyxena at the tomb of Achilles, Astyanax torn from his mother's arms in order that he may be thrown from the battlements of the city walls; then the strange contest between Hecuba and Helen before Menelaus, in which he pretends to desire to bring the authoress of all the calamities to a severe account, but is clearly in his heart actuated by different motives, and is willing to take his faithless wife home with him; lastly, the burning of the city, which forms the grand finale of the piece; what are all these but a series of significant pictures, unfolded one after the other and submitted to the contemplation of the reflective spectator? The remarkable feature, however, in this play is, that the prologue goes a good way beyond the drama itself, and contains the proper conclusion of the whole; for in it the deities, Athena and Poseidon, determine between themselves to raise a tempest as the Greeks are returning home and so make them pay for all the sins they have committed at Troy. In order to gain an end which will satisfy the intentions of the poet, we must suppose that this compact is really fulfilled at the end of the piece. We almost feel ourselves compelled to conjecture that we have lost the epilogue, in which some deity, Poseidon or Athena, appeared as the deus ex machina, and described the destruction of the fleet as in the act of taking place; there might also have been a perspective view, such as that which we have pointed out in several other pieces (\$ 5 note), representing the sea raging and the fleet foundering : and thus there would be contrasted with the burning city another picture, necessary to give a suitable conclusion to the ideas developed in the drama and to satisfy the moral requisitions suggested by it.

§ 18. We must next speak of the *Electra*, which must obviously be assigned to the period of the Sicilian expedition.† In this piece Euripides goes farther than in any other in his endeavour to reduce the old

<sup>•</sup> In conjunction with two other pieces, the Mexander and the Polamedre, which likewise referred to the Trojan war, and followed in chronological order (for the Mexander referred to the discovery of Paris before the Trojan war, and the Palamedre to the earlier part of the war itself), without, however, constituting a trilogy according to the views of Archylar.

<sup>†</sup> The passage (v. 1353) is which the Dioscuri propose to themselves to protect the ships in the Sicilian sea, clearly refers to the fleet which sailed from Athens to Scilly; and the following lines possibly refer to the charge of impiety under which Alcibiades then laboured.

mythical stories to the level of every-day life. He has invented an incident, not altogether improbable—that Ægisthus married Electra to a common countryman, in order that her children might never gain power or influence enough to endanger his life-and this enables the poet to put together a set of scenes representing domestic arrangements of the most limited and trifling kind. The king's daughter spends her time in labours of housewifery, not so much from need, as in a spirit of defiance, in order to show how ill she is treated by her mother; she represents an economical manager, who scolds her husband for bringing into their poor cottage guests of too great expectations; she tells him he must go out and get something to eat from an old friend of his, for it is impossible to obtain anything from her father's house. Euripides considers the murder of Ægisthus and Clytemnestra as proceeding from the vindictive spirit of the brother and sister; they bitterly regret it as soon as done, and even the Dioscuri, who appear as dii ex machina, censure it as the unwise act of the wise god A pollo.

§ 19. In the concluding scene of the Electra, Euripides hints at an alteration in the story of Helen, which he worked out shortly after (Olymp. 91. 4. s. c. 412) in a separate play, the Helena,† in which this personage, so often abused by Euripides, is on a sudden represented as a most faithful wife, a pattern of female virtue, a most noble and elevated character. This is effected by assuming and arbitrarily adapting to his own purpose an idea started by Stesichorus, I that the Trojans and Acheans fought for a mere shadow of Helen. Of course it is not to be imagined that Euripides was in earnest when he adopted this idea, and that he considered this form of the tradition as the true and genuine one; he uses it merely for this tragedy, and, as we may see in the Orestes, soon returns to the easier and more congenial representation of Helen as a worthless runawsy wife. The Helena turns entirely on the escape of this heroine from Egypt, where the young king wishes to compel her to marry him. Her deliverance is effected entirely by her own cunning plans, and Menelaus is only a subordinate instrument in carrying them into execution. The country,

## • V. 1290.

<sup>!</sup> On this see Chap. XIV. § 5.

and people of Egypt, who are in most points represented under a Greek type, form a very interesting back-ground to the drams. The histories sister, Theonoe, a virgin priestess skilled in the future, but full of sympathy for the troubles of maskind, and presting like a proteing goldless over the plans of Helen and her husband, is a grand and beautiful concession of the poet.

& 20. From the manner in which Euripides has treated the story of Helen in the piece we have just spoken of, it bears a strong resemblance to the actium in the Iphigenia at Tauri, except that the ancient poet has made no use of the incentive of love in this latter play, for Thoas is sufficiently constrained by religious motives to prevent the escape of the priestess of the Tauric Artemis and of the strangers destined to be sacrificed at her altar. From an argument, too, derivable from the metrical form of the choral songs, we should feel obliged to place the Tsuric Iphigenia about this time (Olymp. 92). The efforts of the poet in this piece are chiefly directed to construct an artificial plot, to introduce, in a surprising but at the same time natural manner, the recognition of Orestes by his sister Iphigenia, and to form a plan of flight, possible under the circumstances, and taking into the account all the difficulties and dangers of the case. The drama, however, has other beauties-of a kind, too, rather uncommon in Euripides -in the noble bearing and moral worth of the characters. Inhigenia appears as a pure-minded young maiden, who has inspired even the barbarians with reverence; her love for her home, and the conviction that she is doing the will of the gods, are her only incentives to flight, . and there are sufficient excuses, according to the views of the Greeks, for the imposition which she practises upon the good Thoas. The poet, too, has taken care not to spoil the pleasure with which we contemplate this noble picture, by representing Iphigenia as a priestess who slays human victims on the altar. Her duty is only to consecrate the victims by sprinkling them with water outside the temple; others take them into the temple and put them to death." Fate, too, has contrived that hitherto no Greek has been driven to this coast.+ When she flies, however, a symbolical representation is substituted for the rites of an actual sacrifice, whereby the humanity of the Greeks triumphs over the religious fanaticism of the barbarians. Still more attractive and touching is the connexion of Orestes and Pylades, whose friendship is exalted in this more than in any other play. The scene in which the two friends strive which of them shall be sacrificed as a victim and which shall return home, is very affecting, without any design on the part of the poet to call forth the tears of the spectators. According to our ideas, it must be confessed, Pylades yields too soon to

V. 625 foll.

+ V. 260 fell.

2 V. 1471 foll.



the pressing entreaties of his friend, partly because the arguments of Orestea setually convince him, partly because, as having more fish in the Delphic Apollo, he utill retains the hope that the oracle of the god will in the end deliver them both; whereas we desire, even in such cases, an enthusiastic resignation of all thoughts to the one idea, in which no thought can arise except the deliverance of our friend. The feelings of the people of autiquity, however, were made of sterner stuff; their hardthood and simplicity of character would not allow them to be on easily thrown off their balance, and while they preserved the truth of friendship, they could keep their eyes open for all the other duties and advantages of life.

- § 21. We have a remarkable contrast to the Iphigenia at Tauri in the Orestes, which was produced Olymp. 92. 4. B. c. 408, and consequently was not far removed in point of time from the last-mentioned drama. The old grammarians remark that the piece produced a great effect on the stage, though all the characters in it are bad, with the exception of Pylades: and that the catastrophe inclines to the comic. It seems to have been the design of Euripides to represent a wild chaos of selfish passions, from which there is absolutely no means of escape. Orestes is about to be put to death for matricide by virtue of the decree of an Argive tribunal, while Menelaus, on whom he had placed his dependence, deserts him out of pure cowardice and selfishness. Enraged at this abandonment, he determines not to die till he has taken vengeance on Helen, the cause of all the mischief, who has hidden herself in the palace through fear of the Argives; and when she, in a surprising manner, vanishes to heaven, he threatens to slay her daughter Hermione, unless Menelaus will pardon and rescue him. Upon this the Dioscuri appear, bid him take to wife the damsel at whose throat he is holding the drawn sword, and promise him deliverance from the curse of the matricidal act. In this manner the knot is outwardly untied, or rather cut asunder, without any attempt or hint at unravelling the real intricacies, the moral questions to which the tragedy leads, or purifying the passions by means of themselves, which is the object of tragedy, in the proper sense of the word. So far from attaining to this object, the only impression produced by such a drama as the Orestes is a feeling of the comfortless confusion of human exertions and relations.
- § 22. The Phænissæ, or Phænician Women, was not much later than the Orestes. We know on sure testimony that it was one of the last

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> The old critics have also remarked upon the references to the state of affairs at the time in the character of Menelaus, who may be considered as a representative of the vacillating and uncertain policy of Sparta at that period. See Schol. on v. 371,772, 903.

pieces which Euripides brought out at Athens,\* but it is certainly by no means one of the least valuable of his works. In general, it would be very difficult to discern in the last pieces of Euripides any marks of the feebleness of age, which seems, on the whole, to have had little effect on the poets of antiquity. There are great beauties in the Phoenissae, such as the splendid scene at the beginning,-in which Antigone, attended by an aged domestic, surveys the army of the seven heroes from a tower of the palace,-and the entrance of Polyneices into the hostile city : we might add the episode about Menœceus, were it not a mere repetition of the scene about Macaria in the Heracleide; besides, Euripides has made too much use of these voluntary self-sacrifices to produce any striking effect by means of them. Notwithstanding, however, all the beauties of the details and all the abundance of the materials (for the piece contains, in addition to the fall of the hostile brother, also the expulsion of Œdipus and Antigone's two heroic resolves to perform the funeral rites for her brother and to accompany her banished father†), we miss in this play, too, that real unity and harmony of action which can result only from an idea springing from the depths of the heart and ripened by the genial warmth of the feelings.

§ 23. Three pieces, of which two are still extant, were brought out by the younger Euripides, a son, or more probably a nephew, of the celebrated tragedian, and were performed, after the death of the author. as new plays at the great Dionysia. These were the Iphigenia at Aulis, the Alcmaeon, a lost play, and the Bacchre. Of these three plays the Bacche was, as far as we can see, completed by the author himself; not, however, immediately for Athens, but for representation in Macedonia. Euripides spent the last years of his life, when Athens was grouning under the weight of the Peloponnesian war, at the court, of the Macedonian king, Archelaus, who was not a man of exalted moral character, but a politic ruler who had taken great pains in civilizing his country, and for that object had collected around himself a considerable circle of Greek poets and musicians. It is the common tradition of antiquity that Euripides died here. The worship of Bacchus was very prevalent in Macedonia, especially in Pieria near Olympus, where, at a later period, Olympias, the mother of Alexander, rouned about with the Mimallones and Clodones; Archelaus may have celebrated the feast of Bacchus here with dramatic spectacles, at which

<sup>\*</sup> Schol. on Aristoph. Frogs, 53.

<sup>+</sup> One does not see, however, how Antigone could find it possible to carry both her resolutions into effect at once.

<sup>†</sup> This was the 'Alamaian did Kapinson, for the 'Alamaian did Wasting was brought out by Euripides along with the Alcestia.

<sup>§</sup> As he also instituted dramatic contests at Dion in Pieria in honour of Zeus and
the Muses, Diodor. Sic. xvii. 16. Wesseling on xvi. 56.

the Bacche was performed for the first time. To this there is an allosion in the works of the chorus.—" Happy Pieria, the Bacchus honours, and he will come in order to dance in thee with Bacchie revelry; he will conduct his Menads over the swift flowing Avius and the Lydias, whose streams pour, forth blessings." Euripides would hardly have celebrated there rivers in such a manner had not Pelia, the residence of the Maccedonian kings, been situated between them, and had not the court of the king come to Pieria in order to bear a part in the dramatic fewliwd celebrated there.

The Baccha, or Bacchanalians, developes the story of Pentheus, who was so fearfully punished for his attempt to keep the Dionysian rites from being introduced into Thebes, and gives a lively and comprehensive picture of the impassioned and enthusiastic nature of this worship; at the same time, this tragedy furnishes us with remarkable conclusions in regard to the religious opinions of Euripides at the close of his life. In this play he appears, as It were, converted into a positive believer, or, in other words, convinced that religion should not be exposed to the subtilties of reasoning; that the understanding of man cannot subvert ancestral traditions which are as old as time; that the philosophy which attacks religion is but a poor philosophy, and so forth : doctrines which are sometimes set forth with peculiar impressiveness in the speeches of the old men, Cadmus and Teiresias, or, on the other hand, form the foundation of the whole piece; although it must be owned that Euripides, with the vaciliation which he always displays in such matters, ventures, on the other hand, to explain the offensive story about the second birth of Bacchus from the thigh of Zeus, by a very fried nun on a word which he assumes to have been misunderstood in the first instance.1

§ 24. The case is different with the Pphispaica at Aulis, which has obviously not come down to us in so perfect a state from the hands of the author. In its really genuine and original parts, this I phispenia is one of the most admirable of this poet's targedles, and it is based upon such a noble idea that we might put it on the same footing with the works of his better days, such as the Medes or the Hecuba. This idea is, that a pure and elevated mind, like that of lphigenia, can alone find a way out of all the intrincaies and entanglements caused by the passions and efforts of powerful, wise, and brave men, contending with and running counter to one another. In this play Euripides has had the skill to invest the subject with such intense interest by depicing the finities efforts of Agamemont on sawe his child, the too late compuno-

V. 566.

<sup>†</sup> See v. 200, ωλλι σοριζόμασθα τώσι δαίμοση, and the following verses; v. 1257, μλι σοριϊε χαίρευ κακού.

<sup>1</sup> By an interchange of anger and sunger, v. 292.

tion of Menelaus, the pride and courage with which Achilles offers himself for the rescue of his affianced bride and for her defence against the whole army, that the willingness of Inhigenia to sacrifice herself appears as the solution of a very complicated knot, such as generally requires a deus ex machina in Euripides, and shines with the brightest lustre as an act of the highest sublimity. Unfortunately, however, this admirable work is disfigured by the interpolation of a number of passages, poor and paltry both in matter and in form.\* We know not if we judge too harshly of the younger Euripides, when we regard these as additions by which he sought to complete the piece for representation; if so, we must conclude that the art of tragedy sunk altogether soon after the death of the great poets. The question is the more difficult to answer from the fact that in ancient times there was a totally different epilogue to the Iphigenia at Aulis.† It is possible, or rather probable, that this was the ending added by the younger Euripides, while in other copies the genuine parts alone were transcribed, and that at a later period, after the decline of poetry, these copies were completed as we have them now.

§ 25. The still extant dramas of Enripides are so numerous and varied that we have not found it necessary to our judgment of his works to take into account his lost pieces, though, if we are to believe the hostile criticisms in Aristophanes and the remarks of other ancient writers, there were several of these pieces which presented even more glaring specimens of the poet's faulty mannerism than those which we still have; for instance, he attempted in the beggar-hero Telephus to produce a touching effect by the outward appearance, by ragged clothes, and so forth: the Andromeda abounded in showy fooleries in the lyrical parts; and the wise Melanippe was full of the enlightened reasonings of the new philosophy. The Chrusippus and the Peirithous were especially rich in speculations about nature and the soul, the Sisyphus in sophistical arguments about the origin of religions; the two last pieces, however, were more correctly ascribed to Critias, the pupil of Socrates and the sophists, and well known as one of the Thirty Tyrants,&

<sup>5 .</sup> The worst addition is the epilogue; the perodos of the chorus is also liable to strong suspicions. The prologue, together with the anapests, differs from the customary style of Euripides; but it has beauties of its own, and, moreover, this part of the play has been imitated by Eanius.

<sup>†</sup> According to the well-known passage in Ælian's Hist, Animal, vii. 39.

Transfer to the work-move passage in Zastina I III., JOHNS, 11, 2015.

Entitylets ubsequently inferiodenced many alterations into this piece, but look on account of the jokes in the Froys of Aristophanes, as we might infer from Enstath, on the And, xri, p. 1984; for it is well known that he was not living when that comedy was produced. In general, Enripsides frequently altered his plays to suit the public taster, as we are toold be did the Hignoplytus. It the first edition of this play, Phædra was a much more importunate lover.

<sup>§</sup> We have entirely passed over the Rhems; for although there was a play of Euripides with this name, which Attius seems to have imitated in the Nyclegeria,

The prediction of antiquity for Euripides has also preserved us one of his satyric dramas, the Cyclopy (the only specimen we have of this sort of play), though Euripides had not distinguished himself particularly in this branch of dramalic postry. As a specimen of satisfact drama, for which the story of Polyphemus is peculiarly adapted, the play possesses some interest, but it wants that gain originality which we should have been warranted in expecting in a satyrical drama by Z is the property of the p

Euripides probably died in Olymp, 93, 2 n. c. 407, though the ancients also assign the following year for his death. Sopholis general mourned for him in common with the rest of Athens and brought his actors uncrowned to the tragic contest. This must have happened to the dramatic contest in the winter of n. c. 407 and 406; Sopholis with the dramatic contest in the winter of n. c. 407 and 406; Sopholis will himself died soon after, about the spring of n. c. 406 (Olymp, 93, 92, 92, if we may give credit to the old stories which place his death in connection with the feast of the Authorstrips."

### CHAPTER XXVI.

- § I. Ioficority of the other tragic poets, § 2. Contemporaries of Sophocles and Enripéeas Nephropo, Ion, Aristachus, Achenes, Carcina, Xenocles § 3. Tragedian somewhat more recent Agathon; the anonymous son of Gleomachus, Tragedy grows effermiants. § 4. More of clucation employ tragedy as a which of their opinions on the social relations of the age. § 5. The families of the office of their opinions on the social relations of the age. § 5. The families of the office of their opinions on the point of the age. § 5. The families of the contract of the families of the f
- § 1. We may consider ourselves fortunate in possessing, as specimens of Greek tragedy, master-pieces by those poets, whom their contemporaries and all antiquity unanimously regarded as the heroes of the tragic stage. Eschylus, Sophocles, and Euripides are the anness which continually recur whenever the ancients speak of the height which tragic poetry attained at Athens; the state itself distinguished them by founding institutions the object of which was to preserve their works pure and unadulterated, and to protect them

the extant piece bears no mark of the sen of Kuripides, and must rather be considered as an initiation of Æschytus or Sophockes. It sprabbly belongs to the later Athenian tragedy perhaps to the school of Fakiedes, for it is clear from v. 944 that it comes from Athenian. The scene is which Paria appear the iostant that Dismedes and Ulyses have left the stage, while Athenia will there, requires four actors; and this may also be used as an argument to prove that it was composed at a later period,

. See Chap. XXIV. § 11 note.

from being interpolated at the caprice of the actors; and soon afterwards they were rather read in the closet than heard in the theatre, and became identified with the existence of the later Greeks and Romans.

Their contemporaries among the tragedians must be regarded as, for the most part, far from insignificant poets, inamumch as they maintained their place on the stage beside them, and not unfrequently agained the trage pirtie in competition with them. Yet, though their separate productions may have been in part happy enough to merit most fully the approbation of the public, the general character of most genius by which the great tragedians were distinguished. If this not been the case, their works would assuredly have attracted greater attention and have been read moor frequently in later times.

§ 2. Neophron, of Sicyon, must have been one of the most ancient of these poets, if the Mcdea of Euripides was really in part an imitation of one of his plays:† in that case he must be distinguished from a younger Neophron, who was a contemporary of Alexander the Great.

Ion, of Chios, lived at Athens in the time of Æschylus and Cimon, and in the fragments of his writings speaks of the events of their day as from personal knowledge. He was a very comprehensive writer. and, what was very uncommon in ancient times, a prose author as well as a poet. He wrote history in the dialect and after the manuer of Herodotus, except that he paid more attention to the private life of distinguished individuals: he also composed elegies; and lyrical poems of various sorts. He did not come forward as a tragedian till after the death of Æschylus (Olymp. 82), whose place, it seems, he expected to fill on the stage. The materials of his dramas were in a great measure taken from Homer; they may have been connected in trilogies like those of Æschylus; the few remains, & however, hardly allow us to trace the connexion of these trilogical compositions. Akhough correct and careful in the execution, his productions were deficient in that higher energy which is remarkable in the more genial poets.

- According to a law, proposed by the orster Lyrungus, authentic copies of the work of the three poets were keyt in the archives of Athens, and it was the duty of the public secretary (yanguaris via without) to see that the action delicited this lext only. See the life of Lyrungus in Platath's File deven Orstonen, where the words, not films yield action, allows franciscular these two property adminishments.
- † See the distance in to the Medea of Euripides (where it would be best to change primarty-iner harauseurs into ray Nispane 1), and Dog. Laert. ii. 134. But a good deal might be said sgainst this account, and perhaps the relation between the two plays was precisely the couvers.
  - 1 See Chap. X. § 7. p. 113. notes.
  - § Ionis Chii fragmenta collegit Nieverding. Lipsim, 1836.
  - According to the judgment of the critic Longinus de Sublim, 33.

ARSTARKIUS, of Teges, came forward in Olymp, S1, 2. p. c. 454, and, as we have mentioned above, was the first to produce tragedies according to the standard of greater length, which was subsequently observed by Sophocles and Euripides. Some of his tragedies, especially the Achilles, gained some reputation at a later period, from being initiated by Ennius.

Acuscos, of Eretria, brought out many dramas at Athens after o Olymp. S3, but only once obtained the prize. A nort of artificial manner was peculiar to him; the fragments of his dramast countain much strange mythology, and we learn that his expressions were often forced and obscure. Nevertheless, with such peculiarities he may easily have merited the favourable opinion of some ancient critics, who considered him the best writer of satyric dramas next to Exchylus. In constructing such dramas he could hardly have avoided making some strange combinations and indulging in some far-fetched witticisms.

Carersos, with his sons, forms a family of tragedians, known to use chiefly from the jokes and mockeries of Aristophanes. The father was a tragedian, and the sons appeared as chotal-dancers in his plays; only one of them, Xenocles, also devoted himself to the profession of poetry. As far as we can judge from a few hints, both father and son were distinguished by a sort of antiquated harshness in their mode of expression. Yet Xenocles, with his tragic tritogy, Gddpur, Lycoon, Bacche, and the satyrical drama Athamas, gained the prize over the trilogy of Euriphe's to which the Troader belonged. From the Athenian Carcinus we must distinguish a later tragedian of the same name, who was of Argientum.

§ 3. Acarusos was a very singular character. He came before the public with his first tragedy in Olymp. 90. 4. n. c. 416, when he was still a young man, and spent his riper years at the court of Archelaus, King of Maccolon, where he died about Olymp. 94. 4. n. c. 400. His strange demeanour and habits kave enabled Aristophanes (especially in the Thermophoroizause) and Plan loi (the Symposium) to give uson sketches of him, which bring the man before our eyes in the most vivid and striking manner. Naturally delicate and efeminate, as well in body as in mind, he gave himself up entirely to this mood, and coquetted with a sort of grace and charm with which he endewoured to invest everything that he took in hand. The lyrical part of his tragedies was an anniable and insimusing display of chereful thoughts and kindly inanges, but did not penetrate deeply into the feelings. In accordance with these views, Agathon had devoted himself to the new arts, by which the sophists of the time, and especially Gorgias, had produced

<sup>·</sup> Chap. XXI. § 4.

<sup>†</sup> Achwi Eretriensis fragmenta collegit Urlichs, Boan, 1834."

such an effect on the Athenian public. If le borrowed from Gorgias his novel and ingenious combinations of thought, which decluded the arrest into the idea that he had really gained an entirely new insight into the subject, and also the figures of opposition and parallelism (Anthea, Pairia), which gratified the prevailing taste of the age by giving the structure of the sentence as a spearance of symmetry and regularies.

We should, however, have prized very much the possession of such an original work as Arathon's "Brower" (240-62) must have been.

Still more effeminate must have been the poetry of an author whom Crainuss the comedian designates only as the son of Cleonachut. † The Arbon, he tells us, gave this poetaster a choras in preference to Sphneleas, although the was not wortly to provide songs far a choras at the waston fernafe festival of the Adonia. He compares the choras of this poet, which expressed, in soft Lydian melodies, corresponding thoughts and feelings, to licentious women from Lydis, who were ready for all sorts of harbory. It seems that the same poet, who was probably named Cleonaeux, composed erotic poems in a lyrical form, and transferred their characteristics to his trarefastise to his trarefastise.

§ 4. About this time the tragic stage received a great influx of poets, which, however, does not prove that a great advance had taken place in the art of tragic poetry. Aristophanes speaks of thousands of tragedy-making prattlers, more garrulous by a good deal than Euripides: he calls their poems muses' groves for swallows, comparing their triffing and insignificant attempts at polite literature with the chirping of birds : happily these dilettanti were generally satisfied with presenting themselves once before the people as tragic poets. There was such a taste for the composition of tragedies that we find among those who wrote for the stage men of the most different pursuits and dispositious, such as CRITIAS, the head of the oligarchical party at Athens, and Dionysius the First, tyrant of Syracuse, who often came forward as a competitor for the tragic prize, and had the satisfaction of receiving the crown once before he died. Such men were fond of availing themselves of tragedy, in the same way that Euripides did, as a vehicle for bringing before the public in a less suspicious manner their speculations on the political and social interests of

<sup>\*</sup> As in the example quoted by Aristotle Rhetor. ii. 24, 10: "We might call that probable, that many things not probable would occur among men."

<sup>†</sup> In the difficult passage quoted by Athoraus air, p. 63s, where, after £ Kangéye, we must wrise also we £ Kuseyéw; at all events, the cources elteration in less probable. Geneippou can handly be this son of Cheomachus, as Athoraus expensity salls him a writer of position copy only. We must, at any past, suppose probable that Cheomora, who as mentioned in connexion with Geneippus, is more probable that Cheomora, who as mentioned in connexion with Geneippus, is more precisely referred to in the lost passage.

<sup>1</sup> Aristophanes' From v. 89, foll., villing america.

their auditors. In the drama called Siepphur (which is perhaps more rightly ascribed to Critiats than to Entripides') there was a development of the permicious doctrine of the sophists, that religion was an ancient of the permicious doctrine of the sophists, that religion was an ancient political institution, designed to sometion the restraints of law by superadding the fear of the gods; and we are told that Dionysius wrote a drama against Pato's theory of the state, which was called a tragedy but had rather the character of a comedy. It is well known, too, that Pato also composed a tragely extending in his younger days, which the committed to the flames when he had convinced himself that dramatic poetry was not his vocation. In the opposite party, among the accusers of Socrates, Meletar was not a philosopher, but a tragedian by profession; we are told, however, that his poetry was as frigid and tedious as his character appears hateful to us from his persecution of the illustrious sage.

§ 5. The families of the great poets contributed in a considerable degree to continue the tragin art after their deaths. As the great poets not only felt themselves called upon by their own tasts to devote themselves to dramatic poetry, and to bring our plays and teach the chorus year after year, but really practised this art as an ostensible pression, we cannot wonder that this, like other employments and trades, was transmitted by a regular descent to their sons and grandsons. Eachydaw ans followed by a succession of tragelians, who flourished through several generations;† his son Euphorino sometimes brought out plays of his father's which had not been represented before, sometimes pieces of his own, and he gained the tragic prize in competition with both Sophocles and Euripides; similarly, Euchylus' nephew, Philocles, gained the prize against the King Œdipus of Sophocles, and Euripides is not to be surpossed. Philocles must

## \* See above, chap. XXV. § 25.

† To make this clearer, we subjoin the pedigree of the whole family, chiefly derived from Boeckh. Tragand. Gracca principes, p. 32, and Clinton Fast, Hellen. II. p. XXXII.

Æschylus A sister—Philopeithes
Euphorion Bion Philocles
Morsimus

Philocles II. Astydamas II.

According to Suidas, Bion was also a tragedian. Philocles must have flollfished seen before the Peloponnesian war, for his son Morsimus is ridecided as a tragic poet in the Knights (Olymp. 58. 4. n. c. 424.) and Pewer (Olymp. 90. 1. n. c. 419.) of Aristophanes; and Astydamas came out as a tragedian in Olymp. 93. 2. n. c. 398.

have had a good deal of his uncle's manner; his tetralogy, the Pandionia, probably developed the destinies of Procen and Philometh as to connected series of dramas quite secording to the Æschylean model, and the hardeness and harshnesses with which he is reproached may hele followed naturally from his imitation of the style of the old tragedy. Morrimus, the son of Philocles, seems to have done but little honour to the family; but after the Peloponnessian war the Æschyleans gained end under the properties of the properties of the properties of filtern victories. From these numbers we see that Anydamas in his time supplied the Athenian public with new tetralogies almost new year at the Leans and great Dionysia, and that, on an average, he guinted the prize once every four contents; 4

With regard to the family of Sophockes, Jophan was an active and popular trage-dism in his father? life-time, and Aristophanes considers him as the only support of the tragic stage after the death of the two great poets. We do not, however, know how a later age answered men much by himself now that he was deprived of the beastf of his fathers much play inself now that he was deprived of the beastf of his fathers cumused and guidance. Some years later the younger Sophocket, we can be also the death of the property of the

A younger Euripides also gained some reputation by the side of these descendants of the two other tragedines. He stands on the same footing in relation to his uncle as Euphorion to Æschylus, and the younger Sophocles to his grandfalter; he first brought out plays by his renowned kinsman, and then tried the success of his own productions.

§ 6. By the side of these successors of the great tragedians others from time to time made their appearance, and in them we may see more distinct traces of those tendencies of the age, which were not without their influence on the others. In them tragic peetry appears no longer as independent and as following its own object and its own

Πιαρία, Schol. Aristoph. Av.; Suidas v. Φιλεκλᾶς. He gained from this the epithets 'Αλμίαν and Χελέ, " salt-pickle" and " gall."

<sup>†</sup> He was the first of the family of Ricelyius she was honoured by the Athenius with a status of bronzon (\*exrelsions region of equil Aging Sing,  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ ), which is mentioned by  $D_{\rm SF}$ ,  $L_{\rm SF}$ ,  $L_{\rm SF}$ , as in instance of the unique thirdino and when the state of the single thirdino in which the state of honoursy status. Since came into vagoe. The status, of the older ports, which were shown at Athena at a later pricial, were exceed subsequently and readily and the state of the state of the older ports, which were shown at Athena at a later pricial, were exceed subsequently and readily and the state of the older ports, which were shown at Athena at a later pricial, were exceeded as the state of the older ports and the state of the older ports are stated to the older ports and the state of the older ports are stated to the older ports and the older ports are stated to the older ports and the older ports are stated to the older ports are stated to the older ports and the older ports are stated to the older port

laws, but as subordinated to the spirit which had developed itself in other branches of literature. The lyric poetry and the rheloric of the time had an especial influence on the form of tragic poetry.

We shall endeavour to characterize the lyric poetry of this age in a subsequent chapter (chap. XXX); here we will only remark generally, that it was losing more and more every day the predominance of ideas and feelings, and that the minor accessaries of composition, which were formerly subjected to the ruling conceptions, were now, as it were, gradually becoming independent of them. It hunts about for stray charms to gratify the senses, and consequently loses sight of its true object, to elevate the thoughts and ennoble the sensibilities.

How much CHEREMON, who flourished about Olymp. 100. B. C. 380, was possessed with the spirit of the lyrical poetry of his time, is clear from all that is related of him. The contemporary dithyrambic poets were continually making sudden transitions in their songs from one species of tones and rhythms to another, and sacrificed the unity of character to a striving after metrical variety of expression. But nobody went farther in this than Chæremon, who, secording to Aristotle, mixed up all kinds of metres in his Centaur, which seems to have been a most extraordinary compound of epic, lyric, and dramatic poetry. His dramatic productions were rich in descriptions, which did not, like all those of the old tragedians, belong to the pieces, and contribute to place in a clearer light the condition, the relations, the deeds of some person engaged in the action, but sprung altogether from a fondness for delineating subjects which produce a pleasing impression on the senses. No tragedian could be compared with Cheremon in the number of his charming pictures of female beauty, in which the serious muse of the great tragedians is exceedingly chaste and retiring; the only counterpoise to this is his passion for the multifarious perfumes and colours of flowers. With this mixture of foreign ingredients, tragedy ceases to be a drama, in the proper sense of the word, in which everything depends on the causes and developments of actions and on manifestations of the will of man. Accordingly, Aristotle calls this Chæremon in connexion with the dithyrambic poet Licymnius, poets to be read, + and says, of the former in particular, that he is exact, i. e. careful and accurate in detail, like a professed writer, whose sole object is the satisfaction of his readers.

§ 7. But this later tragedy was still more powerfully affected by the

<sup>\*</sup> Aristotle (Port. 1.) calls it a  $\mu_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{\lambda} f = \psi \gamma^{\lambda} f z$ , so that the epic element must have been the foundation of the whole. Athenmus xiii. p. 608, calls it a  $\frac{3}{2}e^{\frac{\pi}{4}\mu}z$  exchipter.

<sup>†</sup> Asnymerinei. Aristotle Rheter, iii 12.

rhetoric of the time, that is, the art of speaking as taught in the school, Dramatic poetry and oratory were so near one another from the beginning, that they often seem to join hands over the gap which separates poetry from prose. The object of oratory is to determine by means of argument the convictions and the will of other men; but dramatic poetry leaves the actions of the persons represented to be determined by the development of their own views and the expression of the opinions of others. The Athenians were so babituated to hear long public speeches in their courts and assemblies, and bad such a passion for them, that their tragedy, even in its better days, admitted a greater proportion of speeches on opposite sides of a question than would have been the case had their public life taken another direction. But, in process of time, this element was continually gaining upon the others, and soon transcended its proper limits, as we see even in Euripides, and still more in his successors. The excess consists in this, that the speeches, which in a drama should only serve as a means of explaining the changes in the thoughts and frame of mind of the actors and of influencing their convictions and resolves, became, on their own account, the chief business of the play, so that the situations and all the labour of the poet were directed towards affording opportunities for the display of rhetorical sparring. And as the practical object of real life was, naturally enough, wanting to this stage-oratory, and as it depended on the poet alone how he should put the point of dispute, it is easy to conceive that this theatrical rhetoric would, in most cases, make a display of the more artificial forms, which in practical life were thrown aside as useless, and would approximate rather to the scholastic oratory of the sophists than to the eloquence of a Demosthenes, which, possessed by the great events of the time, raised itself far above the trammels of a scholastic art.

THEODOTICES, OF PLASSIS, the chief specimen of this class of writers, flourished about Olymp. 106. s. c. 356, in the time of Philip of Mucedon. Reteoric was his chief study, though he also applied himself to philosophy; he belongs to the scholars of Isocrates, another of whom, a son of Apharcus, also left the rhetorical school for the tragic stage. Theodectes never gave up his original pursuits, but came forward both as orator and tragedian. At the splendid funeral feast, which the Carina queen, Artemisia, instituted in homour of Massolus, the husband whom she mourned for so estentiationsly (Olymp. 106. 4. s. c. 355), Theodectes; in competition with Theopompus and other orators, children's and other orators are tragedy, the Manuskus, the materials for which were probably borrowed from the mythical traditions or early history of Caria; but the author certainly had also in view the exalation of the prince of the

same name just dead. Theodectes had so hit the taste of the age in his tragedies that he obtained eight victories in thirteen contests.† Aristotle, who was his friend, and, according to some, also his teacher, made use of his tragedies, as furnishing him with examples of rhetoric. Thus Theodectes, in his Orestes, makes the murderer of Clytæmnestra rest the justification of his deed on two points; first, that the wife who has murdered her husband ought to be put to death; and then, that it is the duty of a son to avenge his father; but, with sophistical address, he leaves out the third point to be proved, that the sou must murder his mother. In his Lynceus, Danaus and Lynceus contend before an Argive tribunal. The former has discovered the secret marriage of his daughters with the sons of Ægyptus, and brings the latter bound before the tribunal in order to have him condemned and executed; but Lynceus unexpectedly gains the victory in the court, and Danaus is condemned to death. Affecting speeches, based on skilful argumentation, recognition-scenes ingeniously introduced, and paradoxical assertions cleverly maintained, formed the chief part of the tragedies of this time, as we may see from the quotations in Aristotle's Rhetoric and Poetic. The subjects were taken from a very circumscribed set of fables, which furnished the sophistical ingenuity of the poet with an inexhaustible fund of materials. The style approximated more and more to prose; I for a high poetical tone, or an antique majesty of diction, would have been altogether ill-suited to the subtle niceties of reasoning with which the speeches were pervaded.

The Archeless of Euripides is similarly related to the Macedonian king, of the name in whose honour it was composed. The name Mausolus was an old one in Caria. See Herod. v. 118.

<sup>+</sup> According to the epigram quoted by Steph. Byzant. v. Φασικές. According to Suidas, he composed fifty dramas; if this number is correct, he contended eleven times with tetralogies and twice with trilogies only.

<sup>‡</sup> See particularly Aristol. Rheter. iii. 1. 9.; and compare Poetic. 6. The Crophen, whom Aristolle often mentions as having painted characters from every-day life, people who are quite common-place in all their thoughts and words, probably also belongs to the time of Theodectes.

# SECOND PERIOD

. .

# GREEK LITERATURE

(Continued.)

## CHAPTER XXVII.

- § 1. The comic element in Greek poetry due to the worship of Barchus. § 2. Also connected with the Comus at the leaser Diosayis. Phallic songs. § 3. Beginnings of dramatic consely at Megan: Sussaion, Chicaides, &c. § 4. The perfection of the old Attic consely. § 5. The structure of consely. What is has in common with tragedy. § 6. Peculiar arrangement of the chorus; Parabasis. § 7. Dances, metres, and style.
- § 1. HAVING followed one species of the drama, Tragedy, through its rise, progress, and decay, up to the time when it almost ceases to be poetry, we must return once more to its origin, in order to consider how it came to pass that the other species, Conedy, the tought is sprang from the same causes, and was matured by the same vivifying influences, nevertheless couried so dissimilar a form.

The opposition between tragedy and comedy did not make its first appearance along with these different species of the drama: it is as eald as poetry itself. By the side of the noble and the great, the common and the base always appear in the guise of folly, and thus make the opposed qualities more complexious. Now more, in the same proportion as the mind nutrured and cultivated within itself its conceptions of the perfect order, beauty, and power, reigning in the universe and exhibiting themselves in the life of man, so much the more capable and competent would it become to comprehend the weak and perverted in their whole nature and manner, and to penetrate to their very heart and centre. In themselves the base and the perverted are certainly no proper subject for poetry: when, however, they are received among the conceptions of a mind terming with thoughts of the great and the beautiful, they obtain a place in the world of the beautiful, they obtain a place in the world of the beautiful and become

race, this tendency of the mind is always conversant about bare realities, while the opposite one has, with free creative energy, set up for itself a peculiar domain of the imagination. Real life has always furnished superabundant materials for comic poetry; and if the poet in working up these materials has often made use of figures which do not actually exist, these are always intended to represent actual appearances, circumstances, men, and classes of men; the base and the perverted are not invented; the invention consists in bringing them to light in their true form. A chief instrument of comic representation is Wit, which may be defined to be,-a startling detection and display of the perverted and deformed, when the base and the ridiculous are suddenly illuminated by the flash of genius. Wit cannot lay hold of that which is really sacred, sublime, and beautiful: in a certain sense, it invariably degrades what it handles; but it cannot perform this office unless it takes up a higher and safer ground from which to hurl its darts. Even the commonest sort of wit, which is directed against the petty follies and mistakes of social life, must have for its basis a consciousness of the possession of that discreet reserve and elegant refinement which constitute good manners. The more concealed the perversity, the more it assumes the garb of the right and the excellent; so much the more comic is it when suddenly seen through and detected, just because it is thus brought most abruptly into contrast with the true and the good.

We must now break off these general considerations, which do not properly belong to the problem we have to solve, and are only designed to call attention to the cognate and corresponding features of tragic and comic poetry. If we return to history, we meet with the comic element even in pic poetry, partly in connexion with the heroic pos, where, as might be expected, it makes its appearance only in gires. Lyric poetry had produced in the ismbies of Archibchus materpieces of passionate invective and derision, the form and matter of which had the greatest influence on dramatic comedy. It was not, however, till this dramatic comedy appeared, the wit and ridicule attained to that greatness of form, that unconstrained freedom, and, if we may so say, that inspired energy in the representation of the common and contemptible which every friend of antiquity identifies with the name of Aristophaness. At that happy peopch, when the full strength of the national

As in the cpinede of Thereites and the comic scene with Agramento, showe, clay V. F. 8. The Odyssep has more elements of the satyric drams (as in the story of Polyphennu) than of the councy proper. Satyric peetry place by the folly forms of the hereos not human percensess, but the want of real humanity, whereas comedy is conversant about the deterioration of evillated that the country of the c

idea and the warmth of noble feelings were still united with the asgacious, refined, and penetrating observation of human life, for which the Athenians were invariably distinguished among the other Greeks. Attie genius here found the form in which it could not merely point out the depraved and the foolish as they appeared in individuals, but even graps and subduct them when gathered together in masses, and follow them into the coret places where the perverted tendencies of the age were following.

It was the worship of Bacchus again which rendered the construction of these great forms possible. It was by means of it that the imagination derived that bolder energy to which we have already ascribed the origin of the drama in general. The nearer the Attic comedy stands to its origin, the more it has of that peculiar inebriety of mind which the Greeks showed in everything relating to Bacchus; in their dances, their songs, their mimicry, and their sculpture. The unrestrained enjoyments of the Bacchic festivals imparted to all the motions of comedy a sort of grotesque boldness and mock dignity which raised to the region of poetry even what was vulgar and common in the representation; at the same time, this festal jollity of comedy at once broke through the restraints of decent behaviour and morality which, on other occasions, were strictly attended to in those days. "Let him stand out of the way of our choruses," cries Aristophanes," "who has not been initiated into the Bacchic mysteries of the steer-eating Cratinus." The great comedian gives this epithet to his predecessor in order to compare him with Bacchus himself. A later writer regards comedy as altogether a product of the drunkenness, stupefaction, and wantonness of the nocturnal Dionysia; + and though this does not take into account the bitter and serious earnestness which so often forms a back-ground to its bold and unbridled fun, it nevertheless explains how comedy could throw aside the restraints usually imposed by the conventions of society. The whole was regarded as the wild drollery of an ancient carnival. When the period of universal inebriety and licensed frolic had passed away. all recollection of what had been seen and done was dismissed, save where the deeper earnestness of the comic poet had left a sting in the hearts of the more intelligent among the audience. I

§ 2. The side of the multifarious worship of Bacchus to which comedy attached itself, was naturally not the same as that to which the origin of tragedy was due. Tragedy, as we have seen, proceeded from the Lenga, the winter feast of Bacchus, which awakened and fostered an

<sup>\*</sup> Frogs, v. 356.

<sup>†</sup> Eunspius, Vite Sophist. p. 32, ed. Boissonade, who explains from this the representation of Socrates in the Clouds. During the comic contest the people kept eating and tippling; the choruses had wine given to them as they went on and

came off the stage. Philochorus in Athenseus, xi. p. 464 F.

The rops, who are opposed to the relatives. Aristoph, Ecclesian. 1155.

enthusiastic sympathy with the apparent sorrows of the god of nature. But comedy was connected, according to universal tradition, with the lesser or country Dionysia, (τὰ μικρά, τὰ κατ' ἄγρους Διονύσια,) the concluding feast of the vintage, at which an exulting joy over the inexhaustible exuberant riches of nature manifested itself in wantonness and petulance of every kind. In such a feast the comus or Bacchanalian procession was a principal ingredient; it was, of course, much less orderly and ceremonious than the comus at which Pindar's Epinician odes were sung, (chap, XV, § 3, p. 221.) but very lively and tumultuous, a varied mixture of the wild carouse, the noisy song, and the drunken dance. According to Athenian authorities, which connect comedy at the country Dionysia immediately with the comus,\* it is indubitable that the meaning of the word comedy is "a comus song," although others, even in ancient times, describe it as "a village song."+ not hadly as far as the fact is concerned, but the etymology is manifestly erroneous.

With the Bacchic comus, which turned a noisy festal banquet into a boisterous procession of revellers, a custom was from the earliest times connected, which was the first cause of the origin of comedy. The symbol of the productive power of nature was carried about hy this hand of revellers, and a wild, jovial song was recited in honour of the god in whom dwells this power of nature, namely, Bacchus himself or one of his companions. Such phallophoric or ithyphallic songs were customary in various regions of Greece. The ancients give us many hints about the variegated garments, the coverings for the face, such as masks or thick chaplets of flowers, and the processions and songs of these comus singers. 1 Aristophanes, in his Acharnians, gives a most vivid picture of the Attic usages in this respect : in that play, the worthy Diegopolis. while war is raging around, alone peacefully celebrates the country Dionysis on his own farm; he has sacrificed with his slaves, and now prepares for the sacred procession; his daughter carries the basket as canephorus; behind her the slave holds the phallus aloft; and, while his wife regards the procession from the roof of the house, he himself begins the phallus song, "O Phales, hoon companion of Bacchus, thou nightly reveller!" with that strange mixture of wantonness and serious picty which was possible only in the elementary religions of the ancient world.

See the quotations chap. XXI. § 5. i x pag nal si napay hi. The feast of the great
or city Dionysia is thus described, but it is obvious that the connexion proceeded
from the country Dionysia.

from the country Dionysia.

† From wore. The Peloponnesians, according to Aristotle, Post. c. 3, used this etymology to support their claim to the invention of comedy, because they called villages words, but the Athenians buss.

Althomsus, xiv. p. 621, 2, and the lexicographers Hesychius and Suidas, in various articles relating to the subject. Phallophori, Ithyphalli, Autokabdail, Iambiste, are the different names of these merryandrews.

It belonged especially to the ceremonies of this Bacchic feast that, after singing the song in honour of the god who was the leader of the frolic, the merry revellers found an object for their unrestrained petulance in whatever came first in their way, and overwhelmed the innocent spectators with a flood of witticisms, the boldness of which was justified by the festival itself. When the phallophori at Sicyon had come into the theatre with their motley garb, and had saluted Bacchus with a song, they turned to the spectators and jecred and flouted whomsoever they pleased. How intimately these jests were connected with the Bacchic song, and how essentially they belonged to it, may be seen very clearly from the chorus in the Frogs of Aristophanes. This chorus is supposed to consist of persons initiated at Eleusis, who celebrate the mystic Dionysus Iacchus as the author of festal delights and the guide to a life of bliss in the other world. But this Iacchus is also, as Dionysus, the god of comedy, and the jokes which were suitable to these initiated persons, as an expression of their freedom from all the troubles of this life, also belonged to the country Dionysia, and attained to their highest and boldest exercise in comedy: this justifies the poet in treating the chorus of the Myster as merely a mask for the comic chorus, and in making it speak and sing much that was suitable to the comic chorus alone, which it resembled in all the features of its appearance. And thus it is quite in the spirit of the old original comedy that the chorus, after having in beautiful strains repeatedly celebrated Demoter and Inchus, the god who has vouchsafed to them to dance and joke with impunity, directly after, and without any more immediate inducement, attacks an individual arbitrarily selected :- "Will ve, that we join in quizzing Archedemus?" &c. †

§ 3. This old lyric comedy, which did not differ much either in origin or form from the Iambics of Archilochus, may have been sung in various districts of Greece, just as it maintained its ground in many places even after the development of the dramatic comedy. Py what gradations,

<sup>.</sup> See below, chap. XXVIII. § 10.

<sup>†</sup> When Aristotic says (Poet. 4) that comedy originated Δτο σών Εμαχύνταν τὰ φαλλικό, he alludes to these unpremeditated jokes, which the leader of the Phallus song might have produced.

The attention of a joined trapedly and comody, by the side of the dramatic, but been lately restallable chiefly by the aid of Beartisan increptions, (Corpus, Inscript, Greener, No. 1584.) though it has been violently controverted by others. But though we should see staile the interpretation of these Benotian monuments, it appears even from Artistolie, Part. 5, (\*\*\* parties of fire asi in it exhals in our relationship and an advantage of the state of the state of the state of the control and the state of the control and the state of the control and the state of the control. The provides are the state of the control and the state of the state of the control and the state of the stat

however, dramatic comedy was developed, can only be inferred from the form of this drama itself, which still retained much of its original organization, and from the analogy of tragedy: for even the ancients laboured under a great deficiency of special tradition and direct information with regard to the progress of this branch of the drama. Aristotic says that comedy remained in obscurity at the first, because it was not thought serious or important enough to merit much attention; that it was not till late that the comic poet received a chorus from the archon as a public matter; and that previously, the choral-dancers were volunteers.\* The Icarians, the inhabitants of a hamlet which, according to the tradition, was the first to receive Bacchus in that part of the country, and doubtless celebrated the country Dionysia with particular carnestness, claimed the honour of inventing comedy; it was here that Susarion was said, for the first time, to have contended with a chorus of Icarians, who had smeared their faces with wine-lees, (whence their name, τρυγωζοί, or "lee-singers,") in order to obtain the prize, a basket of figs and a jar of wine. It is worth noticing, that Susarion is said to have been properly not of Attica, but a Megarian of Tripodiscus.† This statement is confirmed by various traditions and hints from the ancients, from which we may infer that the Dorians of Megara were distinguished by a peculiar fondness for jest and ridicule, which produced farcical entertainments full of jovial merriment and rude jokes. If we consider, in addition to this, that the celebrated Sicilian comedian Epicharmus dwelt at Megara in Sicily, (a colony of the Megarians who lived near the borders of Attica,) before he went to Syracuse, and that the Sicilian Megarians, according to Aristotle, laid claim to the invention of comedy, as well as the neighbours of the Athenians, we must believe that some peculiar sparks of wit were contained in this little Dorian tribe, which, having fallen on the susceptible temperamenta of the other Dorians, and also of the common people of Attica, brought the talent for comedy to a speedy development. Susarion, however, who is said to have flourished in Solon's time,

sources, nowever, who is said to nave noirinates in Soon's time, about Ol. 50, somewhat earlier than Thespia, stands quite alone in Attics; a long time clapses before we hear of any further cultivation of councily by potent of eminence. This will not surprise us if we recollect that this interval is filled up by the long tyranny of Peisistratus and his sons, who would feel it due to their dignity and excurity not to allow a comic chorus, even under the mask of Bacchie inchristy and meriment, to utter ribail jests against them before the assembled people of Athens; as understood by the Athenians of those days, ownedy could not be brought to perfection save by republican freedom and equality, §

<sup>\*</sup> Poet. 5. Comp. above, chap. XXIII. § 1. † See Müller's Dorians, Book IV. ch. 7. § 1.

<sup>‡</sup> Parian marble. Ep. 39. § See above, ch. XX, § 3.

This was the reason why comedy continued so long an obscure amusement of noisy rustics, which no archon superintended, and which no particular poet was willing to avow: although, even in this modest retirement, it made some sudden advances, and developed completely its dramatic form. Consequently, the first of the eminent poets received it in a definite and tolerably complete form.\* This poet was Chionides, whom Aristotle reckons the first of the Attic comedians, (omitting Myllus and some other comedians, though they also left their works in writing,) and of whom we are credibly informed † that he began to bring out plays eight years before the Persian war (Ol. 73, s.c. 488). He was followed by Magnes, also born in the Bacchic village Icaria. who for a long time delighted the Athenians with his cheerful and multifarious fictions. To the same age of comedy belongs Ecphantides, who was so little removed from the style of the Megarian farce, that he expressly remarked in one of his pieces,-" He was not bringing forward a song of the Megarian comedy; he had grown ashamed of making his drama Megarian.":

§ 4. The second period of comedy comprises poets who flourished just before and during the Peloponnesian war. Cratinus died Ol. 89, 2. B.C. 423, being then very old; he seems to have been not much younger than Æschylus, and occupies a corresponding place among the comic poets; all accounts of his dramas, however, relate to the latter years of his life; and all we can say of him is, that he was not afraid to attack Pericles in his comedies at a time when that statesman was in the height of his reputation and power.§ Crates raised himself, from being an actor in the plays of Cratinus, to the rank of a distinguished poet: a career common to him with several of the ancient comedians. Telecleides and Hermippus also belong to the comic poets of the time of Pericles. Eupolis did not begin to bring out comedies till after the beginning of the Peloponnesian war (Ol. 87, 3. s.c. 429); his career terminated with that war. Aristophanes made his first appearance under another name in Ol. 88, 1. s.c. 427, and under his own name. Ol. 88, 4. B.c. 424; he went on writing till Ol. 97, 4. B.c. 388. Among the contemporaries of this great comic poet, we have also Phrynichus (from Ol. 87, 3. s.c. 429); Plato (from Ol. 88, 1. s.c. 427 to Ol. 97,

Aristot, Poet. 5. 20 H exipará ron airīs izeiras ai lizijassa airīs rastrai repartisera.
 + Suidas, v. Xanibu. Consequently, Aristotle, Poet. 3, (or, according to F. Ritter,

a later interpreter,) must be in error when ho places Chionides a good deal later than Epicharmus.

\*\*Mayaganis\*\*

As appears from the fragments referring to the Odelon and the long walls,

1. s.c. 391, or even longer); Phercerute: (who also flourished during the Peloponensian wap); Amerijan, who was sometime a successful rival of Aristophanes; Leucon, who also frequently contended with Aristophanes; Diecles, Philighius, Samayrion, Strattis, Theopophus, who flourished towards the end of the Peloponnesian war and subsequently, form the transition to the middle comedy of the Athenians.

We content ourselves for the present with this brief chronological view of the comic poets of the time, because in some respects it is impossible to characterize these authors, and in others, this cannot be done till we have become better acquainted with Aristophanes, and are able to refer to the creations of this poet. Accordingly, we will take a comparative glance at some of the pieces of Cratinus, Eupolis, and some others, after we have considered the comedy of Aristophanes: but must remark here beforehand that it is infinitely more difficult to form a conception of a lost comedy from the title and some fragments, than it would be to deal similarly with a lost tragedy. In the latter, we have in the mythical foundation something on which we may depend, and by the conformation of which the cdifice to be restored must be regulated; whereas comedy, with its greater originality, passes at once from one distant object to another, and unites things which seem to have no connexion with one another, so that it is impossible to follow its rapid movements merely by the help of some traces accidentally preserved.

§ 5. Before we turn to the works of Aristophanes, we must make unrelevas equainted with conseloy in the same way that we have already done with tragedy, in order that the technical forms into which the post had to cast his ideas and fancise may stand clearly and definitely before our eyes. These forms are partly the same as in the tragic drama,—n as the locality and its permanent apparatus were also common to better in other respects they are peculiar to comedy, and are intimately connected with its origin and development.

To begin with the locality, the stage and orchestra, and, on the whole, their meaning, were common to tragely and comedy. The stage (Prosection) is, in comody also, not the inside of a bouse, but some open space, in the background of whitch, on the wall of the scene, were represented public and private buildings. Nay, it appeared to the ancients so utterly impossible to regard the scene as a room of a house, that even the new comody, little as it had to do with a crual public life, nevertheless for the sake of representation, as we have remarked above, (Chap. XXII. § 5.5, made the secnes which it represents public: it endea-

<sup>\*</sup> According to the researches of Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Grecovum. Callies, who lived before Stratis, was likewise a comedian: this preparent repople could not have been a serious tragedy, but must have been a joke; the object and eccasion of it, however, cannot easily be guessed at. The old grammarians must have been joking when they asserted that Sophocles and Euripides Imitated this ??\*\*preparent repoples in some piece or other.

yours, with as little sacrifice of nature as it may, so to arrange all the conversations and events that they may take place in the street and at the house-doors. The generally political subjects of the old comedy rendered this much less difficult; and where it was absolutely necessary to represent an inner chamber of a house, they availed themselves of the resource of the Eccyclema.

Another point, common to tragedy and comedy, was the limited number of the actors, by whom all the parts were to be performed. According to an authority," (on which, however, we cannot place perfect reliance,) Cratinus raised the number to three, and the scenes in most of the comedies of Aristophanes, as also in the plays of Sophocles and Euripides, can be performed by three actors only. The number of subordinate persons in comedy has made the change of parts more frequent and more varied. Thus, in the Acharmians, while the first player acted the part of Diczeopolis, the second and third actors had to undertake now the Herald and Amphitheus, then again the ambassador and Pseudartabas; subsequently the wife and daughter of Diceopolis, Euripides, and Cephisophon; then the Megarian and the Sycophant, and the Bocotian and Nicarchus. † In other pieces, however, Aristophanes seems to have introduced a fourth actor (as Sophocles has done in the Œdipus at Colonus); the Wasps, for example, could hardly have been performed without four actors.1

The use of masks and of a gay and striking costume was also common to tragedy and comedy; but the forms of the one and the other were totally different. To conclude from the hints furnished by Aristophanes, (for we have a great want of special information on the subject,) his comic actors must have been still more unlike the histriones of the new comedy, of Plautus and Terence; of whom we know, from some very valuable and instructive paintings in ancient manuscripts, that they adopted, on the whole, the costume of every day life, and that the form and mode of their tunies and palliums were the same as those of the actual personages whom they represented. The costume of Aristophanes' players must, on the other hand, have resembled rather the garb of the farcical actors whom we often see depicted on vases from Magna Græcia, namely, close-fitting jackets and trowsers striped with divers colours, which remind us of the modern Harlequin; to which were added great bellies and other disfigurations and appendages purposely extravagant and indecorous, the grotesque form being, at the most, but partially covered by a little mantle; then there were masks, the

Anonym. de Comedia, p. xxxii. Comp. Aristot. Poet. 5.
 The little daughters, who are sold as pigs, were perhaps puppets; their koï, koï, and the other sounds they utter, were probably spoken behind the scenes as a

I in the Wasps, Philocleon, Bdelycleon, and the two slaves Xanthias and Sosias, are frequently on the stage at the same time as speaking persons.

features of which were exaggerated even to caricature, yet so that particular persons, when such were brought upon the stage, might at once be recognized. It is well known that Aristophanes found great difficulty in inducing the mask-makers (σκευοποιοί) to provide him with a likeness of the universally dreaded demagogue, Cleon, whom he introduces in his Knights. The costume of the chorus in a comedy of Aristophanes went farthest into the strange and fantastic. His choruses of birds, wasps, clouds, &c., must not of course be regarded as having consisted of birds, wasps, &c. actually represented, but, as is clear from numerous hints from the poet himself, of a mixture of the human form with various appendages borrowed from the creatures we have mentioned; and in this the poet allowed himself to give special prominence to those parts of the mask which he was most concerned about, and for which he had selected the mask : thus, for example, in the Wasps, who are designed to represent the swarms of Athenian judges, the sting was the chief attribute, as denoting the style with which the judges used to mark down the number of their division in the wax-tablets; these waspish judges were introduced humming and buzzing up and down, now thrusting out, and now drawing in an immense spit, which was attached to them by way of a gigantic sting. Ancient poetry was suited, by its vivid plastic representations, to create a comic effect by the first sight of its comic chorus and its various motions on the stage; as in a play of Aristophanes (the Though, some old men come on the stage, and casting off their age in the form of a serpent's skin (which was also called vious), immediately after conducted themselves in the most riotous and intemperate manner.

§ 6. Comedy had much that was peculiarly its own in the arrangement, the movements, and the snogs of the chorus. The authorities agree in stating the number of persons in the comic chorus at twenty-four: it is obvious that the complete chorus of the tragic tetralogy, (consisting of forty-reight persons,) was divided into two, and comedy kept is moiety undivided. Consequently, comedy, hough in other respects placed a good deal below tragedy, had, nevertheless, the advantage of a more numerous chorus by this, that comedies were always represented separately, and never in tetralogies; whence it happened also, that the comic poets were much less profile in plays than the tragic. This chorus, when it appeared in regular order, came on in rows of six persons, and as it entered the stage sang the paradox, which, however, was never so long or so artificially constructed as it was in many tragedies. Still less considerable were the stations, which the chorus sings at the

Like the A"sw with beasts' heads (Æsop's fables) in the picture described by Philostratus. Imagines, I. 3.

<sup>†</sup> With all Aristophanes' long career, only 54 were attributed to him, of which four were said to be spurious—consequently, he only wrote half as many plays as Sophoeles. Compare above, chap. XXIV. § 2.

end of the scene while the characters are changing their dress: they only serve to finish off the separate scenes, without attempting to awaken that collected thought and tranquillity of mind which the tragic stasima were designed to produce. Deficiencies of this kind in its choral songs, comedy compensated in a very peculiar manner by its parabase.

The parabasis, which was an address of the chorus in the middle of the comedy, obviously originated in those phallie traits, to which the whole entertainment was due; it was not originally a constituent part of comedy, but improved and worked out according to rules of art. The chorus, which up to that point had kept its place between the thymele and the stage, and had stood with its face to the stage, made an evolution, and proceeded in files towards the theatre, in the narrower scuse of the word; that is, towards the place of the spectators. This is the proper parabasis, which usually consisted of anapæstic tetranicters, occasionally mixed up with other long verses; it began with a short opening song. (in anapæstie or trochaic verse,) which was called kommation, and ended with a very long and protracted anapæstic system, which, from its trial of the breath, was called pnigos (also makron). In this parabasis the poet makes his chorus speak of his own poetical affairs, of the object and end of his productions, of his services to the state, of his relation to his rivals, and so forth. If the parabasis is complete, in the wider sense of the word, this is followed by a second piece, which is properly the main point, and to which the anapæsts only serve as an introduction. The chorus, namely, sings a lyrical poem, generally a song of praise in honour of some god, and then recites, in trochaic verses, (of which there should, regularly, be sixteen,) some joking complaint, some reproach against the city, some witty sally against the people, with more or less reference to the leading subject of the play; this is called the enirrhema. or "what is said in addition." Both pieces, the lyrical strophe and the epirrhema, are repeated antistrophically. It is clear, that the lyrical piece, with its antistrophe, arose from the phallic song; and the epirrhema, with its antepirrhema, from the gibes with which the chorus of revellers assailed the first persons they met. It was natural, as the parabasis came in the middle of the whole comedy, that, instead of these jests directed against individuals, a conception more significant, and more interesting to the public at large, should be substituted for them; while the gibes against individuals, suitable to the original nature of comedy, though without any reference to the connexion of the piece, might be put in the mouth of the chorus whenever occasion servel.\*

As the parabasis completely interrupts the action of the comic drama.

Such parts are found in the Acharmians, v. 1143-1174, in the Waspa, 1265-1291, in the Birds, 1470-1493, 1533-1595, 1694-1705. We must not trouble ourselves with seeking a connexion between these verses and other parts. In fact, it needed but the slightest suggestion of the memory to occasion such sallies as these.

it could only be introduced at some especial pause; we find that Aristophanes is fond of introducing it at the point where the action, after all sorts of hindrances and delays, has got so far that the crisis must ensue, and it must be determined whether the end desired will be attained or not. Such, however, is the laxity with which comedy treats all these forms, that the parabasis may even be divided into two parts, and the anapæstical introduction be separated from the choral song; \* there may even be a second parabasis, (but without the anapæstic march,) in order to mark a second transition in the action of the piece. + Finally, the parabasis may be omitted altogether, as Aristophanes, in his Lysistrata, (in which a double chorus, one part consisting of women, the other of old men. sing so many singularly clever odes,) has entirely dispensed with this address to the public, I

§ 7. It is a sufficient definition of the comic style of dancing to men tion that it was the kordax, i. e. a species of dance which no Athenian could practise soher and unmasked without incurring a character for the greatest shamelessness.6 Aristophanes takes great credit to himself in his Clouds (which, with all its burlesque scenes, strives after a nobler sort of comedy than his other pieces) for omitting the lordax in this play, and for having laid aside some indecencies of costume. | Every thing shows that comedy, in its outward appearance, had quite the character of a farce, in which the sensual, or rather bestial, nature of man was unrescreedly brought forward, not by way of permission only, but as a law and rule. So much the more astonishing, then, is the high spirituality, the moral worth, with which the great comedians have been able to inspire this wild pastime, without thereby subverting its fundamental characteristics. Nay, if we compare with this old comedy the later conformation of the middle and new comedy, with the latter of which we are better acquainted, and which, with a more decent exterior, nevertheless preaches a far laxer morality, and if we reflect on the corresponding productions of modern literature, we shall almost be induced to believe that the old rude comedy, which concealed nothing, and was, in the representation of vulgar life, itself vulgar and bestial, was better suited to an age which meant well to morality and religion, and was more truly based on piety, than the more refined comedy, as it

<sup>.</sup> Thus in the Peace, and in the Frogs, where the first half of the parahasis has coalesced with the parodos and the lacthus-song, (of which see above, § 2.) As Incehus has been already praised in this first part, the lyrical strophes of the second part (v. 675 foll.) do not contain any invocation of gods, and such like, but are full of sarcasms about the demagogues Cleophon and Cleigenes. We find the same deviation, and from the same reasons, in the second parabasis of the Knigl.ts. + As in the Knights.

The parabasis is wanting in the Ecclesianuse and the Plutus, for reasons which are stated in chap. XXVIII. § 11.

Aristophanes, Clauds, 537 foll.

is called, which threw a veil over everything, and, though it made vice ludicrous, failed to render it detestable, "

To return, however, to the kordax, and to connect with it a remark ou the rhythmical structure of comedy; we learn accidentally that the trochaic metre was also called kordax,† doubtless because trochaic verses were generally sung as an accompaniment to the kordax dances. The trochaic metre, which was invented along with the iambic by the old iambographers, had a sort of lightness and activity, but wanted the serious and impressive character of the iambus. It was especially appropriated to cheerful dances; t even the trochaic tetrameter, which was not properly a lyrical metre, invited to motions like the dance. § The rhythmical structure of comedy was obviously for the most part built upon the foundation of the old iambic poetry, and was merely extended and cularged much in the same way as the Æolian and Doric lyrical poetry was adapted to tragedy, namely, by lengthening the verses to systems, as they are called, by a frequent repetition of the same rhythm. The asynartetic verses, in particular, i. c. loose combinations of rhythms of different kinds, such as dactylie and trochaic, which may be regarded as forming a verse and also as different verses, belong only to the iambie and comic poetry; and in this, comedy, though it added several new inventions, was merely continuing the work of Archilochus. II

That the prevalent form of the dialogue should be the same in tragedy and comedy, namely, the iambic trimeter, was natural, notwithstanding the opposite character of the two kinds of poetry; for this common organ of dramatic colloquy was capable of the most various treatment. and was modified by the comic poets in a manner most suitable to their object. The avoidance of sponders, the congregation of short syllables, and the variety of the cresuras, impart to the verse of comedy an extraordinary lightness and spirit, and the admixture of anapæsts in all feet but the last, opposed as this is to the fundamental form of the trimeter, proves that the careless, voluble recitation of comedy treated the long and short syllables with greater freedom than the tragic art permitted. In order to distinguish the different styles and tunes, comedy employed, besides the trimeter, a great variety of metres, which we must suppose were also distinguished by different sorts of gesticula-

· Plutarch, in his comparison of Aristophanes and Menander, (of which an epitome has been preserved,) expresses an entirely opposite opinion, but this is only a proof how very often the later writers of antiquity mistook the form for the substance.

<sup>†</sup> Aristotle, quoted by Quintilian, ix. 4. Cicero Orat. 57.

<sup>1</sup> Chap. XI. § 8, 22. Aristophan, Peace, 324 foll.

For the sake of brevity, we merely refer to Hephaestion, cap. xv. p. 83 foll. Gaisf. and Terentianus, v. 2243.

Aristophanis ingens micat sollertis, Qui sa pe metris multiformibus novis

Archilochon arte est a mulatus musica. Comp. above, chap. XI, § 8, 2 p 2

tion and delivery, such as the light trochaic tetrameter so well suited to the dauce, the lively ianabic tetrameter, and the anapæstic tetrameter, flaunting along in comic pathos, which had been used by Aristocenus of Selinus, an old Sicilian poet, who lived before Epicharmus.

In all these things comedy was just as inventive and refined as tragedly. Arisophanes had the skill to convey by his rhythms sometimesedly. Arisophanes had the skill to convey by his rhythms sometimethe tone of romping merriment, at others that of festal dignity; and often in jest he would give to his verses and his words such a poor sound that we lament he is not in earnest. In reading his plays we are always impressed with the finest concord between form and meaning, between the tone of the speech and the character of the persons; as, for example, the old, hot-headed Acharinius sadmirably express their used vigour and boisterous impetuosity in the Cretic metres which prevail in the chords some of the pieces.

But who could with a few words paint the peculiar instrument which comedy had formed for itself from the language of the day? It was based, on the whole, upon the common conversational language of the Athenians,-the Attic dialect, as it was current in their colloquial interconrse; comedy expresses this not only more purely than any other kind of poetry, but even more so than the old Attic prose:" but this every day colloquial language is an extraordinarily flexible and rich instrument, which not only contains in itself a fulness of the most energetic, vivid, pregnant and graceful forms of expression, but can even accommodate itself to the different species of language and style, the epic, the lyric, or the tragic; and, by this means, impart a special colouring to itself.+ But, most of all, it gained a peculiar comic charm from its parodies of tragedy; here a word, a form slightly altered, or pronounced with the peculiar tragical accent, often sufficed to recal the recollection of a pathetic scene in some tragedy, and so to produce a ludicrous contrast.

<sup>•</sup> We only remind the reader that the connectors of consonants which distinguish Attle Greek from its mother distort be lend; or for \*\*, and if he for an occur of the form its another distort below the state of the conformal to the state of the state

<sup>†</sup> Piutarch very justly remarks, (Aristopk, et Menaudri comp. 1,) that the diction of tritophness contains all styles, from the tragic and pathetic (fyse) to the vulg garisms of farce, (ereputary) as as faxed; by but he is wrong in maintaining that Aristophanes assigned these modes of speaking to his characters arbitrarily and at random.

#### CHAPTER XXVIII.

- §1. Events of the life of Aristophanes; the mode of his first appearance. §2. His dramas: the Detaleis; the Bodylonians; §3. the Acharaians analyzed; §4. the Knights; §5. the Clouds; §6. the Wasps; §7. the Peace; §4. the Birds; §9. the Lysistrate; Theemophorianse; §10. the Frops; §11. the Ecclesianue; the second Pluths. Transition to the middle connects.
- § 1. Austrophania, es on of Philippas, was born at Athens shout Ol. 8.2. s. c. 452. We should know more about the events of his life had the works of his rivals been preserved; for it is natural to suppose that he was satirized in them, much in the same way as he has attacked Cratinus and Eupolis in his own comedies. As it is, we can only assert that he passed over to Refus with his family, together with other Attic citizens, as a Cleractar or coloniat, when that island was cleared of its old inhabitants, and that he became possessed of some landed property there.†

The life of Aristophanes was so early devoted to the comic stage, that we cannot misake a strong natural tendency on his part for this vocation. He brought out his first comedies at so early an age that he was prevented (if not by law, at all eversts by the conventions of society from allowing them to appear under his own name. It is to be observed that at Atheas the state gave itself no trouble to inquire who was really the author of a drame: this was no subject for an official examination; but the magistrate presiding over any Donysian featival at which the people were to be entertained with new drams, ig sex any chonvel-teacher who offered to instruct the chorus and actors for a new drams the authority for so doing, whenever he had the necessary confidence in him. The comic poets, as well as the tragic, were professedly chorus-teachers, the contract of the contra

<sup>•</sup> It is clearly an exaggration when the Schol. on the Freys, 504, calls Article prophenes spain spassassa, i.e. shoult I span sold, when he first came forward as a dramatist. If such were the case, he would have been at his prime in his 20th year, and would have essend to compose at the age of 50. In the pieces of Article prophenes we discern indications of advanced age, and we therefore assume that he time to be such a spectation as comisp pect, Gas. 427.)

<sup>(</sup>a.c. 427.)
1 See Aristoph. Acharn. 652; Vita Aristoph. p. 14; Küster, and Theagenea quoted by the Schol. on Plat. Apol. p. 63, 8 (p. 331, Bekk.)
The Acharnians was no doubt brought out by Callistratus; but it is clear that the passage quoted above referred the public to the poet himself, who was already well known to his audience.

<sup>\*</sup> At the great Dionysia, the first archon; (: aggs as he was emphatically called;) at the Lenna, the basileus, or king archon.

brought the new piece before the public. The comic poets likewise retained for a longer period a custom, which Sophoeles was the first to discontinue on the tragic stage, that the poet and chorus-teacher should also appear as the protogonist or chief actor in his own piece. This will explain what Aristophanes says in the parabasis of the Clouds. that his muse at first exposed her children, because, as a maiden, she dared not acknowledge their birth, and that another damsel had taken them up as her own; while the public, which could not be long in recognizing the real author, had nobly brought up and educated the foundlings.\* Aristophanes handed over his earlier pieces, and some of the later ones too, either to Philonides or to Callistratus, two chorus teachers, with whom he was intimate, and who were at the same time poets and actors; and these persons produced them on the stage. The ancient grammarians state that he transferred to Callistratus the political dramas, and to Philonides those which related to private life.† It was these persons who applied for the chorus from the archon, who produced the piece on the stage, and, if it was successful, received the prize, of which we have several examples in the didascalize; in fact, everything was done as if they had been the real authors, although the discriminating public could not have failed to discover whether the real author of the piece was the newly-risen genius of Aristophanes or the well-known and hacknied Callistratus.

§ 2. The ancients themselves did not know whether Philonities or Callistratus brought out the Dratelis, the first of his plays, which was performed in Ol. 88, 1. s. c. 427. † The Featers, who formed the horns in this piece, were conceived as a company of revellers who had banqueted in a temple of Hercules, (in whose worship eating and drinking bore a prominent part,§) and were engaged in witnessing a contest between the old frugal and modest system of education and the frivolous and ullaktive choication of modern times, in the persons of two young men, Temperate (ecopyer) and Profitigate (xcaracripur.) Brother Profitigate was represented, in a dialogue between him and his aged father, as a despiser of Homer, as accurately acquainted with legal expressions, (in order, of course, to employ them in petitiogenia quibbles) and as a zealous partien of the sophist Timaysuschus, and of Alcibiades the leader of the frivolous youth of the day. [In his ripery years,

<sup>•</sup> Compare the Knights, 513, where he says that many considered he had too long abstanced from yeth sirth set learns. In the parabasis of the Warps, he compares binnelf to a ventriloquist who had before spoken through others.

<sup>†</sup> So the anonym. de comedia opud Küster. The Vita Aristophanis has the contrary statement, but merely from an error, as is shown by various examples. \$\$\frac{1}{2}\$ Se\to 0.0 in the (Touchs, 531.

Muller's Dorians, II. 12. § 10.

In the important fragment preserved by Galen' I wrangerow 7 horers Processium, which has been recently freed from some corruptions which disfigured it. See Dindorf Aristoph. Frogments. Det.J. I.

Aristophanes completed in the Clouds what he had attempted in this early play.

The second play of Aristophanes was the Babylonians, and was brought out Ol. 89, 2. s. c. 426, under the name of Callistratus. This was the first piece in which Aristophanes adopted the bold step of making the people themselves, in their public functions, and with their measures for ensuring the public good, the subject of his comedy. He takes credit to himself, in the parabasis of the Acharnians, for having detected the tricks which the Athenians allowed foreigners, and especially foreign ambassadors, to play upon them, by lending too willing an car to their flatteries and misrepresentations. He also maintains that he has shown how democratic constitutions fall into the power of demagogues; and that he has thereby gained a great name with the allies. and, as he says, with humorous rhodomontade, at the court of the Great King himself. The name of the piece is obviously connected with this. We infer from the statements of the old grammarians,\* that the Babylonians, who formed the chorus, were represented as common labourers in the mills, the lowest sort of slaves at Athens, who were branded and were forced to work in the mills by way of punishment; and that they passed themselves off as Babylonians, i.e. as ambassadors from Babylon.

By this it was presumed that Babylon had revolted against the great king, who was constantly at war with Athens; and Aristophanes thought that the credulous Athenians might easily be gulled into the belief of something of the kind. The play would therefore be nearly related to that seene in the Acharatons, in which the supposed ambussadors of the Perstan monarch make their appearance, though the one cannot be consilered as a mer repetition of the other. Of course, these feitilious Babylonians were represented as a cleat practised on the Athenian Demus by the demageouse, who were then (after the death of Pericles) at the head of affairs; and Aristophanes had made Cleon the chief but for his withy attacks. This council was performed at the splendid festival of the great Dionysia, in the presence of the solies and a number of strangers who were then at Athens; and we may see, from Cleon's earnest endeavours to revenge himself on the post, how severely the lowerful demageouse smarted under the attack made upon him. He

<sup>•</sup> See especially Herythian on the verez Zazine I Four in waterpfagarers, where are the words of nor of the characters in Arisophanes," my Herythian, "when is seen the Robythians from the milt, being assumbled at their appearance, which is seen the Robythians from the milt, being somewhat in their appearance, who was looking at the derivers without knowing what they were intended to represent, and who mitsook them for Saminan branded by Periles, so that observed the experiment of the second of the s

dragged Callistratus\* before the conneil of the Five Hundred, (which, asa supreme tribunal, had also the superintendence of the festival amements,) and overwhelmed him with reproaches and threats. With regard to Arisophanes himself, it is probable that Cleon made an indirect attempt to bring him into danger by an indictment against him for sasuming the rights of a citizen without being entitled to them,  $\nabla s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n$ 

§ 3. In the following year, (Ol. 88, 3. B. C. 425,) at the Lengea, Aristophanes brought out the Acharnians, the earliest of his extant dramas. Compared with most of his plays, the Acharnians is a harmless piece : its chief object is to depict the earnest longing for a peaceful country life on the part of those Athenians who took no pleasure in the babbling of the market-place, and had been driven into the city against their will by the military plans of Pericles. Along with this, a few lashes are administered to the demagogues, who, like Cleon, had inflamed the martial propensities of the people, and to the generals, who, like Lamachus, had shown far too great a love for the war. We have also in this play an early specimen of his literary criticism, directed against Euripides, whose overwrought attempts to move the feelings, and the vulgar shrewdness with which he had invested the old heroes, were highly offensive to our poet. In this play we have at once all the peculiar characteristics of the Aristophanic comedy ;-his bold and genial originality, the lavish ahundance of highly comic scenes with which he has filled every part of his piece, the surprising and striking delineation of character which expresses a great deal with a few master-touches, the vivid and plastic power with which the scenes are arranged, the case with which he has disposed of all difficulties of space and time. Indeed, the play possesses its author's peculiar characteristics in such perfection and completeness, that it may be proper in this place to give such an analysis of this, the oldest extant comedy, as may serve to illustrate not merely the general ideas, which we have already given, but also the whole plot and technical arrangement of the drama.

The stage in this play represents sometimes town and sometimes country, and was probably so arranged that both were shown upon it at once. When the comedy begins, the stage gives us a glimpse of the Pnyx, or place of public assembly; that is to say, the spectator saw the

† Schol. Ackarn. 317. It was on this occasion, according to the author of the Visah Aristophanis, that Aristophanes quoted that verse of Homer, (Odyss. I. 216,) sh phy at vs. in pion sairs sairpas.

<sup>•</sup>We say Califarentae, because, as yophilaesaes and protagonist in the Actornium, the next of the part of Diescopolis, and because the public could not fail to understand the words sirist of human in the Actornium in the performer himself. In the owners of the parabasis in the Actornium we do not to the public for three years, these talents could not have remained unknown to the public for three years.
Assol. Actorn. 371. It was on this occasion, according to the author of the

bema for the orator cut out of the rock, and around it some seats and other objects calculated to recal the recollection of the well-known place. Here sits the worthy Dicgeopolis, a citizen of the old school, grumbling about his fellow citizens, who do not come punctually to the Pnyx, but lounge idly about the market-place, which is seen from thence; for his own part, although he has no love for a town-life, with its bustle and gossip, he attends the assembly regularly in order to speak for peace. On a sudden the Prytanes come out of the council-house; the people rush in; a well-born Athenian, Amphitheus, who boasts of having been destined by the gods to conclude a peace with Sparta, is dismissed with the utmost contempt, in spite of the efforts of Dieceopolis on his behalf; and then, to the great delight of the war party, ambassadors are introduced, who have returned from Persia, and have brought with them a Persian messenger, "the Great King's eye," with his retinue: this forms a funtastic procession, which, as Aristophanes hints, is all a trick and imposture, got up by the demagogues of the war party. Other ambassadors bring a similar messenger from Sitalees, king of Thrace, on whose assistance the Athenians of the day built a great deal, and drag before the assembly a miserable rabble, under the name of picked Odomantian troops, which the Athenians are to take into their scrvice for very high pay. Meanwhile Diczeopolis, seeing that he cannot turn affairs into another channel, has sent Amphitheus to Sparta on his own account; the messenger returns in a few minutes with various treaties, (some for a longer, others for a shorter time,) in the form of wine-jars, like those which were used for pouring out libations on the conclusion of a treaty of peace; Diecopolis selects a thirty years' truce by sea and land, which does not smell of pitch and tar, like a short armistice in which there is only just time to calk the ships. All these delightful scenes are possible only in a comedy like that of the Athenians. which has its outward form for the representation of every relation. every function, and every character; which is able to sketch everything in hold colours by means of grotesque speaking figures, and does not trouble itself with confining the activity of these figures to the laws of reality and the probabilities of actual life.\*

The first dramatic complication which Aristophanes introduces into his plot, arises from the chorus, which consists of Acharaians, i. e., the inhabitants of a large village of Attica, where the people gained a livelihood chiefly by charcoal-burning, the materials for which were supplied by the neighbouring mountain-forests: they are represented as rude,

In all this, comedy does but follow in its own way the spirit of ancient art in general, which went far beyond modern art in finding an outward expression for every thought und feeling of the mind, but fell sheet of our art in keeping up an appearance of consistency in the employment of these forms, as the laws of actual like would have required.

robust old fellows, hearts of oak, martial by their disposition, and especially incensed against the Peloponnesians, who had destroyed all the vincyards in their first invasion of Attica. These old Acharnians at first appear in pursuit of Amphitheus, who, they hear, has gone to Sparta to bring treaties of peace; in his stead, they fall in with Diezeopolis, who is engaged in celebrating the festival of the country Dionysia, here represented as an abstract of every sort of rustic merriment and jollity, from which the Athenians at that time were debarred. The chorus no sooner learns from the phallus-song of Diccopolis, that he is the person who has sent for the treaties, than they fall upon him in the greatest rage, refuse to hear a word from him, and are going to stone him to death without the least compunction, when Diczeopolis seizes a charcoal-basket, and threatens to punish it as a hostage for all that the Acharniaus do to himself. The charcoal-basket, which the Acharnians needed for their every-day occupations, is so dear to their hearts that they are willing, for its sake, to listen to Diegonolis : esnecially as he has promised to speak with his head on a block, on condition that he shall be beheaded at once if he fails in his defence. All this is amusing enough in itself, but becomes additionally ludicrous when we remember that the whole of Diczeopolis's behaviour is an imitation of one of the heroes of Euripides, the rhetorical and plaintive Telephus, who snatched the infant Orestes from his eradle and threatened to put him to death, unless Agamemnon would listen to him, and was exposed to the same danger when he spoke before the Achreans as Diccopolis is when he argues with the Acharnians. Aristophanes pursues this parody still farther, as it furnishes him with the means of exaggerating the situation of Diccopolis in a very comic manner; Diemonolis applies to Euripides himself, (who is shown to the spectators by means of an eccyclema, in his garret, surrounded by masks and costumes, such as he was fond of employing for his trugic heroes.) and begs of him the most pitcons of his dresses, upon which he obtains the most deplorable of them all, that of Telephus. We pass over other mockeries of Euripides, in which Aristophanes indulges from pure wantonness, and turn to the following scene, one of the chief scenes in the piece, in which Diezeopolis, in the character of a comic Telephus, and with his head over the block, pleads for peace with the Spartans. It is obvious, that however seriously Aristophanes embraced the cause of the peace-party, he does not on this occasion speak one word in serious earnest. He derives the whole Peloponnesian war from a bold frolic on the part of some drunken young men, who had carried off a harlot from Mcgara, in reprisal for which the Megarians had seized on some of the attendants of Aspasia. As this explanation is not satisfactory, and the chorus even summons to its assistance the warlike Lamachus, who rushes from his house in extravagant military costune,\* Dieceopolis is driven to have recourse to argumenta al hominers and he impresse on the old people who form the chorus, that they are obliged to serve as common soldiers, while young braggaducios, like Lamackes, made a pretty livelihood by serving as generals or ambassadors, and so wasted the fat of the land. This produces is effect, and the chorus shows an inclination to do justice to Dieceopolis. This catastrophe of the piece is followed by the parabasis, in the first part of which the poet, with particular reference to his last play, takes credit to himself for being an estimable friend to the people; le says that he does not indeed apare them, but that they need not fear, for that he will be just in his sattire. The second part, however, keep close to the thought which Dieceopolis had awakeeed in the minds of the chorus; they complain bitterly of the assumption of their rights by the elever, wity, and ready young men, from whom they could not defend themselves, especially in the law-courts.

The second part of the piece, after the catastrophe and parabasis, is merely a description, overflowing with wit and humour, of the blessings which peace has conferred on the sturdy Diczeopolis. At first he opens his free market, which is visited in succession by a poor starving wretch from Mcgara, (the neighbouring country to Attica, which, poorly gifted by nature, had suffered in the most shocking manner from the Athenian blockade and the yearly devastations of its territory,) and by a stout Bootian from the fertile land on the shore of the Copaic lake, which was well known to the Athenians for its eels. For want of other wares, the Megarian has dressed up his little daughters like young pigs. and the honest Diczeopolis is willing to buy them as such, though he is strangely surprised by some of their peculiarities ;-a purely ludicrous scene, which was based, perhaps, on the popular jokes of the Athenians; a Megarian would gladly sell his children as little pigs, if any one would take them off his hands :---we could point out many jokes of this kind in the popular life, as well of ancient as of modern times. During this, the dealers are much troubled by sycophants, a race who lived by indictments, and were especially active in hunting for violations of the customs' laws; I they want to seize on the foreign goods as contraband, but Dicaeopolis makes short work with them; one of the

<sup>•</sup> Consequently, the house was also represented on the stage; probably the town house of Dicropolis was in the middle, on the one side that of Euripides, on the other that of Lamachus. On the left was the place which represented the Payx; on the right some indication of a country house; this, however, occurs only in the seene of the country Diorysia, all the rate lakes place in the city.

<sup>†</sup> v. 655. & A.X. spate par vers beare in a superfirms ver bineau. When we find such open professions as this, we may at least be certain that Aristophanes intended to direct the sting of his comedy against that only which appeared to him to be really had.

The sycophants, no doubt, derived their names from a sort of part, i. c. public information against those who injured the state in any of its pecuniary interests.

sycophants he drives away from his market; the other, the little Nicarchus, he binds up in a bundle, and packs him on the back of the Bœotian, who shows a desire to take him away as a laughable little monkey.

Now begins, on a sudden, the Athenian feast of the pitchers (the Xocc). Lamachus \* in vain sends to Dicæopolis for some of his purchases, in order that he may keep the feast merrily; the good citizen keeps every thing to himself, and the chorus, which is now quite converted, admires the prudence of Diegeopolis, and the happiness he has gained by it. In the midst of his preparations for a sumptuous banquet, others beg for some share of his peace; he returns a gruff answer to a countryman whose cattle have been harried by the Bostians; but he behaves a little more civilly to a bride who wants to keep her husband at home. Meanwhile, various messages are brought; to Lamachus, that he must march against the Bootians, who are going to make an inroad into Attica at the time of the feast of the Choes; to Diezeopolis, that he must go to the priest of Bacchus, in order to assist him in celebrating the feast of the Choes. Aristophanes works out this contrast in a very amusing manner, by making Dicæopolis parody every word which Lamachus utters as he is preparing for war, so as to transfer it to his own festivities; and when, after a short time which the chorus fills up by a satirical song, Lamachus is brought back from the war wounded, and supported by two servants, Dicacopolis meets him in a happy state of jutoxication, and leaning on two damsels of casy virtue, and so celebrates his triumph over the wounded warrior in a very conspicuous manner.

To any nothing of the juity humour of the style, and the beautiful rhythms and happy turns of the choral songs, it must be allowed that this series of secures has been deviated with gunial merriment from beginning to end, and that they must have produced a highly comic effect, especially if the securery, costumes, dances, and music were worthy of the conceptions and language of the poet. The piece, if correctly understood, is nothing but a Baschie reverly, full of face and wantonness; for although the conception of it may rest upon a moral foundation, yet the author is, throughout the piece, utterly devoid of seriousness and sobriety, and in every representation, as well of the victorious as of the defeated party, follows the impulses of an unrestrained love of mirth. At most, Aristophanes expresses his own sentiments in the parabasis: in the other parts of the play examots afely recognize the opinions of the poet in the deceiful mirror of his comedy:

§ 4. The following year (Ol. 88, 4. B.C. 424) is distinguished in the

That Lamachus is only a representative of the warlike spirits is clear from his name, As-mags; otherwise, Phormio, Demosthenes, Paches, and other Athenian heroes might just as well have been substituted for him.

history of comedy by the appearance of the Knights of Aristophanes. It was the first piece which Aristophanes brought out in his own name, and he was induced by peculiar circumstances to appear in it as an actor himself. This piece is entirely directed against Cleon; not, like the Babylonians, and at a later period the Wasps, against certain measures of his policy, but against his entire proceedings and influence as a demagogue. There is a certain degree of spirit in attacking, even under the protection of Bacchie revelry, a popular leader who was mighty by the very principle of his policy, viz. of advancing the material interests and immediate advantage of the great mass of the people at the sacrifice of every thing else; and who had become still more formidable by the system of terrorism with which he carried out his views. This system consisted in throwing all the citizens opposed to him under the suspicion of being concealed aristocrats; in the indictments which he brought against his enemics, and which his influence with the law courts enabled him without difficulty to turn to his own advantage; and in the terrible severity with which he urged the Athenians in the public assembly and in the courts to put down all movements hostile to the rule of the democracy, and of which his proposal to massacre the Mitylenseans is the most striking example. Besides, at the very time when Aristophanes composed the Knights, Cleon's reputation had attained its highest pitch, for fortune in her sport had realized his inconsiderate boast, that it would be an easy matter for him to capture the Spartans in Sphacteria; the triumph of having captured these formidable warriors, for which the best generals had contended in vain, had fallen, like an over-ripe fruit, into the lap of the unmilitary Cleon (in the summer of the year 425). That it really was a bold measure to attack the powerful demagogue at this time. may also be inferred from the statement that no one would make a mask of Cleon for the poet, and still less appear in the character of Cleon, so that Aristophanes was obliged to undertake the part himself.

The Knights is by far the most violent and angry production of the Aristophanic Nuse; that which has most of the bitterness of Archilochus, and least of the harmless humour and ristous merriment of the Dionysia. In this instance connedy almost transgresses its proper limits; it is almost converted into an arcna for political champions fighting for life and death; the most violent party animostiy is combined with some obvious traces of personal irritation, which is justified by the judicial persecution of the author of the Babyloinians. The piece preents a remarkable contrast to the Acharians; just as if the poet wanted to show that a checkered variety of burlesque scenes was not necessary be simplest means; and doubtless, to an sudience perfectly familiar with all the hints and allusions of the comolian, the Knights must have

possessed still greater interest than the Acharnians, though modern readers, far removed from the times, have not been always able to resist the feeling of tediousness produced by the prolix scenes of the piece. The number of characters is small and uppretending; the whole dramatis personæ consist of an old master with three slaves, (one of whom, a Paphlagonian, completely governs his master,) and a sausage-seller. The old master, however, is the Demus of Athens, the slaves are the Athenian generals Nicias and Demosthenes, and the Paphlagonian is Cleon: the sausage-seller alone is a fiction of the poet's,-a rude, uneducated, impudent fellow, from the dregs of the people, who is set up against Cleon in order that he may, by his audacity, bawl down Cleon's impudence, and so drive the formidable demagogue out of the field in the only way that is possible. Even the chorus has nothing imaginary about it, but consists of the Knights of the State," i.e. of citizens who, according to Solon's classification, which still subsisted, paid taxes according to the rating of a knight's property, and most of whom at the same time still served as cavalry in time of war:+ being the most numerous portion of the wealthier and better educated class, they could not fail to have a decided antipathy to Cleon, who had put himself at the head of the mechanics and poorer people. We see that in this piece Aristophanes lays all the stress on the political tendency, and considers the comic plot rather as a form and dress than as the body and primary part of his play. The allegory, which is obviously chosen only to cover the sharpness of the attack, is east over it only like a thin yeil; according to his own pleasure, the poet speaks of the affairs of the Demus sometimes as matters of family arrangement, sometimes as public transactions.

The whole piece has the form of a contest. The sausage-seller (an whom an oracle, which has been staden from the Paphlagonian while he was aleeping, recognizes his victorious opponent) first measures his strength against him in a display of impudence and rascality, by which the post assumes that of the qualities requisite to the demagogue these are the most essential. The sausage-seller narrates that having, while a boy, stolen a piece of mest and boldly denied the theft, a statement had predicted that the city would one day trust itself to his guidance. After the parabasis, the contest begins afresh; the rivals, who had in the meantime endeavoured to recommend themselves to the council,

<sup>•</sup> Hardly of actual knights, so that in this case reality and the drama were one and the same. That no phyle, but the state paid the expenses of this chorus, (if we are so to explain hours; in the didasculia of the piece: see the examples in Boekh's Public Economy of Athens, book iii. § 22, at the end.) is no ground for the former inference.

<sup>†</sup> That Aristophanes considers the knights as a class is pretty clear from their known political tendency; as part of the Athenian arms, he often describes them as sturdy young men, fond of horsemanship, and dessed in grand military costume.

come before Demus himself, who takes his seat on the Poyx, and sae for the favour of the childish old man. Combined with serious reproaches directed against Cleon's whole system of policy, we have a number of joking contrivances, as when the sausage-seller places a cushion under the Demus, in order that he may not gall that which sat by the oar at Salamis.\* The contest at last turns upon the oracles, to which Cleon used to appeal in his public speeches, (and we know from Thucydides t how much the people were influenced throughout the Peloponnesian war by the oracles and predictions attributed to the ancient prophets;) in this department, too, the sausage-seller outbids his rival by producing appouncements of the greatest comfort to the Demus, and ruin to his opponent. As a merry supplement to these long-spun transactions, we have a scene which must have been highly entertaining to eye and ear alike: the Paphlagonian and the sausage-seller sit down as cating-house keepers (κάπηλοι) at two tables, on which a number of hampers and eatables are set out, and bring one article after the other to the Demus with ludicrous recommendations of their excellences; t in this, too, the sausageseller of course pays his court to the Demus more successfully than his rival. After a second parabasis we see the Demus-whom the sausageseller has restored to youth by boiling him in his kettle, as Medea did Æson-in youthful beauty, but attired in the old-fashioned splendid costume, shining with peace and contentment, and in his new state of mind heartily ashamed of his former absurdities.

§ 5. In the following year we find Aristophanes (after a fresh usit § in which Cloon had involved him) bringing out the Clouds, and so entering upon an entirely new field of comedy. He had himself made up his mind to take a new and peculiar flight with this piece. The public and the judges, however, determined otherwise; it was not Aristophanes but the aged Cratinus who obtained the first prize. The young pect, who had believed himself secure against such a slight, uttered some warm repreaches against the public in his next play; he was induced, however, by this decision to ravice his piece, and it is this rifaccimento (which deviates considerably from the original form) that has come down to us.!

There is hardly any work of antiquity which it is so difficult to

na μὰ τρίβες τὰν ls Σαλαμίνι, v. 785.
 † Thucyd. ii. 54. viii, 1.
 † The two enting houses are represented by an cecyclemn, as is clear from the scene.

δ See the Wasps, v. 1284. According to the Vita Aristoph, the poet had to stand three suits from Cleon touching his rights as a citizen.

<sup>1</sup> The first Clouds had, according to a delimite tradition, a different parabasis; it wanted the contest of the Kasang and Kasas Kiper, and the burning of the school at the end. It is also probable, from Diog. Laert. Ii. 18, (notwithstanding all the confusions which he has made,) that, in the first Clouds, Scentes was brought into connexion with Euripides, and was declared to have had a share in the tragedles of the latter.

estimate as the Clouds of Aristophanes. Was Socrates really, perhaps only in the earlier part of his career, the finansial dereamer and sepaced sophist which this piece makes him? And if it is certain that he was not, is not Aristophanes a common slanderer, a buffoon, who, in the vagaries of his humour, presumes to attack and revile even what is purest and noblest? Where remains his solemn promise never to make what was right the object of his comic saint?

If there be any way of justifying the character of Aristophanes, as it appears to us in all his dramas, even in this boultie encounter with the noblest of philosophers; we must not attempt, as some modern writers have done, to convert Aristophanes into a profound philosopher, opposed to Socrates; but we must be content to recognize in him, even on this occasion, the vigilant patriot, the well-meaning eitzen of Athens, whose object it is by all the means in his power to promote the interests of his native country, so far as he is capable of understanding them.

As the piece in general is directed against the new system of education, we must first of all explain its nature and tendency. Up to the time of the Persian war, the school-education of the Greeks was limited to a very few subjects. From his seventh year, the boy was sent to schools in which he learned reading and writing, to play on the lute and sing, and the usual routine of gymnastic exercises.\* In these schools it was customary to impress upon the youthful mind, in addition to these acquirements, the works of the poets, especially Homer, as the foundation of all Greek training, the religious and moral songs of the lyric poets, and a modest and decent behaviour. This instruction ceased when the youth was approaching to manhood; then the only means of gaining instruction was intercourse with older men, listening to what was said in the market-place, where the Greeks spent a large portion of the day, taking a part in public life, the poetic contests, which were connected with the religious festivals, and made generally known so many works of genius; and, as far as bodily training was concerned, frequenting the gymnasia kept up at the public expense. Such was the method of education up to the Persian war; and no effect was produced upon it by the more ancient systems of philosophy, any more than by the historical writings of the period, for no one ever thought of seeking the elements of a regular education from Heraelitus or Pythagoras, but whoever applied himself to them did so for his life. With the Persian war, however, according to an important observation of Aristotle, + an entirely new striving after knowledge and education developed itself among the Greeks; and subjects of instruction were established, which soon exereised an important influence on the whole spirit and character of the

<sup>&</sup>quot; le yoummararou, le nesagerrou, le mailorgifico. † Arietot. Polit. viii. 6,

nation. The art of speaking, which had hitherto afforded exercise only to practical life and its avocations, now became a subject of school-training, in connexion with various branches of knowledge, and with ideas and views of various kinds, such as seemed suitable to the design of guiding and ruling men by cloquence. All this taken together, constituted the lessons of the Sophists, which we shall contemplate more nearly hereafter; and which produced more important effects on the education and morals of the Greeks than anything else at that time. That the very principles of the sophists must have irritated an Athenian with the views and feelings of Aristophanes, and have at once produced a spirit of opposition, is sufficiently obvious: the new art of rhetoric, always eager for advantages, and especially when transferred to the dangerous ground of the Athenian democracy and the popular law-courts, could not fail to be regarded by Aristophanes as a perilous instrument in the hands of smbitious and selfish demagogues; he saw with a glance how the very foundations of the old morality, upon which the weal of Athens appeared to him to rest, must be sapped and rooted up by a stream of oratory which had the skill to turn everything to its own advantage. Accordingly, he makes repeated attacks on the whole race of the artificial orators and sceptical reasoners, and it is with them that he is principally concerned in the Clouds.

The real object of this piece is stated by the poet himself in the parabasis to the Wasps, which was composed in the following year: he says that he had attacked the fiend which, like a night-mare, plagued fathers and grandfathers by night, besetting inexperienced and harmless people with all sorts of pleadings and pettifogging tricks.\* It is obvious that it is not the teachers of rhetoric who are alluded to here, but the young men who abused the facility of speaking which they had acquired in the schools by turning it to the ruin of their fellow citizens. The whole plan of the drama depends on this: an old Athenian, who is sore pressed by debts and duns, first labours to acquire a knowledge of the tricks and stratagems of the new rhetoric, and finding that he is too stiff and awkward for it, sends to this school his youthful son, who has hitherto spent his life in the ordinary avocations of a well-born cavalier. The consequence is, that his son, being initiated into the new scepticism, turns it against his own father, and not only beats him, but proves that he has done so justly. The error of Aristophanes in identifying the school of Socrates with that of the new-fangled rhetoric must have arisen from his putting Socrates on the same footing with sophists, like Protagoras and Gorgias, and then preferring to make his fellow citizen the butt of his witticisms, rather than his foreign colleagues, who paid only short visits to Athens. It cannot be denied that Aristophanes was mistaken.

<sup>\*</sup> Compare, by way of explanation, also Acharnians, 713. Birds, 1347. Frogs, 147.

It must indeed be allowed that Socrates, in the earlier part of his career, had not advanced with that security with which we see him invested in the writings of Xenophon and Plato, that he still took more part in the speculations of the Ionian philosophers with regard to the universe,\* than he did at a later period : that certain wild elements were still mixed no in his theory, and not yet purged out of it by the Socratic dialectic: still it is quite inconceivable that Socrates should over have kept a school of rhetoric (and this is the real question), in which instruction was given, as in those of the sophists, how to make the worse appear the better reason. † But even this misrepresentation on the part of Aristophanes may have been undesigned: we see from passages of his later comedies, that he actually regarded Socrates as a rhetorician and declaimer. He was probably deceived by appearances into the belief that the dialectic of Socrates, the art of investigating the truth, was the same as the sophis'ry which aped it, and which was but the art of producing a deccitful resemblance of the truth. It is, no doubt, a serious reproach to Aristophanes that he did not take the trouble to distinguish more accurately between the two; but how often it happens that men. with the best intentions, condemn arbitrarily and in the lump those tendencies and exertions which they dislike or cannot appreciate.

The whole play of the Clouds is full of ingenious ideas, such as the chorus of Clouds itself, which Socrates invokes, and which represents appropriately the light, airy, and fletting nature of the new philosophy-§ A number of popular jokes, such as generally attach themselves to the learned class, and banter the supposed subtilities and refinements of philosophy, are there beaped on the school of Socrates, and often delivered in a very comic manner. The worthy Strepsiales, whose home-bred understanding and mother-wit are quite overwhelmed with astonishment at the subtle tricks of the school-philosophers, until at ath his own experience teaches him to form a different judgment, is from the beginning to the end of the piece a most amusing character. Notwithstanding all this, however, the piece cannot overcome the defect arising from the oblique views on which it is based, and the superficial manner in which the philosophy of Socrates is treated,—at least not in

<sup>&</sup>quot; rà perimen.

<sup>†</sup> The Even or Sheet, and the neitron or linum hipsy. Aristophanes makes the former manner of speaking the representative of the assuming and arrogant youth, and the latter of the old respectable education, and personifies them both.

† See Aristoph. Frog., 1491. Birds, 1555. Eupolis had given a more correct

<sup>‡</sup> See Aristoph. Progs, 1491. Birds, 1555. Eupolis had given a more correct picture of Socrates, at least in regard to his outward appearance. Bergk de rel. com. Attica, p. 353.

<sup>§</sup> That this chorus loses Its special character towards the end of the piece, and even preaches reverence of the gods, is a point of resultance between It and the choruses in the Actuarians and the Wasse, who at least ust rather according to the general character of the Greek chorus, which was on the whole the same for tragedy and comedy, than according to the particular part which has been assigned to them.

the eyes of any one who is unable to surrender himself to the delusion under which Aristophanes appears to have laboured.

§ 6. The following year (Ol. 89, 2. s.c. 422) brought the Wasps of Aristophanes on the stage. The Wasps is so connected with the Clouds, that it is impossible to mistake a similarity of design in the development of certain thoughts in each. The Clouds, especially in its original form, was directed against the young Athenians, who, as wrangling tricksters, vexed the simple inoffensive citizens of Athens by bringing them against their will into the law-courts. The Wasps is aimed at the old Athenians, who took their seats day after day in great masses as judges, and being compensated for their loss of time by the judicial fees established by Pericles, gave themselves up entirely to the decision of the causes. which had become infinitely multiplied by the obligation on the allies to try their suits at Athens, and by the party spirit in the state itself: whereby these old people had acquired far too surly and snarling a spirit, to the great damage of the accused. There are two persons opposed to one another in this piece; the old Philocleon, who has given up the management of his affairs to his son, and devoted himself entirely to his office of judge (in consequence of which he pays the profoundest respect to Cleon, the patron of the popular courts); and his son Bdelucleon, who has a horror of Cleon and of the severity of the courts in general. It is very remarkable how entirely the course of the action between these two characters corresponds to that in the Clouds, so that we can hardly mistake the intention of Aristophanes to make one piece the counterpart of the other. The irony of fate, which the aged Stronsiades experiences, when that which had been the greatest object of his wishes, namely, to have his son thoroughly imbued with the rhetorical fluency of the Sophists, soon turns out to be the greatest misfortune to him, -is precisely the same with the irony of which the young Bdelycleon is the object in the Wasps; for, after having directed all his efforts towards curing his father of his mania for the profession of judge, and having actually succeeded in doing so, (partly by establishing a private dicasterion at home, and partly by recommending to him the charms of a fashionable luxurious life, such as the young Athenians of rank were attached to,) he soon bitterly repents of the metamorphosis which he has effected, since the old man, by a strange mixture of his old-fashioned rude manners with the luxury of the day, allows his dissoluteness to carry him much farther than Bdelycleon had either expected or desired.

The Wasps is undoubtedly one of the most perfect of the plays of Aristophanes.\* We have already remarked upon the happy invention

<sup>•</sup> We cannot by any means accept A. W. von Schlegel's Judgment, that this play is inferior to the other comedica of Aristophanes, and we entirely approve of the warm apology by Mr. Mitchell, in his edition of the Wasps, 1835, the object of which has unfortunately prevented the editor from giving the comedy in its full proportions.

of the masks of the chorus.\* The same spirit of amusing movelty perudes the whole piece. The most farcical scene is the first between two dogs, which Bdelyeleon sets on foot for the gratification of his father, and in which not only is the whole judicial system of the Athenians parodied in a ludicrous manner, but also a particular law-suit between the demagogue Cleon and the general Laches appears in a comic contrast, which must have forced a laught from the gravest of the spectators.

§ 7. We have still a fifth comedy, the Peace, which is connected with the hitherto unbroken series; it is setablished by a didascalia, which has been recently brought to light, that it was produced at the great Dionysia in Ol. 89, 3. n. c. 421. Accordingly, this play made its appearance on the stage shortly before the peace of Nicias, which concluded the first part of the Pelopomensian war, and, as was then fully believed, was destined to put a final stop to this destructive contest amonet the Greek states.

The subject of the Peace is essentially the same as that of the Acharnians, except that, in the latter, peace is represented as the wish of an individual only, in the former as wished for by all. In the Acharnians, the chorus is opposed to peace; in the Peace, it is composed of countrymen of Attica, and all parts of Greece, who are full of a longing desire for peace. It must, however, be allowed, that in dramatic interest the Acharnians far excels the Peace, which is greatly wanting in the unity of a strong comic action. It must, no doubt, have been highly amusing to see how Trygreus ascends to heaven on the back of an entirely new sort of Pegasus .- a dung beetle, -and there, amidst all kinds of dangers. in spite of the rage of the deemon of war, carries off the goddess Peace. with her fair companions, Harvesthome and Mayday: † but the sacrifice on account of the peace, and the preparations for the marriage of Trvgreus with Harvesthome, are split up into a number of separate scenes, without any direct progress of the action, and without any great vigour of comic imagination. It is also too obvious, that Aristophanes endeayours to diminish the tediousness of these scenes by some of those loose jokes, which never failed to produce their effect on the common people of Athens; and it must be allowed, in general, that the poet often expresses better rules in respect to his rivals than he has observed in his own pieces. 1

§ 8. There is now a gap of some years in the hitherto unbroken chain of Aristophanic comedies; but our loss is fully compensated by the Birds, which was brought out in Ol. 91, 2. s. c. 414. If the Achar-

<sup>\*</sup> Chap. XXVII. § 5.

<sup>+</sup> So we venture to translate 'Orage and Oragia.

It should be added, that according to the old grammarians Eratosthenes and Crates, there were two plays by Aristophanes with this title, though there is no indication that the one which has come down to us is not that which appeared in the year 421.

nians is a specimen of the youthful vigour of Aristophanes, it appears in the Birds displayed in all its splendour, and with a style, in which a proud flight of imagination is united with the coarsest jocularity and most genial humour.

The Birds belongs to a period when the power and dominion of Athens had attained to an extent and splendour which can only be compared to the time about Ol. 81, 1. s. c. 456, before the military power of Athens was overthrown in Egypt. Athens had, by the very favourable peace of Nicias, strengthened her authority on the sea and in the coasts of Asia Minor; had shaken the policy of the Peloponnese by skilful intrigues; had brought her revenues to the highest point they ever attained; and finally had formed the plan of extending her authority by sea and on the coasts, over the western part of the Mediterranean, by the expedition to Sicily, which had commenced under the most favourable auspices. The disposition of the Athenians at this period is known to us from Thucydides: they allowed their demagogues and soothsayers to conjure up before them the most brilliant visionary prospects; henceforth nothing appeared unattainable; people gave themselves up, in general, to the intoxication of extravagant hopes. The hero of the day was Alcibiades, with his frivolity, his presumption, and that union of a calculating understanding with a bold, unfettered imagination, for which he was so distinguished; and even when he was lost to Athens by the unfortunate prosecution of the Hermocopidæ, the disposition which he had excited still survived for a considerable time.

It was at this time that Aristophanes composed his Birds. In order to comprehend this comedy in its connexion with the events of the day. and, on the other hand, not to attribute to it more than it really contains, it is especially necessary to take a rigorous and exact view of the action of the piece. Two Athenians, Peisthetærus and Euclvides, (whom we may call Agitator and Hopegood,) are sick and tired of the restless life at Athens, and the number of law-suits there, and have wandered out into the wide world in search of Hoopoo, an old mythological kinsman of the Athenians.\* They soon find him in a rocky desert, where the whole host of birds assemble at the call of Hoopoo: for some time they are disposed to treat the two strangers of human race as national enemies; but are at last induced, on the recommendation of Hoopoo, to give them a hearing. Upon this, Agitator lays before them his grand ideas about the primeval sovereignty of the birds, the important rights and privileges they have lost, and how they ought to win them all back again by founding a great city for the whole race of birds : and this would remind the spectators of the plan of centralization, (ouror-

It is said to have been, in fact, the Thracian king Tereus, who had married Pandion's daughter Procne, and was turned into a hoopoo, his wife being metamorphosed into a nightingale.

κισμός.) which the Athenian statesmen of the day often employed for the establishment of democracy, even in the Peloponnese. While Agitator undertakes all the solemnities which belonged to the foundation of a Greek city, and drives away the crowd, which is soon collected, of priests, writers of hymns, prophets, land-surveyors, inspectors-general, and legislators, -- scenes full of satirical reflexion on the conduct of the Athenians in their colonies and in allicd states,-Hopegood superintends the building of this castle-in-the-air, this Cloudcuckootown, (Νεφελοκοκκυνία.) and shortly after a messenger makes his appearance with a most amusing description of the way in which the great fabric was constructed by the labours of the different species of birds. Agitator treats this description as a lie; and the spectators are also sensible that Cloudcuckootown exists only in imagination, since Iris, the messenger of the gods, flies past without having perceived, on her way from heaven to earth, the faintest trace of the great blockading fortress. + The affair creates all the more sensation among men on this account, and a number of swaggerers come to get their share in the promised distribution of wings, without Agitator being able to make any use of those new citizens for his city. As, however, men leave off sacrificing to the gods, and pay honour to the birds only, the gods themselves are obliged to enter into the imposture, and bear a part in the absurdities which result from it. An agreement is made in which Zeus himself gives up his sovereignty to Agitator: this is brought about by a contrivance of Agitator; he has the skill to win over Hercules, who has come as an ambassador from the gods, with the savoury smell of certain birds, whom he has arrested as aristocrats, and is roasting for his dinner. At the end of the comedy Agitator appears with Sovereignty, (Basiliera,) splendidly attired as his bride, brandishing the thunder-bolts of Zeus, and in a triumphal hymencal procession, accompanied by the whole tribe of birds.

In this abort sketch we have purposely omitted all the subordinate parts, amusing and brilliant as they are, in order to make sure of obtaining a correct view of the whole piece. People have often overhooked the general scope of the play, and have sought for a significant on the details, which the plan of the whole would not allow. It is impossible that Athens can have been intended under Clouduckookown, especially as this city of the birds is treated as a mere imagination; moreover, and intended to represent his countrymen under these masks, the characteristics of the Athenians would have been shown in them in a very different

<sup>.</sup> v. 1167. Ton yag abntug galural per distino.

<sup>†</sup> Of course we see nothing of the new city on the stage, which throughout the plece represents a rocky place with trees about it, and with the house of the Epops in the centre, which at the end of the play is converted into the kitchen where the hirds are rosated.

way.\* Besides, it is very difficult to believe that Agitator and Hopegood were intended to represent any Athenian statesmen in particular; the chief rulers of the people at that time could not possibly have shown themselves diametrically opposed, as Agitator does, to the judicial and legislative system, and to the sycophancy of the Athenians. But according to the poet's express declaration, they are Athenians, the genuine offspring of Athens, and it is clear, that in these two characters, he intended to give two perfect specimens of the Athenians of the day; the one is an intriguing projector, a restless, inventive genius, who knows how to give a plausible appearance to the most irrational schemes; the other is an honest, credulous fool, who enters into the follies of his companion with the utmost simplicity. † Consequently, the whole piece is a satire on Athenian frivolity and credulity, on that building of castles in the air, and that dreaming expectation of a life of luxury and ease to which the Athenian people gave themselves up in the mass; but the satire is so general, there is so little of anger and bitterness, so much of fantastic humour in it, that no comedy could make a more agreeable and harmless impression. We must, in this, dissent entirely from the opinion of the Athenian judges, who, though they crowned the Knights, awarded only the second prize to the Birds; it seems that they were better able to appreciate the force of a violent personal attack than the creative fulness of comic originality.

§ 9. We have two plays of Aristophanes which came out in Ol. 92, 1. B. C. 411, (if our chronological data are correct,) the Lysistrata and the Thesmophoriazusæ. A didascalia, which has come down to us, assigns the Lysistrata to this year, in which, after the unfortunate issue of the Sicilian expedition, the occupation of Deceleia by the Spartage, and their subsidiary treaty with the king of Persia, the war began to press heavily upon the Athenians. At the same time the constitution of Athens had fallen into a fluctuating state, which ended in an oligarchy: a board of commissioners, (πρόβουλοι,) consisting of men of the greatest rank and consideration, superintended all the affairs of state; and, a few months after the representation of the Thesmophoriazusæ, began the rule of the Four hundred. Aristophanes, who had all along been attached to the peace-party, which consisted of the thriving landed proprietors, now gave himself up entirely to his longing for peace, as if all civic rule and harmony in the state must necessarily be restored by a cessation from war. In the Lysistrata this longing for peace is exhibited in a farcical form, which is almost without a parallel for extravagant indecency; the

That several points applicable to Athens occur in the Cloudcookootown (the Acropolis, with the worship of Minerra Polias, the Pelasqian wall, &c.) proves nothing but this, that the Athenians, who plan the city, made use of names common

at home, as was always the custom in colonies.

We may remark that Euclipties only remains on the stage till the plan of
Nephelococygia is formed: after that, the poet has no further employment for him.

women are represented as compelling their husbands to come to terms, hy refusing them the exercise of their marilar rights; but the care with which he abstains from any direct political satire aboves how fluctuating all relations were as that time, and how little Aristophanes could with the to turn himself with the vigour of a man who has chosen his party.

In the Thesmophoriazusæ, nearly contemporary with the Lysistrata,\* Aristophanes keeps still further aloof from politics, and plunges into literary criticism, (such as before only served him for a collateral ornament,) which he helps out with a complete apparatus of indecent jokes. Euripides passed for a woman-hater at Athens: but without any reason; for, in his tragedies, the charming, susceptible mind of woman is as often the motive of good as of had actions. General opinion, however, had stamped him as a misogynist. Accordingly, the piece turns on the fiction that the women had resolved at the feast of the Thesmophoria, when they were quite alone, to take vengeance on Euripides, and punish him with death; and that Euripides was desirous of getting some one whom he might pass off for a woman, and send as such into this assembly. The first person who occurs to his mind, the delicate, effeminate Agathon-an excellent opportunity for travestying Agathon's manner-will not undertake the husiness, and only furnishes the costume, in which the aged Mnesilochus, the father-in-law and friend of Euripides, is dressed up as a woman. Mnesilochus conducts his friend's cause with great vigour; hut he is denounced, his sex is discovered, and, on the complaint of the women, he is committed to the custody of a Scythian police-slave, until Euripides, having in vain endeavoured, in the guise of a tragic Menelaus and Perseus, to carry off this new Helen and Andromeda, entices the Scythian from his watch over Mnesilochus hy an artifice of a grosser and more material kind. The chief joke in the whole piece is that Aristophanes, though he pretends to punish Euripides for his calumnies against women, is much more severe upon the fair sex than Euripides had ever been.

<sup>\*</sup> The date seigned to the Theresphoricanes, Qi. 22, 1. no. 411, rest party on the relation to the Advancence of Europies, (see chap, XXV, § 17, note), which was a year older, and which, from its relation to the Fregu, (Schol., Arthoph. Press, 33), in placed in Qi. 30, is, no. 4, 11. No doubt the expression July Frey would be seen to be seen to be seen that the property of the pression of the date of the seen that the seen that the property of the seen that the property of the clear mention of the defeat of Charminus in a seed-shirt. (Tenneya, 841) which falls, exceeding to Theuryd, will. 41, in the very beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. Without setting saids the Schol. Props, 53, and some other beginning of 411. The property of 411. The property of 411. The property of 411. The property of 411. The passage in x 988 about the deposed connections, some refer to the expansion of the Fire bunded, I plus displayed the fore-bunded, (Though, viii. 62), which did not take place till year 412, Ol. 81, 4, were obliged to give up a considerable part of their functions to the board of epiclessa, (Though, viii. 1.)

\$ 10. The literary criticism, which seems to have been the principal employment of Aristophanes during the last gloomy years of the Peloponnesian war, came out in its most perfect form in the Frogs, which was acted Ol. 93, 3. s. c. 405, and is one of the most masterly productions which the musc of comedy has ever conceded to her favourites. The idea, on which the whole is built, is beautiful and grand. Dionysus, the god of the Attic stage, here represented as a young Athenian fop, who gives himself out as a connoisseur of tragedies, is much distressed at the great deficiency of tragic poets after the deaths of Euripides and Sophocles, and is resolved to go and bring up a tragedian from the other world,-if possible, Euripides. Hc gets Charon to ferry him over the pool which forms the boundary of the infernal regions, (where he is obliged to pull himself to the merry croaking of the marsh frogs, )+ and arrives, after various dangers, at the place where the chorus of the happy souls who have been initiated into the mysteries (i. e. those who are capable of enjoying properly the freedom and merriment of comedy) perform their songs and dances; he and his servant Xanthias have, however, still many amusing adventures to undergo at Pluto's gate before they are admitted. It so happens that a strife has arisen in the subterranean world between Æschylus, who had hitherto oecupied the tragic throne, and the newly arrived Euripides, who lays elaim to it: and Dionysus connects this with his own plan by promising to take with him to the upper regions whichever of the two gains the victory in this contest. The contest which ensues is a peculiar mixture of jest and earnest: it extends over every department of tragic act,-the subject-matter and moral effects, the style and execution, prologues, choral songs, and monodies, and often, though in a very comic manner, hits the right point. The comedian, however, does not hesitate to support, rather by bold figures than by proofs, his opinion that Æschylus had uttered profound observations, sterling truths, full of moral significance; while Euripides, with his subtle reasonings, rendered insecure the basis of religious faith and moral principles on which the weal of the state rested. Thus, at the end of the play, the two tragedians proceed to weigh their verses; and the powerful savings of Æschylus make the pointed thoughts of Euripides kick the beam. In his fundamental opinion about the relative merits of these poets, Aristophanes is undoubtedly so far right, that the immediate feeling for and natural consciousness of the right and the good which breathes in the works of Æschylus. was far more conducive to the moral strength of mind and public virtue

<sup>•</sup> He is chiefly desirous of seeing the Andromeda of Enriphies, which was exceedingly popular with the people of Abders also. Lucian. Chom. conser. nit Hist. 1. † The part of the Froge was indeed performed by the chorus, but they were not exem, (i. a. it was a paractoregome;) probably the chorus but they were haved in the Approximation, (a space under the stage), and therefore on the same elevation as the Approximation, (a space under the stage), and therefore on the same elevation as the

of his fellow citizens than a mode of reasoning like that in Euripides, which brings all things before its tribunal, and, as it were, make everything dependent on the doubtful issue of a trial. But Aristophanes is wrong in reproaching Euripides personally with a tendency which exericed such an irrestabilite influence on his age in general. If it was the aim of the comedian to bring back the Athenian public to that point of literary taste when Æechylau was fully sufficient for them, it would have been necessary for him to be able to lock the wheels of time, and to acree back the machinery which propelled the mind in its forward progress.

We should not omit to mention the political references which occasionally appear by the side of the literary contents of this comedy. Aristophanes maintains his position of opponent to the violent demorats: he attacks the demagogue Cleuphon, then in the height of his power; in the parabasis he recommends the people, covertly but significantly enough, to make peace with and be reconciled to the persecuted oligarchs, who had ruled over Athens during the time of the Four hundred; recognizing, however, the inability of the people to save themselves from the rule with which themselves from the rule with which the about of Athenian according to the ideal of Aristophanes: this suggestion is contained in two remarkable verses, which he pasts into the mouth of Arberbylus —

"Twere best to rear no lion in the state,

But when 'tis done, his will must not be thwarted;"-

a piece of advice which would have been more in season had it been delivered ten years earlier.

§ 11. Aristophanes is the only one of the great Athenian poets who survived the Pcloponnesian war, in the course of which Sophocles and Euripides, Cratinus and Eupolis, had all died. We find him still writing for the stage for a series of years after the close of the war. His Ecclesiazusæ was probably brought out in Ol. 96, 4. B.C. 392: it is a piece of wild drollery, but based upon the same political creed which Aristophanes had professed for thirty years. Democracy had been restored in its worst features; the public money was again expended for private purposes; the demagogue Agyrrhius was catering for the people by furnishing them with pay for their attendance in the public assembly; and the populace were following today one leader, and to-morrow another. In this state of affairs, according to the fiction of Aristophanes, the women resolve to take upon themselves the whole management of the city, and carry their point by appearing in the assembly in men's clothes, principally "because this was the only thing that had not yet been attempted at Athens;" and

Écclesiaz. τ. 456. Πέπω γὰς τόντο μόσο ὁ τὰ πάλω οὐτω γεγούψελα.

begin that, according to an old oracle, the wheelst resolution which they made which they made which they made which they made to their benefit. The women then which they made to common, and the interests of the large varieties are to be in the common, and the interests of the high of both sexus are specially processed on the common of the common of

From this combination of a scrious thought, by way of foundation, with the boldest creations of a ristous imagination, the Ecclerizance must be classed with the works which appeared during the vigour of Attic comedy; but the technical arrangement shows, in a manner which cannot be mustaken, the poverty and thriftiness of the state at this time. The chorns is obviously fitted out very parsimoniously; its masks were easily made, as they represented only Athenian women, who at first appear with leards and merits cloaks; becides, it required but little practice, as it had but little to sing. The whole parabish is conitied, and its place its supplied by a short address, in which chorus, before it leaves the stage, calls upon the judges to decide fairly and impartially.

These outward deviations from the original plan of the old comedy are in the Plutus combined with great alterations in the internal structure; and thus furnish a plain transition to the middle comedy, as it is called. The extant Plutus is not that which the poet produced in Ol. 92, 4. s. c. 408, but that which came out twenty years later in Ol. 97, 4, s. c. 388, and was the last piece which the aged poet brought forward himself; for two plays which he composed subsequently, the Cocalus and Aclosicon, were brought out by his son Araros. In the extant Plutus. Aristophanes tears himself away altogether from the great political interests of the state. His satire in this piece is, in part, universally applicable to all races and ages of men, for it is directed against defects and perversities which attach themselves to our every-day life; and, in part, it is altogether personal, as it attacks individuals selected from the mass at the caprice of the poet, in order that the jokes may take a deeper and wider root. The conception on which it is based is of lasting significance; the god of riches has, in his blindness, fallen into the hands of the worst of men, and has himself suffered greatly thereby: a worthy, respectable citizen, Chremylus, provides for the recovery of his sight, and so makes many good people prosperous, and reduces many knaves to poverty. From the more general nature of the fable it follows that the persons also have the general character of their condition and employments, in which the piece approximates to the manner of the middle comedy, as it also does in the more decent, less

<sup>\*</sup> The choregin were not discontinued, but people endeavoured to make them less expensive every year. See Boeckh, Public Economy of Athens, book iii. § 22.

offensive, but at the same time less genial nature of the language. The alteration, however, does not run through the play to as to bring the new species of comedy before us in its complete form; here and there we feel the breath of the old comedy around us, and we cannot avoid the melancholy conviction that the genial comedian has survived the best days of his art, and has therefore become insecure and unequal in his amplication of it.

## CHAPTER XXIX.

- § 1. Characteristics of Cratinus. § 2. Expolis. § 3. Feculiat temdencies of Cratina, in councious with Scillian connect, § 6. Sicilian connect on secondy originates in the Ducie facros of Negars. § 6. Events in the ille of Epicharusus; general tendency and nature of his consety, § 6. Events in the ille of Epicharusus; general tendency and nature of his consety, § 6. The middle Attic connecty; posts of this cises akin to those of the Scillian consety in many of their pieces. § 7. Poets of the new consety his minufaction at the consety in the pieces of the control of the pieces of the pieces of the control of the pieces of the pieces
- § 1. Cartines and Eupolis, Pherecrates and Hermippus, Telecleides and Plato, and several of those who competed with them for the pieze of comedy, are known to us from the names of a number of their pieces which have come down to our time, and also from the short quotations from their plays by subsequent suthors; these furnish us with abundant materials for an inquiry into the details of Athenian life, public and private, but are of little use for a description like the present, which is based on the contents of individual works and on the characteristics of the different poets.
- Of Cratinus, in particular, we learn more from the short but pregnant notices of him by Aristophanes, than from the very mulisted fragments of his works. It is clear that he was well fitted by nature for the wild and merry dances of the Bacchic Comus. The spirit of comedy spoke out as clearly and as powerfully in him as that of tragedy did in Zechylms. He gave himself up with all the might of his genits to the fantastic humour of this sumsement; and the scattered sparks of his wit proceeded from a soul imbued with the magnanimous honesty of the older Athenians. His personal attacks were free from all fear or regard to the consequences. As opposed to Cratinus, Aristophanes appeared as a well-educated man, skilled and apt in speech, and not untinged with that very sophistic training of Euripides, against which he so systemstacilly inveighed; and thus we find it saked in a fragment

of Cratinua :- " Who art thou, thou hair-splitting orator; thou hunter after sentences; thou petty Euripidaristophanes?" .

Even the names of his choruses show, to a certain extent, on what various and bold devices the poems of Cratinus were hased. He not only made up a chorus of mere Archilochuses and Cleohulines, i. e. of abusive slanderers and gossiping women; he also brought on a number of Ulysseses and Chirons as a chorus, and even Panopteses, i. e. heings like the Argos-Panoptes of mythology, who had heads turned both ways with innumerable eyes,† by which, according to an ingenious explanation, 2 he intended to represent the scholars of Hippo, a speculative philosopher of the day, whose followers pretended that nothing in heaven or earth remained concealed from them. Even the riches (πλούτοι) and the laws (νόμοι) of Athens formed choruses in the plays of Cratinus, as, in-general, Attie comedy took the liberty of personifying whatever it pleased.

The play of Cratinus, with the plot of which we are hest acquainted, is the Pytine, or "bottle," which he wrote in the last year of his life. In his later years Cratinus was undoubtedly much given to drinking. and Aristophanes and the other comedians were already sneering at him as a doting old man, whose poetry was fuddled with winc. Upon this the old comedian suddenly roused himself, and with such vigour and success that he won the prize, in Ol. 89, 1. s.c. 423, from all his rivals, including Aristophanes, who hrought out the "Clouds" on the occasion. The piece which Cratinus thus produced was the Pytine. With magnanimous candour the poet made himself the subject of his own comedy, The comic muse was represented as the lawful wife of Cratinus, as the faithful partner of his younger days, and she complained bitterly of the neglect with which she was then treated in consequence of her husband having become attached to another lady, the hottle. She goes to the Archons, and hrings a plaint of criminal neglect (κάκωσις) against him; if her hushand will not return to her she is to obtain a divorce from him. The consequence is, that the poet returns to his senses. and his old love is re-awakened in his bosom; and at the end he raises himself up in all the power and beauty of his poetical genius, and goes so far in the drama that his friends try to stop his mouth, lest he should carry away everything with the overflowing of his imagery and versification. § In this piece, Cratinus did not merit the reproach which has heen generally cast upon him, that he could not work out his own excellent conceptions, hut, as it were, destroyed them himself.

<sup>·</sup> Tie de ov : ( unufie rie leure harie) Trakterskipes, propolores, tiperdage The answer of Aristophanes is mentioned above, Chap. XXV., § 7.

Ann anises of Alexonomies is melitioned above, Casp. A.A.Y., § 7.

† Kains here fourt, feland I via indigence;

† Bergk de reliquius Comedia Altica antique, p. 162.

§ Crains fragments cold. Runtel, p. 50. Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Grac., vol. I.,
p. 54, vol. II. p. 116—132.

So early as the time when Cratinus was in his prime, (Ol. 85, 1. ac. 440), a law was passed limiting the freedom of comic sairs. It is very probable that it was under the constraint of this law, (which, however, was not long in force, that the Ulyssees GOleweric) of Cratinus was brought out; a piece of which it was remarked by the old literary critica, that it came nearer to the character of the middle connell; it probably abstained from all personal, and especially from political saire, and kept isself within the crice of the general relations of manda, in which it was easy for the poet to avail himself of the old mythical story.—Ulyssee in the case of Polyphenus.

§ 2. A Roman poet, who was very careful in his choice of words, and who is remarkable for a certain pregnancy of expression, † calls Cratinus "the bold," and in the same passage opposes Eurons to him, as "the angry." Although Eupolis is stated to have been celebrated for his elegance, and for the aptness of his witticisms, as well as for his imaginative powers,; his style was probably marked by a strong hatred of the prevailing depravity, and by much bitterness of satire. He himself claimed a share in the "Knights" of Aristophanes, in which personal satire prevails more than in any other comedy of that poet. On the other hand, Aristophanes maintains that Eupolis, in his Maricas, had imitated the "Knights," and spoiled it by injudicious additions. & Of the Maricas, which was produced Ol. 89. 3. B.C. 421, we only know thus much, that under this slave's name he exhibited the demagogue Hyperbolus, who succeeded to Cleon's place in the favour of the people, and who was, like Cleon, represented as a low-minded, ill-educated fellow; the worthy Nicias was introduced in the piece chiefly as the butt of his tricks. The most virulent, however, of the plays of Eupolis was probably the Baptæ, which is often mentioned by old writers, but in such terms that it is not easy to gather a clear notion of this very singular drama. The view which appears most probable to the author of these pages is, that the comedy of Eupolis was directed against the club (iraquia) of Alcibiades, and especially against a sort of mixture of profligacy, which despised the conventional morality of the day, and frivolity, and which set at nought the old religion of Athens, and thus naturally assumed the garb of mystic and foreign religions. In this piece Alcibiades and his comrades appeared

Platonius de Comadia, p. viii. That the piece contained a caricature (hasequis roa) of Homer's Odyssey is not to be understood as if Cratinus had wished to ridicule Homer.

<sup>†</sup> Audaci quieunque adflate Cratino, Iratum Eupolidem prægrandi eum sene palles. Persius, I. 124. The Vita Aristophanis agrees with this.

<sup>† \*\*</sup>Parasia, siphirasers: Platonins slos speaks highly of the energy (i-\parasis and grace (iri/gans) of Eupolis. He perhaps exaggerates the latter quality See Meinck, Hist. Crit. Com. Gr. vol. I. p. 107.

† Aristophanes, Clouds 553.

under the name of Baptæ, (which seems to have been borrowed from a mystic rite of haptism which they practised,) as worshippers of a barharian deity Cotys or Cotytto, whose wild worship was celebrated with the din of loud music, and was made a cloak for all sorts of debauchery; and the picture given of these rites in the piece, if we may judge from what Juvenal says," must have been very powerful and impressive.

Eupolia composed two plays which obviously had some connexion with one another, and which represented the political condition of Athens at the time; the one in its domestic, the other in its external relations. In the former, which was called the Demi, the boroughs of Attica, of which the whole people consisted, (οἱ ἔῆμοι,) formed the persons of the chorus; and Myronides, a distinguished general and statesman of the time of Pericles, who had survived the great men of his own day, and now in extreme old age felt that he stood alone in the midst of a devenerate race, was represented as descending to the other world to restore to Athens one of her old leaders; and he does in fact hring back Solon, Miltiades, and Pericles. + The poet contrived, no doubt, to construct a very agreeable plot by a portraiture of these men, in which respect for the greatness of their characters was combined with many merry jests, and by exhibiting, on the other side, in the most energetic manner, the existing state of Athens, destitute as she then was of good statesmen and generals. From some fragments it appears that the old heroes felt very uncomfortable in this upper world of ours, and that the chorus had to intreat them most earnestly not to give up the state-affairs and the army of Athens to a set of effeminate and presumptuous young men: at the conclusion of the piece, the chorus offers up to the spirits of the heroes, with all proper ceremonics, the wool-bound olive boughs, (ciococorac.) by which, according to the religious rites of the Greeks, it had supported its supplications to them, and so honours them as gods. In the Poleis, the chorus consisted of the allied or rather tributary cities; the island of Chios, which had always remained true to Athens, and was therefore better treated than the others, stood advantageously prominent among them, and Cyzicus in the Propontis brought up the rear. Beyond this little is known about the connexion of the plot,

§ 3. Among the remaining comic poets of this time, CRATES stands most prominently forward, because he differs most from the others, From being an actor in Cratinus' plays, Crates had risen to the rank of

<sup>·</sup> Juvenal, II. 91.

<sup>•</sup> Juremal, II. 91. + That Mynomides brings up Pericles is elear from a comparison of Plutarch, Pericl. 24, with the passages of Arisides, Platonius, and others, (Raspe de Expolid. Asias et filizare. Lips. 1832). Pericles asak Myronles, why he brings him back to life! are there no good people in Athera! if his son by Aspasia is not a great stateman! "and to beful. From this it is clear that it was Myrondes who." had conveyed him from the other world.

a comic poet; he was, however, any thing but an imitator of his master. On the contrary, he entirely gave up the field which Cratinus and the other comedians had chosen as their regular arens, namely, political satire; perhaps because in his inferior position he lecked the courage to stack from the stage the most powerful demagogues, or because he thought that elgeartment stready exhausted of its best materials. His akill lay in the more artificial design and developement of his plots,\* and the interest of his pieces depended on the connection of the stories which they involved. Accordingly, Aristophanes says of him, that he had feasted the Athenians at striffing expense, and had with great solvierg given them the enjoyment of his most ingenious inventions. Crates as said to have been the first who introduced the drunkard on the stage; and Phercerates, who of the later Attic comedians most resembled Crates, painted the glutton with most colonal features.

§ 4. Aristotle connects Crates with the Sicilian comic poet EPICHAR-MUS, and no doubt he stood in a nearer relation to him than the other comedians of Athens. This will be the right place to speak of this celebrated poet, as it would have disturbed the historic developement of the Attic drama had we turned our attention at an earlier period to the comedy of Sicily. As we have already remarked, (chap.XXVII. § 3.) Sicilian comedy is connected with the old farces of Megara, but took a different direction, and one quite peculiar to itself. The Megarian farces themselves did not exhibit the political character which was so early assumed by Attic comedy; but they cultivated a department of raillery which was unknown to the comedy of Aristophanes, that is, a ludicrous imitation of certain classes and conditions of common life. A lively and cheerful observation of the habits and manners connected with certain offices and professions soon enabled the comedian to observe something characteristic in them, and often something narrow-minded and partial, something quite foreign to the results of a liberal education, something which rendered the person awkward and unfitted for other employments, and so opened a wide field for satire and witticisms. In this way Mason, an old Megarian comic actor and poet, constantly employed the mask of a cook or a scullion; consequently such persons were called Mæsones (µaiswrig) at Athens.

farcical entertainment. The Megarian comedian Solymus belon period.



Aristot. Poet. c. 6. Tan h' Aféner Κεάτες σερτικ τέξι», άφίμεις τε ιαμβιασε Μαι, απίλων λίγους & μόθου στώτ i. e. "Of the Athenian comedians, Crates was the first who gave up personal satire, and began to make narratives or poems on more general subjects."

<sup>†</sup> Knights, 535. Comp. Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Grac., p. 60.

Anonym. de Comedio, p. xxix.

There can be no doubt that he lived at a time when there existed by the side of the Attie councily a Megarian drama of the same kind, of which Ephantides, a predecessor of Cratinus, and other poets of the old comedy, spoke as a rough farcical entertainment. The Megarian comedian Sofyms belongs to the same

and their jokes Mæsonian (μαισωνικά.)\* A considerable element in such representations would consist of mimicry and absurd gestures, such as the Dorians seem to have been generallly more fond of than the Athenians : the amusement furnished by the Spartan Deicelictæ (ἐεικήλικται) was made up of the imitation of certain characters taken from common life; for instance, the character of a foreign physician represented in a sort of pantomime dance, and with the vulgar language of the lower orders.+ The more probable supposition is, that this sort of comedy passed over to Sicily through the Doric colonies, as it is on the western boundaries of the Grecian world that we find a general prevalence of comic dramas in which the amusement consists in a recurrence of the same character and the same species of masks. The Oscan pastime of the Atellana, which went from Campania to Rome, was also properly designated by these standing characters; and great as the distance was from the Dorians of the Peloponnese to the Oscans of Atella, we may nevertheless discern in the character-masks of the latter some clear traces of Greek influence.1

In Sielly, comedy made its first appearance at Selinus, a Megarian colony, Aristozenus, who composed convolles in the Durin dialect, lived here before Epicharmus; how long before him camus the satisfactorily ascertained. In fact we know very little about him; still it is remarkable that among the few records of him which we possess there is a verse which was the commencement of a somewhat long invective against soothsayers § whence it is clear that he, too, occupied himself with the follies and absurptities of whole classes and conditions of men.

§ 5. The flourishing period of Sicilian comcoly was that in which Phormits, Epicharmust, and Defondedux, (the son or scholar of the latter) wrote for the stage. Phormis is mentioned as the friend of Gelo and the instructor of his children. According to credible authorities, Epicharmus was a native of Cos, who went to Sicily with Codmus, te tyrnat of Cos, when he resigned his power and emigrated to that island, about Ol. 73, no. 488. Epicharmus at first resided a short time at the Sicilian Megara, where he probably first commenced his career as a comedian. Megara was conquered by Gelo, (Ol. 74, 1, or 2, no. 484, and its inhabitants were removed to Syracuse, and Epicharmus among them. The prime of his life, and the most flourishing period of his art, are included in the reign of Hiero, (Ol. 73, 3, to Ol. 78, 2, a.c.

The grammarian Aristophanes of Byzantium, quoted by Athenicus, XIV.,
 659, and Festus, s. v. Meson.
 See Muller's Dorinas, b. iv. ch. 6. 69.

Yellow Minister Deviation, Co. 1. Co. V. V. S. T. Among the standing masks of the Attellana was the Pappus, whose name is obviously the Greek widers, and reminds us of the Harrenshous, the old leader of the satyrs, in the satyrie drains; the Maccus, whose name is explained by the Greek passads; also the Simus, (at least in later times: Sucton. Galbo, 13,) which was a peculiar epithet of the Satyrs from their flat noses.

o In Hephastlon, Encheir. p. 45.

478, 467.) These chronological data are sufficient to show that the tendency of Epicharmus' comedy could not be political. The safety and dignity of a ruler like Hiero would have been alike incompatible with such a licence of the stage. It does not, however, follow from this, that the plays of Epicharmus did not touch upon or perhaps give a complete picture of the great events of the time and the circumstances of the country; and in fact we can clearly point out such references to the events of the day in several of the fragments: but the comedies of Epicharmus did not, like those of Aristophanes, take a part in the contests of political factions and tendencies, nor did they select some particular political circumstance of Syracuse to be praised as fortunate, while they represented what was opposed to it as miserable and ruinous. The comedy of Epicharmus has a general relation to the affairs of mankind: it ridicules the follies and perversities which certain forms of education had introduced into the social life of man; and a considerable element in it was a vivid representation of particular classes and persons from common life; a large number of Epicharmus' plays seem to have been comedies of character, such as his "Peasant," (Aypwariroc,) and " the Ambassadors to the Festival," (Θεάροι;) we are positively informed that Epicharmus was the first to bring on the stage the Parasite and the Drunkard,-characters which Crates worked up for Athenian comedy. Epicharmus was also the first to use the name of the Parasite," which afterwards became so common in Greek and Roman plays, and it is likely that the rude, merry features with which Plantus has drawn this class of persons may, in their first outlines, be traceable to Epicharmus.† The Syracusan poet no doubt showed in the invention of such characters much of that shrewdness for which the Dorians were distinguished more than the other Greek tribes; careful and acute observations of mankind are compressed into a few striking traits and nervous expressions, so that we seem to see through the whole man though he has spoken only a few words. But in Epicharmus this quality was combined in a very peculiar manner with a striving after philosophy. Epicharmus was a man of a serious cast of mind, variously and profoundly educated. He belonged originally to the school of physicians at Cos, who derived their art from Æsculapius. He had been initiated by Arcesas, a scholar of Pythagoras, into the peculiar system of the Pythagorean philosophy; and his comedies

<sup>.</sup> In the Attie drama of Eupolis the parasites of the rich Callies appeared as NAMES; but the fact that they constituted the chorus rendered it impossible that they could be made a direct object of comic satire. Alexis, of the middle comedy, was the first who brought the parasite (under this name) on the stage. + Gelasine, salve.—Non id est nomen mihi.—

Certo mecastor id fuit nomen tibi. Fuit disertim; verum id usu perdidi;

Nune Miccotrogus nomine ex vero vocor.

Plant. Stick, act 1, sc. 3,

The name Miccotrogus, by which the parasite in the preceding passage calls himself, is not Attic but Dorie, and therefore is perhaps derived from Epicharmus,

abounded in philosophical aphorisms," not mercy, as one might at first expect, on notions and principles of morality, but also on metaphysical expect, on the same and principles of morality, but also on metaphysical points—God and the world, body and soul, &c.; where it is certainly office to the same and the same through the s

With this general ethical and philosophical tendency we may easily reconcile the mythical form, which we find in most of the comedies of Epicharmus.† Mythical personages have general and formal features, free from all accidental peculiarities, and may therefore be made the best possible basis of the principles and results, the symptoms and criteria of good and bad characters. Did we but possess the comedy of the Dorians, and those portions of the old and middle comedy (especially the latter) which are so closely connected with it, we should be able to discern clearly what we can now only guess from titles and short fragments, that mythology thus treated was just as fruitful a source of materials for comedy as for the ideal world of the tragic drama. No doubt, the whole system of gods and heroes must have been reduced to a lower sphere of action in order to suit them to the purposes of comedy: the anthropomorphic treatment of the gods must necessarily have arrived at its last stage; the deities must have been reduced to the level of common life with all its civic and domestic relations, and must have exhibited the lowest and most vulgar inclinations and passions. Thus the insatiable gluttony of Hercules was a subject which Epicharmus painted in vivid colours: in another place, a marriage feast among the gods was represented as extravagantly luxurious; a third, " Hephæstus, or the Revellers," exhibited the quarrel of the fire-god with his mother Hera as a mere family brawl, which is terminated very merrily by Bacehus, who, when the incensed son has left Olympus, invites him to a banquet, makes him sufficiently drunk, and then conducts him back in triumph to Olympus, in the midst of a tumultuous band of revellers. The most lively view which we still have of this mythological comedy is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Epicharmus himself says in some beautiful terrae quoted by Diograes Lastrus, III.5, 171, that oue of his necessors would one day surpass all other speculators by adopting his sayings: in another form, without metre. It is perhaps not unlikely that the philosophical sundheep which was in vayors under the name of working the property of the pr

<sup>†</sup> Of 35 titles of his comedies, which have come down to us, 17 are borrowed from mythological personages. Grysar, de Doriensium Comardia, p. 274.

† In his Busiris.

† In the Marriage of Hebe.

furnished by the scenes in Aristophanes which seem to law the same touc and freling; such as that in which Prometheus papers as the malcontent and intriguer in Olympus, and points out the proper method of depriving the golds of their sovereignty; and them the embassy of the three golds, when Hercules, on smelling the rosated birds, forgets the interests of his own party, and the voice of the worst of the three ambassadors constitutes the majority; this shows us what striking pictures for situations of common life and common relations might be borowed from the supposed condition of the golds. At any rate, we may also see from this how the comic treatment of mythology differed from that in the satyric drams. In the latter, the golds and herces were introduced among a class of beings in whom a rade, uncutitivated mode of life predominated: in the former they descended to social life, and were subject to all the deficiencies and infirmities of humans society.

§ 6. The Sicilian comedy in its artistic developement preceded the Attic by about a generation; yet the transition to the middle Attic comedy, as it is called, is easier from Epicharmus than from Aristophanes, who appears very unlike himself in the play which tends towards the form of the middle comedy. This branch of comedy belongs to a time when the democracy was still moving in unrestrained freedom, though the people had no longer such pride and confidence in themselves as to ridicule from the stage their rulers and the recognized principles of state policy, and at the same time to prevent themselves from being led astray by such ridicule. The unfortunate termination of the Peloponnesian war had damped the first fresh vigour of the Athenian state; freedom and democracy had been restored to the Athenians, and even a sort of maritime supremacy; but their former energy of public life had not been restored along with these things; there were too many weaknesses and defects in all parts of their political condition,-in their finances, in the war-department, in the law-courts. The Athenians, perhaps, were well aware of this, but they were too indolent and fond of pleasure to set . about in earnest to free themselves from these inconveniences. Under such circumstances, satire and ridicule, such as Aristophanes indulged in. would have been quite intolerable, for it would no longer have pointed out certain shadows in a bright and glorious picture, but would have exhibited one dark picture without a single redeeming ray of light, and so would have lacked all the cheerfulness of comedy. Accordingly, the comedians of this time took that general moral tendency which we have pointed out in the Mcgarian comedy and in all that is connected with it; they represented the ludicrous absurdities of certain classes and conditions in society, and in their diction kept close to the language of common

A bragging cook, a leading personage in mid-lie comedy, was the chief character in the <u>Evolution of Aristophanes</u>. We may infer what influence the Megarian and Sicilian comedy had in the formation of regular standing characters, from the

life, which prevails much more uniformly in their plays than in those of Aristophanes, with the exception of some few passages, where it is interrupted hy parodies of epic and tragic poetry." These comedians were not altogether without a hasis of personal satire; but this was no longer directed against influential men, the rulers of the people; † or, if it touched them at all, it was not on account of their political character, or of any principles approved by the bulk of the people. On the contrary, the middle comedy cultivated a narrower field of its own,-the department of literary rivalship. The poems of the middle comedy were rich in ridicule of the Platonic Academy, of the newly revived sect of the Pythagoreans, of the orators and rhetoricians of the day, and of the tragic and epic poets : they sometimes even took a retrospective view, and subjected to their criticism anything which they thought weak or imperfect in the poems of Homer. This criticism was totally different from that directed by Aristophanes against Socrates. which was founded exclusively upon moral and practical views; the judgments of the middle comedy considered everything in a literary point of view, and, if we may reason from individual instances. were directed solely against the character of the writings of the persons criticized. In the transition from the old to the middle comedy we may discern at once the great revolution which had taken place in the domestic history of Athens, when the Athenians, from a people of politicians, became a nation of literary men; when, instead of pronouncing judgment upon the general politics of Greece, and the law-suits of their allies, they judged only of the genuineness of the Attic style and of good taste in oratory; when it was no longer the opposition of the political ideas of Themistocles and Cimon, but the contests of opposing schools of philosophers and rhetoricians, which set all heads in motion. This great change was not fully accomplished till the time of Alexander's successors; but the middle comedy stands as a guide-post, clearly pointing out the way to this consummation. The frequency of mythical subjects in the comedies of this class I has the same grounds as in the Sicilian comedy; for the object in both was to clothe general delineations of character in a mythical form. Further than this, we must admit that our conceptions of the middle comedy are somewhat vacillating and uncertain; this arises from the constitution of the middle comedy itself, which is rather a transition

fact that Pollux (Onom. 1V., § 146, 148, 130) names the Sicilian parasite and the scullion Meson among the masks of the new comedy, (according to the restoration by Meineke, Hist. Crit. Com. Grec., p. 664, comp. above, § 4.)

Hence we see why the Scholists, in the Pluttus, 313, recognizes the character
of the middle consedy in the epic tone of the passage.
 On the contrary, these consediants considered ludicrous representations of

<sup>†</sup> On the contrary, these comedians considered Judicrous representations of foreign rulers as quite allowable; thus the Disnyrius of Eublius was directed against the Siellisn tyrants, and the Disnyriandersondrus of the younger Cratinus against Alexander of Pherse. Similarly, in later times, Menander satirized Dionyrius, tyrant of Herneles, and Philmon king Magss of Cyren of Herneles, and Philmon king Magss of Cyren

<sup>†</sup> Meineke (Hist. Crit. Com. Gree., p. 283, foll.) gives a long list of such mythical comedies.

state than a distinct species. Consequently, we find, along with many features resembling the old comedy, also some peculiarities of the new. Aristotle indeed speaks only of an old and a new comedy, and does not mention the middle comedy as distinct from the new.

The poets of the middle comedy are also very numerous; they occupy the interval between Ol. 100. B.C. 380, and the reign of Alexander. Among the earliest of them we find the sons of Aristophanes, Argros and Philippus, and the prolific Eubulus, who flourished about Ol. 101. B.C. 376: then follows Anaxandrides, who is said to have been the first to introduce into comedy the stories of love and seduction, which afterwards formed so large an ingredient in it "-so that we have here another reference to the new comedy, and the first step in its subsequent developement. Then we have Amphis and Anaxilaus, both of whom made Plato the butt of their wit; the younger Cratinus; Timocles, who ridiculed the orators Demosthenes and Hyperides; still later, Alexis, one of the most productive, and at the same time one of the most eminent of these poets: his fragments, however, show a decided affinity to the new comedy, and he was a contemporary of Menander and Philemon.t Antiphanes began to exhibit as early as 383 s.c.; his comedies, however, were of much the same kind with those of Alexis; he was by far the most prolific of the poets of the middle comedy, and was distinguished by his redundant wit and inexhaustible invention. The number of his pieces, which amounted to 300, and according to some authorities exceeded that number, proves that the comedians of this time no longer contended, like Aristophanes, with single pieces, and only at the Lengea and great Dionysia, but either composed for the other festivals, or, what seems to us the preferable opinion, produced several pieces at the same festival. I

§ 7. There last posts of the middle controlly were contemporaries of the writers of the nets comerly, who rose up as their rivals, and were only distinguished from them by following their new tendency more decidedly and more exclusively. Mensuader was one of the first of these poets, the flourished at the time immediately succeeding the death of Alexander, S) and he was also the most perfect of them, which will not surprise us of the consider the middle concelly as a sort of preparation for the next of the consider the middle concelly as a sort of preparation for the next of the consider the middle concelly as a sort of preparation for the next of the consideration of the next of t

The Cocalus of Aristophanes (Araros) contains, according to Platonius, a seems of seduction and recognition of the same kind with those in the comedies of Menander.
 † It appears by the fragment of the Hypobolimeus, (Athen. XI. p. 502, n.

Mclucke Hest. Crit. Com. Gonc. p. 315.)

[1] Concerning Antiphanes, see Climon, Philot. Mars. I. p. 638 full., and Melincke, Hist. Crit. Com. Gr. p. 301-40. It appears from the remarks of Clinton, p. 607. and Melincke, p. 805. It is a present from the Princepast IV. p. 106. C., to an Advanced Princepast IV. p. 106. C., to the Consideration of the Consideration of

According to Anon. de comardia, Menander was specially instructed in his act

Philemon came forward rather earlier than Menander, and survived him many years; he was a great favoritie with the Atlanian, but was always placed after Menander by those who knew them both. These are followed by Philipides, a contemporary of Philemon; by Diphilus of Singae, who was somewhat later; by Apollodorus of Gela, a contemporary of Menander, Apollodorus; by Capystu, who was in the following generation,§ and by a considerable number of poets, more or less worthy to be classed with these.

Passing here from the middle comedy to the new, we come at once to a clearer region; here the Roman imitations, combined with the numeyous and sometimes considerable fragments, are sufficient to give us a clear conception of a comedy of Menander in its general plan and in its details; a person who possessed the peculiar talents requisite for such a task, and had acquired by study the acquaintance with the Greek language and the Attic subtlety of expression necessary for the execution of it, might without much difficulty restore a piece of Menander's, so as to replace the lost original. The comedy of the Romans must not be conceived as merely a learned and literary imitation of the Greek; it formed a living union with the Greek comedy, by a transfer to Rome of the whole Greek stage, not by a meretransmission through books; and in point of time too there is an immediate and unbroken connexion between them. For although the period at which the Greek new comedy flourished followed immediately upon the death of Alexander, yet the first generation was followed by a second, as Philemon the son followed Philemon the father, and comic writing of less merit and reputation most probably continued till a late period to provide by new productions for the amusement of the people : so that when Livius Andronicus first appeared before the Roman public with plays in imitation of the Greek (a.u.c. 514. s.c. 240), the only feat which he performed was, to attempt in the language of Rome what many of his contemporaries were in the habit of doing in the Greek language; at any rate, the plays of Menander and Philemon were the most usual gratification which an educated audience sought for in the theatres of Greek states, as well in Asia as in Italy. By viewing the case in this way, we assume at once the proper position for surveying the Latin comedians in all their relations to the Greek, which are so peculiar that they can only be developed under these limited historical conditions, For to take the two cases, which seem at first sight the most obvious and natural; namely, first, that translations of the plays of Menander,

Menander said to him, when he had won the prize from him in a dramatic contest, "Philemon, do you not blush to conquer me?" Aul. Gell. N.A., XVII. 4. † According to Suidas he came forward Ol. 111., still earlier than Philemon. I Sinope was at that time the native city of three conceilians, Diphilus, Diony-

<sup>\$</sup> Sinope was at that time the native city of three comedians, Diphilus, Dionysius, and Diodorus, and also of the cynic philosopher Diogenes. It must have been the fashion at Sinope to derive proper names from Zeus, the Zeus Chthonius or Serapis of Sinope.

According to the inferences in Meineke's Hist, Crit. Com. Grac., p. 459, 462.

Philemon, &c., were submitted to the educated classes at Rome; or secondly, that people attempted by free imitations to transplant these pieces into a Roman soil, and then to suit them to the tastes and understandings of the Roman people by romanizing them, not merely in all the allusions to national customs and regulations, but also in their spirit and character: neither of these two alternatives was adopted, but the Roman comedians took a middle course, in consequence of which these plays became Roman and yet remained perfectly Greek. In other words in the Greck comedy (or comedia palliata, as it was called) of the Romans, the training of Greece in general, and of Athens in particular, has extended itself to Rome, and has compelled the Romans, so far as they wished to participate in that, in which all the educated world at that time participated, to acquiesce in the outward forms and conditions of this drama :- in its Greek costume and Athenian locality : to adopt Attic life as a model of social ease and familiarity; and (to speak plainly) to consider themselves for an hour or two as mere barbarians,-and, in fact, the Roman comedians occasionally speak of themselves and their countrymen as barbari.\*

It is necessary that we should premise these observations, (however much they may seem ehronologically misplaced,) in order to justify the use which we purpose to make of Plautus and Tcrence. The Roman comedians prepared the Greek dish for the Roman palate in a different manner according to their own peculiar tastes; for example, Plantus seasoned it with coarse and powerful condiments, Terence on the other hand with moderate and delicate sessoning; t but it still remained the Attic dish: the scene brought before the Roman public was Athens in the time of those Macedonian rulers who are called the Diadocki and Epigoni. t

§ 8. Consequently, the scene was Athens after the downfall of its political freedom and power, effected by the battle of Chæronea, and still more by the Lamian war: but it was Athens, still the city of cities, overflowing with population, flourishing with commerce, and strong in its navy, prosperous both as a state and in the wealth of many of its individual citizens. § This Athens, however, differed from that of Cimon

<sup>\*</sup> See Plautus, Bacchid. I. 2.15. Captiei. III. 1.32, IV. 1. 104. Trinumm. Prol.

<sup>10.</sup> Festus - Armbari and oppulea.

† Yet Plautus is more an imitator and frequently a translator of the Attie comedians than many persons have supposed. Not to speak of Terence, Cwcilius Statius
has also followed very closely in the steps of Menander. 1 So much so, that the most peculiar features of Attie law (as in all that related to iσίαλης», or heiresees) and of the political relations of Athens (as the αληγοχία.

in Lemmos) play an important part in the Roman comedies.

§ The finances of Athens were to all appearance as flourishing under Lycurgus (e. r. nc. 338–329) as under Pericles. The well-known ceases under Demetrius the Phalerian (n.c. 317) gives a proof of the number of citizens and slaves at

Athens. Even in the days of Demetrius Poliorcetes, Athens had still a great flect. In a word, Athens did not want means at this time to enable her to command the respect even of kings; she only lacked the necessary spirit.

and Pericles much in the same way as an old man weak in body, but all of a love of life, good humoured and self-indiughent, differ from the vigorous middle-aged man at the summit of his bodily strength and vigorous middle-aged man at the summit of his bodily strength and wisher were before singularly united in the Athenian character, named, resolute bravery and sublety of inceller, the were now entirely disjoined and superated. The former had taken upon the shade with the homeless bands of mercenaries who practised war as a bandicraft, and it was only on impelese of rare occurrence that the pecked of Athens gave way to a wallke enthusiasm which was specifly kindled and as specifly uponehed. But the excellent understanding and mother-witt of the Athenians, so far as teing did not ramble in the schools of the philosophers and relectricians, found an object (now that there was so little in politics which could interest or employ the mind) in the occurrence of social life, and in the charm of dissolute environments.

Dramatic poetry now for the first time centered in love," as it has since done among all nations to whom Greek cultivation has descended: but certainly it was not love in those nobler forms to which it has since elevated itself. The seclusion and want of all society in which unmarried women lived at Athens (such as we have before described it. in speaking of the poetry of Sappho)t continued to prevail unaltered in the families of the citizens of Athens; according to these customs then, an amour of any continuance with the daughter of a citizen of Athens was out of the question, and never occurs in the fragments and imitations of the comedy of Menander; if the plot of the piece depends on the seduction of an Athenian damsel, this has taken place suddenly and without premeditation, in a fit of drunkenness and vouthful lust, generally at one of the pervigilia, which the religion of Athens had sanctioned from the earliest times: or some supposed slave or helæra, with whom the hero is desperately in love, turns out to be a well-born Athenian maiden, and marriage at last crowns a connexion entered upon with very different intentions.1

The intercourse of the young men with the heterw or courteans, an intercourse which had always been a reproach to them since the days of Aristophanes,§ had at length become a regular custom with the young people of the better class, whose fathers did not treat them too partimoniously. These courteans, who were generally foreigners or freed-women, j possessed more or less clueution and charms of manner, and in

Fabula jucundi nulla est sine smore Menandri. Ovid. Trist., II. 370.
 † Chap. XIII. § 6.

This is the effect and the descriptions, which formed the basis of so many of Menander's comedies, § See e. Clouds, 1996.

<sup>§</sup> See e. g. Clouds, 996. if This constitutes the essential distinction between the irriva and the wigns, the latter being a slave of the ways Serais (i, ii, the lens or lens), although the wigns are often ransomed (λέπται) by their lovers, and so rise into the other more honourable condition.

proportion to these attractions, bound the young people to them with more or less of constancy and exclusiveness; their lovers found an entertainment in their society which naturally rendered them little anxious to form a regular matrimonial alliance, especially as the legitimate daughters of Athenian citizens were still brought up in a narrow and limited manner, and with few accomplishments. The fathers either allowed their sons a reasonable degree of liberty to follow their own inclinations and sow their wild oats, or through parsimony or morose strictness endeavoured to withhold from them these indulgencies, in the midst of all which it often happened that the old man fell into the very same follies which he so harshly reproved in his son. In these domestic intrigues the slaves exercised an extraordinary influence; even in Xenophon's time, favoured by the spirit of democracy, and as it seems almost standing on the same footing with the meaner citizens, they were still more raised up by the growing degeneracy of manners, and the licence which universally prevailed. In these comedies, therefore, it often happens that a slave forms the whole plan of operations in an intrigue; it is his segacity alone which relieves his young master from some disagrecable embarrassment, and helps to put him in possession of the object of his love : at the same time we are often introduced to rational slaves, who try to induce their young masters to follow the suggestions of some sudden better resolution, and free themselves at once from the exactions of an unreasonable hetera. No less important are the parasites, who, not to speak of the comic situations in which they are placed by their resolution to eat without labouring for it, are of great use to the comedian in their capacity of a sort of dependents on the family: they are brought into social relations of every kind, and are ready to perform any service for the sake of a feast. Of the characters who make their appearance less frequently, we will only speak here of the Bramarbas or miles aloriosus. He is no Athenian warrior, no citizen-soldier, like the heroes of the olden time, but a homeless leader of mercenaries, who enlists menat-arms, now for king Seleucus, now for some other crowned general; who makes much booty with little trouble in the rich provinces of Asia,

As in Mennader's Essawk, in the scene of which Persion gives a ministructy (Sec. V. 16). In this passage Persius has Mennader immediately in his progressive that the property of the property

and is willing to squander it away in Javish extravagance on the amishbe courtesans of Athens; who is always talking of his services, and has thereby habituated hinnelf to continual bossting and bragging; consequently he is a demi-barbarian, overreached by his parasite and cheated at pleasure by some clever slave, and with many other traits of this kind which may easily be derived from the Bonane concellos, but can only be viewed in their right light by placing the character about 100 years earlier.\*

§ 9. This was the world in which Menander lived, and which, according to universal testimony, he painted so truly. Manifestly, the motives here rested upon no mighty impulses, no grand ideas. The strength of the old Athenian principles and the waymth of national feelings had gradually grown fauter and weaker till they had melted down into a sort of philosophy of life, the main ingredients of which were a natural good temper and forberance, and a sound mother-wit nurtured by acute observation; and its highest principle was that rule of " live and let live," which had its root in the old spirit of Attie democracy, and had been developed to the uttermost by the lax morality of subsequent times.

<sup>•</sup> The ἀλάζωτ of Theophrastus (Charset. 23) has some affinity with the Thraco of comedy (as Theophrastus's characters in general are related to those of Menander), but he is an Athenian citizen who is proud of his connexion with Macedon, and not a merenary soldier.

<sup>†</sup> The aristocratic rountitutions at that time in Greece were connected with, a stricter superintender of moral (centers sewars) it be leading principle of the Athesian democracy, on the other hand, was to impose no further retraint on the private life of the citizen than the immediate interests of the state required. However, the writings of the new conned, were not allogs/ther without personal investment, and there were util questions with regard to the feedom of the contain its state, the citizens of the containing the cont

<sup>1</sup> Strabo XIV. p. 526. Mejneke, Menandri et Philemonis fragm., p. xxv.

arrived at a sort of passionless rest and moderation; \* though it is possible that in actual life Menander placed his happiness less in the psinless tranquillity which Epicurus sought, than in various kinds of moderate gratification. It is known how much he gave himself up to intercourse with the hetæræ, not merely with the accomplished Glycera, but also with the wanton Thais; and his effeminate costume, according to a well-known story, t offended even Demetrius of Phalerus, the regent of Athens under Cassander, who however led a sufficiently luxurious life himself.

Such a philosophy of life as this, which places the summum bonum in a well-based love of self, could very well dispense with the gods, whom Epicurus transferred to the intermundane regions, because, according to his natural philosophy, he could not absolutely annihilate them. Agreeing entirely with his friend on this point, Menander thought that the gods would have a life of trouble if they had to distribute good and evil for every day, ? It was on this account that the philosopher attributed so much to the influence of chance in the creation of the world and the destinies of mankind. Menander also exalts Toxy (Fortune) as the sovereign of the world; & but this no longer implies the saviour daughter of almighty Zeus, but merely the causeless, incalcus lable, accidental combinations of things in nature and in the life of man.

It was, however, precisely at such a time as this, when all relations were dislocated or merged in licentiousness, that comedy possessed a power, which, though widely different from the angry flashes of the genius of Aristophanes, perhaps produced in its way more durable effects: this power was the power of ridicule, which taught people to dread as folly that which they no longer svoided as vice. This power was the more effective as it confined its operations to the sphere of the actual, and did not exhibit the follies which it represented under the same gigantic and superhuman forms as the old comedy. The old comedy, in its necessity for invention, created forms in which it could pourtray with most prominent features the characteristics of whole classes and species of men; the new comedy took its forms, in all their individual neculiarities, from real life, and did not attempt to signify by them more than individuals of the particular class. || On this account more importance was attached by the writers of the new comedy to the invention of plots, and to their dramatic complication and solution,

<sup>.</sup> The reader will find characteristic expressions of this Ibxurious philosophy in Meineke, Menandri fragm., p. 166.

Phædrus, fab., v. 1.

In a fragment which has recently come to light from the commentary of David on Aristotle's Categories. See Meineke, Hist, Crit. Com. Grac., p. 454.

Meineke, Menandri fragm., p. 168.

Hence the exciamation: 2 Missibs 2nd 56s.

which Menander made the leading object in his compositions; for, while the old converly set its forms in motion in a very free and unconstrained manner, according as the developement of the fundamental thought required, the new connect, was subject to the laws of probability as established by the progress of ordinary life, and had to invent a story in which all the views of the persons and all the circumstances of their actions resulted from the characters, manners, and relations of the age. The stretch of attention on the part of the spectator which Aristophanes produced by the continued progression in the developement of the comic ideas of his play was effected in the new comedy by the confusion and solution of outward difficulties in the circumstances represented, and by the personal interest felt for the particular characters by the spectators,—an interest closely connected with the illusions of reallitions or fresilitions or fresilitions or fresilitions or fresilitions or fresilitions.

In this the attentive reader of these observations will readily have perceived how comedy, thus conducted by Menander and Philemon, only completed what Euripides had begun on the tragic stage a hundred years before their time. Euripides, too, deprived his characters of that ideal grandeur which had been most conspicuous in the creations of Æschvius, and gave them more of human weakness, and therefore of apparent individuality. Euripides, too, abandoned the foundation of national principles in ethics and religion on which the old popular morality of the Greeks had been built up, and subjected all relations to a dialectical, and sometimes sophistical mode of reasoning, which very soon led to the lax morality and common sense doctrines which prevailed in the new comedy. Euripides and Menander consequently agree so well in their reasonings and sentences, that in their fragments it would be easy to confuse one with the other; and thus tragedy and comedy, these two forms of the drama which started from such different beginnings. here meet as it were in one point.\* The form of the diction also contributed a great deal to this: for as Euripides lowered the poetic tone of tragedy to the ordinary language of polished society, in the same way comedy, and indeed even the middle, + but still more the new, relinquished, on the one hand, the high poetic tone which Aristophanes had aimed at, especially in his choral songs, and, on the other hand, the spirit of caricature and burlesque which is essentially connected with the portraiture of his characters: the tone of polished conversation; predominates in all the pieces of the new comedy; and in this Menander gave a greater freedom and liveliness to the recitations of his

<sup>•</sup> Philemon was so warm an admirer of Euripides, that he declared he would at once destroy himself, in order to see Euripides in the other world, provided he could convince himself that departed spirits preserved their life and understanding. See Meincke, 3fm. et Philem. Rel., p. 410.

† According to Amonymus & Comertia, p. 3xxiii.

<sup>†</sup> According to Anonymus se Comarha, p. xxviii. ‡ This is particularly mentioned by Plutarch (Aristoph. et Menandri compar., e. 2.)

actors by the lower structure of his sentences and the weaker connecion of his periods, whereas Philemon's pieces, by their more connected and periodic style, were better suited for the closet than for the stage.\* The Latin comedians, Pleatus, for instance, gave a great deal more of the lesque than they found in their models, availing themselves perhaps of the Sicilian convey of Epicharmas, as well as the comedy of their own country. The elevated poetic tone must have been lost with the chorness, of which we have no sure traces even in the middle convey the chorness, of which we have no sure traces even in the middle convey ment by the actor of lyric measures of different kinds, and they expressed their feelings at the moment by singing these lyrical pieces, and accompanying them with lively gesticulations: in this the model was rather the monodies of Euripides than the lyrical passages in Aristophanes.

We have now brought down the history of the Attic drama from Richylus to Menander, and in aming these two extreme points of the series through which dramatic poerry developed itself, we cannot refrain from reminding our readers what a treasure of thought and life is here unfolded to us; what remarkable changes were here effected, not only in the forms of poetry, but in the immost recesses of the constitution of the forcek mind; and what a great and significant portion of the history of our race is here laid before us in the most vivid delineations.

## CHAPTER XXX.

- § 1. The Dithyrands becomes the chief form of Athenian Iyric poetry. Lawn of Hermions. § 2. New axyle of the dithyramis introduced by Meshapipdes. Philox.uss. Cinesias. Phryalis. Timotheus. Polyridus. § 3. Mode of producing the new dithyram: it occurred and character, § 4. Refective typic poetry. § 5. Social and political elegies. The Lypic of Antimachus essentially different from these. § 6. Peipe poetry. Parayasis, Chercilla, Antimachus.
- § 1. The Drama was so well adapted to reflect the thoughts and feelings of the people of Attica in the mirror of poetry, that other sorts of metrical composition fell completely into the back-ground, and for
- According to a remark of the so named Demetrias Philips de Blowis, § 193.
  A According to Pithonius, the middle connels plan to parabases, because there was no chorus. The Robotico was quite without choral songs. The new contents, in initialized not the older without choral songs. The new contents in the content of the older writers, words x000 at the end of the acts; presents with the custom at Rome. Evanthias (de Comada, p. lv. in Westerton's Terrater) cents to mean the salms.

the public in general assumed the character rather of isolated and momentary gratifications than that of a poetic expression of prevailing sentiments and principles.

However, Lyrie poetry was improved in a very remarkable manner, and struck out tones which seated with new power upon the spirit of the age. This was principally effected by the new Dithyramb, the cradle and home of which was Athens, before all the cities of Greece, even though some of the poets who adopted this form were not born there.

As we have remarked above,\* Lasus of Hermione, the rival of Simonides, and the teacher of Pindar, in those early days exhibited his dithyrambs chiefly at Athens, and even in his poems the dithyrambic rhythm had gained the greater freedom by which it was from thenceforth characterized. Still the dithyrambs of Lasus were not generically different from those of Pindar, of which we still possess a beautiful fragment. This dithyramb was designed for the vernal Dionysia at Athens, and it really scems to breathe the perfumes and smile with the brightness of spring. † The rhythmical structure of the fragment is bold and rich, and a lively and almost violent motion prevails in it; I but this motion is subjected to the constraint of fixed laws, and all the separate parts are carefully incorporated in the artfully constructed whole. We also see from this fragment that the strophes of the dithyrambic ode were already made very long; from principles, however, which will be stated in the sequel, we must conclude that there were antistrophes corresponding to these strophes.

§ 2. The dithyramb assumed a new character in the hands of Mrs. Livityrators of Molon. It was maternal grandson of the older Melanipides, who was born about Ol. 65. nc. \$20, and was contemporary with Pindar; § the younger and more celebrated Melanipides lived for a long period with Perdiceas, king of Macedon, who reigned from about Ol. 81, 2. nc. 454, to Ol. 91, 2. nc. 414; consequently, before and during the greater part of the Pelopomosian war. The comic poet Pherecrates (who, like Aristophanes, was in favour of maintaining the old simple music as an essential part of the old-fishiloted morality considers the corruption of the ancient musical modes as having commenced with him. Closely connected with this change is the increasing importance of instrumental music; in consequence of which the flute-players, after the time of Melanipides, no longer received their him

Chap. XIV. § 14.
 † See above, Chap. XIV. § 7.
 † The paronic species of rhythms, to which the ancients especially assign "the

splendid," (ci paya-largeric) is the prevailing one in this fragment.

§ That the younger Melanjaphies is the person with whom, according to the celebrated verses of Pherecates, (Plantach & Musica, 30. Meinche Fr. Com. Gr., vol. II., p. 280, the corruption of music begins, is clean, party from the direct policy of the corruption of music begins, is clean, party from the direct policy of the corruption of music begins, is clean, party from the direct policy of the correct policy o

as mere secondary persons and assistants, from the poets themselves, but were paid immediately by the managers of the festival.

Melanippides was followed by Pullocaxisus of Cythera, first his slave and afterwards his pupil, who is ridiculed by Arisophanes in his replays, and especially in the Plutus.† He lived, at a later period, at the recuts of Dionysius the etder, and is said to have taken all sorts of the ties with the tynat, who sometimes indulged in poetry as an ansateur; ries at Syracuse, when the tynati was in a bad humour. He died OI, 100, 1 no. 280.1. His Dibtymanhs enjoyed the greatest reputation all ower Greece, and it is remarkable that while Arisophanes speaks of him as a bold innovator, Autiphanes, the poet of the middle common praises his music as already the genuine style of music, and calls Philotoma himself, "a god among men;" whereas the calls the music and lyric poetry of his one time a flowery style of composition, which adorant stell with foreign mediciae. 8

In the series of the corrupters of music, Pherezrates, in the passage already quoted, mentions, next to Melanippides, O.Krastas, Whom Aristophanes also ridicules about the middle of the Peloponnesian war, || on account of his pompous, and at the same time empty diction, and also for his rhythmical innovations. "Our art," he there says, "has instead to be a support of the property of the control of the single property of the control of the control of the single property of the control of the contr

Next to Ginesias, Pursvas is arraigned by the personification of Music, who comes forward as the accuser in the lines of Pherecrutes, of Discipgone of her worst tormentors, "who had quite annihilated her, with his traisting and turnings, since he had twelve modes on five strings." This Phrysins was a later offshoot of the Lexbian school; he was a singer to the harp, who was born at Mitjelnee, aid won his first victory at the musical contests which Pericles had introduced at the Panatheneas; "et ho flourished before and during the Peloponnesian war. The alteration in the old nomes of Terpander, which originally formed the conventional basis of harp-music, is attributed to him.

Plularch, de Mes. § 30.
 † Aristoph. Plut. 290; and see Schol.
 Pilly-five years old. Marm. Par. ep. 69.
 § Athen. XIV. p. 643, D.
 Birds, 1372.
 Comp. Closets, 332.
 Pagras, p. 501, D.

Birdt, 1372. Comp. Cheek, 332. Pere, 832. Q Grigos, p. 50; D. Burto, 1372. Comp. Cheek, 332. Pere, 832. Q Grigos, p. 50; D. Weiter, S. Schriff, Cleack, 516. But no Callias answers to the time when Pericle was a sponchetes, and built the Odeium, (about 01. 84. Plutarch, Pericl. 13.) and II is probable that we should substitute the archon Callimachus (01. 83.) 10 Callias.

TIMOTHEUS of Miletus\* formed himself after the model of Phrynis; at a later period he gained the victory over his master in the musical contests, and raised himself to the highest rank among dithyrambic poets. He is the last of the musical artists censured by Pherecrates, and died in extreme old age in Ol. 105, 4. s.c. 357.† Although the Ephors at Sparta are said to have taken from his harp four of its eleven strings. Greece in general received his innovations in music with the most cordial approbation: he was one of the most popular musicians of his time The branches of poetry, which he worked out in the spirit of his own age, were in general the same which Terpander cultivated 400 years before, namely, Nomes, ! Proems, and Hymns. There were still some antique forms which he too was obliged to observe; for instance, the hexameter verse was not quite given up by Timotheus in his nomes; but he recited them in the same manner as the Dithyramb, and mixed up this metre with others. & The branch of poetry which he chiefly cultivated, and which gave its colour to all the others, was undoubtedly the Dithyramb.

Timotheus, too, was worsted, if not before the tribunal of imparial judges, at least in the favour of the public, by Polygipus, whose scholar Philotas also won the prize from Timotheus in a musical contest. Polyeidus was also regarded as one of those whose artificial innovations were injurious to music, but he also gained a great reputation among the Greeks. There was nothing which so much delighted the crowded audiences which flocked to the theatres throughout Greece as the Dithyrambs of Timotheus and Polycidus. ¶

Besides these poets and musicians there was still a long series of others. among whom we may name Ion of Chios, who was also a fayourite dithyrambic poet; \*\* DIAGORAS of Melos, the notorious sceptic; †† the highly-gifted LICYMNIUS of Chios, (whose age is not accurately known;) CREXUS, also accused of innovations; and Telestes of Selinus, a poetic

verses; where the expression fee is not to be taken strictly to signify the hex-

<sup>\*</sup> See, besides the better known passages, Aristot. Metaphys. A. Tharres, c. 1. † Morm. Par. 76. Suidas perhaps places his death most correctly at the age of 97 2 Steph. Byz. v. Μίλητες, attributes to him 18 books of κόμοι κοθασωδικό. in 8,000

ameter, although this metre was mixed up in them.

§ Plut. de Mas. 4. Timotheus's Nome, "the Persians," began; Katoès iktolique, strigen pige. Ekkâle siepen, Pausan. VIII. 50, § 3. ij Athenseus, VIII. p. 352, B. Comp. Plutarch, de Mus. 21. It is clear that he is not the same as the tragedian and sophist Polyeidus, mentioned in Aristotle's

Portic. Aristotle would hardly have given the name \*\* reports\* to a dithyrambic poet whose pursuit was chiefly the study of music.

¶ In a Cretan decree, (Corp. Inser. Gr. N. 305.) one Menecles of Teos is praised for having often played on the harp at Cnossus after the style of Timotheus, Polyeidus, and the old Cretan poets (chap. XII. § 9).

Comp. Chap. VI. § 2. ++ The most important fragments of his lyric poems are given by the Epicurean, Phwdrus, in the papyri brought from Herculaneum (Herculanensia, ed. Drummond et Walpole, p. 164).

opponent of Mclanippides,\* who gained a victory at Athens in Ol. 94, 3. в.с. 401.

§ 3. It is far more important, however, to obtain a clear conception of the more recent Dithyramb in all its peculiarities. This we shall be better able to do by first establishing some of the main points of the question.

With regard to the mode of exhibition, the Dithyrambs at Athens, during the Peloponnesian war, were still represented by choruses furnished by the ten tribes for the Dionysian festivals; consequently, the dithyrambic poets were also called Cyclic chorus-teachers: † but the more liberty they gave to the metre, the more various their rhythmical alterations, so much the more difficult was the exhibition by means of a complete chorus; and so much the more common it became to get the Dithyramb performed by private amateurs.‡ The Dithyramb also entirely gave up the antistrophic repetition of the same metres, and moved on in rhythms which depended entirely on the humour and caprice of the poet: 8 it was particularly characterized by certain runs by way of prelude, which were called araßolai, and which are much censured by strict judges, I but doubtless were listened to with avidity by the public in general. In this the poet had nothing to hinder him from passing from one musical note to another, or from combining various rhythms in the same poem ; so that at last all the constraints of metre seemed to vanish. and poetry in its very highest flight seemed to meet the opposite extreme of prose, as the old critics remark.

At the same time the Dithyramb assumed a descriptive, or, as Aristotle says, a mimetic character. The natural phenomena which it described were imitated by means of tunes and rhythms, and the pantomimic gesticulations of the actors, (as in the antiquated Hyporcheme); and this was very much aided by a powerful instrumental accompaniment, which sought to represent with its loud full tones the raging elements, the voices of wild beasts, and other sounds.\*\*

With regard to the contents or subject of this dithyrambic poetry, in this it was based upon the compositions of Xenocritus, Simonides, and other old poets, who had taken subjects for the Dithyramb from the

Athen. XIV. p. 616, E, relates, in very pretty verses, a contest between the two poets, on the question whether Minerva had rejected the flute-accompaniment. + Aristoph. Birds, 1403.

Aristotle speaks of this alteration, Problem. 19, 15. Comp. Rhetor. III. 9.

παρά ἐκαβιλὲ τῷ πωίταντι κακίτεν: an hexameter with a peculiar synizesis.
 This is called μεταβιλὲ. The fragments of the dithyrambic poets consequently

This is caused arrafacha. The tragments of the unsyramore poets consequency contain also many pieces in simple Doric rhythms.
Platto (Resp. p., 390) alludes to this imitation of storms, roaring forcreats, lowing herds, étc., in the Dithyramsb. A parasite wittily observed of one of these storms, dithyrambs of Timotheus, that "he had seen greater storms, than those which

Timotheus made, in many a kettle of boiling water." Athen. VIII. p. 338, A.

ancient heroic mythology.\* The Dithyrambs of Melanippides announce this even by their titles, such as Marsyas, (in which, by a modification of the legend, Atlıcha invents the flute, and on her throwing it away it is taken up by Marsyas,) Persephone, and the Danaides. The Cyclops of Philoxenus was in great repute; in this the poet, who was well known in Sicily, introduced the beautiful Sicilian story of the love of the Cyclops Polyphemus for the sea-nymph Galatea, who on account of the beautiful Acis rejects his suit, till at last he takes deadly vengeance on his successful rival. From the verses in Aristophanes in which Philoxenus is parodied, we may pretty well see in what spirit this subject was treated. The Cyclops was represented as a harmless monster, a good-natured Caliban, who roams about the mountains followed by his bleating sheep and goats us if they were his children, and collects wild herbe in his wallet, and then half-drunk lays himself down to sleep in the midst of his flocks. In his love he becomes even poetical, and comforts himself for his rejection with songs which he thinks quite beautiful: even his lambs sympathize with his sorrows and bleat longingly for the fair Galatea. 1 In this whole poem (the subject of which Theocritus took up at a later period and with better taste formed it into an Idyll §) the ancients discerned covert allusions to the connexion of the poet with Dionysius, the poetizing tyrant of Sicily, who is said to have deprived Philozenus of the object of his love. If we add to this the statement that Timotheus' Dithyramb, " the travails of Semele," | passed with the ancients for an indecent and unimaginative representation of such a scene, I we shall have the means of forming a satisfactory judgment of the general nature of this new Dithyramb. There was no unity of thought; no one tone pervading the whole poem, so as to preserve in the minds of the hearers a consistent train of feelings; no subordination of the story to certain ethical ideas; no artificially constructed system of verses regulated by fixed laws; but a loose and wanton play of lyrical scutiments, which were set in motion by the accidental impulses of some mythical story, and took now one direction, now another; preferring, however, to seize on such points as gave room for an immediate imitation in tones, and admitting a mode of description which luxuriated in sensual charms. Many monodies in the later tragedies of Euripides, such as Aristophanes ridicules in the "Frogs," have this sensual colouring, and in this want of a firm basis to rest upon

Chap. XIV. § 11. comp. XXI. § 4.
 Plutus, 290. The sougs of the sheep and goats, which the chorus was there to hleat forth to please Carion, refer to the unitations of these animals in the Dithyramb.

Hermesianax Fragm. v. 74.
Theorit. Id. xi., where the reader should consult the scholia.

TOf this the witty Stratonieus said, " could she have cried out more piteonaly, if she had been bringing forth not a God, but a commou mechanic?" Athen. VIII. p. 352. A. In a similar spirit Polycidus made Atlas a shepherd in Libya. Tzets. on Lycophr. 879,

have quite the character of the contemporary Dithyramb, of which they perhaps furnish a most vivid picture.

- § 4. From these productions of Euripides which intrude on the domain of lyric poetry, we may also observe that, in addition to this pictorial delineation of sensible impressions, a species of reflexion which set about analyzing and dissecting every thing, and a sort of transcendental reasoning had established themselves also in the lyric poetry of the time. The Dithyramb furnished less room for this than the other more tranquil forms of poetry. We call attention especially to the abstract subjects introduced into the encomiastic poetry, which was exhibited under the form of Parans, such as Health, and others of the same kind, which were in fashion at the time. We have several verses of a similar poem by LICYMNIUS, most of which are contained in a short prean on bealth, by ARIPHRON, which has been preserved, and in which we are told with perfect truth, but at the same time in the most insipid manner, that neither wealth, nor power, nor any other buman bliss, can be properly enjoyed without bealth. † The Pacan or scolium on "Virtue" by the great ARISTOTLE is no doubt lyric in form, but quite as abstract as these in its composition. Virtue, at the beginning of the ode, is ostentatiously represented with all the warmth of inspiration as a young beauty, to die for whom is considered in Hellas as an enviable lot: and the series of mighty heroes who had suffered and died for ber is closed by a transition, which, though abrupt, no doubt proceeded from the deepest feelings of Aristotle. to the praise of his noble-minded friend Hermeias, the ruler of
- § 5. The Eloy still continued a favourize poetical amusement while Autic literature flourished; it remained true to its original desinisation, to enliven the banquet and to shed the gentle light of a higher poetic feelings over the convivalities of the feast. Consequently, the fragments of ellegies of this time by I tox of Chios, Droxyvatvs of Athens, Evravus the sophist of Paros, and Cartzas of Athens, all speak much of vine, of the proper mode of drinking, of dancing and singing at banquets, of the contubugume, which young people were then so fond of, and of other things of the same kind, and they took as their subject the joys of the banquet and the right measure to be observed at it. This elegise poetry proceeds on the principle that we should enjoy ourselves in society, combrining the pleasures of the senses with intellectual gratifications, and not forgetting our higher calling in the midst of such enjoyments. "To drink and sport and be right-mindet"—is the expression of Ion.\(\frac{1}{2}\) As bowever the thoughts easily pressed from the festal board to the general social

<sup>\*</sup> Sextus Empiricus adv. Mathematicos, p. 447 c. † Athen. XV. p. 702, A. Bocckh. Corp. Inscript. I. p. 477, 1099. Schneidewin Delectus poes. Gr. eleg. innb. meticos, p. 430. ‡ wins and walco sai và lisam Genin.

and political interests of the time, the elegy had political features also, and statesmen often expressed in this form their opinions on the course to be adopted for Greece in general and for the different republics in particular. This must have been the case with the elegies of Dionysius, who was a considerable statesman of the time of Pericles, and led the Atheniaus who settled at Thurii, in the great Hellenic migration to that place. The Athenians by way of joke called him "the man of copper," because he had proposed the introduction of a copper coinage in addition to the silver money which had been exclusively used before that time. It is to be wished that we had the continuation of that elegy of Dionysius which ran thus: "Come here, and listen to good intelligence: adjust your cup-battles, give all your attention to me, and listen," . The political tendency appeared still more clearly in the elegies of CRITIAS, the son of Callreschrus, in which he said bluntly that he had recommended in the public assembly that Alcibiades should be recalled and had drawn up the decree, t The predilection for Lacedremon, which Critias had imbibed as one of the Eupatridæ and as a friend of Socrates, declares itself in his commendations of the old customs, which the Spartans kept up at their banquets: 1 nevertheless we have no right to suppose in this an early manifestation of the ill-affected and treasonable opinions with regard to the democracy of Athens, which only gradually and through the force of circumstances developed themselves in the character of Critias with the fearful consequences which often convert a single false step of the politician into a disastrous and criminal progress for the rest of his life.

From this elegiac poetry, which was cultivated in the circle of Attic training, we must carefully distinguish the elegies of Antimachus of Colophon, which we may term a revival of the love-sorrows of Mimnermus. Antimachus, who flourished after Ol. 94, s.c. 404, was in general a reviver of ancient poetry, one who, keeping aloof from the stream of the new-fashioned literature, applied himself exclusively to his own studies, and on that very account found little sympathy among the people of his own time, as indeed appears from the well-known story that, when he was reciting his Thebais, all his audience left the room with the single exception of Plato. His elegiac poem was called Lyde, and was dedicated to the remembrance of a Lydian maiden whom Antimachus had loved and carly lost. § The whole work, therefore, was a lamentation for her loss, which doubtless gained life and warmth from the longing and ever-recurring recollections of the poet. It is true that Antimachus, as we are told, availed himself largely of mythical materials in the execution of his poem, but if he had only adorned the general thought, that his love had caused him sorrow, with examples of the similar destiny of

† Plutarch, Aleib. 33.

§ According to the passage in Hermesianax.

<sup>\*</sup> Athen. XV. p. 669, B. 1 Athen. X. p. 432, D.

others, his poem could not possibly have gained the reputation which it enjoyed in ancient times.

Partais comprised in his "Hercules" a great mass of mythical legends, and was chiefly occupied with painting in romantic colours the adventures of this here in the most diseast regions of the world. The description of the mighty feats of this here, of his athletic strength and invincible courseg, were no doubt relieved or selected down by pictures of a very different kind; such as those, in which Panyasis gave life to a feast where Hercules was present by recounting the pleasant speeds of the valiant bamquerer, or painted in warm colours the thraidom of Hercules to Omphale which brought him to Lydin

In a great epic poem called Ionica, Panyasis took for his subject the early history of the Ionians in Asia Minor, and their wanderings and settlements under the guidauce of Neleus and others of the descendants of Codrus.

CRIGARIUM of Samos formed the grand plan of exalting in epic postry the greatest or at least the most joyful event of Greek history, the expedition of Xerzee, him of Perisia, against Greece. We could not bilane this choice, even though we considered the historical epos, properly so called, an unnatural production. But the Perisian war was in its leading features an event of such simplicity and grandeur,—the despot of the East leading against the free republics of Greece, countless hoats of people who had no will of their own,—and besides this, the sub-

<sup>\*</sup> This date is given by Suldas; somewhat later, (about Ol. 82.) Penyasis was put to death by Lygdsmis, the tyrant of Halicarnassus, whom Herodotus afterwards expelled.

experienc. † When Lyander was in Samos as the conqueror of Athens, Charrilus was then with him, and in the musical contests which Lyander established there, Antimachus, son of Niceratus, from Heracles, then a young man, was one of the defeated poets. Plutarch, Lyander, 18.

ordinate details had been cast into such darkness and obscurity by the infinite multiplication of stories among the Greeks, that it gave room for an absolutely poetic treatment. If Aristotle is right in asserting that poetry is more philosophical than history, because it contains more general truth, it must be admitted that events like the Persian war place themselves on the same footing with poetry, or with a history naturally poetical. Whether Cheerilus, however, conceived this subject in all its grandeur, and considered it with equal liveliness and vigour in its higher and lower relations, cannot now be determined, as the few fragments refer to particulars only, and generally to subordinate details.\* It is a bad symptom that Chœrilus should complain, in the first verses of his poem, that the subjects of epic poetry were already exhausted: † this could not have been his motive if he had undertaken to paint the greatest deed of the Greeks. But, in general, a striving after novelty seems to have produced marked effects upon his works, both in general and in the details. Aristotle finds fault with his comparisons as far-fetched and obscure; I and even the fragments have been sometimes justly censured for their forced and artificial tone, \$

The Thebats of ANTIMACRUS was formed on a wide and comprehensive plan; there was mythological lore in the execution of the delais, and careful study in the choice of expressions; but the whole poen was deficient, according to the judgment of the ancient erities, in that nation connexion which arrests and detains the attention, and in that charm of protice feeling which no laborious industry or elaborate refinement produce. If Hadrian, therefore, remained true to his predilection for everything above, affected, and unnatural, when he placed Antimus before Homer, and attempted an epic imitation of the style of the former. ¶

It is clear that the Athenians did not pay Chorilus a golden stater for every verse, as has been inferred from Suidas: it is obvious that t is is a confusion with the later Cherilus, whom Alexander rewarded in so princely a manner. Horat. Eq. 11.1, 232.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Α μάπας Γοτις Γιο πείνου χρόνου Τζος ἀνδών Μεσσάων δεράσων, Το ἀπάραπος Ευθου λεμμόν, 190 δ Γοτ απόνου δεδωσσαι, Έχουν Εδ αείραπο σύχνοις δοναπο αδοι δρέμου αποπλεισόμεδ' εδός της Γοτια απόνης παστασίνουται εεξορές άρμα αειλάσσαι.

These verses are preserved in the Scholinst to Aristot. Rhet. III. 14, § 4, in Gaisford's Animadversiones (Oxon. 1820). Compare Nacke's Cherilus, p. 104.

Aristot. Topic. VIII. I.

A.F. Nacke, Chareli Samii qua supersunt. Lips. 1817.

Mnimuchi Colophonu reliquia, ed. Schellenberg, p. 38, seq.

Spartianus in the life of Hadrian, c. 15. The title of Hadrian's work is now known to have been Catachana; the poem prohably had some resemblance to the Catonie Dira of Valerius.

## CHAPTER XXXI.

- § 1. Importance of prose at this period. § 2. Oratory at Athens rendered necessary by the democratical form of government. § 3. Themistocles; Perieles: power of their oratory. § 4. Characteristics of their oratory in relation to their opinions and modes of thought. § 5. Form and style of their speeches.
- § 1. We have seen both tragedy and comedy in their latter days graduly sinking into prose; and this has shown us that prose was the most powerful instrument in the literature of the time, and has made us the more curious to investigate its tendency, its progress, and its development.

The cultivation of prose belongs almost entirely to the period which intervened between the Persian was and the time of Alexander the Great. Before this time every attempt at prose composition was either so little removed from the colloquial style of the day, as to forfici all claim to be considered as a written lauguage, properly so called; or else owed all its charms and splendeut to an initiation of the diction and the forms of words found in poetry, which attained to completeness and maturity many hundred years before the rise of a prose literature.

In considering the history of Attie prose, we propose to give a view of the general character of the works of the prose writers, and their relation to the circumstances of the Athenian people, to their intellectual evergy and clasticity, and to the mixture of reason and passion which was so conspiceous among them. But it is obvious that it will not be possible to do this without carefully examining the contents, the subjects, and the practical and theoretical objects of these works.

We may distinguish three cpochs in the general history of Attic prose, from Pericles to Alexander the Great: the first that of Pericles himself, Antiphon, and Thucydides; the second, that of Lysias, Isocrates, and Plato; the third, that of Demosthenes, Æschines, and Demades. The sequel will show why we have selected these names.

Two widely different causes co-operated in introducing the first epoch:

—Athenian politics and Sicilian sophistry. We must first take a view of these two causes.

§ 2. Since the time of Solon, the most distinguished statemen of Attention and formed some general views with regard to the destination of their native city, based upon a profound consideration of the external relations and internal resources of Atties, and the peculiar capabilities of the inhabitiants. An extension of the democracy, industry, and trade, and, above all, the sovereignty of the sea, were the primary objects which those statemen proposed to themselves. Some peculiar views

were transmitted through a series of statemen,\* from Solon to Themistocles and Pericles, and were from time to time further developed and exceeded; and though an opposite party in politics (that of Aristides and Cimon) endeavoured to set bounds to this development, the point for which they contended did not affect any one of the leading principles which guided the other party; they only wished to moderate the auddenness and violence of the movement.

This deep reflection on and clear perception of what was needful for Atheus, t imparted to the speeches of men like Themistocles and Pericles. a power and solidity which made a far deeper impression on the people of Athens than any particular proposal or counsel could have done. Public speaking had been common in Greece from the earliest times; long before popular assemblies had gained the sovereign power by the establishment of democracy, the ancient kings had been in the habit of addressing their people, sometimes with that natural eloquence which Homer ascribes to Ulysses, at other times, like Menelaus, with concise but persuasive diction: Hesiod assigns to kings a muse of their own .--Calliope-by whose aid they were enabled to speak convincingly and persuasively in the popular assembly and from the seat of judgment. With the further development of republican constitutious after the aveof Homer and Hesiod, public officers and demagogues without number had spoken in the public meetings, or in the deliberative councils and legislative committees of the numerous independent states, and no doubt they often spoke eloquently and wisely; but these speeches did not survive the particular occasion which called them forth: they were wasted on the air without leaving behind them a more lasting effect than would have been produced by a discourse of common life; and in this whole period it seems never to have been imagined that oratory could produce effects more lasting than the particular occurrence which gave occasion for a display of it, or that it was capable of exerting a ruling influence over all the actions and inclinations of a people. Even the lively and ingenious Ionians were distinguished at the flourishing epoch of their literature, for an amusing style, adapted to such narratives as might be communicated in private society, rather than for the more powerful eloquence of the public assembly: at least Herodotus, whose history may be considered as belonging to Ionian literature, though he is fond of introducing dialogues and short speeches, never incorporates with his history the popular harangues which are so remarkable in Thucy-

See Plutarch, Themist. 2. Themistocles studied as a young man under Muc-siphilus, who makes such a distinguished appearance in Herod, VIII. 67, and who had devoted himself 10 the so called epsis, which, according 10 Plutarch, consisted in political capacity and practical understanding, and which had descended for the contract of the capacity and practical understanding.

<sup>+</sup> Too Morres, an expression which was very common at Athens in the time of Pericles, and denoted whatever was expedient under the existing circumstances of the state.

dides. It is unanimously agreed among the ancients that Athens was the native soil of oratory," and as the works of Athenian orators alone have come down to us, so also we may safely conclude that the ruder oratory, not designed for literary preservation, but from which oratory, as a branch of literature, arose, was cultivated in a much higher degree among the Athenians than in all the rest of Greece.

§ 3. THEMISTOCLES, who with equal courage and genius had laid the foundations of the greatness of Athens at the most dangerous and difficult crisis of her history, was not distinguished for eloquence, so much as for the wisdom of his plans, and the energy with which he carried them out; nevertheless, it is universally agreed that he was in the highest degree capable of unfolding his views, and of recommending them by argument. † The oratory of Pericles occupies a much more prominent position. The power and dominion of Athens, though continually assailed by new enemics, seemed at last to have acquired some stability; it was time to survey the advantages which had been gained, and to become acquainted with the principles which had led to their acquisition and might contribute to their increase: the question too arose, what use should be made of this dominion over the Greeks of the islands and the coasts. which it had cost so much trouble to obtain, and of the revenues which flowed into Athens in such abundant streams. It is manifest, from the whole political career of Pericles, that on the one hand he presupposed in his people a power of governing themselves, and on the other hand that he wished to prevent the state from becoming a mere stake to be played for by amhitious demagogues; for he favoured every institution which gave the poorer citizens a share in the government; he encouraged everything which might contribute to extend education and knowledge; and by his astonishing expenditure on works of architecture and sculpture, he gave the people a decided fondness for the grand and beautiful. And thus the appearance of Pericles on the bema (which he purposely reserved for great occasions;) was not intended mcrely to aid the passing of some law, but was at the same time calculated to infuse a noble spirit into the general politics of Athens, to guide the views of the Athenians in regard to their external relations and all the difficulties of their position; and it was the wish of this true friend of the people that all this might long survive himself. This is obviously the opinion of Thucydides, whom we may consider as in many respects a worthy disciple of the school of Pericles; and this is the representation which he has given us of the orstory of that statesman in the three speeches (all of them delivered on important occasions) which he has

<sup>\*</sup> Studium eloquentia proprium Athenorum, Cicero, Bentus, XIII.

<sup>†</sup> Not to mention other authorities, Lysias (Epitoph. XLII.) says that he was lansicares their and young and weakful. ‡ Plutarch, Perioles VI.

put into his mouth. This wonderful triad of speeches forms a beautiful whole, which is perfect and complete in little. The first speech's proves the necessity of a war with the Pelopounesiana, and the probability that it will be successful: the tecond, delivered immediately after the first successes obtained in the war, under the form of a funeral oration, confirms the Athenians in their mote of living and acting; it is half an apology for, half a panegyrie upon Athens: it is fall of a sense of truth and of noble self-reliance, tempered with moderation; the third, it delivered after the calamities which had bealine Athen, rather through the plague than through the war, and which had nevertheless made the pospic vacillate in their resolutions, offers the consulation most worthy of a noble heart, namely, that up to that time fortune, on which no man can count, had deceived them, but they had not been misled by their own calculations and convictions; and that these would never deceive them if they did not allow themselves to be led starry by some unforescen accidents §

§ 4. No speech of Pericles has been preserved in writing. It may seem surprising that no attempt was made to write down and preserve, for the benefit of the present and future generatious, works which every one considered admirable, and which were regarded as, in some respects, the most perfect specimens of oratory. The only explanation of this that can be offered is, that in those days a speech was not considered as possessing any value or interest, save in reference to the particular practical object for which it was designed; it had never occurred to people that speeches and poems might be placed in one class, and both preserved, without reference to their subjects, on account of the skill with which the subjects were treated, and the general beauties of the form and composition. Only a few emphatic and nervous expressions of Pericles were kept in remembrance; but a general impression of the grandeur and copiousness of his oratory long prevailed among the Greeks. We are enabled, partly by this long prevalent impression, which is mentioned even by later writers, and partly by the connexion between Pericles and the other old Attic orators, as also with Thucydides, to form a clear conception of his style of speaking, without drawing much upon our imagination.

<sup>•</sup> Thueyd. I., 140—144. † Thueyd. II. 35—46. † Thueyd. II. 60—64. § A speech of Pericles, in which he took a general survey of the military power and resources of Athens, is given by Thueydides (II. 13.) indirectly and in outline, because this was not an opportunity for unfolding a train of leading ideas.

Plato, though not very partial to Pericles, nevertheless considers him as whitevery it is jurgate, and refers for the cause to his sequalitation with the speculations of Anaxgorus, Phader, 270. Cierce, in his Parket XII., calls him to contorom prope perfectum," only to leave something to be said for the other

The primary characteristic of the oratory of Pericles, and those who most resembled him is, that their speeches are full of thoughts concisely express of. Unaccustomed to continued abstraction, and unwilling the circumstances of the world around them, with fresh and unimpaired vigour, and, assisted by abundant experience and acute observations, brought the light of their clear general conceptions to hear upon every subject which they took up. Ciccro characterizes Pericles, Alcibiades, and Thoughtles, (for leightly reckons the two latter among the orators, by the epithest "subtle, seute, and concise,") and distinguishes between them and the somewhat younger generation of Critiss, Pheramencs, and Lysias, who had also, he says, retained some of the sap and life-blood of Pericles,† but had spun the thread of their discourse rather more liberally:

With regard to the opinions of Pericles, we know that they were remarkable for the comprehensive views of public saffiars on which they were based. The mejesty for which Pericles was so distinguished, and which gained for him the appellation of "the Olympian," consisted mostly in the skill and ability with which he referred all common occurrences to the general principles and bold ideas, which he had derived from his noble and exalted view of the destiny of Athens. Accordingly, Plate and Says of Pericles, that in addition to his natural abilities, he had equived an clevation of mind and a habit of striving after definite objects.§ It was on this account, too, that his opinious took used a firm hold of his hearers; according to the metaphor of Eupolis—they remained fixed in the mind, like the sting of the best.

§ 5. It was because the thoughts of Pericles were so striking, so chirdly to the purpose, and at the same time so grand, and we may add it was on this account alone, that his speeches produced so deep and lasting an impression. The sole object of the entory of Pericles was to produce conviction, to give a permanent bias to the mind of the people. It was alien from his intentions to excite any sudden and transient burst of passion by working on the emotions of the heart. The whole history of Attie oratory teaches us that there could not be in the

<sup>\*</sup> He may subtiles, acuti, breves, sententiis magis quam rerbis abundantes, by which he means, "skillol in the choice of words, and in the distinct expression of every thought" (addites), "refined in their ideas" (acuti), "concles" (brevs), " and with more thoughts than words," + Reinsbeat illum Perichs success.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> De Deuter, II, 22. In the Bratus, c. VII, be given a rather different classification of the old orators. In the latter work he classes Alchibedes along with Critisa and Thermenes, and says the style of their centory may be gathered from Turnydider; be call their genders treels, copie sourceins, compression reviews, results that the contraction of the contraction of

<sup>§</sup> Plato, Phartius, p. 270: vi infulfaces views and wavry villaring-jes. . I Hapaking west vi tipole that lardware. The villaring-jes denotes, according to the context, the striving after a great fixed object.

speeches of Pericles the slightest employment of those means by which the orators of a later age used to set in motion the violent and unruly impulses of the multitude. To judge from the descriptions which have been given of the manner of Pericles when he ascended the bema, it was tranquil, with hardly any change of feature, with calm and dignified gestures; his garments were undisturbed by oratorical gesticulations of any kind, and the tone and loudness of his voice were equable and sustained." We may conceive that the frame of mind which this delivery expressed, and which it excited in the hearers, was in harmony and unison with it. Pericles had no wish to gratify the people otherwise than by ministering to their improvement and benefit. He never condescended to flatter them. Great as was his idea of the resources and high destinies of Athens, he never feared in particular cases to tell them even the harshest truths. When Pericles declaimed against the people, this was thought, according to Cicero, a proof of his affection towards them, and produced a pleasing impression;† even when his own safety was threatened, he was content to wait till they had an opportunity of becoming convinced of his innocence, and he never sought to produce this conviction otherwise than by a clear and energetic representation of the truth, studiously avoiding any appeal to transient emotions and feelings. He was just as little auxious to amuse or entertain the populace. Pericles never indulged in a smile while speaking from the bema.! His dignity never stooped to merriment.§ All his public appearances were marked by a sustained earnestness of manner.

Some traditional particulars and the character of the time enable us also to form an opinion of the diction of the speeches of Pericles. He employed the language of common life, the vernacular idiom of Attica, even more than Thucydides : | but his accurat: discrimination of meanings gave his words a subtilty and pregnancy which was a main ingredient in the nervous energy of his style. Although there was more of reasoning than of imagination in his speeches, he had no difficulty in giving a vivid and impressive colouring to his language by the use of striking metaphors and comparisons, and as the prose of the day was altogether unformed, by so doing, he could not help expressing himself poetically. A good many of these figurative expressions and apoplithegms in the speeches of Pericles have been preserved, and especially by Aristotle: as when he said of the Samians, that "they were like little children who cried when they took their food;" or when at the funeral of a number of young persons who had fallen in battle, he used the beautiful figure, that " the year had lost its spring." ¶

<sup>·</sup> Plutarch, Pericl. V.

<sup>†</sup> Cicero, de Orgi. 111. 34. ‡ Plutarch, Pericl. 5: περεώσευ σύσταση δέρυσση εξι γίλωτα. § Summa auctoritar sine omni hibaritate, Cic. de Offic. I. 30.

This appears from the fact mentioned near the end of Chap. XXVII.

Aristotle, Hhelor. 1. 7; III. 4, 10.

## CHAPTER XXXII.

§1. Profession of the Sophists: essential elements of their doctrines. The principles of Protagorus, § 2, Opinious of Gorgias. Peraicious effects of his doctrine, especially as they were carried out by his disciples. § 3. Important services of the Sophists in ferming, a prose style: different tendencies of the Sicillian and other Sophists in this respect. § 4. The rhetoric of Gorgias, § 5. Ills forms of a pression.

§ 1. The impulse to a further improvement of the prose style proceeded immediately from the Sophists, who, in general, exercised a greater influence on the culture of the Greek mind than any other class of men, the ancient poets alone excepted.

The Sophists were, as their name indicates, persons who made knowledge their profession, and who undertook to impart it to every one who was willing to place himself under their guidance. The philosophers of the Secretic school represched them with being the first to sell knowledge for money; and such was the case; for they not only demanded admittance-mousy from those who came to bear their public lectures (Friedge-Tyc), but also undertook for a considerable sum, fixed before-hand, to give young men a complete sophistical education, and not to diamiss them till they were thoroughly instructed in their art. At that time a thirst for knowledge was so great in Greece, that not only in Attens, but also in the oligarchies of Thessely, hearers and pupils flocked to them in crowds; the arrival in any city of one of the greater sophists, Gorgins, Prongoroa, or Hippisa, was celebrated as a festival; and these men acquired riches such as art and science had never before earred among the Greeks.

Not only the outward profession, but also the peculiar doctrines of the Sophists were, on the whole, one and the same, though they admitted of certain modifications of greater or less importance. If we consider these doctrines philosophically, they amounted to a deniral or remunciation of all true science. Philosophy had then just completed the first stage of her career: she had boldly undertaken to solve the abstrucent questions of speculation, and the widely different nawners which had been returned to some of those questions, had all produced conviction and obtained many staunch supporters. The difference between the results thus obtained, although the grounds of this difference had not been investigated, must of itself have awakened a doubt as to the possibility of any real

<sup>\*</sup> There were wide differences in the amounts paid on these occasions. The admission-fee for some lectures was a drachma, for others fifty drachmae † Comp. the remark in Chan. XXVII. § 5.

knowledge regarding the hidden nature of things. Accordingly, nothing was more likely than that every flight of speculation should be succeeded by an epoch of scepticism, in which the universality of all science would be doubted or denied. That all knowledge is subjective, that it is true only for the individual, was the meaning of the celebrated saving of PROTAGORAS OF ASDERA, who made his appearance at Athens in the time of Pericles,† and for a long time enjoyed a great reputation there. till at last a reaction was caused by the bold scepticism of his opinions. and he was banished from Athens and his books were publicly burnt. Agreeing with Heraclitus in regard to the doctrine of a perpetual motion and of a continual change in the impressions and perceptions of men, he deduced from this that the individual could know nothing beyond these ever varying perceptions; consequently, that whatever appeared to be, was so for the individual. According to this doctrine, opposite opinions on the same subject might be equally true; and if an opinion were only supported by a momentary appearance of truth, this was sufficient to make it true for the moment. Hence, it was one of the great fests which Protagoras and the other Sophists professed to perform, to be able to speak with equal plausibility for and against the same position; not in order to discover the truth, but in order to show the nothingness of truth. It was not, however, the intention of Protagoras to deprive virtue, as well as truth, of its reality: hut he reduced virtue to a nicre state or condition of the subject, -a set of impressions and feelings which rendered the subject more capable of active usefulness. Of the gods, he said at the very beginning of the book which caused his banishment from Athens: " With regard to the gods, I cannot determine whether they are or are not; for there are many obstacles in the way of this inquiry-the uncertainty of the matter, and the shortness of human life."

§ 2. Goroias, of Leontini, in Sicily, who visited Athens for the first time in Ol. 88, 2, p.c. 427, as an ambassador from his native town, belonged to an entirely different part of the Hellenie world, had different teachers, and proceeded from an older philosophical school than Protagoras, but yet there was a great correspondence between the pursuits of these two men; and from this we may clearly see how strongly the spirit of the age must have inclined to the form and mode of speculation which was common to them both. Gorgias employed the dialectical method of the Eleatic school, but arrived at an opposite result hy means of it: while the Eleatic philosophers directed all their efforts towards establishing the perpetuity and unity of existence, Gorgias availed

Πάντων μάτρον ἄνθρωπος.

About Oi. 84. n.o. 444, according to the chronology of Apollodorus.

Protagoras was presecuted for siheism and expelled from Athens, on the accusation of Pythodorus, one of the council of the Four-hundred: this would be in Ot. 92, 1. or 2. n J. 411, if the event happened during the time of the Four-hundred, but this is by no means established.

himself of the methods and even of some of the conclusions, which Zeno and Melissus had applied to such a widely different object, in order to prove that nothing exists: that even if anything did exist, it would not be cognizable, and even if it both existed and were cognizable, it could not be conveyed and communicated by words. The result was, that absolute knowledge was unattainable; and that the proper end of instruction was to awaken in the pupil's mind such conceptions as are suitable to his own purposes and i terests. The chief distinction between Gorgias and the other Sophists consisted in the frankness with which he admitted, that he promised and professed nothing else than to make his scholars apt rhetoricians; and the ridicule with which he treated those of his colleagues who professed to teach virtue, a peculiarity which Gorgias shared with all the other Sophists of Sicily. The Sophists in the mother country, on the other hand, endeavoured to awaken useful thoughts, and to teach the principles of practical philosophy: thus HIPPIAS of Elis endeavoured to season his lessons with a display of multifarious knowledge, and may be regarded as the first Polyhistor among the Greeks: and Producus of Coos, perhaps the most respectable among the Sophists, used to present lessons of morality under an agreeable form : such a moral lesson was the well-known allegory of the choice of Hercules.

In general, however, the labours of the Sophists were prejudicial alike to the moral condition of Greece, and to the serious pursuit of knowledge. The national morality which drew the line between right and wrong, though not perhaps according to the highest standard, yet at any rate with honest views, and what was of most importance, with a sort of instinctive certainty, had received a shock from the boldness with which philosophy had handled it; and could not but be altogether undermined by a doctrine which destroyed the distinction between truth and falsehood. And though Protagoras and Gorgias shrank from declaring that virtue and religion were nothing but empty illusions, their disciples and followers did so most openly, when the liberty of speculation was completely emancipated from all the restraints of traditionary opinions. In the course of the Peloponnesian war, a class of society was formed at Athens, which was not without influence on the course of affairs, and whose ereed was, that justice and belief in the gods were but the inventions of ancient rulers and legislators, who gave them currency in order to strengthen their hold on the common herd, and assist them in the business of government: they sometimes gave this opinion with this far

Plato often speaks of his acquaintance with physics and astronomy; he also inquired after genealcyfes, colonics, and "anliquities in general," Hippias Maj-p. 285. Some fragments of his treallest on political antiquities have been preserved; probably derived from his 2nn2pyl. Böckh, Prof. of Piodari Schela, p. xxi. His list of the Olympic victors was also a remarkable work.

more pernicious variation, that laws were made by the majority of weaker men for their protection, whereas nature had sanctioned the right of the strongest, so that the stronger party did but use his right when he compelled the weaker to minister to his pleasure as far as he could. These are the doctrines which Plato, in his Gorgias and in his Republic, attributes to Callicles, a disciple of Gorgias, and to Thrasymachus of Chalcedon, who flourished as a teacher of rhetoric during the Peloponnesian war, and which were frequently uttered by Plato's own uncle, the able and politic Critias who has been mentioned more than once in the course of this history.

§ 3. If, however, we turn from this influence of the Sophists on the spirit of their age, and set ourselves to inquire what they did for the improvement of written compositions, we are constrained to set a very high value on their services. The formation of an artificial prose style is due entirely to the Sophists, and although they did not at first proceed according to a right method, they may be considered as having laid a foundation for the polished diction of Plato and Demosthenes. The Sophists of Greece proper, as well as those of Sicily, made language the object of their study, but with this distinction, that the former aimed at correctness, the latter at beauty of style. † Protagoras investigated the principles of accurate composition (ορθοίπεια), though practically he was distinguished for a copious finency, which Plato's Socrates vainly attempts to bridle with his dialectic; and Prodicus busied himself with inquiries into the signification and correct use of words, and the discrimination of synonyms: his own discourses were full of such distinctions, as appears from the humorous imitation of his style in Plato's Protagoras.

The principal object which Gorgias proposed to himself was a beautiful, ornamented, pleasing, and captivating style; he was by profession a rhetorician, and had been prepared for his trade by a suitable education. The Sicilian Greeks, and especially the Syracusans, whose lively disposition and natural quickness raised them, more than any other Dorian people, to a level with the Athenians, had commenced, even earlier than the people of Attica, the study of an artificial rhetoric useful for the discussions of the law-courts. The situation of Syracuse at the time of the Persian war had contributed a good deal to awaken their natural inclination and capacity for such a study; especially by the impulse which the abolition of arbitrary government had given

As a tragedian, but only with a view to the promulgation of these doctriner, he is mentioned in Chap. XXYI, \$4; as an Elegiac poet in Chap. XXX. \$5; and as an orator, Chap. XXX. \$4.
 † This distinction is pointed out by Leonhard engel in his useful work,

Tornywyd rigin, sive artium scriptores, 1828, p. 63.

<sup>1</sup> Cicero, Brutus XII., 46: Seculi acuta gens et controversa natura. Verrin. IV., 43, 95: munquam tam male est Siculis, quin aliquid facile et commode dicant.

to democratic sentiments (Ol. 78, 3. B.C. 466), and by the complicated transactions which sprung up from the renewal of private claims long suppressed by the tyrants.\* At this time Corax, who had been highly esteemed by the tyrant Hiero, came forward in a conspicuous manner. both as a public orator and as a pleader in the law-courts; + his great practice led him to consider more accurately the principles of his art; and at last it occurred to him to write a book on the subject; this book, like the immurrable treatises which succeeded it, was called rexru ρητορική, "the art of rhetoric," or simply τέχνη, "the art." Although this work might have been very circumscribed in its plan, and not very comprehensive in its treatment of the subject, it is nevertheless worthy of notice as the first of its kind, not only among the Greeks, but perhaps also in the whole world. For this rexry of Corax was not merely the first attempt at a theory of rhctoric, but also the first theoretical book on any branch of art; & and it is highly remarkable that while ancient poetry was transmitted through so many generations by nothing but practice and oral instruction, its younger sister began at once with establishing itself in the form of a theory, and as such communicating itself to all who were desirous of learning its principles. All that we know of this Tiven is that it laid down a regular form and regular divisions for the oration; above all, it was to begin with a distinct procemium, calculated to put the hearers in a favourable train, and to conciliate their good will at the very opening of the speech. I

§ 4. Tisass was first a pupil and afterwards a rival of Corax; be wes also known not only as an oratir, but also as the author of a rygge, Gorgies, again, was the pupil of Tisias, and followed closely in his steps: according to one account, Tisias was a colleague of Gorgies in the cubassy from Loothii mentioned above, though the pupil was at that time infinitely more celebrated than his master. With Gorgies this artificial rheteric obtained more finem and glory than fell to the share

Cic., Brat. XII., 46 (after Aristotle): cum substix in Sicilia tyransis res privatas longo intervallo judicia repeteratur. Aristotle in also the authority for the statement in the scholia on Hermogenes, in Reiske's Oratores Attici. T. VIII. p. 196. Comp. Montfaucon, Biblioth. Contin., p. 592.

<sup>†</sup> Or as a composer of speeches for others, for it is doubtful whether there was an establishment of patroni and connected at Syracuse, as at Rome; or whether every one was compelled to plead his own cause, as at Athens, in which case he was always able to get his speech made for him by some professed retorician.

<sup>†</sup> This is also mentioned by Aristotle, who wrote a history of rhetoric down to his own time, which is now lost: besides the passages referred to above, he mentions the rig, which is in his Rhefor. II., 24.

<sup>§</sup> The old architectural treatises on perticular buildings, such as that of Theodorus of Samos on the temple of Juno in that island, and those of Chersiphron and Metagenes on the temple of Diana at Ephesus, were prohably only tables of calculations and measurements.

<sup>#</sup> These introductions were called вэдавитий на берепитий трации. Ч See Pausan. VI., 17, 18. Diodorus, the principal authority, makes no mention of Tisias, XI., 33.

of any other branch of literature. The Athenians, to whom this Sciliam rhostic was still a novelty, though they were fully qualified and predisposed to appreciate and enjoy its beauties,\* were quite enchanted with it, and it soon became fashiousable to speak like Gorgias. The impression produced by the orstray of Gorgias was greatly increased by his stately appearance, his well-chosen and splendid costume, and the self-possession and confidence of his demension. Besides, his rhetoric rested on a basis of philosophy; though, as has just been mentioned, rather of a negative kind; and there is no trace of this in the systems of Corax and Tsias. This philosophy taught, that the sule aim of the orator is to turn the minds of his hearers into such a train as may best consist with his own interests; that, consequently, rhetoric is the agent of persussion; if the art of all arts, because the rhetorician is able to speak well and convincingly on every subject, even though he has no accurate knowledge respecting it.

In accordance with this view of rhetoric, Gorgias took little pains with the subject-matter of his speeches; he only concerned himself about this so far as to exercise himself in treating of general topics, which were called loci communes, and the proper management and application of which have always helped the rhetorician to conceal his ignorance. The panegyries and invectives which Gorgias wrote on every possible subject. and which served him for practice, were also calculated to assist him in combating or defending received opinions and convictions, by palliating the bad, and misrepresenting the good. The same purpose was served by his delusive and captious conclusions, which he had borrowed from the Electic school, in order to pass with the common herd as a profound thinker, and to confuse their notions of truth and falsehood. All this belonged to the instrument, by virtue of which Gorgias promised, in the language of the day, to make the weaker argument, i. e. the worse cause, victorious over the stronger graument, i.e. the better cause §

§ 5. But the chief study of Gorgias was directed to the form of expression; and it is true that he was able, by the use of high-wounding words and artfully constructed sentences, to deceive not only the ears but also the mind of the Greek—alive as they were to the perception of such beautivs—to so great an extent that they overlooked for a long time the emptiones and coldness of his declamations. Prose was at this time commencing its career, and had not yet manifested its resources, and shown the beauty of which it was espable: it was natural, therefore,

<sup>·</sup> Serre sidong uni talakiya, says Diodorus-

<sup>†</sup> This philosophy is contained in a treatise by Gorgins, and givens it we not server, of which the best account is given by Aristotle in his essay on Meliasus, Xenophanes, and Gorgins.

I Burne democracy.

that it should take for its pattern the poetry which had preceded it by so long an interval: the ears of the Greeks, accustomed to poetry, required of prose, if it professed to be more than a mere necessary communication of thoughts, if it aimed at beauty, a great resemblance to poetry. Gorgias complied with this requisition in two ways: in the first place, he employed poetical words, especially rare words, and new compounds, such as were favourites with the lyric and dithyrambic poets.\* As this poetical colouring did not demand any high flight of ideas, or any great exertion of the imaginative powers, and as it remained only an outward ornament, the style of Gorgias became turgid and bombastic, and compositions characterized by this fault were said. in the technical language of Greek rhetoric, to gorgiaze.† In the second place, the prevailing taste for prose at that time seemed to require some substitute for the rhythmical proportions of poetry. Gorgias effected this by giving a sort of symmetry to the structure of the sentences, so that the impression conveyed was, that the different members of the period were parallel and corresponding to one another, and this stamped the whole with an appearance of artificial regularity. To this belonged the art of making the sentences of equal length, of making them correspond to one another in form, and of making them end in the same way: 1 also the use of words of similar formation and of similar sound, i. e. almost rhyming with one another: § also, the antithesis, in which, besides the opposition of thought, there was a correspondence of all the different parts and individual points; an artifice, which easily led the orator to introduce forced and unnatural combinations, || and which, ip the case of the Sicilian rhetoricians, had already incurred the ridicule of Epicharmus. If we add to this the witty turns, the playful style, the various methods of winning the attention, which Gorgias skilfully interwove with his expressions, we shall have no difficulty in under-

<sup>\*</sup> See Aristotie, Rhetor. III., 1, 3, and 3, 1. Here the hand informer are particularly assigned to Gorgias and Lycophron. In the Poetic, 22, Aristotle says, that the beals siqueen, i. e. extraordinary words and novel compounds, occurred most frequently in the Dithyramb. yeyid tur.

I isinada, rágisa, ipaistidista.

The spinaria, rasny srup.

As in the forced but ingenious definition of tragic illusion, namely, that it is on árars, or deceit :-

Ar I es demeráras dixusterpos esti pir decarárareos

is I on harming harming harming and in decembers;
i.e. in which the deceiver does his dury) better than the undeceiving, and where the
person deceived of the history better than the person who will not yield to
person deceived shows more feeling for art than the person who will not yield to
be the person of t

standing how this artificial prose, which was neither poetry nor yet the language of common life, was so successful on its first appearsance at Athera. That such a style was highly suitable to the taste of the age as it gradually unfolded itself, is also shown by its rapid extension and further developement, especially in the school of Gorgias. We have already spoken of Agston's parallelisms and antitheese, "but Fours of Agrigantum, the favouries scholar and devoted partizan of Gorgias, went far beyond all others in his attention to hose ornsments of language, and carried this even into the slightest minutic of language; 1' similarly, ALCIDAMAN, another scholar of Gorgias, who is often mentioned by Aristote, exceeded his master in his showy, poetic diction, and in the affectation of his elegant antithesis. 1.

## CHAPTER XXXIII.

- § 1. Antiphon's career and employments. § 2. His school-exercises, the Tetralogies. § 3. His speeches before the courts; Character of his oratory. § 4, 5. More particular examination of his style. § 6. Andocides; his life and character.
- § 1. Tux cultivation of the art of orstory among the Athenians is due to a combination of the natural cloquence, displayed by the Athenian statemen, and especially by Pericles, with the relectorical studies of the Sophika. The first person in whom the effects of this combination were fully about now Astripton, the son of Sophilus of Rhammus. Antiphon was both a practical statesman and man of business, and also a rhetorician of the schools. With regard to the former part of his character, we are told by Thucydides that, though the tyramy of the Four-hundred was oteraibly established by Pisander, it was Antiphon who drew up the plan for it, and who had the greatest share in carrying it into effect; "the was a man," say the historian, § "infector to none of his contemporaries in virtue, and distinguished above all others in forming plans and recommending his view by orstory. He made no public speeches, indeed, nor did he ever of his own accord engage in the litigations of the court; but being suspected by the people from his reputation for powerful

( VIII., 68.

Chap. XXVI., § 3.
 In the address: Σ λώσει Πώλι, Plato ridicules his fondness for the juxtaposition of words of a similar sound.

<sup>†</sup> The declamations which remain under the names of Gorgias, Alcidamas, and Antisthemes (another scholar of Gorgias), have been justly regarded as imitations of their style by later rhetoricians.

speaking, \* there was yet no one man in Athera who was better able to assist, by his comnels, those who had any contest to undergo either in the assertance of the control of the forther and the theorem in the popular assemblies. And in his own case, when, after the other products of the forther and the product of the first had not a sharing the state of the dispards, it is acknowledged that the speech which he made in his own defence was the best that do rever been made up to that time." † But his admirable contary was of row avail at this erris, when the effect of his speech was more than control that the completed his ratin, he was essecuted in Ol. 92, 2. s. c. 411, who satily seventy years old; this property was confiscated, and even his decembant were deprived of the rights of citizenship. §

We clearly see, from the testimony of Thucydides, what use Antiphon made of his oratory. He did not come forward, like other speakers, to express his sentiments in the Ecclesia, nor was he ever a public accuser in the law-courts: he never spoke in public save on his own affairs and when attacked; in other eases he laboured for others. With him the business of speech-writing first rose into importance, a business which for a long time was not considered so honourable as that of the public speaker; but although many Athenians spoke and thought contemptuously of this profession, it was practised even by the great public orators along with their other employments; and according to the Athenian institutions was almost indispensable. For in private suits the parties themselves pleaded their cause in open court; and in public indictments, though any Athenian might conduct the prosecution, the accused person was not allowed an advocate, though his defence might be supported by some friends who spoke after him, and endeavoured to complete the arguments in his favour. It is obvious from this, that when the need of an advocate in the law-courts began to be more and more felt, most Athenians would be obliged to apply for professional assistance, and would, with this view, either get assisted in the composition of their own speeches, or commit to memory and deliver, word for word, a speech composed for them by some practised orator. Thus the speech-writers, or logographi, as they were called, [ (Antiphon, Lysias, Isreus, and Demosthenes,) rendered services partly analogous to those performed by the Roman patroni and causidici, or to the legal advocates and coun-

λωένει, here used in its wider sense, as implying any power of persuasion.
 It is a great pity that this speech has not been preserved. Harpocration often protes it under the title b γ̄ς τ̄ψ, τ̄ς τ̄ψ, ν̄ψ, Υπενανένειν. The allusions to the time of

the Four-humbred are obvious enough.

† i.e. if the account is true which places his birth in Ol. 75, l. a.c. 480. His greal syg and winning cloquence seem to have gained him the name of Nator, by

which he was known among the Athenian people.

§ The decree according to which he was executed, and the decision of the court, are preserved in the Vise december of the was executed.

I They were called Asyerysian by the common people at Athens.

sellors of molern states, although they did not stand nearly so high in public estimation, unless at the same time they took an active part in public affairs.\* The practice of writing speeches for others probably led to a general habit of committing speeches to writing, and thus placing them within the reach of others besides these to whou they were delivered: at all events, it is certain that Antiphon was the first to do this.†

Antiphon also established a school of reletoric, in which the art of oratory was systematically taught, and, according to a custom which had been prevelent since the time of Corax, wrate a Techne, containing a formal expansion of his principles. As a teacher of reletoric, Antining ha formal expansion of his principles. As a teacher of reletoric, Antining ha followed closely in the steps of the Sophists, with whose works he was very well acquainted, although he was not actually a scholar of any one among them: I like Protageras and Gorgias, he discussed general themes, which were designed only for exercises, and had no practical objects which were designed only for exercises, and had no practical object in view. These may have been partly the most general subjects about which an argument could be held—the feet commune, as the or called i.5 partly, particular cases so ingeniously contrived that the contrary assertions respecting them might be mentiated with equal facility, and thus exercise would be afforded to the sophistic art of speaking plushibly on both sides of the question.

§ 2. Of the fiftern remaining speeches of Antiphon, twelve belong to the class of school exercises. The form there Estradgies, so that every four of the orations are occupied with the discussion of the same cose, and contain a speech and reply by both plaintiff and defendant.] The following is the subject of the first Tetralogy:—A clitzen, returning with his slave from an evening banquet, is attacked by assessins, and killed on the spot: the slave is mortally wounded, but survives till he has told the relations of the mortered man that he recognized among the assessins a particular person who was at emitty with his master, and who was about to lose his cause in an important law-suit between him and the deceased. Accordingly, this person is indicted by the family of the murdered man, and the speeches all turn upon an attempt to exagerate or diminish the probabilities for and against the guilt of the person arraigned. For instance, while the complainant lays the greatest actress on the animosity instance, while the complainant lays the greatest actress on the animosity

Thus Antiphon was attacked by Plate the comedian for writing speeches for hire: Photius, Coder 259.

<sup>†</sup> Orationem primus omnium scripsit, says Quintilian.

This is shown by the pins Arrabara; the chronology renders it slmost impossible that Antiphon's father could have been a Sophist (File X. Orat., c. 1. Phot., Coder 259).—[This is probably a confusion occasioned by the name of Antiphon's father Sophila.—En.]

Antiphon's father Sophiles.—Eb.]

§ That Antiphon had practised himself in such common places is shown by their occurrence in different orations, in which he inverts them wherever he can. Comp. de code Hend, § 14, 87. Chor., § 2, 3.

A dyn weitign und Boregon.

existing between the accused and the deceased, the defendant maintains that he could certainly have had no hand in the murder, when it was obvious that the first suspicion would fall on himself. While the former sets great value on the evidence of the slave as the only one available for his purpose, the latter maintains that slaves would not be tortured as they were, according to the Greck custom, unless their simple testimony had been considered insufficient. In answer to this the complainant urges, in his second speech, that slaves were tortured on account of theft, for the purpose of bringing to light some transgression which they concealed to please their master; but that, in cases like the one in question, they were emancipated in order that they might be qualified to give evidence :\* and, in regard to the argument that the accused must have foreseen that he would be suspected, the fear of this suspicion would not have been sufficient to counterbalance the danger resulting from the loss of his cause. The accused, however, gives a turn to the argument from probability, by remarking, among other things, that a freeman would be restrained from giving a false testimony by a fear of endangering his reputation and substance; but that there was nothing to hinder the slave at the point of death from gratifying the family of his master, by impeaching his master's old enemy. And after having compared all the arguments from probability, and drawn a balance in his own favour, he concludes aptly enough, by saying that he can prove his innocence not merely by probabilities + but by facts, and accordingly offers all his slaves, male and female, to be tortured according to the custom of Athens, in order to prove that he never left his house on the night of the murder.

· We have selected these few points from many other arguments equally acute on both sides of the question, in order to give those readers who are not yet acquainted with Antiphon's speeches, some notion, however faint, of the shrewdness and ingenuity with which the rhetoricians of that time could twist and turn to their own purposes the facts and circumstances which they were called upon to discuss. The sophistic art of strengthening the weaker cause was in Antiphon's school connected with forensic oratory, the professor of which must necessarily be prepared to argue in favour of either of the parties in a law-suit-

§ 3. Besides these rhetorical exercises, we have three of Antiphon's speeches which were actually delivered in court-the accusation of a step mother charged with poisoning, the defence of the person charged with the murder of Herodes, and another defence of a choregus, one

Personal freedom was indispensable for evidence (μαςτυρίδ) properly so called:

slaves were compelled to give evidence by the texture.

† In § 10, he says with great acuteness: "While they maintain on grounds of probability that I am guilty, they nevertheless maintain that I am not probably but actually the murderer.

Tri dinamnio ying.

of whose choreute had been poisoned while under training. All these specehes refer to charges of nurder, and for this reason have been classed with the Tetralogies, the assumed subjects of which are of the same kind; a distribution of the works of Greek orators according to the nature of the different suits was very common among the learned grammarians, and many ancient citations refer to this division; for instance, when speeches referring to the duties of guardians, to nomeny-transactions, or to debts, are quoted as belonging to different classes. In this manner Antiphon's speeches on charges of murder have alone been preserved, and the only orations of Isacus which have come down to us, are those on the law of inheritance and wills. In these speeches of Antiphon we see the same ingenuity and shrewdness, and the same legal acumen, as in the Tetralogies, combined with far greater polish and elaboration of style, since the Tetralogies were only designed to display skill in the discovery and complication of arguments.

These more complete speeches may be reckoned among the most important materials that we possess for a history of oratory. In respect to their style, they stand in close connexion with the history of Thucydides and the speeches with which it is interspersed, and confirm the statement of many grammarians, I that Thucydides was instructed in the school of Antiphon, -a statement which harmonizes very well with the circumstances of their lives. The ancients often couple Thucydides with Antiphon, \$ and mention these two as the chief masters of the old austere oratory. If the nature of which we must here endeavour rightly to comprehend. It does not consist (as might be conjectured from the expressions used in speaking of it, which are justified only by a comparison with the smooth and polished oratory of later days) in any intentional rudeness or harshness, but in the orator's confining himself to a clear and definite expression of what he had clearly and definitely conceived. Although it is not to be denied that the orators of that time were defieient in the fluency which results from practice, they had on that account all the more power and freshness of thought; many reflections, which afterwards became trivial from frequent repetition, and in this way came to be used in a flippant and superficial manner, were then delivered with all the energetic earnestness of real feeling; and, without taking into

<sup>• @</sup>missi kissi. † This occurs frequently in Dionysius of Halicarnassus, † The nost important authority is Caellius of Calaster, a distinguished rhocircian of Cicero's time, many of whose striking judgments and important remarks are still extant. See the \*Fine X. Orstow., c. Photius, Biblook, Codex, 230, § When, heteorical studies were still a novelly, Thucydides at the age of wenty

g meen metorical studies were still a novelty. Thurydides at the age of twenty might easily have been the scholar of Antiphon, who was eight years his senior, I Dionya. Hal., de verb. camp., p. 150, Reiske. Tryphon, in Wals, Rhet., t. VIII., p. 750.

ч abstracis хасантіс, abstraci áquesia, austernm dicendi genus; sec Dionys. Hal., de compos. verborum, p. 147, secqc.

consideration the value and importance of their works as products of human genius, we find in writers like Antiphon and Thucydides a continual liveliness, an inexhaustible vigour of mind, which, not to go farther, places them above even Plato and Demosthenes, notwithstanding their better training and wider experience.

§ 4. We shall arrive at a clearer conception of the train of thought in these writers by considering, first the words, and then the syntactical combinations by which their style was distinguished. Great accuracy in the use of expressions\* is a characteristic as well of Antiphon as of Thucydides. This is manifested, among other things, by an attempt to make a marked distinction between synonyms and words of similar sound; this originated with Prodicus, and both in this Sophist and in the authors of whom we are speaking occasionally gave an air of extravagance and affectation to their style. † Not to speak of individual words, the luxuriance of grammatical forms in the Greek language and the readiness with which it admitted new compounds, enabled these authors to create whole classes of expressions indicating the most delicate shades of meaning, such as the neuter participles. ! In regard to the grammatical forms and the connecting particles, the old writers did not strive after that regular continuity which gives an equable flow to the discourse, and enables one to see the whole connexion from any part of it: they considered it of more importance to express the finer modifications of meaning by changes in the form of words, even though this might produce abruptness and difficulty in the expressions, 6 With respect to the connexion of the sentences with one another, the language of Antiphon and Thucydides stands half-way between the consecutive but unconnected diction of Herodotus# and the periodic style of the school of Isocrates. We shall consider in one of the following chapters how the period, which conveys an idea of a style finished and rounded off, was first cultivated in that later school: here it will be sufficient to mention the total want of such a finished periodic completeness in the writings of Antiphon and Thucydides. There

ἀπριβολογία lei τοῦς ἐνόμασα, Marcellin., rita Thuryd., § 36.
 † As when Antiphon says (de card. Herod., § 94, according to the probable read-

A a wirm Antiquon may (of cod. Hereas, 2) was, according to the protoner read-ners ( $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ ). "You are now accurately expected to the constraint of the protoner read-ble deciders ( $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ ) of the truth." See the similar examples in § 10, 102. Which had greater influence than the quarrel, were sufficient to subdust the passion which had greater influence than the quarrel, were sufficient to subdust the passion with via boiling in this mind "( $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ ) are  $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ . Throughter, who is as partial as Antiphon to this model of expression, also uses the phrase  $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ . Throughter, who is a partial as Antiphon to this model of expression, also uses the phrase  $\gamma_{\rm cons} = 1.0$ . Antiphon the protoner parties of possible properties of protoner parties of possible properties.

As an example, we may mention Antiphon's common practice of passing from the copulative to the adversative. He often begins with sai, but substitutes a & for the corresponding and which should follow. This represents the two members sy at first corresponding parts of a whole, and thus the opposition of the second to the first is rendered more promiuent and striking.

A Lier eierechen.

are, indeed, plenty of long sentences in these authors, in which they show a power of bringing thoughts and observations into the right connexion with each other. But these long sentences appear as a heaping together of thoughts without any necessary rule or limit, such that if the author had known any further eircumstances likely to support his argument, he might have added or incorporated those circumstanees.\* and not as a whole of which all the subordinate particulars were necessary integral parts. The only structure of sentences which was cultivated to any great extent at this period was that in which the different members are not related to one another as principal or subordinate but merely as consecutive sentences, i. e. the copulative, adversative, and disjunctive sentences; † and these were consistently and artfully carried out in all their parts. It is indeed very worthy of remark, how skilfully an orator like Antiphon arranged his thoughts so that they always produced those binary combinations of corresponding or opposed members; and how laboriously he strove to exhibit on every side this symmetrical relation. and, like an architect, carried the symmetry through all the details of his work. To take an example, the orator has scarcely opened his mouth to speak on the murder of Herodes when he falls into a system of parallelisms such as we have just described; "Would that my oratorical skill and knowledge of affairs, O judges, were equal to my unhappy condition and the misfortunes which I have suffered. As it is, however, I have more of the latter than I ought to have; whereas the former fails me more than is expedient for me. For where I was in bodily peril on account of an unjust accusation, there my knowledge of affairs was of no avail; and now that I have to save my life by a true statement of the case, I am injured by my inability to speak;" and so forth. It is elear that this symmetrical structure of sentences 1 must have had its origin in a very peculiar bias of mind; namely, in the habitual proneness to compare and discriminate, to place the different points of a subject in such connexion that their likeness or dissimilitude might appear in the most marked manner; in a word, this mode of writing presumes that peculiar combination of ingenuity and shrewdness for which the old Atheniaus were so pre-eminently distinguished. At the same time it cannot be denied that the habit of speaking in this way had something misleading in it, and that this parallelism of the members of a sentence was often carried much farther than the natural conditions of thought would have prescribed; especially as a mere formal play with sounds united itself

This structure of sentences, which occurs principally in narrative, will be discussed more at length when we come to Thucydides.

<sup>†</sup> The sentences with and (τι) — and, with μίτ — δί, with π (πότιρε) — δ. In general, this constitutes the αντικεμώνη λίξει.

<sup>†</sup> This is the inaquines einters of Caecillus of Calacte (Photius, Cod. 259), the concumitas of Cicero.

with this striving after an opposition of ideas and a counterpoise of thoughts, the object being to make this relation of the thoughts significant to the ear also; but this was pursued so eagerly that the real object was often overlooked.

The figures of speech, which were mentioned while we were speaking of Gorgias,-the Isocola, Homwoteleuta, Parisa, Paronomasia, and Parecheseis,-were admirably suited to this symmetrical architecture of the periods. The ornaments of diction are all found in Antiphon, but not in such numbers as in Gorgias, and they are treated with Attic taste and discernment. But Antiphon also makes his antitheses of equal numbers of like-sounding words balanced against one another. Antiphon, too, is fond of opposing words of similar sound in order to call attention to their contrasted significations, † and his diction has something of that precision and constrained regularity which reminds us of the stiff symmetry and parallelism of attitudes in the older works of Greek sculpture.

6 5. Though Antiphon by the use of these artifices, which the old rhetoricians called "figures of diction," t was enabled to trick out his style with a sort of antique ornaments, he did not, according to the judicious remark of one of the best rhetoricians, & make any use of the "figures of thought." || These turns of thought, which interrupt its equable expression, proceed for the most part from passion and feeling, and give language its pathos; they consist of the sudden burst of indignation, the ironical and sarcastic question, the emphatic and vehement repetition of the same idea under different forms, T the gradation of weight and energy, . and the sudden breaking off in the midst of a sentence, as if that which was still to be said transcended all power of expression. †† But there is often as much of artful design as of violent emotion in these figures of thought: thus the orator will sometimes seek about for an expression as if he could not find the right one, in order that he may give the proper phrase with greater force after he has discovered it: tt sometimes he will correct what he has said, in order to

<sup>&</sup>quot;A. A. c. g., in de cost. Hered., § 13. "There must be more in your power to nave me justly, then in may mentine with to destroy me unjustly"—">
- learner begins to the learner with to destroy me unjustly"—">
- learner begins to be a learner with the destroy me unjustly "—">
- learner begins to be a learner begins to be

<sup>\*\*</sup>Textuara vit Alkus.

† Excitator of Calacte (apual Phot., Cod. 259, p. 485 Bekker), who adds with great judgment, "that he will not assert that the figures of thought never occur in Anti-phon, but that when they occur, they are not designed (asr ivreshore), and that they are of rare occurrence."

f exchara viç daning. tt Aposiopesis.

convey an idea of his great scrupulousness and accuracy; he will suggest an answer in the mind of his adversary, as if it was obvious and inevitable; or he will pervert the other party's words, so as to give them an entirely different signification; and so forth. All these forms of speech are foreign to the old Attic oratory, for reasons which lie deeper than in the history of the rhetorical schools, viz. in the development and progressive change of the Athenian character. These figures rest, as has just been shown, partly on a violence of passion which lays aside all claim to tranquillity and self-control; partly in a sort of crafty dissimulation which employs every artifice in order to make the appearances all on its own side. These two qualities -- vehemence of passion and tricky artifice-did not become the prominent features of the Athenian character till a later period, and though they grew stronger and stronger after the shock given to the morality of Greece by the speculations of the Sophists, and at the same time by the party-spirit, which the Peloponnesian war engendered, and which, according to Thucydides, & nurtured the prevailing tendency to intrigue, yet it was some time before the art of speaking arrived at that stage of development which necessitated or admitted these peculiar figures of speech. In Antiphon, as well as in Thucydides. the old equable and tranquil style is still prevalent : all the efforts of the orator are directed to the invention and opposition of the ideas which his argument requires him to bring forward : all that is unreal or delusive consists in the thoughts themselves, not in any obscurity produced by the excitements of passion. On the few occasions when Antiphon spoke, he must have spoken, like Pericles, with unmoved countenance. and in a tone of the most tranquil self-command, although his contemporary Cleon, whose style of speaking was very far removed from the artificial oratory of the day, used to run backwards and forwards on the bema, throwing his mantle aside and smiting his thigh with violent and excited gesticulations.

§ 6. ANDOCIDES, who stands next to Antiphon in point of time, and some of whose speckes have come down to us, is a more interesting person in reference to the history of Athens at this period than in regard to the cultivation of rhetoric. Sprung from a noble family which furnished the heralds for the Elesanisian mysterics, ¶ we find he was involved in the legal proceedings about the multilation of the Hermal and the profination of the mysteries; he escaped by denouncing the and the profination of the mysteries; he escaped by denouncing the

<sup>·</sup> Epidiorthosis, also called Metanera.

<sup>†</sup> Anaclasia.

<sup>\*</sup> Barneyia. On this account the exchara ris limits are called by Cacilius receive to the excharge as indicate.

§ Thursd. III. 81.

<sup>§</sup> I flucyu. 111., 91.
[ This is mentioned by Plutarch (Nic. VIII., Th. Gracch, II.) as the first offence ever committed against the decency (siepach) of public speaking.
¶ vi vin suprison vii µuerquarrila; yiequ.

guilty, whether truly or falsely, but was obliged to leave Athens. From this time he occupied himself with commercial transactions, which he carried on chiefly in Cyprus, and with endeavours to get recalled from banishment; until, on the downfal of the thirty tyrants, he returned to his native city under the protection of the general amnesty which the opposing parties had sworn to observe. Though be was not without molestation on account of the old charge, we find him still engaged in public affairs, till at last, being sent as ambassador to Sparta in the course of the Corinthian war, in order to negotiate a peace, he was again banished by the Athenians because the result of his negotiations was unsatisfactory.

We have three remaining speeches by Andocides: the first relating to his return from exile, and delivered after the restoration of the democracy by the overthrow of the Four hundred counsellors; the second relating to the mysteries, and delivered in Ol. 95, 1. s.c. 400, in which Andocides endeavours to confute the continually reviving charge with respect to the profanatiou of the mysteries, by going back to the origin of the whole matter; the third on the peace with Lacedsemon, delivered in Ol. 97, 1. s.c. 392, in which the orator urges the Athenian assembly to conclude peace with the Spartans. The genuineness of the last speech is doubted even by the old grammarians: but the speech against Alcibiades, the object of which is to get Alcibiades ostracized instead of the orator, is undoubtedly spurious. If the speech were genuine it could not bave been written by Andocides consistently with the well-known circumstances relating to the ostracism of Alcibiades; in that case it must be assigned to Phreax, who shared with Alcibiades in the danger of ostracism; and this is the opinion of a modern critic; but the contents and form of the speech prove beyond all power of confutation that it is an imitation by some later rhetorician. †

Although Andocides has been included in the list of the ten celebrated orators, he is very inferior to the others in talent and art. ! He exhibits neither any particular acuteness in treating the great events which are referred to in his speeches, nor that precision in the connexion of his thoughts which marks all the other writers of this time: yet we must give him credit for his freedom from the mannerism into which the more distinguished men of the age so easily fell, and also for a sort of natural liveliness, which may together be considered as reliques of the austere style, as it appears in Antiphon and Thucydides. §

<sup>•</sup> Taylor (Lectiones Lysiacor, c. VI.), who has not been refuted by Rahnken and Valckenser.—[See Thirlwall, Hust. of Greece, III., p. 463.—[Do.] † According to Meier, d'Audocidis que valgo factur orations in Alcibiadem, a series of programmes of the University of Halle.

<sup>†</sup> It is surprising that Critias was not rather enrolled among the Ten, but perhaps his having been one of the Thirty stood in his way. Comp. Chap. XXXI. § 4. of The derivation Aigs prevails in Andocides also, but without any striving after s) numetry of expression.

## CHAPTER XXXIV.

- §1. The life of Thouguides: like training that of the age of Pericles. § 3. Itin new method of resting history. § 3. The consequent distribution and arrangement of his autorials, as well in his whole work as, § 4, in the introduction, § 5. Illin mode of treating these seatering is his reservation activition. § 6. Accuracy and, § 7, intellectant character of his history. § § 5. The species have a reasonable of the structure of his sentences.
- § 1. Truccrusors, an Athenian of the demus of Alimus, was born in D. 17, 2. a.e., 471, nine years after the batts of Salamis.\* It lis father Olorus, or Ordus, has a Thracian name, atthough Theoydides himself was an Athenian born: his mother Hegesipple bears the same name as the Thucian wife of the great Milhides, the conquerer at Marathon; and through her Thucydides was connected with the renovemel family of the Philaidne. This family from the time of the older Milnides, who left Athens during the tyramy of the Paistratide and funnded a principality of his own in the Thracian Chersonees, had formed alliances with the people and princes of that district; the younger Milhides, the Marathonian vistor, had married the daughter of a Thracian king named Orolus; the children of this marriage were Ginnon and the younger Hegesipple, the latter of whom married the younger Orolus, probably a grandson of the first, who had obtained the rights of citizenship at Athenugh his councisions; the son of this marriage was Thucydide.

In this way Thueydides belonged to a distinguished and powerful family, possessed of great riches, especially in Thrace. Thueydides himself owned some gold-mines in that country, namely, at Scapte-Hyle

didis) and Suidas with the well-known historical data. The following is the whole genealogy:—

Cimon Stessgore f. Olorus, Thracum regulus.

<sup>•</sup> According to the well known statement of Pamphila (a learned woman of News time), edited by Gellins, N. & X. V. 22. This statement is not impaged by John Thoughden map himself (V. 20), that he was of the right age to observe by John Thoughden map himself (V. 20), that he was of the right age to observe between the 4th and 67th years of him life for though the \$\lambda\_{int}\$ in reference to military service was different; it seems that as unitast placed the ago minishe to military service was different; it seems that asserting the statement of Marcellinas (rich Zimpstell 17 Hz) is the best way of reconciling the statements of Marcellinas (rich Zimpstell 17 Hz) in the best way of reconciling the statements of Marcellinas (rich Zimpstell 18 Marcellinas) (rich Zimpstell 18 Marcellinas (rich 28 Marcellinas (r

(or Wald-rode, as it would have been called in the Harz), in the same district from which Philip of Macedon afterwards derived those resources by which he established his power in Greece. This property had great influence on the destiny of Thucydides, especially in regard to his banishment from Athens, the chief particulars of which we learn from himself.\* In the eighth year of the Peloponnesian war (Ol. 89, 1. B.C. 423) the Spartan general, Brasidas, was desirous of taking Amphipolis on the Strymon. Thucydides, the son of Olorus, lay off Thasos with a small fleet of seven ships, probably on his first command, which he had merited by his services in some subordinate military capacity. Brasidas feared even this small fleet, because he knew that the admiral possessed gold-mines in the district and had great influence with the most powerful inhabitants of the country, so that he would have no difficulty in getting together a body of native troops to reinforce the garrison of Amphipolis. Accordingly, Brasidas granted the Amphipolitans a better capitulation than they expected, in order to gain possession of the place speedily, and Thuevdides, having come too late to raise the siege, was obliged to content himself with the defence of Eion, a fortified city near the coast. The Athenians, who were in the habit of judging their generals and statesmen according to the success of their plans, condemned him for neglect of duty; + and he was compelled to go into exile, in which state he continued for twenty years, living principally at Scapte-Hyle. He was not permitted to return after the peace between Sparta and Athens, but was only recalled by a special decree when Thrasybulus had restored the democracy. After this he must have lived some years at Athens, as his history clearly evinces; but not so long as nature would have permitted; and there is much probability in the statement that he lost his life by the hand of an assassin, t

From this account of the career of Thucydide it appears that he apent only the first part of his life, up to his forty-eighth year, in intercourse with his countrymen of Athens. After this period he was indeed in communication with all parts of Greece, and he tells us that his exile had enabled him to mix with Pelopomesians, and to gain accurate information from them: § but he was out of the way of the intellectual revolution which took place at Athens between the middle and end of the Pelopomenian war: and when he returned home he found himself in the midst of a new generation, with novel ideas and an essentially altered taste, with which he could hardly have amalgamated so tho-

<sup>.</sup> Thucyd. IV., 104, seqq.

<sup>+</sup> The charge against him was probably a year's oredering.

The classic massed over in silence unimportant and doubtful points, as well as manifest errors, especially those introduced into the old biographies of the historian by the confusion between him and the more celebrated statesman, Thucydides, the son of Melesias.

roughly in his old age as to change his own notions in accordance with them. Thueylides, cherefore, is altogether an old Athenian of the achool of Pericles; his education, both real and formal, is derived from that grand and mighty period of Athenian history; his political principles are those which Pericles inculcated; and his style is, on the one hand, a representative of the native falsers and vigour of Periclean oratory, and the other hand an offshoot of the antique, artificial rhetoric taught in the school of Antiplon.\*

§ 2. As an historian, Thucydides is so far from belonging to the same class as the Ionian logographi, of whom Herodotus was the chief, that he may rather be considered as having commenced an entirely new class of historical writing. He was acquainted with the works of several of these Ionians (whether or not with that of Herodotus is doubtful †), but he mentions them only to throw them aside as uncritical, fabulous, and designed for amusement rather than instruction. Thucydides directed his attention to the public speeches delivered in the public assemblies and the lawcourts of Greece : this was the foundation of his history, in regard both to its form and its materials. While the earlier historians aimed at giving a vivid picture of all that fell under the cognizance of the senses by describing the situation and products of different countries, the peculiar customs of different nations, the works of art found in different places. and the military expeditions which were undertaken at different periods; and, while they endeavoured to represent a superior power ruling with infinite authority over the destinies of people and princes, the attention of Thucydides was directed to human action as it is developed from the character and situations of the individual, as it operates on the condition of the world in general. In accordance with this object, there is a units of action in his work; it is an historical drama, a great law-suit, the parties to which are the belligerent republics, and the object of which is the Athenian domination over Greece. It is very remarkable that Thucydides, who created this kind of history, should have conceived the idea more clearly and vigorously than any of those who followed in his steps. His work was destined to be only the history of the Peloponnesian war, not the history of Greece during the Peloponnesian war : conse-

<sup>•</sup> The relation between Thucydides and Perioles is recognized by Wyttenbach, who, in the preface to his Edopo Histories, justly remarks: Therefore to his Edopo Histories, justly remarks: Therefoldes its as and Periolic initiations compossiss violets, ut, quan scriptum wiri multum extet, ejus chopensin formam efficiency per totam historie opus expression poterital serveret. On the teaching of Antiphon, see Chap. XXXIII. § 3.
† The supposed references to Heredotus in I. 50, II. 8. 97, are not quite clear;

<sup>†</sup> The supposed references to Herodotus in I. 20, II. 8. 97, are not quite clear; in the history of the mander of Higparchus, which Thurydides refers to twice the history of the mander of Higgarchus, which Thurydides would be the Herodotus, agrees almost entirely with him, and is free from those lake opinions: see Herodotus, V. 0.5, VI. 123. Thurydides would probably have written differently on several points had he been acquainted with the work of Herodotus, expectally the pussages, I. 74, II S. Comp. above Clan, XIX. § 2.

quently, he had excluded everything pertaining either to the foreign relations or the internal policy of the different states which did not bear upon the great contest for the Hegemony, or chief power in Greece : but, on the other hand, he has admitted everything, to whatever part of Hellas it referred, which was connected with this strife of nations. From the first, Thucydides had considered this war as a great event in the history of the world, as one which could not be ended without deciding the question, whether Athens was to become a great empire, or whether she was to be reduced to the condition of an ordinary Greek republic. surrounded by many others equally free and equally powerful: he could not but see that the peace of Nicias, which was concluded after the first ten years of the war, had not really put an end to it; that it was but interrupted by an equivocal and ill-ohserved armistice, and that it broke out afresh during the Sicilian expedition; with the zeal of an interested party, and with all the power of truth, he shows that all this was one great contest, and that the peace was not a real one.\*

§ 3. Thucydides has distributed and arranged his materials according to this conception of his subject. The war itself is divided according to the mode in which it was carried on, and which was regulated among the Greeks, more than with us, by the seasons of the year: the campaigns were limited to the summer; the winter was spent in preparing the armaments and in negotiation. As the Grecks had no general zera, and as the calendar of each country was arranged according to some peculiar cycle, Thucydides takes his chronological dates from the sequence of the seasons, and from the state of the corn-lands, which had a consi derable influence on the military proceedings; such expressions as, "when the corn was in ear," or "when the corn was ripe," t were sufficient to mark the coherence of events with all needful accuracy. In his history of the different campaigns, Thucydides endeavours to avoid interruptions to the thread of his narrative : in describing any expedition, whether by land or sea, he tries to keep the whole together, and prefers to violate the order of time, either hy going back or hy anticipating future events, in order to escape the confusion resulting from continually breaking off and beginning again. That long and protracted affairs, like the sieges of Potidaca and Platzea, must recur in different parts of the history is unavoidable; indeed it could not be otherwise, even if the distribution into summers and winters could have been given up. ! For transactions like the siege of Potidea cannot be brought to an end in a luminous and satisfactory manner without a complete view of the position of the helligerent powers, which prevented the besieged from

Thueyd, V. 28.
 † This is in answer to the censures of Dionysius, de Thueydide judicium. c. 1X<sub>n</sub>,
 p. 826, Reiske,

receiving succour. The eareful reader of Thucydides will never be disturbed by any violent break in the history; and the event which, considered as one, was the most momentous in the whole war, and which the author has invested with the most lively interest,-namely, the Athenian expedition to Sicily, with its happy commencement and ruinous termination,-is told with but few (and those short) digressions.\* The whole work, if it had been completed, would resolve itself into three nearly equal divisions: I. The war up to the peace of Nicias, which from the forays of the Spartans under Archidamus is called the Archidamian war; II. The restless movements among the Greek states after the peace of Nicias, and the commencement of the Sicilian expedition; III. The renewed war with the Peloponnesus, called by the ancients the Decelean war, down to the fall of Athens. According to the division into books, which, though not made by Thucydides, proceeded from an arrangement by some intelligent grammarians, the first third is made up of books 11. III. IV.; the second of books V. VI. VII.; of the third, Thucydides himself has completed only one book, the VIIIth.

6 4. In discussing the manner in which Thucydides distributed and arranged his materials, we have still to speak of the 1st book ; indeed this demands a more particular consideration, because its arrangement depends less upon the subject itself than upon Thucvdides' peculiar reflections. The author begins with asserting that the Peloponnesian war was the greatest event that had happened within the memory of man, and establishes this by a retrospective survey of the more ancient history of Greece, including the Persian war. He goes through the oldest period, the traditions of the Trojan war, the centuries immediately following that event, and, finally, the Persian invasion, and shows that all previous undertakings wanted the external resources which were brought into play during the Peloponnesian war, because they were deficient in two things,-money and a navy,+-which did not arise among the Greeks till a late period, and developed themselves only by slow degrees. In this way Thucydides applies historically the maxims which Pericles had practically impressed upon the Athenians, that money and ships, not territory and population, ought to be made the basis of their power; and the Peloponnesian war itself appeared to him a great proof of this position, because the Peloponnesians, notwithstanding their superiority in extent of country and in the number of their free citizens, so long fought with Athens at a disadvantage till their alliance with Persia had furnished them with abundant pecuniary resources, and thus enabled them to collect and maintain a considerable

212

How happily even these digressions are interwoven with the narrative of the Biellian expedition; e. g., the calamities produced at Athens by the occupation of Decelea, and the horrible massacre at Mycalessus by the Thracian mercenaries (Thucyd. VII. 27—30) / χεήμανα καὶ saerrain.

fleet. Having shown by this comparison the importance of his subject. and having given a short account of the manner in which he intended to treat it, the historian proceeds to discuss the causes which led to the war. He divides these into two classes ;-the immediate causes or those which lay on the surface, and those which lay deeper and were not alleged by the parties. † The first consisted of the negotiations between Athens and Corinth on the subject of Corcyra and Potiders, and the consequent complaint of the Corinthians in Sparta, by which the Lacedæmonians were induced to declare that Athens had broken the treaty. The second lay in the fear which the growing power of Athens had inspired, and by which the Lacedæmonians were compelled to make war as the only pledge of security to the Peloponnese. This leads the historian to point out the origin of this power, and to give a general view of the military and political occurrences by which Athens, from being the chosen leader of the insular and Asiatic Greeks against the Persians, became the absolute sovereign of all the Archipelago and its coasts. Connecting these remarks on the causes of the war with the preceding discussion, we clearly see that Thucydides designed to give a concise sketch of the history of Greece, at least of that part which seemed the most important to him, namely, the development of the power depending on money and shipping; in order that the causes of the great drama of the Peloponnesian war, and the condition and circumstances of the states which play the principal part in it, may be known to the resder. But Thucydides directs all his efforts to a description of the war itself, and in this aims at a true conception of its causes, not a mere delineation of its effects: accordingly, he arranges these antecedent events according to general ideas, and to these he is willing to sacrifice the chronological steps by which the more deeply rooted cause of the war (i. e. the growth of the Athenian power) connected itself with the account of the weakness of Greece in the olden time, given in the first part of the book.

The third part of the first book contains the negotiations of the Deloponnessian confederacy with its different members and with Athens, in consequence of which it was decided to declare war; but even in this part we may discern the purpose of Thoughides, whough he has partially concealed his object,—to give the reader a clear conception of the earlier occurrences on which depended the existing condition of Greece, and

† airiai parteni.- apartis.

Theopolite's reasoning is obviously a correct one in reference to the policy of attain which, like Athens, was desirons of founding its power on the sovereignty of the coasts of the Mediterranean; but states which, like Macedon and Koma, strengthened themselves by a compared of inland nations and great masses of the masses of the strengthened themselves by a compared of inland nations and great masses of the Mediterranean, and you are since for the basis of their power, and the actions are series afterward a screeted to them naturally.

especially the dominion of Athens. In these negotiations, among other things, the Athenians call upon the Lacedemonians to liberate themselves from the pollution which they had incurred by putting Pausanias to death in the temple of Pallas; upon this the historian relates the treasonable undertaking of Pausanias and his downfal : with which he connects, as a mere episode, an account of the last days of Themistocles. The fact that Themistocles was involved in the ruin of Pausanias is not sufficient to justify the insertion of this episode; but the object of Thucydides is to present the reader with the last and least known occurrences in the life of this great man, who was the author of the naval power and peculiar policy of Athens; and in this to take an opportunity of paying the full tribute of just appreciation to the greatness of his intellectual character.

§ 5. Thus much may suffice for the general distribution and plan of the work; we now turn to the manner in which he has treated his materials. The history of Thucydides is not a compilation from books, but is drawn immediately from the life, from the author's own observation, and from oral communications; it is the first written record of an eye-witness, and bears the stamp of fresh and living truth, which can only appear in a history of this kind. Thucydides, as he tells us himself. foresaw what kind of a war it would be, and commenced his descriptions with the war itself : + in its progress, he set down the different events as they occurred, either from his own experience or from careful informstion, which he derived, not without much trouble and expense, from persons of both parties; 1 and he laboured at his history partly in Athens before his banishment, and partly in Scapte-Hyle during his exile. At the latter place the plane-tree under which Thucydides used to write was shown long after his death. All that he wrote in this way, during the course of the war, was only a preliminary labour, of the nature of our Memoirs ; & he did not commence the actual arrangement of his materials till after the end of the war, when he was again residing in his native country. This is shown partly by the frequent references to the duration, the issue, and the general connexion of the war; | but especially by the fact that the history was left unfinished; whence we may conclude, that the memoirs which Thucydides had written during the war, and which necessarily extended to the surrender of Athens, were not so complete as to supply the defects of the work. There is much plausibility, too, in the statement, that of the work, as it has come down to us, the last book was left incomplete at the death of the author, and was expanded by the copyist and first added to the others by a daughter of Thucydides, or by

<sup>\*</sup> See Thury J. 1. 108.

\* See Thury J. 7. 95, VII. 41. Comp. Marcelline. § 20.

\* Then ever called by the ancients, \*remains are to commercial recommendation. See Thurs J. 1. 13, 93; II. 63; V. 26. The tone of many passage, too, is such that we may clearly see that the historian is writing in the time of the new Sparan Legenon; with spulles particularly to 1. 71.

Xenophon: only we must not seek to raise any doubt as to the genuineness of the VIIIth book; all that we are entitled to do is to explain, on this hypothesis, certain differences in the composition, and to infer from this that the work wants the last touches of the master's hand.

8 6. We cannot form any opinion as to the manner in which Thuevdides collected, compared, examined, and put together his materials, for the oral traditions of the time are lost; but, if perfect clearness in the narrative; if the consistency of every detail as well with other parts of the history as with all we know from other sources of the state of affairs at that time; if the harmony of all that he tells with the laws of nature and with the known characters of the persons of whom he writes : if all this furnishes a security for the truth and fidelity of an historian, we have this guarantee in its most ample form in the work of Thucydides. The ancients, who were very strict in estimating the characters of their own historians, and who had questioned the veracity of most of them. are unanimous in recognizing the accuracy and trustworthiness of Thucydides, and the plan of his work, considered in the spirit of a rhetorician of the time, fully justifies his principle of keeping to a statement of the truth; even the singular reproach that he has chosen too melancholy a subject, and that he has not considered the glory of his countrymen in this selection, becomes, when properly considered, an encomium on his strict historical fidelity. The deviations of later historians, especially Diodorus and Plutarch, upon close scrutiny, confirm the accuracy of Thucydides : + and, in all the points of contact between them, in characterizing the statesmen of the day and in describing the position of Athens at different times, Thucydides and Aristophanes have all the agreement which we could expect between the bold caricatures of the comedian and the accurate pictures of the historian. Indeed we will venture to say. that there is no period of history which stands before us with the same distinctness with which the first twenty-one years of the Peloponnesian war are presented to us in the work of Thucydides, where we are led through every circumstance in all its essential details, in its grounds and occasion, in its progress and results, with the utmost confidence in the guiding hand of the historian. The only thing similar to it in Roman history is Sallust's account of the Jugurthan war and of the Catilinarian conspiracy. The remains of Tacitus' contemporary history (the Historiae), although equally complete in the details, are very inferior in clear and definite narratives of fact. Tacitus hastens from one exciting occurrence to another, without waiting to give an adequate account of

<sup>·</sup> On the speeches wanting in this book, see below, § 11.

<sup>†</sup> Diodorus, in the history of the period between the Persian and Peloponnesian wars, though he adopts the annalistic mode of reckoning, is far from being as exact as Thucydides, who only gives a few notes of time. All that we can use in Diodorus is bis leading dates, successions of kings, years of the deaths of individuals, &c.

the more common events connected with them.\* Thucytides himself designed his work for those who wish to learn the truth of what has happened, and to know what is most for their interest in reference to the similar cases, which, according to the course of human affairs, must again occur; for such persons Thucytidus bequeaths his book as a lasting study.† In this there is an early indication of the tendency to pragmatical history, in which the chief object was the training of generals and statesmen,—in a word, the practical application of the work; while the marration of events was regarded as merely a means to an end: such a pragmatical history we shall find in the later ages of socient literature.

§ 7. Thucydides would never have been able to attain this truth and clearness in his history had he contented himself with merely setting down the simple testimonies of eve-witnesses, who described what they saw and felt, and had only inserted here and there his own views and reasonings. Its credibility rests mainly on the circumstance, that Thucydides, as well by education as by his natural abilities, was capable of inferring, from the conduct of the persons who figure in his history, the motives which actuated them on every occasion. It is only in particular cases, where he expressly mentions his doubts, that Thucydides leaves us in the dark with regard to the motives of the persons whose actions he describes; and he gives us these motives, not as matter of supposition and conjecture, but as matter of fact. As an honest and conscientious man, he could not have done this unless he had been convinced that these views and considerations, and these alone, had guided the persons in question. Thucydides very seldom delivers his own opinion, as such; still more rarely does he pronounce scutence on the morslity or immorality of a given action. Every person who appears in this history has a strongly marked character, and the more significant his share in the main action, so much the more clearly is he stamped with the mark of individuality; and though we cannot but admire the skill and power with which Thucydides is able to sum up in a few words the characters of certain individuals, such as Themistocles, Pericles, Brasidas, Nicias, Alcibiades, yet we must admire still more the nicety with which he has kept np and carried out all the characters, in every feature of their actions, and of the thoughts and opinions which guided them.1

For instance, it is extremely difficult to get an entirely clear conception of the war in Upper-Italy, between the partisons of Otho and Vitellius.

war in opper-rang, between the partness of consideration and records the third in the meaning of the elebrated arous is did, 1, 22; it does not mean an exertisating memorial or monument. Thurydides opposes his work, which people were to keep by them and read over and over again, to a composition which was designed to gratify an audience on one occasion only.

<sup>†</sup> Marcellinus calls Thucydides denis Ateronapiras, as Sophocles, among the poets, was also renowned for the iteration.

§ 8. The most decided and the boldest proof which Thucvdides has given of his intention to set forth the events of the war in all their secret workings, is manifested in that part of his history which is most peculiarly his own-the speeches. It is true that these speeches, given in the words of the speakers, are much more natural to an ancient historian than they would be to one at the present day. Speeches delivered in the public assembly, in federal meetings, or before the army, were often, by virtue of the consequences springing from them, important events, and at the same time so public, that nothing but the infirmities of human memory could prevent them from being preserved and communicated to others. Hence it came to pass, that the Greeks, who in the greater liveliness of their disposition were accustomed to look to the form as well as to the substance of every public communication, in relating the circumstance were not content with giving an abstract of the subject of the speech, or the opinions of the speaker in their own words, but introduced the orator himself as speaking. As in such a case, the narrator supplied a good deal from his own head, when his memory could not make good the deficiency; so Thucydides does not give us an exact report of the speeches which he introduces, because he could not have recollected perfectly even those which he heard himself. He explains his own intention in this matter, by telling us that he endeavoured to keep as closely as possible to the true report of what was actually said; but, when this was unattainable, he had made the parties speak what was most to the purpose in reference to the matter in hand." We must, however, go a step further than Thucydides, and concede to him greater freedom from literal tradition than he was perhaps conscious of himself. The speeches in Thucydides contain a sum of the motives and causes which led to the principal transactions; namely, the opinions of individuals and of the different parties in a state, from which these transactions sprung, Speeches are introduced whenever he thinks it necessary to introduce such a developement of causes; when there is no such necessity, the speeches are omitted; though perhaps just as many were actually delivered in the one case as in the other. Accordingly the speeches which he has given contain, in a summary form, much that was really spoken on various occasions; as, for instance, in the second debate in the Athenian assembly about the mode of treating the conquered Mitylenseans, in which the decree that was really acted on was passed by the people; in this the opinions of the opposing parties-the violently tyrannical, and the milder and more humane party-are pourtraved in the speeches of Cleon and Diodotus, though Cleon had, the day before, carried the first inhuman decree against the Mitylengans, + and in so doing had doubtless said much in support of his motion which

<sup>·</sup> rà dieres malieres, Thucyd. I. 22.

Thucydides has probably introduced into his speech in the second day's dehate. In one passage, Thucydides gives us a dialogue instead of a speech, because the circumstances scarcely admitted of any public harangue: this occurs in the negotiations between the Athenians and the council of Melos, before the Athenian strack upon this Dorian island. after the peace of Nicias: but Thucydides takes this opportunity of stating the point at which the Athenians had arrived in the grasping, selfish, and tyrannical policy, which guided their dealings with the minor

§ 9. It is unnecessary to mention that we must not look for any mimic representation in the speeches of Thucydides, any attempt to depict the mode of speaking peculiar to different nations and individuals; if he had done this, his whole work would have lost its unity of tone and its harmony of colouring. Thucydides goes into the characteristics of the persons whom he introduces as speaking, only so far as the general law of his history permits. In setting forth the views of his speakers, he has regard to their character, not only in the contents and subject of the speeches which he assigns to them, but also in the mode in which he developes and connects their thoughts. To take the first book alone, we have admirable pictures of the Corcyrmans, who only maintain the mutual advantages resulting from their alliance with Athens; of the Corinthians, who rely in some degree on moral grounds; of the discretion, mature wisdom, and noble simplicity of the excellent Archidamus; and of the haughty self-confidence of the Ephor Sthenelaidas, a Spartan of the lower order; the tone of the composition agrees entirely with the views and fundamental ideas of their speeches; as, for instance, the searching copiousness of Archidamus and the cutting brevity of Sthenelaidas. The chief concern of Thucydides in the composition of these speeches was to exhibit the principles which guided the conduct of the persons of whom he is writing, and to allow their opinions to exhibit, confirm, and justify or exculpate themselves. This is done with such intrinsic truth and consistency, the historian identifies himself so entirely with the characters which he describes, and gives such support and plausibility to their views and sentiments, that we may be sure that the

† Dionysius says (de Thueyd, judic., p. 910), that the principles unfolded in this dialogue are suited to barbarians and not to Atheniaus, and blames Thucydides most violently for introducing them ; but these were really the principles on which the Athenians acted.

<sup>\*</sup> The speeches often stand in a relation to one another which could not have been justified by existing circumstances. Thus, the speech of the Corinihians in I. 120 seqq., is a direct answer to the speech of Archidamus in the Spartan assembly, and to that of Pericles at Athens, although the Corinthians did not hear either of them. The reason of this relation is, that the speech of the Corinthians expresses the hopes of victory entertained by one portion of the Peloponnesians, while Archidamus and Pericles view the unfavourable position of the Peloponnese with equal clearness, but from different points of view. Compare also the remarks on the speeches of Pericles in Chap. XXXI.

persons themselves could not have pleaded their own cause better under the immediate influence of their interests and passions. It must indeed be allowed, that this wonderful quality of the historian is partly due to the sophistical exercises, which taught the art of speaking for both parties, for the bad as well as the good; but the application which Thucvdides made of this art was the best and most beneficial that could be conceived; and it is obvious, that there can be no true history unless we presume such a faculty of assuming the characters of the persons described, and giving some kind of justification to the most opposite opinions, for without this the furce of opinions can never be adequately represented. Thucvdides developes the principles which guided the Athenians in their dealings with their allies with such a consistent train of reasoning, that we are almost compelled to assent to the truth of the argument. In a series of speeches, occurring in very different parts of the history, but so connected with one another that we cannot fail to recognize in them a continuation of the same reasoning and a progressive confirmation of those principles, the Athenians show that they did not gain their power by violence, but were compelled by the force of circumstances to give it the form of a protectorate; that in the existing state of things they could not relinquish this protectorate without hazarding their own existence; that as this protectorate had become a tyranny, it must be maintained by vigour and severity; that humanity and equity could only be appealed to in dealings with an equal, who had an opportunity of requiting benefits conferred upon him; till at last, in the dialogue with the Melians, the Athenians assert the right of the stronger as a law of nature, and rest their demand, that the Melians should become subject to them, on this principle alone. "We desire and do," say they, " only what is consistent with all that men conceive of the gods and desire for themselves. For as we believe it of the gods, so we clearly perceive in the case of men, that all who have the power are constrained by a necessity of nature to govern and command. We did not invent this law, nor were we the first to avail ourselves of it; but since we have received it as a law already established and in full force, and since we shall leave it as a perpetual inheritance to those who come after us, we intend, on the present occasion, to act in accordance with it, because we know that you and all others would act in the same manner if you possessed the same power." † These principles, according to which no doubt Greeks and other men had acted before them, though perhaps under some cloak or disguise of justice, are so coolly propounded

Thueyd. 111. 37. 40. This is said by Cleon, who, in the case in question, was defeated by the more humane party of Diodotus; but this exception, made in the case of the Mitylemeans, remained an exception in favour of humanity; as a general rule, the spirit of Cleon predominated in the foreign policy of Atnena.
 † Thurcd, V, 105, according to Dr. Arnold's correct interpretation.

dispassionately, so absolutely without any expression of his own opinion to the contrary, that we are almost led to believe that Thucydides recognized the right of the strongest as the only rule of polities, But there is clearly a wide difference between the modes of thinking and acting which Thucvdides describes with such indifference as prevalent in Athens, and his own convictions as to what was for the advantage of mankind in general and of his own countrymen in particular. How little Thuevdides, as an honest man, approved of the maxims of Athenian policy established in his own time, is clear from his striking and instructive picture of the changes which took place in the political conduct of the different states after the first years of the war, in consequence chiefly of the domestic strife of factions-changes which Thucvdides never intended to represent as heneficial, for he says of them, that "simplicity of character, which is the principal ingredient in a noble nature, was in those days ridiculed and hanished from the world." . The panegyric on the Athenian democracy and on their mode of living. which occurs chiefly in the funeral oration of Pericles, is modified considerably by the assertion of Thucydides, that the government of the Fivethousand was the best administered constitution which the Athenians had enjoyed in his time : + and also by the incidental remark that the Lacedeemonians and Chians alone, so far as he knew, were the only people who had been able to unite moderation and discretion with their good fortune. 1 And thus, in general, we must draw a distinction between the sound and serious morality of Thucydides and the impartial love of truth. which led him to paint the world as it was; and we must not deny him a deep religious feeling, hecause his plan was to describe human affairs according to their relation of cause and effect; and because, while he took account of the belief of others as a motive of their actions, he does not obtrude his own belief on the subject. Religion, mythology, and poetry, are subjects which Thucydides, with a somewhat partial view of the matter, & sets aside as foreign to the business of a historian; and we may justly regard him as the Anaxagoras of history, for he has detached the workings of Providence from the chain of causes which influence the life of man as distinctly and decidedly as the Ionian philosopher separated the roug from the powers which operate on the material world.

§ 10. The style and peculiar diction of Thucydides are so closely

<sup>\*</sup> III. 83: vè contis, co vè promies marieres perigre, navayeautes équeletes. † Thucyd. VIII. 97.

I Thuevd, VIII, 24, It would be easy to show that Thucydides sets too low a value on the old civilization of Greece; and, in general, the first part of the first book, the introduc-tion properly so called, as it is written to establish a general proposition for which Thucydides pleads as an advocate, does not exhibit those unprejudiced views for which the main part of the work is so peculiarly distinguished,

connected with the character of his history, and are so remarkable in themselves, that we cannot but make an attempt, notwithstanding the necessary brevity of this sketch, to set them before the reader in their main features.

We think we have already approximated to a right conception of this peculiar style, in the remark, that in Thucydides the concise and pregnant oratory of Pericles was combined with the antique and vivorous but artificial style of Antiphon's rhetoric.

In the use of words, Thucydides is distinct and precise, and every word which he uses is significant and expressive. Even in him this degenerates, in some passages, into an attempt to make distinctions, after the manner of Prodicus, in the use of nearly synonymous words."

This definiteness of expression is aided by great copiousness of diction, and in this, Thucydides, like Antiphon, uses a great number of antique, poetical words, not for the mere purpose of ornament, as is the case with Gorgias, but because the language of the day sanctioned the use of these pithy and expressive phrases. † In his dialect, Thucydides kept closer to the old Attic forms than his contemporaries among the comic poets. I

Similarly, the constructions in Thucydides are marked by a freedom, which, on the whole, is more suitable to antique poetry than to prose; and this has enabled him to form connexions of ideas, without an admixture of superfluous words, which disturb the connexion, and, consequently, with greater distinctness than would be possible with more limited and regular constructions. An instance of this is the liberty of construing verbal-nouns in the same way as the verbs from which they are derived, 6 These, and other things of the same kind, produce that rapidity of description, as the ancients call it, I which hits the mark at once.

In the order of the words, too, Thucydides takes a liberty which is generally conceded to poets alone; inasmuch as he sometimes arranges the ideas rather according to their real connexion or contrast than according to the grammatical construction. ¶

. I. 69: IL 62: III. 16, 39.

<sup>+</sup> These expressions, which had become obsolete in the mean time, were called in later times process; hence, Dionysius complains of the phoremarism in the style of Thucydides.

See Chap. XXVII. at the end.
This is the origin of such expressions as the following: \$ 40 approxyma, "the circumstance that a hostile city was not surrounded by walls of circumvallation;" vi airi iviè avairas lia digasas, "the case in which every individual, each for himself, entertains the same opinion;" à anolosse devotes (not the same as axisbose), "a state of slavery in which one can live comfortably and free from all apprehensions."

Taxes vis summins. As in 111. 39: mirk ran rakiminerarus king erderis dimplicas, where the first words are placed together for the sake of contrast.

In the connection of his sentences there is sometimes an inequality and hardness, very different from the smooth and polished style of later times. Moreover he does not avoid using different grammatical forms (cases and moods) in the corresponding members of the sentence, \(^{\text{tr}}\_{\text{tr}}\) and allowing rapid changes in the grammatical structure, which are often not expressly indicated but tacitly introduced, an expression required by the sentence being supplied from another similar one.

\$ 11. The structure of periods in Thucydides, like that of Antiphon. stands half-way between the loose connexion of sentences in the Ionian writers and the periodic style which subsequently developed itself at Athens. The greater power and energy in the combination of thoughts is manifested by the greater length of the sentences. In Thucydides there are two species of periods, which are both of them equally characteristic of his style. In one of them, which may be termed the descending period, the action, or result, is placed first, and is immediately followed by the causes or motives expressed by causal-sentences, or participles, which are again confirmed by similar forms of speech. § The other form, the ascending period, begins with the primary circumstances, developing from them all sorts of consequences, or reflexions referring to them, and concludes, often after a long chain of consequences, with the result, the determination, or the action itself. Both descriptions of periods produce a feeling of difficulty, and require to be read twice in order to be understood clearly and in all respects; it is possible to make them more immediately intelligible, more convenient and pleasant to read, by breaking them up into the smaller clauses suggested by the pauses in the sentence; but then we shall be forced to confess that when the difficulty is once overcome, the form chosen by Thucydides conveys the strongest impression of a unity of thought and a combined working of every part to produce one result.

This mode of constructing the sentence is peculiar to the historical style of Thucydides: but he resembles the other writers of the age in

<sup>·</sup> àmpalia, reaxords.

<sup>† 5.5.,</sup> when he connects by an two different constructions of cases, as the grounds of an action, or when, after the same final or conditional particle, he places first the conjunctive, and then the optative, in which the distinction is obvious.—
[See Arnold's Thucyddes, 111, 22—Exist.]

2. The zigina eyes or a samonams, also the dark soons, is very common in Thucyddes,

dides.
§ Examples, I. 1: Somehides Emigente x.v.A. I. 25: Kajirin & navà vi lizam-

the symmetrical structure which prevails in his speeches, in separating and contrasting the different ideas, in comparing and discriminating, in boking backwards and forwards at the same time, and so producing a sort of equilibrium both in the diction and in the thoughts. As we have already said, in speaking of Antiphon, this antithetical style is not mere mannerism; it is a natural product of the acuteness of the people of Attica; but at the same time it is not to be denied, that under the influence of the sophistical ristories it degenerated into a sort of manuerism; and Thueydides himself is fall of artifaces of such a nature that we are sometimes at a loss whether we are to admire his refined discrimination, or wonder at his artifucy and affected ornaments,—especially when the cuivarial graces of Isocola, Homeosteleula, Parceleut, &c., are suscended to the real contrasts of thoughts and ideas.\*

On the other hand, Thucydides, even more than Antiphon, is free from all those irregularities of diction which proceed from passion or dissimulation; he is conspicuous for a sort of equable tranquillity, which cannot be better described than by comparing it to that sublime serenity of soul which marks the features of all the gods and heroes sculptured by Phidias and his school. It is not an imperfection of language, it is rather a mark of dignity, which predominates in every expression, and which, even in the most perilous straits which necessarily called into play every passion and emotion-fear and anguish, indignation and hatredeven in these cases, bids the speaker maintain a tone of moderation and reflexion, and, above all, constrains him to content himself with a plain and impressive statement of the affair which he has in hand. What passionate declamation a later rhetorician would have put into the mouths of the Theban and Platsean orators, when the latter are pleading for life and death against the former before the Spartans, and yet Thucydides introduces only one burst of emotion: " Have you not done a dreadful deed?"+

It will readily be imagined, on the slightest comparison between these speeches and those of Lysias, how strange this style and this eloquence —with its fulness of thoughts, its terse and nervous diction, and its connexions of sentences not to be understood without the closest attention must have appeared to the Athenians, even at the time when the work

<sup>\*</sup> As when Tunypidion may (IV. 01); if if before a legisler. It is a legisler in the property of the propert

<sup>†</sup> His si hash ligy sets; III. 66. There is a good deal more liveliness and cheerfulness (probably intended to characterize the speaker) in the oration of Athenagoras, the leader of the democratic party at Syncuse. (Thuryd. VI. 38, 39)

of Thucydides first began to attract notice. In reference to the speeches, Cartippus—continuer of the history—was perhaps right when he assigned, as a reason for the emission of speeches in the VIIIth book, that Thucydides found them no longer suited to the prevailing testes. Even at that time these speeches must have produced much the same effect upon the Attic taste as that which Cicero, at a later period, endeavoured to convey to the Romans, by comparing the style of Thucydides with old, sour, and heavy Falernian.† Thucydides was scarcely easier to the later Greeks and Romans than he is to the Greek scholars of the present time; may, when Cicero declares that he finds the speeches in his history almost unintelligible, modern philologers may well congratulate themselves that they have surmounted all three difficulties, and left scarcely anything in them unexplained or misunderstood.

## CHAPTER XXXV.

- § 1. Erents which followed the Peloponnevian war. The abstrature of Lynia. Leading epochs of his life. § 2. The exilies polyhisted rhetrios of Lynias. § 3. The style of this rhetric preserved in his hier panegyried speeches. § 4. Change in the ontory of Lysias produced by his own impulses and by his employment as a writer of speeches for private individuals. § 5. Analysis of his speech armint According to the Computer of th
- § 1. The Pelopomesian war, terminating, as it did, after enormous and unexampled military efforts, in the downfall of the power of Athens, was succeeded by a period of exhaustian and repose. Freedom and democraces were indeed restored by Thrasybalus and his party, but Athens had ceased to be the capital of a great empire, the soverige of the sea and of the coasts; and it was only by the produces of Conon that she recovered even a part of her former supremacy. The fine atts which, in the time of Pericles, had been carried to such perfection by Phidias and his schoo, were checked in their further progress; and did nor resume their former visgour till a generation later (Ol. 102, no. 232), when they aprung up into new life in the later Attic school of raxitles. Poetry, in the later tragedy and in the dithyramb, degenerated more and

<sup>\*</sup> Cralippus, apud Dionys. de Thucyd. judic., c. XVI., p. 847: τῶς ἀκούνετι τρληκά είναι. † Člerco, Brutus 83. § 288.

more into rhetorical casuistry or empty bombast. That higher energy, which results from a consciousness of real greatness, seemed to have vanished from the arts, as it did from the active life of man.

And yet it was at this very time that prose literature, freed from the fetters which had bound it hitherto, began a new career, which led to its fairest developement. Lysias and Isocrates (the two young men whom Socrates opposes one to another in Plato's Phædrus, bitterly reproaching the former, and forming the most brilliant expectations with regard to the latter) gave an entirely new form to oratory by the happy alterations which they, in different ways, introduced into the old prose style.

Lysias was descended from a family of distinction at Syracuse. His father, Cephalus, was persusded by Pericles to settle at Athens, where he lived 30 years: he is introduced in Plato's Republic, about the year Ol. 92, 2. a.c. 411,† as a very old man, respected and loved by all about him. When the great colony of Thurii was founded by an union of nearly all Greece (Ol. 84, 1. B.C. 444), Lysias went thither, along with his eldest brother Polemarchus, in order to take possession of the lot assigned to his family; at that time he was only 15 years old. At Thurii he devoted himself to rhetoric, as taught in the school of the Sicilian Sophists; his instructors were the well-known Tisias, and another Syracusan, named Nicias. He did not return to Athens till Ol. 92, 1. B C. 412, and lived there some few years in the house of his father Cephslus, till he set up for himself as a professed Sophist. ! Although he did not enjoy the rights of citizenship at Athens, but was merely a resident slien, 6 he and his whole family were warmly engaged in favour of the democracy. On this account, the Thirty compelled his brother Polemarchus to drink the cup of hemlock, and Lysias only escaped the rage of the tyrants by flying to Megara. He was thus all the more ready to aid Thrasybulus and the other champions of freedom at Phyle with the remains of his property, and forwarded with all his might the restoration of democracy at Athens

He was now once more settled at Athens as proprietor of a shieldmanufactory, also teaching rhetoric after the manner of the Sophists,

See Lysias, in Eratosth., § 4.
 According to the date of the Republic, as fixed by Bockh in two Programmes of the University of Berlin for the years 1838 and 1839. Auries & reports is mentioned in the speech against Newra (p. 1352 Reiske), and there is no doubt that the orator is meant,

<sup>§</sup> Mirroses. Thresybulus wished to have made him a citizen, but circumstances did not favour his design, and the orator remained an ineralist, one of a privileged class among the sirvess. As irrelast the family had, before the time of the Thirty, served as choregi, like the citizens.

With an obvious manifestation of personal interest, Lysias (in bis funeral oration, § 66) commemorates the strangers, i.e. the resident aliens, who fell fighting in the Peirwus by the side of the liberators of Athens.

when a new career was opened to him by an event which touched him very nearly. Eratosthenes, one of the Thirty, wished to avail himself of the advantage granted to the Thirty Tyrants under the general amnesty, namely, that it should extend to them also, if they would submit to a public inquiry, and so clear themselves of all guilt. Eratosthenes relied on having belonged to the more moderate party of Theramenes, who, on account of his greater leniency, had fallen a victim to the more energetic and violent Critias. And yet it was this very Eratosthenes · who had, in accordance with a decree of the Thirty, arrested Polemarchus in the open street, carried him off to prison, and accomplished his judicial murder. When his conduct was submitted to public investigation, Lysias came forward in person as his accuser, although, as he says himself, he had never before been in court, either on his own business or on that of any other person. + He attacks Eratosthenes, in the first instance, on account of his participation in the death of Pole marchus and the other misfortunes which he had brought upon his family; and then enters on the whole career and public life of Eratosthenes, who had also belonged to the Four-hundred, and was one of the Five Enhori whom the Hetæriæ, or secret associations, got elected after the battle of Ægospotami : and in this he maintains, that Theramones, whose leniency and moderation had been so much extolled, had, by his intrigues, been a principal cause of all the calamities that had befallen the state. The whole speech is pervaded by a feeling of the strongest conviction, and by that natural warmth which we should expect in the case of a subject so immediately affecting the speaker. He concludes with a most vehement appeal to the judges: "I shall desist from any further accusations; ve have heard, seen, and experienced :- ve know !decide then !"

§ 2. This speech forms a great epoch in the life of Lysias, in his employments and studies, in the style of his ortacy, and, we may add, in the whole history of Attie prose. Up to that time, Lysias had practiced rhetoric mercly as a Sophist of the Sicillian shool, instructing the young and composing school-exercises. The peculiarity and mannersm, which must have naturally resulted from such an application of eloquence, were the less likely to be escaped in the case of Lysias, as like as entirely under the influence of the school which had produced Gorgias. Lysias shared with Gorgias in the endeavour to evince the power of oratory, by giving probability to the incredible; hence resulted a low of paradox, and an unnatural and afreed arrangement of the materials, excessive artifice of orannems in the details, and a total want of that natural carnestness which springs from conviction and a feeling of truth. The difference between these

sidire. † sör lµaures aurers erre alliten açaynara açalas, Eratosth. § 3.
 2 v.

teachers of rhetoric consisted in this one feature: that Gorgias, who had naturally a state for smart and glittering ornaments, went made farther than Lysias in the attempt to charm the ear with euphonics, to captivate the imagination with splendid diction, and to blind the understanding with the magic of oratory: whereas Lysias (who was, at the bottom, a man of good, plain common seans, and who had imbibed the abrewdness and refinement of an Attie mind by his constant intercourse with the Athenians, having belonged to their party even at Thurit, or combined, with the usual arts of sophistic crotrory, more of his own peculiarities—more of subtle novelty in the conception, and more of terseness and views in the conception, and more of terseness and views in the conception, and more of terseness and views in the conception, and more of

We derive this notion of the earlier style of Lysias principally from Plato's Phadrus, one of the earliest works of that great philosopher, † the object of which is to exalt the genuine love of truth high above that sporting with thoughts and words to which the Sophists confined themselves. The dialogue introduces us to Phædrus, a young friend of Socrates, whom an essay of Lysias has filled with enthusiastic admiration. This essay he reads to Socrates at his request, and partly by serious argument, partly by a more sportive vein of reasoning, is led to recognize the nothingness of this sort of oratory. It is probable that Plato did not borrow the essay in question immediately from Lysias, but composed it himself, in order to give a comprehensive specimen of the faults which he wished to point out. Its theme is, to persuade a beautiful youth that he should bestow his affections upon one who loved him not, rather than upon a lover. As the subject of the essay is quite of a sophistic nature, so the essay itself is merely the product of an inventive genius, totally devoid of spirit and carnestness. The arguments are brought forward one after the other with the greatest exactness, but there is no unity of thought, no general comprehension of ideas, no necessary connexion of one part with the other; nor are the different members grouped and massed together so as to form one consistent whole: hence, the wearisome monotony of conjunctions by which the sentences are linked together. 1 The prevalent collocation is the antithesis tricked out with all its old-fashioned ornaments, the Isocola, Homæoteleuta, &c. § The diction is free from the poetic estentation of Gorgias; but it is so

Lysias left Thurii when, after the failure of the Sicilian expedition, the Lace-demonian party there got the upper hand, and domineered over the Athenian colonists.

<sup>+</sup> According to the old tradition, it was written before the death of Socrates (Ol. 95, 1, n.c. 399).

In this short essay, three sentences begin with fee N..., and four with each

als N....

§ In the passages (p. 233): issues γès and (a) Δγαντέσουν, and (b) Δεκλασθέσουν,
από (c) νεί βάγαι Εξινου, από (a) κάλευτα ἐνδέσοναι, από (β) και λακρίσταν γέσο ιδευτα,
από (γ) νακλαί ἀγαδά αὐτοῦ ιδζευται, the scatteness α, β, γ are manifestly divided
into three only for the aske of an equipoise of homocoleutes.

carefully formed, and with so many artificial turns, that we are at once struck with the labour which such a school-exercise must have cost the writer.

§ 3. In the extant collection of the works of Lysias we have no school-exercise (μελέτη) of this kind, and, generally, no speech anterior in date to the accusation of Eratosthenes; we have only those works which he composed in his riper years, and which exhibit the more matured taste of their author. Among these, however, there is one which presents traces of his earlier declamation; the reason of which is to be sought in the difference of subject. The Funeral Oration for the Athenians who fell in the Corinthian war, which was written by Lysias after Ol. 96, 3. s.c. 394, but could hardly have been delivered in public, belongs to a class of speeches formally distinguished from the deliberative † and judicial ; orations, because it was not designed to produce any practical result. On this very account, the sort of speeches to which we refer, and which are called "speeches for display," "showspeeches," § were removed from the influence of the impulses which imparted a freer and more natural movement to orations of the practical kind. They were particularly cultivated by the Sophists, who professed to be able to praise and blame everything; and, even after the time of the Thirty, they retained their sophistic form. Such a work is the Epitaphius of Lysias. This oration, following the fashion of such "show-speeches" (ἐπιζείζεις), goes through the historical and mythical ages, stringing together the great deeds of the Athenians in chronological order; dwelling at great length on the mythical proofs of Athenian bravery and humanity, such as their war with the Amazons, their exertions in obtaining the sepulture of the heroes who fell at Thebes, and their reception of the Heracleidæ; then recounting the exploits of the Athenians during the Persian invasion; but passing rapidly over the Peloponnesian war :- in direct contrast to the plan of Thucydides ;-and in general laying the greatest stress on those topics which were most adapted for panegyrical declamation. | These ideas are worked out in so forced and artificial a manner, that we cannot wonder at those scholars who have failed to recognize in this speech the same Lysias that we find in the judicial orations. The whole essay is pervaded by a regular

<sup>.</sup> With the exception, as it seems, of the singular little speech, well wall was sucres seastleyin, which is neither a judicial speech nor yet a mere satirs. It seems to be based upon real occurrences, but is altogether sophistical in the execution. It is a tract in which Lysins renounces the friendship of those with whom he had been on terms of lutimacy and friendship.

<sup>†</sup> συμβουλιοτικόν γίνος, deliberativum genus. Lunnais, judiciale genus. § luilineinis, marayoginis pisos. The only passage in which he evinces any real interest in his subject is that in which he extols those who put down the tyranny of the Thirty, and among them, the strangers who fought for the democracy on that occasion, and consequently obtained in death the same privileges as the citizens themselves (§ 66).

monotonous parallelism of sentences, the antithesis being often one of words rather than one of thoughts: \* Polus, or any other pupil of Gorgias, could hardly have revelled more in assonances, † and such-like lineling rhetoric.

§ 4. It is probable that Lysiss would never have escaped from this forced and articles style, had not a real feeling of pain and anger, like that which was excited in his beam by the audacious impudence of the sapirits and to his speech. Not that we fail to recognize, even in the sprich against Erastoschneus, the school in which Jysiss had lived up to that time; for the tendency to divide, compare, and oppose, peeps out in tendency to divide, compare, and oppose, peeps out in tendency his breath of the most solont and energetic declamation. But this tendency is bere subordinated to the carnet wehenence with which Lysiss nurveils the basencies of his opponent.

This oceasion convinced Lysias what style of oratory was both the most suited to his own character and also least likely to fail in producing an effect upon the judges. He now began, in the 50th year of his life, to follow the trube of Antiphon, and wrote speeches for such private individuals as could not trust to their own skill in addressing a court. For this object a plain, martificial style, was the best suited, because the citizens, who called in the aid of the speech writer, were just those who had no skill in speaking and no knowledge of rhetoric: I and thus Lysias was obliged to by himself out for such a style, in which, of course, he became more and more confirmed hy hahit. The consequence was, that for his contemporaries, and for all ages, Lysias standa forth as the first, and, in many respects, the most perfect pattern of the plain (or homely) typle. §

Lysia alistinguished, with the securery of a dramatist, between the different characters into whose mouths he put his speeches, and made every one, the young and the old, the rich and the poor, the educated and the uneducated, speak secording to his quality and condition: this is what the ancient critics praise under the name of his Ethopzia. If The prevalent tone, however, was that of the average man; accordingly, Lysias addrect to the looser collectation of sentences, ¶ which is ob-

<sup>•</sup> As when Lysias says (§ 25): "sacrificing their body, but for virtue's sake selting no value on their life:" where body and life (\$\sigma\_2\epsilon\_2\ep

<sup>†</sup> σεςςτίση, useh as με έμεν σερί σει ξέμες λαβως, Epitoph. § 3.

Sec Quincili. Instit. Or. III. 8, § 30, 51: Non sout multe a Greeis Lainingue composite orationes, quibos sill uterstut, ad quorum conditionem vilamque aptands, que dicebantur, fuerant:—ideoque Lysias oplime videitur in lis, que scribeba indocis, servasse evilatis fidem.

ο δ ieχvis, άφιλες χαρακτέρ, tenue dicendi genus.

| Dionys. Halic. de Lysia jud., c. 8, 9, p. 407 Reiske. Comp. de Isco, c. 3,

I king bakikoping, nearly the same as signifig.

screed in ordinary conversation, and did not trouble himself with the structure of periods, which were just coming into fashiou: although, at the same time, he shows that he understands the art of combining sentences in one whole; and, when the occasion serves, he can group his thoughts together and present them to his hearers with a vivid conception of their unity." The figures of thought, as they are called, which we have mentioned above as interruptions to the natural current of our feelings, are used by Lysias very sparingly: but, at the same time, he altogether neglects the figures of speech, which made up the old-fashioned ornaments of rhetoric, and indeed, the more so in proportion as the tone of the particular speech is plainer and more simple. In the individual words and expressions Lysias keeps strictly to the ordinary language of every day life, and repudiates all the trickery of poetic diction, compound words, and metaphors. His object is to supply his client with as many convincing arguments as he can deliver before the judges in the short time which the water-clock (clepsydra) allowed to the plaintiff and defendant in an action. The procemium is designed solely to produce a favourable impression, and to conciliate the good will of the judges: the narrative part of the speech, for which Lysias was particularly famous, is always natural, interesting, and lively, and is often relieved hy a few mimic touches which give it a wonderful air of reality: the proofs and confutations are distinguished by a clearness of reasoning, and a boldness and confidence of argument, which seem to leave no room for doubt; in a word, the speeches of Lysias are just what they ought to be in order to obtain a favourable decision, which was the only object proposed by their writer; an object in which, as it seems, he often succceded.

§ 5. The most compicuous among the speeches of Lysias are those which are designed to resent the injuries brought upon Athens and her individual citizens, in the time of their depression, by means of the oligarchical intrigues which preceded the tyramy of the Thirty, and by means of that tyramy intelf, and in which Lysias and his family had so grievously suffered. To this class belongs the speech against Agoratus, which, among his extant oratious, immediately follows that against are touches; † and, although not delivered in the author's name, presents many points of resemblance to the latter. By suggesting that the party

<sup>\*</sup> H ευντρόμουτα καὶ κόμαντα καὶ στιγγγρόλος ἰαξιερονα λίξει, as it is called by Dionys. Hal., de Lysia just., 6, p. 461. He differs from Thucydides in placing the confirmatory sentences and participles sometimes before and sometimes after the main sentence: e. g. the external circumstances first, and the subjective reasons shownered.

<sup>†</sup> It was delivered Ol. 94, 4. z.c. 401, and is an accusation âταφωρῆ, i. c. directed towards an immediate execution of the punishment, because the accuser regards Agoratus as a murderer, who, in defiance of the established law against nurderers, still frequented the temples and public assemblies.

accused is the common enemy of the judges and of the accuser, the procemium at once conciliates the good will of the judges. It draws the attention of the audience to a highly interesting nurrative, in which the fall of the democracy is connected with the ruin of Dionysodorus, whom the accuser seeks to avenge. This narrative, which at the same time unfolds the state of the case, and is premised as the main point in it, begins with the battle of Ægos-potami, and details all the detestable manœuvres by which Theramenes endeavoured to deliver up his native city, unarmed, into the power of her enemics. The fear of Theramenes lest the leaders of the army should detect and thwert his intrigues, led to the guilt of Agoratus: according to the orator's account of the matter, Agoratus willingly undertook to represent the commanders as enemics of the peace, in consequence of which they were apprehended and indicially murdered by the Council under the Thirty Tyrants. This narrative, which is given in the most vivid colours, and, in its main features, is supported by evidence, concludes, with the same artful and well-contrived simplicity which reigns throughout the speech, in a scene in the dungeon, where Dionysodorus, after disposing of his property leaves it as a sacred duty to be performed by his brother and brother-inlaw, the accuser, and all his friends, nay, even by his unborn child, that they should take vengeance for his death on Agoratus, who, according to the Athenian way of viewing the matter, was considered as the chief author of it. The accuser now briefly sketches the mischiefs done by the Thirty-who could not have got their power without the intrigues here referred to; confutes some pleas which Agoratus might bring forward in his justification, by a careful scrutiny of all the circumstances attending his denunciation; then enlarges upon the whole life of Agoratus; the meanness of his family, his usurpation of the rights of citizenship, his dealings with the liberators at Phyle, with whom he sought to identify himself, t but was rejected by them as a murderer; then justifies the harsh measure of the summary process (amaywyh), which the accuser had thought fit to employ against Agoratus; and finally proves, that the amnesty between the two parties at Athens did not apply to Agoratus, The cpilogue very emphatically lays before the judges the dilemma in which they were placed, of either condemning Agoratus, or justifying the execution of those persons whose ruin he had effected. The excellence of this brief but weighty speech will be perecived even from this

<sup>\*</sup> The Johyan is electrice used by Lysias as the sarderman, or definition of the state access, and immediately foliosor the carefuling viberes Antiphon follows up e.g. the direct proof or formal multifestion, and then at last introduces the hypers or part the way for other proofs, such as those springing from probability.

If there are obscure point remains to be strictle—what believe the Agents to Join Lysias and the second of the proofs and the proposition of the proofs and the proofs and the proofs and the proofs are proofs and the proofs and the proofs are the proofs and the proofs are proof to the same proof for the same consists are proof for the same consists and the proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs are proofs are proofs and the proofs are proofs.

summary of it: it lies open to only one censure, which is generally brought against Lysias by the old rhetoricians—that the proofs of his accusation, which follow the narrative, hang together too loosely, and have not the unity which might easily have been produced by a more accurate attention to a closer connexion of thought.

§ 6. Lysias was, in these and the following years, wonderfully prolific as an orator. The ancients were acquainted with 425 orations which passed under his name; of these, 250 are recognized as genuine; we have 35 of them, which, by the order in which they have come down to us, appear to have belonged to two separate collections.\* One of these collections originally comprised all the speeches of Lysias arranged according to the causes pleaded in them, a principle of arrangement which we have already discovered in the case of Antiphon. Of this collection we have but a mere fragment, containing the last of the speeches on manslaughter, the speeches about impiety, and the first of the speeches about injuries: † either from accident or from caprice, the Funeral Oration is placed among these. The second collection begins with the important speech against Eratosthenes. It contains no complete class of speeches, but is clearly a selection from the works of Lysias, the choice of speeches being guided by their historical interest. Consequently, a considerable number of these speeches carry us deeply into the history of the time before and after the tyranny of the Thirty, and are among the most important authorities for the events of this period with which we are not sufficiently acquainted from other sources. As might be expected, none of these speeches is anterior in date to the speech against Eratosthenes: I nor can we show that any one of them is subsequent to Ol. 98, 2. B.C. 387, & although Lysias is said to have lived till Ol. 100, 2 or 3, n.c. 378. The arrangement is neither chronological, nor according to the causes pleaded; but is an arbitrary compound of both.

According to the discovery made by a young friend of the Author, which will
probably he soon brought out in a complete and finished state.
 The speech for Excistatenes is an ἀναλογία ἀνικό, and is followed by the speech

This pieces of trainment and the property of the property of

portation, v. rasis, a scoulabilité or ser a engiant, and to un ess repassaux avys, interpretai Joyn, ter also quotes.

2 The operets of the production not belong to the time of the Four-hundred, and the scruliny (languard) which Polystrate had to underpo as an officer of his tribs, and at which be was changed with having belonged to the Four-hundred. The speech bigas marchirus; drainy/a was dollvered under similar circumstances.

The speech about the property of Aristophanes probably falls under this year.

A speech in the first series (that against Theomnestus) was written later,—
0. 98, 4, or 99, 1. no. 284.

## CHAPTER XXXVI.

- §1. Early training of Incentee; but slightly influenced by Sornier. §2. School of Incentee; it is great repair; is attempt to influence the politics of the day without thoroughly understanding them. §3. The form of a speech the principal matter in his judgment. § 4. New development which he gave to prose composition. §5. His structure of periods. §6. Smoothness and evenness of his style. §7. He prefers the panegriest contexty to the forenais.
- § 1. It is very doubtful whether Plato would have accorded to Isocrates in his maturer age those high praises which he has bestowed upon him in the earlier years of his life, or would have preferred him so decidedly to Lysics. Isocrates, the son of Theodorus, was born at Athens in Ol. 86, 1. s.c. 436, and was, consequently, about 24 years younger than Lysias. He was, no doubt, a well-conducted youth, eager to acquire information; and, to get himself thoroughly educated, became a pupil, not only of the Sophists Gorgias and Tisias, but also of Socrates. In the circle of his friends so strong an impression was erested in his favour, that it was believed that "he would not only in oratory leave all other orators behind him like children, but that a divine instinct would lead him on to still greater things. For that there was an carnest love of wisdom in the heart of the man." Such is the prophecy concerning him which Plato puts into the mouth of Socrates himself. Notwithstanding this, however, Isocrates seems to have made no use of the great philosopher beyond acquiring from him such a superficial knowledge of moral philosophy as would enable him to give a colouring of science to his professional exertions. Rhetorie was, after all, his main occupation, and no age before his had seen so much care and labour expended on this art. Accordingly, Isocrates essentially belongs to the Sophists, differing from them only in this, that he could not any longer oppose the Socratic philosophy by the bold proposal of making all things equally true by argument:" on the contrary, he considered speech as only a means of setting forth, in as pleasing and brilliant a manner as possible, some opinion, which, though not very profound, was, at any rate, quite praiseworthy in itself. If, however, he was less concerned about enlarging his ideas and getting a deeper insight into the reality of things, or, in general, comprehending the truth with greater clearness and accuracy, than about perfecting the outward form and ornamental finish of his

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  See the speech  $\sigma_{10}^{\circ}$  are history, § 30, where he justly repudiates the charge, that he was corrupting the youth by teaching them to turn right into wrong in the courts of justice. Comp. § 15.

style, it follows that Plato, if he had criticized him when farther advanced in his career, must have classed him among the arrizans. who strove after a mere semi lance of truth, in opposition to the true philosophers.

§ 2. Isocrates had a strong desire to give a political turn to the art of speaking which, with the exception of the panegyrical species. had hitherto been cultivated chiefly for the contests of the courts; but bashfulness and physical weakness prevented him from ascending himself the bema in the Pnyx. Consequently, he set up a school, in which he principally taught political oratory : and so schulously did be instruct young men in rhetoric, that his industry was fully recognized by his contemporaries, and his school became the first and most flourishing in Greece. † Cicero compares this school to the wooden horse of the Trojan war, because a similar number of oratorical heroes proceeded from it. Public speakers and historians were his principal auditors; and the reason of this was, that Isocrates always selected for his exercises such practical subjects as appeared to him both profitable and dignified, and chiefly proposed as a study to his hearers the political events of his own time-a circumstance which he has himself alleged as the main distinction between himself and the Sophists. 1 The orations which Isocrates composed were mostly destined for the school; the law-speeches which he wrote for actual use in the courts were merely a secondary consideration. However, after the name of Isocrates had become famous, and the circle of his scholars and friends extended over all the countries inhabited by Greeks, Isocrates calculated upon a more extended publicity for many of his orations than his school would have furnished, and especially for those which touched on the public transactions of Grecce; and their literary circulation, by means of copies and recitations, obtained for him a wider influence than a public delivery from the bema would have done. In this manner, Isocrates might, even from the recesses of his school, have produced a beneficial effect on his native land, which, torn with internal discord, was striving against the powerful Maccdonian; and, to say the truth, we cannot but allow that there is an effort to attain this great object in those literary productions which he addressed, at different times, to the Greeks in general, to the Athenians, to Philip, or to still remoter princes; § nay, we some-

<sup>ு</sup> சில்காகள் சூர்வர். Isocrates, in his speech against the Sophists, § 10, blances earlier rhetoricians for making the *breefindus* the chief point, and so bringing forward the least agreeable side of rhetoric. † 11e soon had about 100 hearers, each of whom paid a fee of 1000 drachmus (one-sixth of a talent).

See especially the panegyrie on Helen, § 5, 6.
§ In this manner borrairs endeavoured to work upon the island of Cyprus, where at that time the Greek state of Salanis had raised itself into importance. His Evagoras is a panezyrie on that excellent ruler, addressed to his son and successor, Nicocles. The tract Nicocles is an exhortation to the Salaminians to

times find in them a certain amount of plain-speaking; hut it is quite clear that Isocrates had none of those profound views of policy which could alone have given weight and efficiency to his suggestions. He shows the very best intentions, always exhorts to concord and peace, lives in the hope that every state will give up its extravagant claims, act free its dependent allies, and place itself on an equal footing with them, and that, in consequence of these happy changes, something great will be undertaken against the barbarians. We find nowhere in Isocrates any clear and well-based conception of the principles by which Greece may be guided to this golden age of unity and concord, especially of the rights of the states which would be affected by it, and the claims which would have to be set aside. In the speech about the peace, which was published during the Social War, he advises the Athenians, in the first part, to grant independence to the rebellious islanders; in the second part, he recommends them to give up their maritime supremacy-judicious and excellent proposals, which would only have the effect of annihilating the power of Athens and checking every tendency to manly excrtion. In his Areopagiticus he declares that he sees no safety for Athens, save in the restoration of that democracy which Solon had founded and Cleisthenes had revived; as if it were possible to restore, without the least trouble in the world, a constitution, which, in the course of time, had undergone such manifold changes, and, with it, the old simplicity of manner, which had altogether disappeared. In his Panegyricus, he exhorts all the Greeks to give up their animosities, and to direct their ambition against the barbarians; the two chief states, Athens and Sparta, having so arranged as to divide the Hegemony or leadership between them; a plan very sensible at the time, and not altogether impracticable, but requiring a totally different hasis from that which Isocrates lays down; for presuming a violent objection on the part of the Lacedemonians, he proves to them, from the mythical history of early times, that Athens was more deserving of the leadership than Sparta. † The only true and correctly conceived part of the speech is that in which he displays the divided condition of Greece, and the facility with which the Greeks, if only united, could make conquests in Asia. Lastly, in his Philip, a tract inscribed to the king of Macedon, when this prince, in consequence of the treaty concluded by

obey their new ruler; and his harangue to Nicocles is an exhortation addressed to the young ruler, on the duties and virtues of a sovereign.

<sup>• &</sup>quot;I am accustomed to write my orations with plainness of speech," says he in his letter to Archidamus (IX.), § 13. This letter is undoubtedly genuine; but the following, that to Dionysiua (X.), is, as clearly, the work of a later rhetorician of the Asiatic tchool.

<sup>†</sup> What Isocrates says in this speech (written about 01, 100, 1, no. 380); : \*μ

μ) startings with βelow | ver wires weeps with a til events does not accord with the
result of the negotiations given in Xenoph, Hellow VI. 5, § 3, 4; VII. 1, § 8 and
14 (01, 102, 4, no. 389); where Athens renounces the only practical method of
sharing the Hegemony, by land and water, which the Lacetiernoinians had offered.

. Eschines, had placed Athens in a disegreeable predicament, he exhorts the Maccelonian to come forward as mediator between the dissident states of Greece—the wolf as mediator in the quarrels of the skeep—and then to march along with their united forces against the Persians—the very thing which Philip wished to do, but then he derired to do so in the only possible way by which it could be brought about, namely, as their leader, and, under this name, as the ruler of the fire states of Green states.

How strange, then, must have been the feelings of locerates, when news was brought to him of the downful of Atherian power and Greek independence at Charconea! His benevolent hopes must have been so rudely dashed to the ground by this one stroke, that probably it was disappointment, no less than patriotic grief for the loss of freedom, that induced him to ust an end to his life.

§ 3. The manner in which he speaks of them himself makes it cyident that his heart was but little affected by the subjects treated of in these speeches. In his Philip he mentious that he had treated on the same theme-the exhortation to the Greeks to unite themselves against the barbarians-in his Panegyricus also, and dwells on the difficulty of discussing the same subject in two different orations; "especially since," to use his own words, "the first published is so accurately composed that even our detractors imitate it, and tacitly admire it more than those who praise it most extravagantly." \* In the Panathenaicus, an eulogium on Athens, written by Isocrates when far advanced in age, he says, that he had given up all earlier kinds of rhetoric, and had devoted himself to the composition of speeches which concerned the welfare of the city and of Greece in general; and, consequently, had composed discourses "full of thoughts, and decked out with not a few antitheses and parisoses, and those other figures which shine forth in the schools of rhetoric and compel the hearers to signify their applause by shouting and clapping;" at the present time, however, being 94 years old, he did not think it becoming in him to use this style, but would speak as every one thought himself capable of speaking if he chose, though no one would be able to do so who had not bestowed upon his style the necessary attention and labour. † It is clear, that, while Isocrates pretends to he casting his glance over all Europe and Asis, and to have his soul filled with anxiety for his native land, the object which he really has in his eye is the approbation of the school and the triumph of his art over all rivals. Sothat, after all, these great panegyrical orations belong to the class of school-rhetoric, no less than the Praise of Helen and the Busiris, which Isocrates composed immediately after the pattern of the Sophists, who frequently selected mythical subjects for their encomisstic or vitunerativo

Isocrat. Philipp., § 11. See the similar assertion in the Panegyricus itself § 4.
 † Isocrat. Panathen., § 2.

discourses. In the Praise of Helen he blames another rhetorician for writing a defence of this much maligned heroine, after having professed to write her culogium. In the Busiris he shows the Sophist Polycrates how he should have drawn up his encomium of this barbarous tyraut, and also incidentally sets him right with regard to an ill scleeted topic which he had introduced into an accusation of Socrates. composed by him as a sophistical exercise. Polycrates had given Socrates the credit of educating Alcibiades; "a fact which no one had remarked, but which redounded rather to the credit than to the discredit of Socrates, seeing that Alcibiades had so far excelled all other men." . In this passage Isocrates merely criticizes Polycrates for an injudicious choice of topics, without expressing any opinion upon the character of Socrates, or the justice of his sentence; which were considerations foreign to the question. Isocrates attempts to pass off his own rhetorical studies for philosophy, t but he really had very little acquaintance with the philosophical strivings of his age. Otherwise he would not have included in one class, as "the contentious philosophers," the Eleatics Zeno and Melissus, whose sole object was to discover the truth, and the Sophists Protagoras and Gorgias, I

§ 4. Little as we may be disposed, after all these strictures, to regard Isocrates as a great statesman or philosopher, he is not only eminent, but constitutes an epoch in himself, as a rhetorician or artist of language, Over and above the great care which he took about the formation of his style, Isocrates had a decided genius for the art of rhetoric; and, when we read his periods, we may well believe what he tells us, that the Athenians, alive as they were to beauties of this kind, felt a real enthusiasm for his writings, and friends and enemies vied in imitating their magic elegance. When we read aloud the panegyrical orations of Isocrates, we feel that, although they want the vigour and profundity of Thucydides or Aristotle, there is a power in them which we miss in every former work of rhetoric-a power which works upon the mind as well as upon the ear; we are carried along by a full stream of harmonious diction, which is strikingly different from the rugged sentences of Thucydides and the meagre style of Lysias. The services which Isocrates has performed in this respect reach for beyond the limits of hia own school. Without his reconstruction of the style of Attic oratory we could have had no Demosthenes and no Cicero; and, through these,

<sup>·</sup> Busiris, § 5.

p. 1. In the speech to Demonicus, § 3; Nicocles, § 1; Concerning the Peace, § 5; Busiris, § 7; Against the Sophists, § 14; Panathenaicus, § 263. In his wyl arrairsus, § 30, he opposes the wyl vis hinst naholsiusus to the wyl vis phosopius handlesses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Praise of Helen, § 2—6: h στεὶ τὰς Γεολος φιλονοφία. Similarly in the speech στεὶ λυτιδέτειες, § 268, he mixes up the physical speculations of the Electies and Pythagorean with the sophisms of Gorgias.

the school of Isocrates has extended its influence even to the oratory of our own day.

Isocrates started from the style which had been most cultivated up to his time, namely, the antithetical.\* In his carlier labours he took as much pains with this symmetrical structure as any Sophiat could have done; but in the more flourishing period of his art he contrived to melt down the rigidity and stiffness of the antithesis, by breaking through the direct and immediate opposition of sentences, and by marshalling them in successive groups and in a longer series.

Isocrates has always one leading idea, which is in most cases of suitable importance, fertile in its consequences, and capable of evoking not only thought but feeling; hence his fondness for general political subjects, which furnished him best with such topics. In these leading thoughts he seizes certain points opposed to one another, such as the old and the new times, or the power of the Greeks and that of the barbarians; and expanding the leading idea in a regular series of sequences and conclusions, he introduces at every step in the composition the propositions which contradict it in its details, and in this way unfolds an abundance of variations always pervaded and marked by a recurrence of the original subject; so that, although there is great variety, the whole may be comprehended at one glance. At the same time, Isocrates is careful that the ear may be cognizant of the autitheses which are presented to the thoughts, and he manages this after the fashion of the older Sophists: but he differs from them, partly in not caring so much about the assonances of individual words, as about the rhythm of whole sentenecs; partly by seeking to break up the more exact correspondence of sentences into a system less marked by the stiff regularity of its members ; and partly by introducing into the longer sets of antithetical sentences a gradual increase in the force and intensity of his language; this he effected by extending the sentences, especially in the third member and at the end; † and thus an entirely new vigour of movement was given to the old antithetical construction.

§ 5. The ancients recognize Isocrates as the author or first introducer of the circle of language, as it was called, ; although the Sophist Thrasymachus, a contemporary of Antiphon, is acknowledged to have been master of "the diction which concentrates the ideas and expresses them roundly." ! It was the same Thrasymachus whose chief aim it was

<sup>·</sup> dermunden billig.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;In composite sentences," says Demetrius, de Elocut., § 18, "the last member must be longer than the others."

‡ πύπλες, orbis orationis.

ber must be longer than the others."

1 serreffster av landsace as груурдыг Інфунст Мун. We hat member growth of the state of the ordinal of the state of the ordinal of the state of the ordinal of 1 state of the ordinal of 1 state of the state of the ordinal of 1 state of the state of the

to have the power of either rousing or quieting the anger of his hearers (e. q. the judges), and, in general, of working at pleasure on the feelings of men. There was a work of his called "The Commiseration Speeches" (ilea), and it is to be remarked that this tendency of his elequence must have induced him at the same time to give an easier and more lively flow to his sentences. It was Isocrates, however, above all others, who, by a judicious choice of subjects, imparted to his language the harmonious effect which is so closely connected with the circle of language, as it is called. By this we understand such a formation and distribution of the periods that the several members follow one another as integral parts of one whole, and the general conclusion is expected by the hearer in the very place where it occurs, and is, as it were, almost heard before it is uttered. This impression is produced partly by the union of the several sentences in larger masses, partly by the relation of these masses to one another, so that, without counting or measuring, we feel that there is a sort of harmony which a little, either more or less, would utterly destroy. This is not merely true of primary and subordinate sentences, in the proper sense of the word, which are mutually developed by the logical subordination of thoughts to one another, + but also holds of the co-ordinate masses of opposed sentences (in that antithetical style ! to which Isocrates' longer periods mostly belong), if a periodical cadence is introduced into them. The ancients themselves compare a period in which there is a true equilibrium of all parts with a dome § in which all the stones tend with equal weight to the middle point. It is obvious that this must be regulated by the rhetorical accent, which is the same in oratory that the grammatical accents are in language, and the arsis and thesis in rhythm; these accents must regularly correspond to one another, and each fully occupy its own place: an improper omission, and especially a loss of the fuller accent at the end of the period, is most sensibly felt by a fine and correct ear. The ancients, however, like the moderns, rather leave this main point to be fixed by a sort of general feeling, and reserve definite rules for the subordinate details, upon which Isocrates has bestowed most extraordinary pains in his panegyrical speeches. Euphonious combinations of sound, avoidance of hiatus, certain rhythmical feet at the beginning and end of sentences, these are the objects which he aims at with labour far more than proportioned to the effects which they produce on the hearer. This sort of prose has, in these particulars, a great resemblance to tragedy, which also avoided the hiatus more than any other kind of poetic composition.

<sup>\*</sup> Compare Ciccro's admirable remarks, Orator. 53, 177, 178.

<sup>+</sup> Such as lemporal, causal, conditional, and concessive protases, with their apodoses.

h The ancients frequently express their well-founded opinion, that the juxtapo-ilion of vowels in words and collocations of words produces a soft (molic quid-

§ 6. Isocrates was justly impressed with the necessity of having a certain class of subjects for the development of this particular style, He is accustomed to combine the substance and form of his oratory, as when he reckons himself among those "who wrote no speeches about private matters, but Hellenic, political, and panegyrical orations, which, as all persons must allow, are more nearly akin to the musical and metrical language of the poets than to those speeches which are heard in the lawcourts." \* The full stream of Isocratic diction necessitates the recurrence of certain leading ideas, such as are capable of being brought out in the details with the greatest possible variety, and of being proved by a continually increasing weight of conviction. The predominance of the rhetoric of Isocrates consequently banished from the Attic style more and more of that subtilty and acuteness which seeks to give a definite and accurate expression to every idea, and to obtain this object a sacrifice was made of the correspondence of expressions, grammatical forms, and connexions of sentences, which formed the basis of that impressive and significant abruptness of diction by which the style of Sophocles and Thucydides is distinguished. The flowing language and long periods of Isocrates, if they had had any of this abruptness, would have lost that intelligibility without which the hearers would not have been able to foresee what was coming, and to feel the gratification resulting from a fulfilment of their expectations. In Thueydides, on the contrary, we can scarcely feel confident of having seized the meaning even when we get to the end of the sentence. Hence it is that Isocrates has avoided all those finer distinctions which vary the grammatical expression. His object manifestly is to continue as long as possible the same structure with the same case, mood, and tense. The language of Isocrates, however, though pervaded by a certain genial warmth of feeling, is quite free from the influence of those violent emotions, which, when combined with a shrewdness and cunning foreign to the candid disposition of Isocrates, produce the socalled figures of thought. † Accordingly, though we find in his speeches vehenicat questions, exclamations, and climaxes, we have none of those stronger and more irregular changes of the expression which such figures beget. Isocrates also seeks a rhythmical structure of periods, which seldom admits of any relation of the sentences calculated to cause sur-

dom, Ciercy) and melodious effect (n/h.xe, is the expression of Demetrius), such as was untilable to ples prostry and the old lonle prox. In contrastion and elision of words, on the other hand, make language more plain and compact; and, when all cellisions of vowels at the end and beginning of words is avoided, a kind of smoothness and fluish: is produced, such as was necessary for demostic portry and panegyried cortext. According to Blooysian, every historia is removed from the Armogonitos of Desertics; to produce thus, nowever, there must have been a like that the contrastions of cortext and the produced of the contrastions of the contrastic state of the text.

<sup>·</sup> Isocrates, erg) develorme, § 40.

<sup>†</sup> ogipara vie harrine, Clap. XXXIII., § 5.

prise by their inequality: " he aims at an equability of tone, or at least a tranquillity of feeling; deep and varied emotions would necessarily break the bonds of these regular periods, and combine the scattered members in a new and bolder organization. The ancients, therefore, agree that Isocrates was entirely deficient in that rehemence of oratory which transfers the feelings of the speaker to his audience, and which is called decrorne in the narrower sense of the word; not so much because the labour of polishing the style in its minor details mars this vigour of speech (as Plutarch says of Isocrates: " How could be help fearing the charge of the phalanx, who was so afraid of allowing one vowel to come in contact with another, or of giving the isocolon one syllable less than it ought to have," †), but because this smoothness and evenness of style depended for its very existence upon a tranquil train of thoughts, with no perturbations of feeling to distract the even tenor of its way.

§ 7. In the well-founded conviction that his style was peculiarly adapted to panegyrical eloquence, Isocrates rarely employed it in forensic speeches; in these he approximates more nearly to Lysias. However, he was not, like the orator just mentioned, a professed speechwriter, or logographus. The writers of speeches for the law courts appeared to him, as compared with his pursuits, to be only doll-makers as compared with Phidias; the wrote comparatively few speeches for private persons and for practical purposes. The collection which has come down to us, and which comprises the majority of the speeches recognized by the ancients as the genuine works of Isocrates, § contains 15 admonitory, panegyrical, and scholastic discourses, which were all designed for private perusal, and not for popular assemblies or lawcourts; and after these come six forensic orations, which, no doubt, were written for actual delivery in a court of justice. | Isocrates also wrote,

. As in the beautiful antithetic period at the beginning of the Panathenaicus, the first part of which, with the min, is very artificially divided by the opposition of negation and position, and the development of the negation in particular by the insertion of concessive sentences; while the second part is broken off quite short. If we express the scheme of the period thus :--

B consists only of the words we d' out demons roof receives. In this Isocrates may have imitated Demosthenes.

† Plutarch, de gloria Athen., c. VIII. Demetrius (de Elocut., § 247) remarks, that antitheses and paromea are not compatible with hising.

† 414 arthorne, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ 22.

† Cweilius acknowledged as genuine only 28 speeches. We have 21.

The speech about the exchange (resi development) does not belong to this class. It is not a forensic speech, but written when Isocrates was compelled by the offer of an exchange to sustain a most expensive liturgy,-the Trierarchy. In order to correct the false impressions which were entertained with regard to his profession and income, he serote this speech as "a picture of his whole life, and of the plan which he had pursued," § 7.

at a later period, a theoretical treatise, or  $r(\chi r\eta_1)$ , embodying the principles which he had followed in his teaching, and which he had improved and worked out by practice. This work was much esteemed by ancient rhetoricians, and is often quoted.\*

We have now brought the history of Attic prose, through a series have a statemen, ornors, and reteoricins, from Perioles to Isocrates: we not yet arrived at its highest point; but still this was a remarkable eminence. We now go back again for a few years, in order to commence from a new beginning, not only of Attic training, but of the human mind in general, and to take under consideration a series of remarkable appearances springing from that source.

\*\* To this point the work was brought, when the learned Author proceeded to Greece for the purpose of making personal researches, but where, unfortunately, death brought his labours to a close. The Society have therefore determined to close the volume here; and to leave to the writer of the subsequent portion of the History of Greek Literature a perfect freedom as to the form and mome; in which he shall understhe the task.

VA1 152 3640

INDEX

## INDEX.

ACHÆUS (tragedian), his age and coun-	ÆSCHYLUS,
try 383	plan and purport of the trilogy 328
his manner artificial ib.	his tragedies require faith in a divine
a good writer of Satyric dramas ih.	power
ACUSILAUS (historian), bis age and	general critical remarks on the trilogy ib-
country 261	loss of the Promethens Unbound, to be
bis works, dialect, &c ib.	lamented 330
ÆSCHYLUS (tragedian), time and place	
fought at Marathon 318	its great valueib.
a poet by profession	only complete trilogy extant ib.
arranged and conducted his choruses	time of its production ib.
without assistance ib.	the Agamemnon ib.
his peculiar actors, Cleandrus and	character of the hero ib.
Myniscus ib.	tragic effect of the play 332
seventy of his plays extant in anti-	The Chorphora-its plot ib.
quity 319	progress of the action ih.
period within which they were written ib.	the Furies, according to the view of
obtained the prize for tragedy thirteen	Eschylus
times ib.	The Erinnyer, concluding play of the
three tragedies and a Satyric drama for	trilogy ib.
each contest ib.	the artist combined with the poet in
each three connected in subject and plan ib.	
differed in this from his successors ib.	
	plan and action of the play ib,
	Saturic drama attached to this trilogy-
all his extant dramas late in his career ib,	the Protess
earliest extant, The Persians ib.	critical remarks on Æschylns 335
its date, entline of its plan ib.	his language, grammatical construction,
critical examination of its subject, and	&c ib.
allusions	adapted his language to his characters 336
other lost plays in the same trilogy;	success of the Orestean trilogy ib.
the Phineus ib.	his return to Sicily, and death ih.
the Glaucus Pontius	great respect shown to him and his
residence of Euchylus in Sicily ib.	works after bis death ib.
reasons assigned for it 323	ÆSOP 145
The Persians reproduced before Hiero ib.	account of him, his age, &c 146
The Seven against Theles-its probable	character of his fables ib.
dateib.	metre, &c. of them ib.
its plan and subject ib.	AGATHARCHUS (scene-painter) \$10
conjectures as to the trilogy of which	AGATHON (tragedian), his age, &c 383
it formed part 324	strange demeanour and habits ib.
his disposition and opinions as shown	
by his poetry 325	
The Suppliants-trilogy to which it	AGIAS of Trazzene. (See Cyclic poems) 69
belonged ib.	ALCEUS (lyric poet) 166
its want of dramatic interest owing to	his birthplace and family ib.
its being the middle piece 326	his age, and perilous times 167
the other plays of the trilogy ib.	his poetry full of passionate emotion ib.
time of its production 327	subjects of his poems ib.
the Prometheus Bound-probably one	those called party-poeus by the an-
of his last productions ib.	cients 168
its allegorical tendency ih.	his convivial poems ib.
what character is represented by Pro-	his erotic poems-connexion with Sappho 169
metheusib.	superior to the odes of Horace ib.
	9 1 9

	Pago		Page
ALCÆUS,		ANTIPHON,	
his religious poems—hymns to different		his remaining speeches	
deities		those delivered in court	
metrical forms used by him	ib.	their style	473
metre named after him, the Alcaic		accuracy in expressions	
ALCM AN (musician and choral poet) 162,	123	their language	ib.
his country, age, &c	193	structure of his sentences	475
taste and style influenced by his Lydian		his use of figures of speech, &c	476
extraction	ib.	general qualities of his eloquence	477
devoted himself to the cultivation of art	194	APHRODITE (Venus), see	1 n.
his choruses, their subjects, &c	ib.	Homeric hymn to	76
his metre, dialect, and poetic tone	195	Sappho's ode to	175
his embateria or marches		APOLLO-songs at the worship of	24
he invested with grace the rough dia-		bards who composed	ib.
lect of Sparta	ib.	Homeric hynn to the Delian	7.4
difficulty of estimating him from his		to the Pythian	75
remains	197	ARCHILOCHUS - character of his	LE
his simple and cheerful views of human	THE.		118
	ib.	some epigrams by him remaining	127
life		some epigrams by thin remaining	128
ANACREON (lyric poet)	180	inventor of Iambic poetry	125
his country and age	ib.	opportunities afforded him by the festi-	100
sketch of his history and that of his		vals of Demeter	133
times	181	his origin, age, &c	įb.
most of his poetry composed at Samos	182	his public and private life	134
his style of poetry and subjects	183	his quarrel with Lycambes, and its	
show no deep passion of love	ib.	results	ib.
his love for Eurypyle, and satirical		his excellence	135
poems	184	loss of his poems	ib.
his poetry less reflective than that of		partially imitated by Horace	ih.
Alcreus or Sappho	ib.	their metrical structure	ib.
his versification and metres	185	distinction between his Iambic and	
the poems attributed to him	186	Trochaic poems	136
scarcely any of them genuine	ib.	other forms of his poetry	137
of much more modern origin	187	his inventions and innovations in the	
ANANIUS (Iambic poet)	143	musical recitation	138
greatly resembled Hipponax	ib.	his language and dialect	139
ANAVAGODAG (Tallanda)	246	made use of fahles	142
ANAXAGORAS (Ionic philosopher)	ib.	ARCTINUS of Miletas. (See Cyclic	144
account of his age, life, &c	ib.		
his trentise on Nature		poems)	65
his philosophy	247	ARES (Mnrs), see	4.5
necused of atheism	248	ARISTOPHANES (comedian)	405
ANAXIMANDER (Ionic philosopher),		his age, country, &c.	ib.
his age and country	242	early devoted to the comic stage	ih.
his treatise on Nature	ib.	early pieces produced by others-rea-	
his astronomical researches, his doc-		sons for this	406
trines, &c	243	his first play, the Dataleis - descrip-	
ANAXIMENES (Ionic philosopher), his		tion of	ih.
age and country	ib.	The Babylonians-date, plan and ob-	
his language, dialect, &c	ih.	ject of	407
his theory of the formation of outward		performed at the great Dionyma	ib.
objects from air	ib.	The Acharnians-date of-earliest of	
ANDOCIDES (orator), his age, family,		his extant plays	408
&c		criticism upon it-plot, &c	ib.
his remaining speeches	478	dramatic complications in-the chorus,	
which not genuine	ib,	&c	409
his inferiority to the other celebrated		full description of the play	410
omitors	a.	The Knights-date of	412
ORATIMACHUS (elegiac and epic poet)	453	entirely directed against Cleon	413
his age, country, and style	jb,	boldness of the attempt	ib.
his epic poetry	454	character and description of the play.	ib.
his Thebais			
ANTIPHON (orator and sophist)	100	therus, not of imaginary characters  The Clouds—date of—not successful in	414
bis bistory and death		the contest	210
made a business of writing speeches	ib.	disquisition on this play	
bis school of rhetorie		its real object—its plan	

ARISTOPHIANES, Pee error of the post with respect to Secretar	AFHENS, results of the period in art, &c. 22 the Period war
that unuse the consequence of th	CADMUS of Militan (Interim), his gas as the second of the

CHORUS.	Page	COMEDY,	Page
tragic, bow provided 297	218	costume and number of the chorus	400
dress and appearance	ib.	its arrangement	ib.
number and arrangement of	300	parabasis and spirrhema explained	
signification of its different branches		comic dancing-the kordax	
represented the ideal spectator		rbythmical structure and metres	
metrical forms and changes of metre	ib.	meanings conveyed by rhythm	
rbythmical treatment of the several		the language and dialect of comedy	ib.
parts	ib.	Cratinus. (See his name)	428
variety in the number, length, and ar-		Enpolis. (See his name)	430
rangement of the parts		Crates. (See his name)	431
might carry on a lyrical dialogue	313	Sicilian comedy—its flourishing period	
examples rare of the chorus conversing		its principal writers	ib.
among themselves		earlier in its development than the	
such examples confined to Euripides	ib.	Athenian	
how employed by Sophocles	348	widdle Attic comedy	ib.
its position essentially perverted by Euripides	364		408 ib.
the Embolima-introduced by Agathon	365	Menander (see his name), and other	10.
comic chorus derived from the lesser	400	writers	ib.
Dionysia	895	Roman imitations	
costume, number and arrangement of		characteristics of the new comedy	440
the comic chorus	400	characters introduced	
the parabasis and epiryhema explained	401	manners and feelings of the age	443
CINÆTHON (epic poet), his country		its power of ridicule	444
and age	100	COMOS, festive rejoicing, described by	
works attributed to him	101	Hesiod	21
CINESIAS (lyric poet)	448	CORA (Proserpine), see1	l n.
ridiculed by Aristophanes	ib.	CORAX. (See Sophists)	217
CLONAS (musician)	161	CORINNA (lyric poetess) celebrated in the youth of Pindar	ib,
COMEDY of the Greeks	391	assisted him with her advice	ib.
sprang from the same cause as Trugedy	ib.	her style, &c	ib.
critical distinctions between	ib.	CORYBANTES.	
corresponding features of tragic and		Phrygian worship of	26
comic poetry	892	CRATES (comedian)	431
Wit a chief element of comic repre-		originally an actor of Cratians	ib.
sentation	ih.	bis style-artificial design and deve-	
forms of comedy developed by Attic	000	lopment of bis plots	ib.
genius	393	CRATINUS (comedian), his style and	
their construction referred to the wor-	ib.		428 429
ship of Bacchus	394	his choruses	ib.
comic chorus especially derived from	395	made bimself the subject of his own	10.
the old lyric comedy	ib.	comedy	ib.
traditions respecting Surarion	396	law passed in his time restraining	
Epickarmus (Sicilian comedian). (See			430
his name)	ib.	CYCLIC poems	64
bis residence, Doric origin, &c	ib.	origin of the nasoe	ib.
age, &c. of Susarion. (See his name)	ib.	dates and countries of the poets	ib.
Chionides, Magnes, Ecphantides -		must have possessed perfect copies of	
their age, &c	897	Homer's poems	ib.
constitute the first period of Greek	ib.	Arctinus of Miletus—age of	ih.
second period	ib.	The destruction of Troy and the Æthi-	110
Cratinus, Crates, Telecleides, Hermip-	,0,	Ord-	66
pus, Eupolis, &c., their age, &c	ib.	Lesches of Lescheus—age of	ib.
Aristophanes. (See his name)	-	account of his poems-the Little Iliad,	
transition to the middle comedy of the		&c	ib.
Athenians	398	abridgment of the Cyclic poems by	
Diocles, Philyllius, Sannyrion, &c	ib.	Proclus	67
apparatus of the comic drama	ib.	Stasisus of Cyprus-his poem, the	-
points it had in common with tragedy	ib. 399	Cypria	68
rostume, masks, &c	ib.	preceded the Hind in the Cyclus Agias of Tractene—his poem, the Nostoi	ib.
,,	20,		00

CYCLIC. Page	DIONYSIUS,	Page
subject of and place in the Cyclus 69	his festivals at Athens celebrated near	
Eugammon of Cyrene, age of-his	the shortest day	288
poem, the Telegonia 70	comedy referred to his worship	393
continuation of the Odyssey ih.	connected with the lesser Dionysia	394
other Cyclic poems-The war of the	those festivals described	ib.
Argives against Thebes ib.	the comic choruses especially belonged	
the Thebais-the Epigoni	to them	395
	DITHYRAMB, Bacchanalian song	203
DAMOPHILA (lyric poetess and friend	perfected by Arion	ib.
of Sapphe)	mode of its representation	ib.
DEITIES of the Greeks 11	tragic style introduced into it by Arion	
as described by Homer ib.	performed by circular choruses	ib.
names as used in this workib.n.	the new form of the Dithyramb	447 ib.
character and attributes of in early	introduced by Melanippides	450
how modified in the Homeric description 15	its mode of exhibitionits metres, &c.	ib.
the Chthonian deities	assumed a minetic character	ib.
the mysteries connected with their	subjects to which it was applied	ib.
worship alone ib.	DRAMATIC poetry	285
the mysteries of Demeter or Elemeinian	causes of its rise in Greece	ib.
mysteries 231	represents actions	286
nature of ib.	essential difference between epic and	
the Orphics or followers of Orpheus.	dramatic poetry	ib.
(See Orpheus)ib.	source of the style of dramatic poetry	ib.
DEMETER (Ceres), see	the force with which it developes the	
joint worship of with Dionysus 25	events of human life	ib.
aingers and birds ib.	its creation required great holdness of	
her festivals afforded occasions for wan-	mind	287
ton and licentious raillery	great step made by the Greeks	ib.
	reference to the dramatic poetry of the Indians	ih.
DEUS ex machina, the, (See Euripides) 363 DIALECTS	to the musteries of the middle ages	ib.
variety of accounted for	its origin connected with the worship	10.
of the primitive tribes of Greece 7,8	of Bacchus	ib.
difficulty of forming a correct opinion of 8	and of other deities	ib.
divided into two main branches 2	Eleusinian mysterics probably a mys-	
Æolic-including Doric ib.	tical drama	ih.
Ionic 10	other mimic representations in the wor-	
DIANA (Artemis), see11 n.	ship of Bacchus	288
DIOGENES (Ionic philosopher), his age	the Anthesteria, Agrionia, &c	ib.
and country 248	the enthusiasm of his worship essential	
expanded the doctrines of Anaximens ib. his philosophy, and spirit of inquiry 249	to the drama	ib.
his philosophy, and spirit of inquiry . 249 his language	subordinates in that worship	289
DIONYSIUS (historian), uncertainty re-	custom of disguise and wearing masks	****
specting	at	ib.
DIONYSUS (Bacchus), see11 n.	direct evidence respecting the origin of	
worship of, conjointly with Demeter 25	the drama	ih.
ditty sung at his festival by the women	tragedy as well as comedy originally a	
of Elis 192	choral song	ib,
the Dithyramb, sung at his festivals,	of the class of dithyrambs	ib.
(see Dithyramb) 203	account by Herodotus of tragic choruses	
worship of Dionysus Zagreus by the	at Sicyon	290
Orphics	tragedy, its commencement and pro-	291
very different from the popular rites of  Bacchus	gress. (See Trayedy of the Greeks) comedy, its commencement and pro-	201
nature of the Orphic worship 237	gream. (See Comedy of the Grecks)	391
legends of the Orphics respecting Dio-	general survey of the progress of the	
nyone ib.	drama from Eschylus to Menander	445
origin of dramatic poetry connected		_
with his worship 287	ECHEMBROTUS (elegiac poet)	107
the Anthesteria and Agricaia 288	(musician) · · · · · ·	162
his worship distinguished by enthu-	ELECTION or elegy, style of poetry	105
siasm ib.	name refers to the form, not the subject	ib.

EX.	
	Page
EURIPIDES.	
his minute attention to petty circum-	360
his remarks, &c. on the life and habits	
of women	ih.
unjustly described by Aristophanes as	ih.
his frequent bringing of children on the	
his aliusions to public events and po-	ih.
lities	ib.
fondness for general and abstract views	
of thingsthe favourite of the modern youth of	361
	ib.
his alterations in the form of tragedy.	362
the prologue described and explained., the deus ex machina almost introduced	ih.
by him	363
its frequent employment in his later	ih.
all the weight laid upon it at the end	ш.
of his career	ih.
his object in so using it position of the chorus essentially per-	ib.
verted by him	364
the lyric element thrown more into the	nar
hands of the actors	365
in the monodiesloose and irregular metrical form of	ib.
loose and irregular metrical form of	ih.
these piecesstyle of his dialogue	866
	ib.
distinction between his earlier and later	ib.
plays the Alcestis-first of his extant plays	367
	ib.
of a Satyric drama	10.
the Medea—a model of bis tragedies	ib.
the Medea—a model of his tragedies	ib.
its date, plot, &c. Aristotle's judgment of Euripides as a	
the Hippolytus crowned-its date, &c.	368
its plot-characters of women in these	ib,
plays the Hecuba—tragedy of pathos	ib.
unjustly censured for want of unity of	369
action	ih.
actionits plot and perepeteia	ib.
class of subjects of his later plays do not depict such energetic passion	870 ih.
rich in allusions to the events of the	
the Heracleida—its political views	ih.
its plan and subject	ib.
its plan and subject	
Heracleidæits political action	371 ib.
its independent beauties-songs of the	
the Ion—its beanties and defects	ib. ib.
its plot and general object	37.2

its metrical form	106
word probably of Asiatic origin	ih.
its recitation accompanied by the flute	
alone	ib.
at least in its early period	107
its subjects-must express emotion	108
symposius clemes	118
symposiae elegies	
into the different branches, of mar-	
tial, symposiae, erotic, &c	125
tan, symposine, erone, &c	140
different tone assumed by, in the	
Alexandrine period	ib.
Minnermus, Theognis, Terpander,	
Echembrotus, Callinus, Tyrterus,	
Archilochus, Simonides, Solon,	
Echembrotus, Cullinus, Tyrtorus, Archilochus, Simonides, Solon, Xenophanes. (See those names) the later elegiac poetry and its writers	
the later elegiac poetry and its writers	452
ELEUSINIAN mysteries. (See Deities	
of the Greeks)	231
of the Greeks)	365
EMPEDOCLES (Sicilian philosopher),	W.W.W
his age and country	253
his age and country	ih.
great personal reputation	
his poem on Nature	254
his physical philosophy and theories	255
EPIC Poetry or Epos. (See Poetry of	
the Greeks)	
EPICHARMUS. (See Comedy of the	
Greeks)	397
his age and residence	433
his character and that of his plays	434
their mythical form reconcilable with	
their ethical tendency	435
PDICIDA WWA TIC	126
EPIGRAMMATIC poetry	
form and original subject of the epigram	ib.
object to ennoble the subject	ib.
celebrated authors of	127
occasional variances in the metre	123
EPIRRHEMA. (See Chorus)	401
BRINNA (poetess) her poem, called The Spinulle	180
her poem, called The Spinulle	ib.
EUGAMMON of Cyrenc. (See Cyclic	
Poems)	7.0
EUMELUS (epic poct), his country and	_
nge	101
works attributed to him	ib.
genuincness of most deuied by Pau-	10.
Sanias	ib.
RUMOLPUS	
a Pierian, not a Thracian	26
EUPOLIS (councdian),	430
his style and characteristics	ih.
EURIPIDES (tragedian),	357
difference between him and Sonhocles	ih.
his character	358
his ebaracter	ih.
his philosophical convictions opposed to	•111
his bosonds	ib.
his legends	10.
ms employment of mythical subjects	0.00
Aristotle's distinction between him and	359
Aristotte's distinction between him and	
Suphocles his characters like the Athenians of his	ih.

day ..... ils.

	Page	Page
EURIPIDES,		GRECIAN history and historians 258
the Raging Hercules-composed in the		antiquity of Eastern bistory ib.
old age of the poet	372	causes of its oxistence
its employment of the eccyclema	ib.	difference between the Oriental nations
two independent actions	ib.	and the Greeks ib.
intrinsic evidence of the dates of the		causes of the comparative lateness of
last two plays	878	Grecian bistory ih.
the Andromache-its plot and object	ib.	its want conducive to poetry and the
political references very prominent	ib.	fine arts 260
The Troades	ib.	probable antiquity of the art of writing
eonsists of a series of significant pictures		in Greeco
epiloguo probably lost	ib.	first rudiments of history ib.
the Electra—its period	ib.	the lead taken by the Ionians ib.
incidents of murder of Ægisthus and	ib.	flourishing condition of Miletus ib.
Clotennestra bow treated	ib.	Cadmus of Miletns. (See his name) 261 Acusilans. (See his name) ib.
the Helena-alteration in her story	375	
how effected—plot of the play	ih.	Hecaterus. (See his name) ib.  Pherecydes. (See his name) 263
the Indigenia at Tauri-its date	376	Charon of Lampsacus. (See his name) ib.
its beauties-moral worth of the cha-	0.0	Hellanicus. (See his name) 264
meters	ib.	Xanthus. (See his name) ib.
friendship of Orestes and Pulades	ib.	Dionysius. (See his name) 265
the Orestes-its contrast to the preced-		the term logographers, to whom applied ib.
ing play	377	Herodotus. (See bis name) 266
its date and the effect it produced	ib.	Thucydides, (See his name) 479
its plot, and the impression left by it on		
the mind	ib.	HECATLEUS (bistorian), his age and
The Phoenisser—its date	ib.	country 261
its beauties and defects	378	his works—travels and geographical re-
plays brought out by the younger		searches
Enripides	ib.	his maps, genealogies, &c ils.
last days of Kuripides spent in Mace-		HELICON, and its neighbourhood 27
donia	ib.	HELLANICUS (bistorian), bis age and
The Baecher-probably produced at the		country 264
court of Archelaus	lb.	his works ib. HEPHÆSTUS (Vulcan), see 11 n.
its story—religious opinions of the poet at the close of his life	379	HERA (Juno), see 11 n.
the Iphigenia at Aulis-not extant in	are	HERACLITUS (Ionie philosopher), bis
a perfect state	ib.	age and country 244
its plan and object	ib.	his character and doctrines ils.
interpolations in	880	placed the first principle in fire 245
his lost plays	ib.	despised the popular religion ih.
his Satyric dramas	381	rejected its whole ceremonial ib.
one extant-The Cyclops	ib.	HERMES (Mercury), see 11 n.
date of his death	ih.	Homeric hymn to 75
shortly before that of Sopboeles	ib.	H : RODOTUS (bistorian) 266
		his family, birthplace, age, &c ib.
FABLES-their origin in Greece	142	residence at Samos, and its cause ib.
first appearance in Hesiod	ib.	passed the latter years of his life at
meaning of the term sire	ib.	Thurst ib.
employed by Architechus	ib.	time of his going there, how fixed ih.
and by Stenehorus	143	frequently called a Thurian by the
fables of beasts, &c., probably intro-	**	ancients 267
duced from the East	ib.	his travels, their object and extent ih.
the Libyan fables Lydian, and	144	went to Egypt and Asia in his youth . ib. gradual formation of the plan of his
Carian	145	great work ih.
fables of Æsop. (See Æsop)	ib.	bis book upon Assyria ib.
motor of 1220p. (See 2130p) 111111		recited bis history at festivals 268
GNOMIC poems and sentences-of Solon	119	such recitations confined to detached
of Phocylides	120	portions ib.
hexameters best adapted to	ib.	bis great work not composed till the
GORGIAS. (See Sophists)		Peloponnessan war ib.
GRAMMAR.	_	questionable whether he lived to the
grainmatical forms	5	second period of that war ib.

522	IND	KX.	
	Page	Pag	
HERODOTUS,		HESIOD,	
sketch of the general plan of his work .		other poems of the school of Hesiod-	
designedly enlarged by episodes	ib.	the Great Evia 26	
instances of	ib.	the Naupactia ib	
unity of his history combined with ex-	027	the Catalogue of Women 2	
tent of subject	271	distinct from the Eoiæ ib	
its epic character	ib.	other poems attributed to Hesiod-	
idea of a fixed destiny - how carried out	ib.	scanty remains of 95	1
speeches introduced	ib.	the Melampodia, Egimins, Marriage	
comparison with the different parts of a	-	of Cegz, &c ib	
Greek tragedy	ih.	the Shield of Hercules ib date of how proved 95	
a theologian and poet as well as his-	272	date of, how proved	
his veracity, how far questionable	ih.	shield of Achilles ib	
his confessions of being deceived by	1111-		
	ib.	these poems connected with lyric portry ib tradition respecting the death and hurial-	
misrepresentations	10.	place of Hesiod 91	,
	273	place of Hesiod 96 his wit and humour compared with that	٠.
his skill in portraying character	ib.		
impression made by reading his work	ib.	of Homer	
his style, language, and dialect	274	HIPPONAX (lambic poet), his country	•
HESIOD, circumstances of his life	777	and age	
general character of his poetry	78	satires against luxury, &c 145	
his manner essentially different from		his personal enemiesih	
that of Homer	79	his language, motres, and style ih	
his description of the commencement of	-	HISTORY. (See Grecian History and	•
his poetical career	ih.	Historians)	
dwelt at Ascra by his own testimony .	80	HOMER—his hirthplace ;—claims of the	
attempts to connect him by relationship	_	Athenians-of Chios 41	
with Homer	81	the claims of Smyrna, how supported 42, 43	
nearly cotemporary with Homer	ib.	of the Cummans and Colophonians 43	
did not borrow his epic language from		traditions as to the foundation of	٠.
him	ib.	Smyrna ib.	
himdistinctions between his poetry and		other poets connected with Smyrna 44	
that of Homer	82	mental energies stimulated by the con-	
his Works and Days	ib.	flux of different tribes and races in	
allusions in that poem to his dissen-		that neighbourhood ih.	
sions with his brother Perses	83	shown to be of Ionic race and descent . 46	i i
allusions to the various kinds of Beeotian		recognized as such by Aristarchus ih.	
industry	84	other proofs of his Ionian origin 46	6
general topo of the poem	85	time of his existence according to He-	
his lost poem, the Lessons of Chiron	86	rodotus and the Alexandrine chro-	
bis Theogony	87	nologists 47	t i
first gave the Greeks a kind of religious		his poems not originally committed to	
code	ib.	writing 38	
sketch of the subject and philosophy of		how proved—the digamma ih.	
the poem	ih.	discrepancies in the catalogues 56	ŧ
beings traced from chaos	89	gave epic poetry its first great impulse.	
war with the Titans	90	causes of this ib.	
Zeus and the Olympian gods	-91	novelty of his subjects ih.	
design of the poem proved to have been		subject of the Iliad 48	
maturely considered	ib.	scheme, philosophy, and characters of . 49	ŧ.
discrepancies between his genealogy		its plan extends beyond what was neces-	
and that of Homer	ib.	sary	
his art of composition not so perfect as		extension accounted for ib.	
Homer's	92	historical details objected to by Thucy-	
the Theogony interpolated by the Rhap-		dides	
aodists	ib.	patriotic motives for the extension 52	
additions to that poem	93	inconsistencies in, and presumed addi-	
the processinse-not an original intro-		tions to	
duction to the Theogony	ih.	cheerful cast of the earlier part as com-	
was in fact a hymn to the Muses	ib.	pared with the later ib.	
critical remarks on these poems	24	catalogue of the ships—discrepancies in 54	
treatment of Women by Hesiod and the		eritical doubts as to genuineness of 54, 55	
ancient epic poeta	95	catalogue of the Trojans and their allies ib.	

N D E X. 523

HOMBB	Page	T. MDTO	Page
HOMER,		IAMBIC,	
too scanty		license afforded to raillery by the festi-	101
general remarks on the originality of		vals of Demeter, &c	131 132
particular books		the Iambuce, musical instrument	139
aubject of the Odyssey			
second story interwoven in it	ib.	Pables and Parodies, nearly allied to	140
has much in common with the <i>lliad</i> but shows a more developed state or		Archilochus, Simonides, Solon, Hippo-	
		nax, Ananius. (See those names) IBYCUS (lyric poet)	205
scheme and plan of the poem	59		ib.
offered many opportunities for enlarge		his age and country	
			ib.
shown to be written after the Iliad	ib.	Stesichorus	
proofs of this from the descriptions of		ILIAD, subject of the &c. (See Homer)	48
society and manners, characters of		ION (tragedian), his age and country	
the gods, the management of the lan		a prose author as well as a poet	ib.
guage, &c		took the subjects of his tragedies from	10.
supposition that the Odyescy was com		Homer	ib.
pleted by a disciple		ISOCRATES (orator), his age, country,	101
difficulties in accounting for the compo		family, &c	504
sition of these poems, before the use		popil of Gorgias and Tisias, also of	
of writing		Socrates	ib.
must have been occasionally recited in		belongs essentially to the Sophists	ib.
their integrity		prevented by bashfulness and weakness	
not longer than the tragedies, &c. per		from speaking	505
formed at one festival		set up a school of oratory	ib.
recited in scattered fragments by the		most of his orations destined for the	
Rhapsodists		school	ib.
indebted to Solon or Pisistratus for	- 22	his Areopagiticus, Panegyricus, Philip	
compelling the Rhapsodists to recite		his Panathenaicus, Praise of Helen,	22002
them in order	ib.	Busing	507
the Hymns or Procemia-their genera		more eminent as a rhetorician than as	
character		a statesman or philosopher	
not connected with the actual ceremo	12	his style	
nies of religion		departed from that which was then	Mark.
occasions on which they were sung		usual, viz. the antithetical	ih.
by whom composed		his language	ib.
the hymn to the Delian Apollo	7.4	his Commiseration Speeches	510
Pythian Apollo	. 75	the subjects of his speeches	511
	ib.	his language different from that of	
Aphrodite	76	Sophocles and Thucydides	ih.
Demeter		deficient in vehemence of oratory	
his poetry full of archness and humou	130	Plutarch's opinion of his style	ib.
criticism on it in that respect		collection of his works	ih.
loss of the Margites	131		
that poem ascribed to Homer by Aris		JUNO (Hera), see	11 n.
totle	ib.	JUPITER (Zeus), see	11 n.
its nature as collected from fragments .	. ib.		
the Cercopes	· ib.	LAMENTS for Hylas and Adonis	12
the Batrachomyomachia, &c 13:		LANGUAGES	
his witty and satirical poems contraste	4	affinity of-generally	8
with those of Archilochus	132	-with the Greek	ib.
HOMERIDS, the	10, 41	-the Indo-Germanic branch.	- 4
the Chian and Samian families	. 41	Classical and Modern	
HYMEN EOS, bridal song	. 21	effect of on the car and on the under	
described by Homer and Hesiod		standing	- 6
HYMNS, the Homeric. (See Homer).		characteristics of the Greek language	
HYPORCHEME, (briggman) species of		variety of forms, inflexions, and dis-	
choral dance	. 23	lects in	7
		dialects of the several tribes, and their	
IALEMUS, plaintive song	. 18	characteristics	. 8
IAMBIC and Satyrical poetry	. 128	LASUS (lyric poet), his country, &c	
its contrast with other cotemporaneou		rival of Simonides	
poetry	. 129	peculiarly a dithyrambic poet	215
created by Archilochus	<ul> <li>128</li> </ul>	instructor of Pindar in lyric poetry	ib.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Page		Page
LASUS,		LYRIC poetry	
bis over-refinement in rhythm, &c LBSCHES or Lescheus. (See Cyclic	215	improved by the new Dithyramh	ib.
poems)	66	Philorenus-Cincoias-Phrynis. (See	
LINUS, the songs so called	17	their names)	448
traditions respecting	18		449
LITERATURE of Greece-as confined	_	other poets and musicians of minor	_
to particular races	275	note	ib.
early formation of a national literature		LYSIAS (orator), his family, age, and	
in Greece	ih.	personal history	496
celebrated cities, &c	ib.	his speech against Eratosthenes	497
Athens acquired the rank of a capital.		comparison of him with Gorgias	ib.
(See Athens)	276	notion of his earlier style derived from	
LITYERSES, melancholy song	19	Plato's Pheedrus	498
LOGOGRAPHERS-meaning of the			499
term and to whom applied	265	description of his Funeral Oration	ib.
LYRIC poetry	148	alteration in his style-how caused	500
transition to from the Error, through		his speeches adapted to the parties for	
Elegiac and Iambic	ib.	whom written	ib.
its connexion with massic	ib.	his use of the figures of thought and	
and with dancing	149		501
characteristics of Greek lyric poety	ib.	compression of his style-reason for	ib.
distinctions between the Bolic and		his speech against Agoratus-descrip-	
Doric schools	164	tion of	ib.
reasons for such division, structure,		very prolific as an orator	503
dialect, &c	ih.	genuineness of the works attributed to	
Epode, origin of in the Dovic school	165	him	ih.
the Dorse school choral	ih.		
Æolic, usually for recitation by an in-		MANEROS, song similar to the Linus	19
dividual	ib.	MARS (Ares), see	1 n.
exceptions to this	ih.	MELANIPPIDES (lyric poet), his age	
loss of Æolic poems caused by the un-	100		447
intelligibility of the dialect  Alcaus. (See his name)	166 ib.	gave a new character to the Dithyramb MELISSUS (Elentic philosopher), his age	ib.
metres employed by the Æolic lyric	10.	and country	252
poets	170	a close follower of Parmenides	ib.
Sappha, (See her name)	172		187
Anacreon. (See his name)	180		488
the melos, designed to be sung by a			439
single person	187	clear conception of his plays given by	
the scolion-description of	188	the Roman imitations	ib.
scolia, distinguished from other drink-		scene and characters of his plays	440
ing songs	ib.	state of morals and manners in his time	443
principally composed by Lesbians	ib.	comparison with Envipides	445
composers of-said to be invented by		MERCURY (Hermes), see	1 n.
Terpander	ib.	METRES, Dactylic form adapted to epic	
subjects of those which are extant	189	poetry	35
connexion of lyric poetry with choral		peculiarities of this form	īb.
songs	190	MIMNERMUS (elegiac poet)	106
gradual rise of regular forms from this			115
connexion	191	political and patriotic	ib.
specimens of simple ancient songs		his love elegies	116
Alcman, (See his name)	193	MINERVA (Athenn), see	
Sterichorns. (See his name)	197 203	MINOR Epic poets	100
Arion. (See his name)		their general character	ib.
the Dith grands. (See that title)	ib. 205	importance of their fragmentary re-	ib.
Hygus, (See his name)	202	po-ms by uncertain authors-The Tak-	10.
Simonides. (See his name)  Bacchylides. (See his name)	213		109
Lasus. (See his name)		poems containing different legends of	102
Timocreon. (See his name)	215		163
Pindar. (See his name)	216	Cinction, Eumeles, Asius, Chersias.	
its falling aff after the time of the great		(See those names)	
tragedians	387	MUSEUS, n Pierian, not a Thracian	26
Cheremon. (See his name)	ib.	MUSIC of the Greeks	149
to the many			

11	N D	R X.	525
MUSIC, Pa	ge	ORATORY,	Page
its connexion with poetry, especially	10	Increases. (See his name) OBPHEUS,	504
its history commences with Terpander.		scanty accounts of	25
(See Terpander) i	b.	connected with the worship of Dionysus	26
the musical scalo II	11	not a Thracian, but a Pierian	27
distinction between the scales, and the		his followers, the Orphics (si 'Oppinsi)	231
styles or harmonies	24	account of them, the objects of their worship, &c	ib.
	ь.	time of their institution difficult to as-	,
musical instruments employed If		certain	232
intermediate styles described 1		their poems, tendency of, to humanizo	
	h. b.	Phericytes, his poems resembling the	ib.
	ь. Ь.	Orphic	234
Terpunder's inventions enlarged by		reason of the loss of the earlier Orphic	
Olympus. (See Olympus)	56	poems	ih.
further improvements by Thaletas. (See Thaletas)		their connexion with the philosophy of	ib.
other musicians and their improve-		Pythagoras	10.
ments. Closas 10	11	their works	235
Hierax, Xenodamus, Xenocritus, Po-		Onomacritus, the most known	ib.
tymnestus, Sacadas, Aleman, Echem-		his works	ib.
MYRTIS (lyric poetess)		Cercops, Brontinus, Arignote, Persi- nus, Timodes, Zopyrus	ib.
	ь.	the Orpheotelests	ib.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		spirit and character of the Orphic poems	ih.
NEOPHRON (tragedian), his age, coun-		the idea of a creation occurs in them	237
try, &c 35	82	Orphic worship of Dionysus. (See	-
one of his plays said to be imitated by Euripides in the Medea	b.	Dionyana	ih.
NEPTUNE (Poscidon), sec11		PARABASIS. (See Chorus)	401
NOME, musical tune	54	PARMENIDES (Eleatic philosopher),	
ODVSCRY A. A. M. M. R. A.		his age and country	251
	57 28	resemblance of his philosophy to that of Xenophanes	ib.
	h.	account of his doctrines, &c.	ib.
OLYMPUS (Phrygian musician) 1	66	PARNASSUS, where situated 23	
	h.	PARODY, account of this species of	Ī.,
	ih.	poetry	146
his age, &c., obscure—more than one of the name	ih.	attributed by some to Hipponax P.E.A.N., tho	147
cultivated the onharmonic scale 1	57	song of courage and confidence	19
	b.	vernal parans of Lower Italy	20
	b. h.	preans of the Pythagoreans	ib.
	10. 58	new subjects introduced into	ib. 452
	h.	Aristotle's prenn on Virtue	ib.
ONOMACRITUS (Orphic poet). See		PERICLES. (See Athens)	280
	3.5	PHERECYDES (Ionic philosopher)	240
ORATORY of the Greeks, sketch of its rise and progress 4	677	one of the carliest prose writers account of him and his works	ib.
Athens its native soil 4		his genealogies and mythical history	263
Themistocles, not distinguished as an		PHILOSOPHY of the Greeks	239
	h.	its opposition to poetry	ib.
	ib. 59	led to prose compositionearliest philosophers classed by ruces	ih.
	b.	and countries	240
a few expressions preserved	h.	the Ionic philosophers, their researches	
Cicero's opinion of Pericles, Alcibiades,		in physics	ib.
manner, diction, and idiom of Pericles 41	61	philosophers of the Ionic school—Pke- recycles. (See his name)	ib.
Antiphon. (See his name) 1		Thates. (See his name)	241
Andocides. (See his name) 4	77	the seven Sages	ib.
Lyrias. (See his name) 4	69	Anazimander. (See his name)	242

Page	Page
PHILOSOPHY,	PINDAR,
Anaximenes. (See his name) 243 Heraclitus. (See his name) 244	style and metres—Doric, Æolic, and Lydian
Anaxagoras. (See his name) 246	distinction between
Diogenes. (See his name) 248	his language, &c ib.
the Eleatic philosophers 249	differs widely from Homer in his no-
Xenophanes. (See his name) 250	tions respecting the state of man
Parmenides. (See his name) 251	after death 229
Melisrus. (See his name) 252	PISISTRATIDS, the. (See Athens) 278
Zeno. (See his name) ih.	POETRY of the Greeks
Empedocles. (See his name) 253	its first efforts
the Italic philosophers 256	songs of the husbandmen 17
Pythagoras. (See his name) ih.	the Peran
PHILOXENUS (lyric poet), his age,	the Threnos and Hymeneros
his treatment by Dionysius the elder ih.	origin and character of the chorus 22 ancient composers of sacred hymns 24
estimation of his poems ih.	in the worship of Apollo ib.
PHRYNICHUS (tragedian), his age,	of Demeter and Dio-
country, &c 293	nysus 25
the tyric predominated over the tragic	of the Corybantes,
with him ib.	&c 26
employed only one actor ib.	Thracian origin of several early poets ib.
introduced female parts ih.	influence of this origin on the poetry of
his distribution of the chorus ib.	Homer 28
his play of The Phanism ib.	Epic poetry - its metrical form, &c 35
its resemblance to The Persians of	poetical style and tone of the ancient
Æschylus 294	percetuated by memory, not by writing 37
his Capture of Miletus—effects of its production ib.	perpetuated by memory, not by writing 37 subjects and extent of the ante-Homeric
production ib. PHRYNIS (lyric poet), his age, country,	epic poetry
&c	the exploits of Hercules-the ship
ahused by Pherecrates ih.	Argo, &c
PIRRIA	never favourable to the elevation of a
distinguished from Thrace 26	single individual
PINDAR - his age - entemporary of	its state more developed in the Odyssey
Æschylus	than in the Iliad 58
his birthplace	the Didactic Epos described 86
his family skilled in music ih.	general remarks on the influence of the
instructed by Lases	the only kind of poetry before the 7th
though employed by Hiero and others. ih.	century, s.c 104
his freedom of speech to Hiero and	its connexion with the monarchical
Arcesilaus 219	period
his intercourse with princes limited to	influence of the forms of government
poetryih.	on poetry ib.
excelled in all varieties of lyrie and	Elegiac poetry. (See Elegeion) ib.
choral poetry 220	Rpigrammatic poetry. (See that title) 126
all lost except his epinikia, or trium-	Inmbic and Satyrical poetry. (See that title)
phal odes ib. the epinikia, and their mode of per-	Lyric poetry. (See that title) 148
formance explained ih.	moral improvement after Homer evident
their style lefty and dignified 222	in the notions as to the state of man
turn upon the destiny or merit of the	after death
victor ih.	general alteration in the spirit of Greek
though delivered by a chorus, express	poetry during the first five centuries 238
his own feelings, &c	Dramatic poetry. (See that title) 285
contain much sententious wisdom ib.	later epic poetry and its writers 454
hnt more occupied by mythical narratives ib.	Antimachus. (See his name) ib.
reference of these to the main theme, either historical or ideal	POETS or minstrels.
	their social position in the heroic age. 29 ns depicted by Homer
bis meaning frequently difficult to com-	ns depicted by Homer
prehend at the present time ih.	as depicted by Hesiod, &c 32
general characteristics of his Epinibian	epic poets connected with the early
adas 997	minetrals 20

POETS, Po	nge	SAPPHO,	Page
	64	cause of imputations upon it at a later	
Epic poets. (See Homer, Hesiod, Minor	W2	period	173
Epic Poets)		treatment of women amongst the Ionic	
POLYMNESTUS (musician) 1	62	races and the Æolians	ib.
POSEIDON (Neptune), see11		strictness prescribed by Athenian man-	
PRATINAS (tragedian), his age, conn-	_	ners	ib.
trv. &c	95	her leve fer Phaon	174
excelled in the Satyric drama	ib.	story of her leap from the Lencadian	
PROSE compositions of the Greeks, 2	39	rock	ib.
	40	shown to be fictitious	175
	ib.	her poetry—fragments enly remaining.	ib.
	ib.	account of ber ode to Aphrodits	ib.
period during which it was mest culti-		her intimacies with women	176
three epochs in the history of Attic	56	females at Lesbos not confined within	
		the house	ib.
	ib.	probably her pupils and rivals in poetry	111
first epoch introduced by Athenian po-	ih.	fragment of her poetry preserved by	178
	ib.	Longinus	ib.
oratory. (See Oratory of the Greeks) 4		also composed hymns to the gods	179
began a new career after the Pelopon-	-	rbythmical construction of ber poems	ib.
nesian war 4	96	greatness of her fame	ib.
PROSERPINE (Cora), see11	n.	appreciated by Solon	ib.
PROTAGORAS. (See Sophists) 4	169	SATYRIC drama	294
PYTHAGORAS (Italic philosopher) 2	56	separated from Tragedy by Charilus	ib.
his personal history, and traditions re-		subjects and characters of	295
	ib.	separation completed by Pratinas	ib.
his opinions, hew far influenced hy his		SCEPHRUS, plaintive song	18
	57	SCOLION, species of drinking song. (See	
his influence exercised by means of		Lyric poetry)	188
lectures and the establishment of	ib.	SIMONIDES (elegiac and lyric poet),	140
Pythagorean associations	10.	his country and age	140
nor any genuine fragment	ib.	chylus in a contest	ib.
works attributed to him forgeries by		a great master of the pathetic	ib.
the Orphic theologers	ib.	a celebrated writer of epigrams	127
his fundamental doctrines	ib.	bis Iambic poetry-coarse and severe	140
their scientific development subsequent		bis family and character	208
to his time	258	nature of his lyric poety	ib.
his opinions prometed both theoretically		enjoyed great consideration in his life-	
and practically by music	ib.	time	209
PRINCIPLE CALCULA		the versatility and variety of his knew-	
RELIGION of the Greeks	11	ledge	ib.
earliest form net portrayed in the Ho-	n.	the first who sold his poems for meney	
meric poems	ih.	account of his poems—their variety, &c. his epinikia, dirges, &c	
objects of nature	12	criticism on his style	
similarity to the religions of the East	13	SOCRATES-unfairly treated by Aristo-	
deficient in the notion of elernity in	_	phanes	
their deities	87	SOLON-his character and that of his	
niso in the idea of a creation	88	elegies	117
improved between the times of Homer		the elegy of Salamis, account of	
	229	its effect	118
	232	his elegy cited by Demosthenes	ib.
Epimenides - Abaris - Aristeas - ac-	200	his elegies styled Gnomic	119
	233	bis Iambie poetry	
Pherecycles—account of	ib.	fragments of his Iambies and Trochaics bis influence at Atbens	
BHAPSODISTS -explanation of the term	32	SOPHISTS (the profession of the)	
		essential elements of their doctrines	
SAGES, the Seven	241	Protagoras, his age and country	
SAPPHO (lyric poetess)	172	banished from Athens for scepticism	ib.
ber birtbplace and age	ib.	his doctrines	
her character	ib.	Gorgias, his age and country	

SOPHISTS, Page	SOPHOCLES. Page
his method of neguing, &c	plan of the play 350
permicious results of bis doctrines 461	simplicity of its construction 351
Hippins, Prodicus—their methods ih.	prevailing ideas of the preceding pieces
general effects of the labours of the	ethicalih.
Sophistsih.	the Edipus at Colonus-develops his
Callicles, Thrasimachus-doctrines ut-	religious ideas
tributed by Plato to 465	connected with his last days-brought
the Sophists greatly improved written	out by his son ib.
compositions ib.	sketch of his family affairs in his old age ib.
means by which this effect was pro-	allusion to in this play
duced ih.	description of the play- its allusions to
Corax—his age and country 466	the scenes of his youth ib.
his " Art of Rhetoric" ib.	plan and object of
Tisias, pupil of Corax ih.	general criticism on his tragedies 355
orator and author of an "Art of Rhetoric" ib.	his languageih.
language of Gorgias 467	his style and metres 350
Polus, Alcidamas-their language, &c. 469	the most pious and enlightened of the
Antiphon. (See his name) ib.	Greeks 857
SOPHOCLES (tragedian) 337	difference between him and Enripides . ih.
his advance upon Æschylus ib.	STASIMUS of Cyprus. (See Cyclic
his hirthplace, age, &c ib.	poems) 68
first appearance in a dramatic contest 335	STESICHORUS (lyric poet) 99
particulars of the contest and successful	wrote on similar subjects to Hesiod ih.
play ib.	made use of fables 143
The Antigone, first of his plays now	his age and country 198
extant ih.	his name assumed-real name Tisias ib.
excellence and effects of ib.	his alterations in the form of the chorus 199
his acquaintance with Herodotus \$332	his metres and dislect ib.
number of plays ascribed to him ib.	subjects of his choruses 200
period within which produced ib.	his treatment of them compared with
lacreasing rapidity of their production \$40	that of Pindar ib.
order of his extant plays ib.	his mode of treating mythic narratives
his own opinion of his style as compared	different from the Epic 201
with that of Eschyler ih.	Helen and the Trojan war ib.
changes made by him in the constitution	his language
of tragedy 341	composed also hymnu and pænnu ib.
increased length ib.	romantic and bucolic poems ib.
diminution of the lyrical element 312	imitated by Theocritus 203
third actor introduced - advantages of . ib.	remarkable as a precursor of Pindar. ib.
his general object and design ib.	SUSARION. (See Comedy of the Greeks) 397
plan, and philosophical scheme of the	
Antigone 343	TERPANDER (clegiac poet) 107
characters in	founder of Greek music 149
the Electron-comparison with the	his probable origin, &c ih.
Orestea of Æschylus ib.	his age
different view of the subject taken by Sonhocles ib.	victor at the first musical contests ib. introduced the nomes for singing to the
conflict between the legend and the in-	citharn ih. invented the seven-stringed cithara 151
tentions of the author ib.	his musical scale
plan and object of the play ib.	distinction between the scales and the
the King Edipusib.	styles or harmonies
what it does not mean ih.	the Doric, Phrygian, and Lydian styles ib.
action and progress of the plot 347	first marked the different tones in music 154
traces of the poet's sublime iros y ib.	his notation and tunes or nomes ib.
his mode of employing the chorus 348	rhythmical form of his compositions 155
the Ajaz ih.	said to have invented the scolion 188
extraordinary character of the hero ib.	THALETAS (musician) 159
Eccyclena scene introduced 349	third epoch in Greek music ib.
plan of the play ib.	his country and age ih.
the Philoetetes	his musical and poetical productions 160
date of-produced in the old age of the	the Pyrrhic or war-dance 161
poet ih.	THALES (Ionic philosopher) 241
employment of the Deus ex muchina ib.	his age, character, &c

	IND	E X.	529
THALES,	Page	TIMOTHEUS.	Page
	241		449
not a poet, nor the author of any writ-	242	cultivated the Dithyramh	ib.
THEATRES-construction of, &c. (See		originally a choral song	289
Tragedy of the Greeks)	298	its commencement and progress	290
Tragedy of the Greeks)		its connexion with the worship of	
	388	Bacchus	ib.
his manner, style, &c	389		291
	107 120	Dorian tragedy made no further ad-	
	121	vance its origin and development amongst the	ib.
the character of bis elegies	ib.	Athenians	ñ.
his personal relation to Cyrnus	122	their Dionysiac festivals	ib.
state of convivial society as shown by him			292
	292	only one actor besides the chorus	ib.
added one actor to the chorus	ib.	played several parts	ib.
and consequently dialogue	ih.	example from the Pentheus	ih.
importance of the dances of the chorus	ib.	dances of the chorus still a principal part	ib.
the dances of Thespis performed in the	-	versification employed by the early	
time of Aristophanes	ib.	Phrynichus. (See his name)	ih. 293
merits of those composed by Simonides		Charilus. (See his name)	294
THUCYDIDES (historian)	479	the Saturic drama-account of	ib.
his birth, family, country, &c	ib.	the Satyric drama-account of three tragedies and one Satyric drama	
his property at Scaple Hale	ih.	represented together	ib.
sketch of his personal career	480	Pratinas. (See his name)	295
	481	Buchylus, (See his name)	ih.
his character as a historian	ib.	great development of tragedy hy him .	іь. 296
his work a history of the Peloponnesian war only	ib.	ideal character of the Greek tragedy	ib.
distribution and arrangement of his	10.	furnishing of the choruses	297
	482	the mask—the cothurnus	ib.
no violent breaks in his work	483	tragic gesticulation	ib.
what the work would have been if com-		masks changed between the acts	298
pleted	ih.	management of the voice hy the actor .	ib.
sketch of the first book	ів. 485	structure of the theatre	ib. 999
his work not a compilation	ib.	ancient theatres	ib.
	486	theatres in Peloponnesus and Sicily	ib.
the practical application of his work.,	487	plan of the theatre at Athens	ib.
his skill in delineating character	ih.	the Orchestra	ib.
account of the speeches contained in his		the Thymele, its natore, use, &c	ib.
no attempt to depict peculiar modes of	488		300
no attempt to depict peculiar modes of		Emmeleia - tragic style of dancing	ib.
speaking	489	form and construction of the Stage the Scene, Parascenia, and Proscenium	301 ih.
ples of the speakers	řb.	the action of Greek tragedy necessarily	ın.
beneficial application of his sophistical		out of doors	302
exercises	490		308
	491	each associated with certain localities	
his peculiar style and diction	ib.	or incidents	ih.
his dialect	492	marked effect of these inflexible rules	ib.
construction of his words and conse-			804
quent rapidity of description connexion of his sentences	ih. 493	number of good actors small	ib.
structure of his periods	ih.	Eschylus	ib.
his use of figures of speech, &c	494	a fourth by Sophocles in the Œdipus	
TIMOCREON (lyric poet), his country,		at Colonus	305
&c	215	technical names of the three actors	ih.
his style	ih.	explanation of the terms Protagonist,	
his hatred of Themistocles and Si-	.,		306
monides	ih.		807
TIMOTHRUS (lyric poet), his age and country	442	reason of not representing bloody spec- tacles, &c	ib.
	112	2 x	

Page	Puge
TRAGEDY,	WRITING,
other reasons than that given by Horace 307 no arrangement for complete change of scenic decorations	this accounts for the rarity of useful historical data
the Periacta, explained ih.	composition
mode of representing interiors when requisite	proved also by the ancient inscriptions ih. rendered necessary by the introduction
the Eccyclema and Exestra described ib.	of prose composition 239
the scene-painting of Agatharchus 310 union of lyric poetry and dramatic	probable antiquity of the art in Greece 260
discourse ih.	XANTHUS (historian), his age and
analysis of, suggested by Aristotle ih.	country 264
the stasimon, the parodos ih.	his genuine works 265
the prologue, the episodia, the exodus. 311	spurious works attributed to him ih.
dividing the tragedy into certain parts ih.	XENOCRITUS (musician) 162
TYRTÆUS (elegiac poet)	XENODAMUS (musician) ib.
cotemporary of Callinus ih.	XENOPHANES (elegiac poet), his coun-
stories respecting, how far credible ib.	try and age 124
subjects of his elegies, and their inten-	his character and that of his elegies ih.
tion 111	wrote an epic poem on the founding of
how recited 112	Elea 250
his embateria or marches 196	first of the Eleanic philosophers ib.
	his philosophy ib.
VENUS (Aphrodite), see	written in the poetic form ib.
VULCAN (Hephæstus), see 11 n.	his ideas on the godhead ih.
	condemned the anthropomorphic con-
WOMEN,	ceptions of the Greeks concerning
how treated and described by the an- cient epic poets	their gods 251
their origin according to Simonides 140	ZENO (Eleatic philosopher), his age and
difference of their treatment by the	country 253
Ionic and Æolian races 173	friend and disciple of Parmenides ib.
strictness prescribed by Athenian man-	his doctrines and sophisms ib.
ners ih.	ZEUS (Jupiter), see
WRITING and written memorials	origin of the name 14
	selled Country on Country before









